

# CONSORT-EHEALTH (V 1.6.1) - Submission/Publication Form

The CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is intended for authors of randomized trials evaluating web-based and Internet-based applications/interventions, including mobile interventions, electronic games (incl multiplayer games), social media, certain telehealth applications, and other interactive and/or networked electronic applications. Some of the items (e.g. all subitems under item 5 - description of the intervention) may also be applicable for other study designs.

The goal of the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist and guideline is to be

- a) a guide for reporting for authors of RCTs,
- b) to form a basis for appraisal of an ehealth trial (in terms of validity)

CONSORT-EHEALTH items/subitems are MANDATORY reporting items for studies published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research and other journals / scientific societies endorsing the checklist.

Items numbered 1., 2., 3., 4a., 4b etc are original CONSORT or CONSORT-NPT (non-pharmacologic treatment) items.

Items with Roman numerals (i., ii, iii, iv etc.) are CONSORT-EHEALTH extensions/clarifications.

As the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is still considered in a formative stage, we would ask that you also RATE ON A SCALE OF 1-5 how important/useful you feel each item is FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE CHECKLIST and reporting guideline (optional).

Mandatory reporting items are marked with a red \*.

In the textboxes, either copy & paste the relevant sections from your manuscript into this form - please include any quotes from your manuscript in QUOTATION MARKS, or answer directly by providing additional information not in the manuscript, or elaborating on why the item was not relevant for this study.

YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE PUBLISHED AS A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE TO YOUR PUBLICATION IN JMIR AND ARE CONSIDERED PART OF YOUR PUBLICATION (IF ACCEPTED).

Please fill in these questions diligently. Information will not be copyedited, so please use proper spelling and grammar, use correct capitalization, and avoid abbreviations.

DO NOT FORGET TO SAVE AS PDF \_AND\_ CLICK THE SUBMIT BUTTON SO YOUR ANSWERS ARE IN OUR DATABASE !!!

Citation Suggestion (if you append the pdf as Appendix we suggest to cite this paper in the caption):

Eysenbach G, CONSORT-EHEALTH Group

CONSORT-EHEALTH: Improving and Standardizing Evaluation Reports of Web-based and Mobile Health Interventions

J Med Internet Res 2011;13(4):e126

URL: <http://www.jmir.org/2011/4/e126/>

doi: 10.2196/jmir.1923

PMID: 22209829

\* Required

**Your response exceeds the limit. Try shortening some of your answers.**

**Your name \***

First Last

David Gustafson

**Primary Affiliation (short), City, Country \***

University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

University of Wisconsin - M

**Your e-mail address \***

[abc@gmail.com](mailto:abc@gmail.com)

dhgustaf@wisc.edu

**Title of your manuscript \***

Provide the (draft) title of your manuscript.

Reducing symptom distress in patients with advanced cancer using an e-alert system for caregivers: a pooled analysis of two randomized clinical trials

**Article Preparation Status/Stage \***

At which stage in your article preparation are you currently (at the time you fill in this form)

- not submitted yet - in early draft status
- not submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission
- submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet
- submitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments
- submitted to a journal and accepted, but not published yet
- published
- Other:

**Journal \***

If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other")

- not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this

Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR)

Other:

**Manuscript tracking number \***

If this is a JMIR submission, please provide the manuscript tracking number under "other" (The ms tracking number can be found in the submission acknowledgement email, or when you login as author in JMIR. If the paper is already published in JMIR, then the ms tracking number is the four-digit number at the end of the DOI, to be found at the bottom of each published article in JMIR)

no ms number (yet) / not (yet) submitted to / published in JMIR

Other:

## TITLE AND ABSTRACT

### 1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title

**1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? \***

I.e does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (if not, explain the reason under "other")

yes

Other:

**1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title**

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use "web-based" and/or "mobile" and/or "electronic game" in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like "online", "virtual", "interactive". Use "Internet-based" only if Intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use "computer-based" or "electronic" only if offline products are used. Use "virtual" only in the context of "virtual reality" (3-D worlds). Use "online" only in the context of "online support groups". Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as "mobile" or "smart phone" instead of "iphone"), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

'Web-based" could be added to the title, but the title is already very long. We use "e-alert" to signify the type of system we are reporting.

**1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title**

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., “with telephone support”).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We did not have non-web-based components in the intervention.

**1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title**

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., “for children with Type I Diabetes”) Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The title says the the target group was adults with advanced cancer.

## 1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions

NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.

### 1b-i) Key features/functionality/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention key features/functionality/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

### Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"a control group (Comprehensive Health Enhancement Support System [CHESS]-Only) that gave caregivers access to CHESS, an online support system and an experimental group (CHESS+CR [Clinician Report]), which also had CHESS but with a CR that automatically alerted clinicians if symptoms exceeded a predetermined threshold of severity.

### 1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like "fully automated" vs. "therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted" (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"When severe caregiver-reported symptoms were shared with clinicians, the symptoms were more likely to be subsequently reported as improved than when the symptoms were not shared with clinicians (P<.001)."

**1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT**

Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use "blinded" or "unblinded" to indicated the level of blinding instead of "open", as "open" in web-based trials usually refers to "open access" (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants were dyads (n=235) of patients with advanced lung, breast, or prostate cancer and their respective family caregivers from 5 oncology clinics in the United States of America."

**1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data**

Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5 show use data by group.

**1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials**

Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This was not a negative trial.

**INTRODUCTION**

**2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale**

**2a-i) Problem and the type of system/solution**

Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as stand-alone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in "Methods" under 5)

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Managing Patient Symptom Distress  
As advanced cancer treatments enable patients to live longer, managing patient symptoms becomes even more important for patients, informal (family or friend) caregivers, and clinicians [1-5]. In some cases, the side effects of advanced treatment (eg, pain and cognitive limitations) can create problems that challenge the fabric of the family [6,7] and sometimes even lead to conflict [6,7] between the family and the clinical team [8]. Some of the problem, from a patient and caregiver perspective,

**2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system**

Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropriate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Recent developments in electronic symptom collection systems [12,13] offer promise for more timely and accurate information, greater patient acceptance, and reduced cost compared with paper-based systems [14]. Studies of such systems have shown moderate to significant improvement of patient symptoms and quality of life [15-19], and even survival [20]. A key issue is when and how to reach clinicians effectively, given how busy they are."



## 2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses

### Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 2b? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Specifically, the study addresses this question: Does the CHESS system with the CR reduce symptom distress in patients more than CHESS without the CR?"

## METHODS

### 3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

#### Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Between September 2004 and April 2007, 235 dyads of patients with advanced-stage cancer and their primary informal caregivers were recruited to one of two randomized clinical trials of CHESS. One of the trials recruited breast and prostate cancer patients and their caregivers (NCT00214162); the other enrolled lung cancer patients and caregivers (NCT00365963). Eligible breast cancer patients were women with recurrent or metastatic breast cancer. Eligible prostate cancer patients had hormone refractory or metastatic prostate cancer."

### 3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons

#### Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No important changes took place.

**3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes**

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other “unexpected events” that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We did not have important changes to the intervention during the trials.

**4a) Eligibility criteria for participants**

**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

informal caregivers were recruited to one of two randomized clinical trials of CHESS. . . Eligible breast cancer patients were women with recurrent or metastatic breast cancer. Eligible prostate cancer patients had hormone refractory or metastatic prostate cancer. Eligible lung cancer patients included those in stage IIIA, IIIB, or IV disease. Caregivers were at least 18 years of age and identified by the patient as their primary source of physical, emotional, or financial support.

**4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy**

Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit "de facto" eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Caregiver Internet comfort" was assessed at baseline and is reported in Table 1.

**4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:**

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This is a pooled analysis from two randomized trials. Details about recruitment are provided in previous publications, to which we provide references.

**4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment**

Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the

informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

#### Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This is a pooled analysis from two randomized trials. Details about recruitment are provided in previous publications, to which we provide references.

## 4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected

#### Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Recruitment sites were 5 cancer centers in the Northeastern, Midwestern, and Southwestern United States."

#### 4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires

Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

#### Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information

not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The paper reports on responses participants (caregivers) gave to weekly Check-Ins in the online intervention.

**4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed**

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention.(Not a required item – describe only if this may bias results)

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Sites used their own letterhead as appropriate. Participants who were recruited to the study were already being treated at the institutions involved.

**5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered**

**5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners**

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a "Conflict of interest" section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 5-i?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The CHES System With Reports to Clinicians  
The Comprehensive Health Enhancement Support System (CHES) refers to extensively tested information and communication technologies for coping with cancer and other serious illnesses."

**5-ii) Describe the history/development process**

Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Symptom Reporting System; the Symptom Report (SR), which delivered to the clinical team information about worrisome changes in symptoms collected from informal caregivers of advanced-stage cancer patients [24,30,31]. Specifically, the CR contacted the clinical team whenever a threshold symptom was reported—that is, when a caregiver rated at least one of 10 patient symptoms at ≥7 on a 0 to 10 severity scale. The alert was intended to quickly bring clinician attention to severe symptoms, potentially leading to timelier symptom management."

**5-iii) Revisions and updating**

Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was "frozen" during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to

indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The intervention was not changed during the trials.

**5-iv) Quality assurance methods**

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

These details are not provided in this paper, but in previous publications about the two RCTs. From the paper:

"Details about recruitment, randomization, and procedures were previously reported [24,29]."

**5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used**

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 5-v?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Data collection for the two RCTs ended in 2009. We acknowledge this limitation in the Discussion. While we do not believe this is an important limitation related to the significance of the results, specifics such as source code and screenshots would be outdated now.

**5-vi) Digital preservation**

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, [webcitation.org](http://webcitation.org), and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 5-vi?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The applications are archived at the Center for Health Enhancement Systems Studies and available from the corresponding author.

**5-vii) Access**

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained "access to the platform and Internet" [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers/readers, consider to provide a "backdoor" login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study



"All caregivers who needed a computer were mailed a laptop with Internet access and a user manual. Participants who already had a computer with Internet access were reimbursed for Internet access during the study period."

**5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework**

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1], whether [and how] it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback” [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and – if computer-mediated communication is a component – whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 5-viii? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Please see the answer to item 5-ii.

**5-ix) Describe use parameters**

Describe use parameters (e.g., intended “doses” and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Upon initial log-in and every 7 days after, caregivers were prompted when logging into CHES to complete a check-in with questions about patient symptom status from a modified Edmonton Symptom Assessment Scale (ESAS) [33]."

**5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement**

Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as "type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered". It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 5-x?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Technical support was available by telephone. CHES staff provided training on using CHES via telephone or in clinic. Those in the CHES+CR group were told that symptoms reported as "high" would trigger an email to the clinical team. Participants were not told the threshold, but when they gave a rating of ≥7, they were encouraged to call the clinic and notified on the website that the clinical team would be alerted."

**5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used**

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Please see the answer to 5-ix.

**5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)**

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as ehealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Please see the answer to 5-x.

**6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed**

**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"We examined two outcomes: (1) the proportion of improved caregiver-reported, severe ("threshold") symptoms that patients had out of all threshold symptoms and (2) the proportion of caregiver-reported threshold symptoms that patients had out of the total symptoms reported on. Specifically, the study addresses this question: Does the CHES system with the CR reduce symptom distress in patients more than CHES without the CR?"

**6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed**

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

by a modified ESAS [27] on a 0 to 10 scale, with 10 indicating the greatest symptom distress. On the basis of the feedback from oncologists, we replaced 3 physical items in the original scale [33] (activity, drowsiness, and well-being) with three common cancer symptoms (fatigue, constipation, and diarrhea). The modified ESAS contained 10 items. This analysis focuses on individual ratings for each of the 10 symptoms rather than on a single scale score calculated across symptoms."

**6a-ii) Describe whether and how "use" (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored**

Describe whether and how "use" (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

"Upon initial log-in and every 7 days after, caregivers were prompted when logging into CHEAD to complete a check-in with questions about patient symptom status from a modified Edmonton Symptom Assessment Scale (ESAS) [33]. "

**6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained**

Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

"The effects of the CR may be explained in various ways, as clinicians explained in qualitative interviews [31], which include the following:  
 1. The CR could help clinicians better prepare to address patient symptoms and caregiver concerns in clinic visits.  
 2. The CR may boost caregiver efficacy in discussing symptoms with clinicians.  
 3. The CR may deepen caregiver involvement because caregivers can monitor patient symptoms and report their concerns directly to the

**6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons**

**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No changes are reported.

**7a) How sample size was determined**

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

**7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size**

Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Details about the determination of sample size are not reported in this pooled analysis.

**7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines**

**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 7b? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable.

**8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence**

NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group

**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8a? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"After caregivers and patients completed the consent form and pretest, a random number generator at the University of Wisconsin randomly assigned dyads to CHESS-Only or CHESS+CR (1:1 ratio)."

## 8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)

**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8b? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Randomization was blocked by dyad relationship (spouse or partner vs nonspouse or partner) and race (white vs non-white)."

## 9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned

**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 9? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This detail is not described in this pooled analysis of two RCTs. "Details about recruitment, randomization, and procedures were previously reported [24,29]."

## 10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions

### Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

These details are not provided in this pooled analysis. "Details about recruitment, randomization, and procedures were previously reported [24,29]."

## 11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how

NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment

### 11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

### Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information



not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants were not blinded, as stated in the abstract.

### 11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the “intervention of interest” and which one was the “comparator”

Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the “intervention of interest” and which one was the “comparator”.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

### Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Details about recruitment, randomization, and procedures were previously reported [24,29]."

## 11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions

(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to a active medication/intervention)

### Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"In the CHES-Only group, the information reported at check-in was intended for caregivers and not sent to clinicians. In the CHES+CR group, CHES summarized the caregiver-provided information and made it available (with patient permission) to the clinical team [31]."

## 12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

### Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

and proportions of threshold symptoms were tested using the same method. The standardized statistics, P value and 95% CIs, were calculated based on methods outlined by Miettinen and Nurminen [37] and Chan and Zhang [38]. To test for potential response bias after group assignment, the proportion of patient threshold symptoms reported by caregivers at pretest was compared with the proportion at the first check-in using the multiple-sample McNemar test [39]. All tests were conducted at alpha=.05 level."

### 12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

### Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Patients and caregivers who completed fewer than two check-ins were excluded in the analysis because they supplied no data for comparison."

## 12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses

### Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

randomized groups in the number of patient threshold symptoms reported (Z=0.189, P=.85, 95% CI -0.03 to 0.04), but the difference in reporting threshold symptoms from pretest to first online check-in was statistically significant (Z=6.910, P<.001, 95% CI 6.50 to 7.29), with CHESS+CR caregivers reporting a lower proportion of threshold symptoms at first check-in than at pretest (Table 5), suggesting that CHESS+CR caregivers may have had a response bias toward lower ratings when they knew a clinician might be alerted."

## X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations [recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)

### X26-i) Comment on ethics committee approval

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

### Does your paper address subitem X26-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The trials were approved by the institutional review boards at each recruitment site."

**x26-ii) Outline informed consent procedures**

Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent documents.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Details about recruitment, randomization, and procedures were previously reported [24,29]."

**X26-iii) Safety and security procedures**

Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem X26-iii?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Details about recruitment, randomization, and procedures were previously reported [24,29]."

## RESULTS

13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome

NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center

**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See Figure 1.

13b) For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons

**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See Figure 1.

### 13b-i) Attrition diagram

Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

### Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The attrition rate in this study (33.6%, 36/107) in the CHESS-Only group and 38.1% (42/110) in the CHESS+CR group) is comparable with other clinical trials of patients with advanced cancer. A review of 18 interventional supportive and palliative oncology trials found an attrition rate of 44% at study end [32]."

## 14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up

### Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

In general: "Between September 2004 and April 2007, 235 dyads of patients with advanced-stage cancer and their primary informal caregivers were recruited to one of two randomized clinical trials of CHESS."  
For each RCT: ""Details about recruitment, randomization, and procedures were previously reported [24,29]."

**14a-i) Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period**

Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or “changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources”

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No secular events are reported.

**14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)****Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable.

**15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group**

NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See Table 1.

**15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues**

In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 15-i? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See Table 1.

**16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups**

**16-i) Report multiple "denominators" and provide definitions**

Report multiple "denominators" and provide definitions: Report N's (and effect sizes) "across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds" [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants "used" the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define "use" of the intervention.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential



**Does your paper address subitem 16-i? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Reported in Tables 2, 3, and 4.

**16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat**

Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only "users", with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 16-ii?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The RCTs were intention to treat.

**17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)**

**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See Tables 3, 4, and 5.

**17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use**

In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as "average session length". These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a "session" is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

in the 12 month period, the proportion of patients symptoms reported by caregivers at least twice did not differ by randomization group (CHESS-Only, 71/107, 66.4% vs CHESS+CR, 68/110, 61.8%, standardized difference Z=0.696, P=.49, 95% CI -.082 to .171). We also examined data about participant use of the system to see whether different participants used the system differently (ie, by gender, race, age, living situation, education, employment status, or income) and found no statistically significant difference."

**17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended**

**Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable.

## 18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory

### Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

randomized groups in the number of patient threshold symptoms reported (Z=0.189, P=.85, 95% CI -0.03 to 0.04), but the difference in reporting threshold symptoms from pretest to first online check-in was statistically significant (Z=6.910, P<.001, 95% CI 6.50 to 7.29), with CHESS+CR caregivers reporting a lower proportion of threshold symptoms at first check-in than at pretest (Table 5), suggesting that CHESS+CR caregivers may have had a response bias toward lower ratings when they knew a clinician might be alerted."

### 18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users

A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

### Does your paper address subitem 18-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

women are reporters overall versus 10.1% (10/120) of men;  $\chi^2_1$  (N=266)=5.2, P=.02. In the CHESS+CR group, 64.4% (75/117) of women versus 45.5% (53/117) of men were reporters,  $\chi^2_1$  (N=117)=4.02, P=.045; no significant differences were found in the CHESS-Only group. We also looked at 35 outcomes, such as caregiving burden and patient quality of life at each survey time frame, and did not find a consistent difference between caregivers who reported symptoms and those who did not.

## 19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group

(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)

### Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Caregivers in the CHESS+CR group reported a lower proportion of threshold symptoms at each 2-month period (Table 3). It may be that they felt they might be bothering the doctor or that word would get back to the patient that the caregiver thought the patient was doing poorly."

### 19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical "harm" to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. "Unintended effects" also includes unintended positive effects [2].

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

### Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

None reported.

**19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers**

Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Please see the response to 6a-iii.

**DISCUSSION**

**22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence**

NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group

**22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use)**

Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 22-i? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Research question: "Does the CHES system with the CR reduce symptom distress in patients more than CHES without the CR?"  
 Answer: "Our results show that for symptoms causing severe (≥7 on a 0 to 10 scale) distress, patients whose caregivers had access to CHES+CR, and therefore had an alert sent to their clinicians, had a greater proportion of symptom improvements than those with CHES-Only, whose clinicians did not receive alerts or have access to ratings."

**22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research**

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The overall assessed symptom rate in the CHES+CR group dropped significantly more than in the CHES-Only group. A response bias may have occurred if caregivers avoided using the check-in or rated symptoms lower because they feared bothering the clinician [41] or upsetting the patient.  
 The lower overall assessed symptom rate in the CHES+CR group may also be explained by clinician response."

## 20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses

**20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials**

Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 20-i? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

with control group patients as a result of changes they made with the intervention group. . . .  
 Furthermore, all outcome variables were self-reported. . . .  
 a distinct weakness of the paper is the lack of qualitative evidence from caregivers and patients. . . .  
 Although the study suggests the potential of CR-like systems to enhance patient care and speed recovery from distressing symptoms, further research with different patient populations would help validate and improve the generalizability of these findings."

## 21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings

NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial

**21-i) Generalizability to other populations**

Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 21-i?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Although the study suggests the potential of CR-like systems to enhance patient care and speed recovery from distressing symptoms, further research with different patient populations would help validate and improve the generalizability of these findings."

**21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application**

**setting**

Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

**Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"In addition, widespread use of such a system poses important challenges—cost, risk aversion, clinician time, and interoperability with the electronic health record (EHR)."

**OTHER INFORMATION****23) Registration number and name of trial registry****Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? \***

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Trial Registration: Clinicaltrials.gov NCT00214162; <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00214162> (Archived by WebCite at <http://www.webcitation.org/6nmgdGfuD>) and Clinicaltrials.gov NCT00365963; <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00365963> (Archived by WebCite at <http://www.webcitation.org/6nmh0U8VP>)"

**24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available****Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? \***

Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript



(include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Clinicaltrials.gov NCT00214162;  
 https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00214162 (Archived by WebCite at  
 http://www.webcitation.org/6nmgdGfuD) and Clinicaltrials.gov  
 NCT00365963; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00365963 (Archived  
 by WebCite at http://www.webcitation.org/6nmh0U8VP)"

## 25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders

### Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? \*

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Acknowledgments  
 This research was funded by two National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants (from the National Cancer Institute [1 P50 CA095817-01A1] and the National Institute of Nursing Research [RO1 NR008260-01]) and one Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality grant (5P50HS019917-04). The funders had no role in any aspect of the development, conduct, analysis, or reporting of the study."

## X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)

### X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated

In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important      essential

### Does your paper address subitem X27-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

None declared.

## About the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist

**As a result of using this checklist, did you make changes in your manuscript? \***

- yes, major changes  
 yes, minor changes  
 no

**What were the most important changes you made as a result of using this checklist?**

**How much time did you spend on going through the checklist INCLUDING making changes in your manuscript? \***

4 hours without making changes in the manuscript

**As a result of using this checklist, do you think your manuscript has improved? \***

- yes  
 no

Other:

**Would you like to become involved in the CONSORT EHEALTH group?**

This would involve for example becoming involved in participating in a workshop and writing an "Explanation and Elaboration" document

yes

no

Other:

#### Any other comments or questions on CONSORT EHEALTH

## STOP - Save this form as PDF before you click submit

To generate a record that you filled in this form, we recommend to generate a PDF of this page (on a Mac, simply select "print" and then select "print as PDF") before you submit it.

When you submit your (revised) paper to JMIR, please upload the PDF as supplementary file.

Don't worry if some text in the textboxes is cut off, as we still have the complete information in our database. Thank you!

## Final step: Click submit !

Click submit so we have your answers in our database!

*Never submit passwords through Google Forms.*

Powered by

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

[Report Abuse](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Additional Terms](#)