



Supplementary Figure 2: Pooled estimate of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication when omitting He AZ et al study on basis of Supplementary Figure 1.

Supplementary Table 1: Conventional meta-analysis based on random effects model for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication of probiotic regimens supplemented 14-day triple therapy compared with placebo

| Probiotics VS. Placebo | Number of Studies | Number of Patients | RR (95% CI) | Heterogeneity | |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| | | | | P Value | I ² (%) |
| Total | 16 | 1822 | 1.16 (1.07–1.26) | 0.00 | 62.7 |
| | 15 [#] | 1662 | 1.19 (1.13–1.25) | 0.71 | 0 |
| <i>Bifidobacterium animalis, Lactobacillus acidophilus and Lactobacillus casei</i> | 1 | 61 | 0.93 (0.63–1.38) | - | - |
| <i>Bacillus mesentericus, Clostridium butyricum and Streptococcus faecalis</i> | 1 | 182 | 1.33 (1.11–1.60) | - | - |
| <i>Bifidobacterium bifidum, Bifidobacterium infantis, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus bulgaricus, Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus reuteri and Streptococcus</i> | 1 | 50 | 1.09 (0.95–1.24) | - | - |
| <i>Bifidobacterium infantis and Clostridium butyricum</i> | 1 | 144 | 1.47 (1.10–1.96) | - | - |
| <i>Bifidobacterium longum, Enterococcus faecalis and Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> | 1 | 186 | 1.20 (1.02–1.40) | - | - |
| <i>Bifidobacterium longum, Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus</i> | 4 | 432 | 1.08 (0.85–1.36) | 0.00 | 84.6 |
| | 3 [#] | 272 | 1.20 (1.07–1.34) | 1.00 | 0 |
| <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> | 2 | 243 | 1.24 (1.08–1.42) | 0.55 | 0 |
| <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus and Bifidobacterium bifidum</i> | 1 | 100 | 1.29 (0.96–1.73) | - | - |
| <i>Saccharomyces boulardii</i> | 4 | 424 | 1.15 (1.04–1.26) | 0.68 | 0 |

CI: confidence interval; RR: relative ratio; # indicated that meta-analysis and sensitivity analysis was performed by omitting He AZ (2014).

Supplementary Table 2: Conventional meta-analysis based on random effects model for the safety of probiotic regimens supplemented 14-day triple therapy compared with placebo

| Probiotics VS. Placebo | Number of Studies | Number of Patients | RR (95% CI) | Heterogeneity | |
|--|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| | | | | P Value | I ² (%) |
| Total side effects | 12 | 1619 | 0.40 (0.34–0.48) | 0.87 | 0 |
| <i>Bacillus mesentericus, Clostridium butyricum and Streptococcus faecalis</i> | 1 | 182 | 0.31 (0.22–0.45) | - | - |
| <i>Bifidobacterium infantis and Clostridium butyricum</i> | 1 | 144 | 0.67 (0.32–1.38) | - | - |
| <i>Bifidobacterium longum, Enterococcus faecalis and Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> | 1 | 286 | 0.38 (0.18–0.76) | - | - |
| <i>Bifidobacterium longum, Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus</i> | 3 | 278 | 0.46 (0.35–0.59) | 0.93 | 0 |
| <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> | 2 | 243 | 0.33 (0.33–0.62) | 0.49 | 0 |
| <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus and Bifidobacterium bifidum</i> | 1 | 100 | 0.47 (0.18–1.26) | - | - |
| <i>Lactobacillus delbrueckii, Lactobacillus acidophilus and Lactococcus lactis</i> | 1 | 110 | 0.28 (0.06–1.32) | - | - |
| <i>Saccharomyces boulardii</i> | 2 | 276 | 0.41 (0.24–0.68) | 0.89 | 0 |

CI: confidence interval; RR: relative ratio.

