

Additional File 1: Properties of the log-normal distribution, derivation of the variance of the log-Exponential distribution and simulation study R code

Aidan G. O’Keeffe*, Gareth Ambler, and Julie A. Barber

*Department of Statistical Science, University College London, WC1E 6BT,
UK*

Relationship between the median of a log-normal random variable and the mean of its log-transform

Let

$$T_{ij} \sim \text{log}\mathcal{N}(\mu_j, \sigma_j^2).$$

Defining m_j as the median of the probability distribution of T_{ij} , m_j is the value such that

$$\mathbb{P}(T_{ij} \leq m_j) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since the natural logarithm is a monotonic increasing function of T_{ij} , it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(\log(T_{ij}) \leq \log(m_j)) = \frac{1}{2}$$

Recalling that $\log(T_{ij}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_j, \sigma_j^2)$, then

$$Z_{ij} = \frac{\log(T_{ij}) - \mu_j}{\sigma_j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

*E-mail address: a.o’keeffe@ucl.ac.uk; Corresponding author

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\log(T_{ij}) \leq \log(m_j)) &= \frac{1}{2}; \\ \implies \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\log(T_{ij}) - \mu_j}{\sigma_j} \leq \frac{\log(m_j) - \mu_j}{\sigma_j}\right) &= \frac{1}{2}; \\ \implies \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{ij} \leq \frac{\log(m_j) - \mu_j}{\sigma_j}\right) &= \frac{1}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\log(m_j) - \mu_j}{\sigma_j} = \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0$$

where $\Phi^{-1}()$ denotes the inverse cumulative density function of a standard $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random variable. As a result

$$\begin{aligned}\log(m_j) - \mu_j &= 0 \\ \implies m_j &= \exp(\mu_j), \text{ as required.}\end{aligned}$$

Derivation of variance of log-transformed outcome

Let

$$T_{ij} \sim \log\mathcal{N}(\mu_j, \sigma_j^2).$$

Then

$$\text{Var}(T_{ij}) = (\exp(\sigma_j^2) - 1) \exp(2\mu_j + \sigma_j^2) = \phi_j^2.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exp(\sigma_j^2) - 1) \exp(\sigma_j^2) \exp(2\mu_j) = \phi_j^2 \\
& \exp(2\mu_j) \exp(2\sigma_j^2) - \exp(2\mu_j) \exp(\sigma_j^2) - \phi_j^2 = 0 \\
& \exp(2\sigma_j^2) - \exp(\sigma_j^2) - \frac{\phi_j^2}{\exp(2\mu_j)} = 0 \\
& \left(\exp(\sigma_j^2) - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\phi_j^2}{\exp(2\mu_j)} = 0 \\
& \left(\exp(\sigma_j^2) - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\phi_j^2}{\exp(2\mu_j)} \\
& \exp(\sigma_j^2) - \frac{1}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\phi_j^2}{\exp(2\mu_j)}} \\
& \exp(\sigma_j^2) = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\phi_j^2}{\exp(2\mu_j)}} \\
& \sigma_j^2 = \log \left[\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\phi_j^2}{\exp(2\mu_j)}} \right] \text{ as required.}
\end{aligned}$$

Derivation of Variance of Logarithm of an Exponential Random Variable

Let $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$, then

$$f_X(x; \lambda) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda x) \quad (x > 0)$$

Consider $\mathbb{E}(\log(X))$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(\log(X)) &= \int_0^\infty \log(x) \lambda \exp(-\lambda x) dx \\
&= \int_0^\infty [\log(y) - \log(\lambda)] \exp(-y) dy \quad (\text{using the substitution } y = \lambda x) \\
&= \gamma - \log(\lambda)
\end{aligned}$$

where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant

$$\gamma = \int_0^{\infty} \log(y) \exp(-y) dy.$$

The variance of $\log(X)$ is given by

$$\text{Var}(\log(X)) = \mathbb{E}[(\log(X))^2] - \mathbb{E}(\log(X))^2 \quad (1)$$

Consider $\mathbb{E}[(\log(X))^2]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(\log(X))^2] &= \int_0^{\infty} (\log(x))^2 \lambda \exp(-\lambda x) dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} (\log(y) - \log(\lambda))^2 e^{-y} dy \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} (\log(y))^2 e^{-y} dy - 2 \log(\lambda) \int_0^{\infty} \log(y) e^{-y} dy + (\log(\lambda))^2 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y} dy \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \gamma^2 - 2[\gamma - \log(\lambda)] + (\log(\lambda))^2 \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{6} + (\log(\lambda) - \gamma)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and substitution of the above into (1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\log(X)) &= \frac{\pi^2}{6} + (\log(\lambda) - \gamma)^2 - (\gamma - \log(\lambda))^2 \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{6} \text{ as required.} \end{aligned}$$

R Code for the Simulation Study Presented in Table 1

The function ‘`logn_sim_study`’ simulates datasets of a pre-specified size (n) drawn from log-normal distributions with pre-specified medians m_1 , m_2 and standard deviations ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 for groups 1 and 2. Simulated data for the groups are then compared using: (1) a two-sample t-test on log-transformed outcomes, (2) A Mann-Whitney U test on untransformed outcomes, (3) A two-sample t-test on untransformed outcomes. This code is repeated 100000 times, for each scenario, for the simulation study presented in Table 1 of the paper.

```
#Argument ‘pars’ is a 5x1 vector of parameters where
#pars[1] = Pre-specified median for group 1
```

```

#pars[2] = Pre-specified median for group 2
#pars[3] = Pre-specified standard deviation (untransformed) for group 1
#pars[4] = Pre-specified standard deviation (untransformed) for group 2
#pars[5] = n = Analytical sample size (calculated using Equation (4) from the paper).

logn_sim_study<-function(pars){
  median1<-pars[1]
  median2<-pars[2]
  phi1<-pars[3]
  phi2<-pars[4]
  n<-pars[5]

#Recover log-scale means using the pre-specified medians
  mu1<-log(median1)
  mu2<-log(median2)

#Recover standard deviations on the log-scale using the pre-specified medians,
#untransformed variances and the Equation (2) from the paper.
  sigma1<-sqrt(log(1/2+sqrt(1/4+((phi1^2)/(median1^2))))))
  sigma2<-sqrt(log(1/2+sqrt(1/4+((phi2^2)/(median2^2))))))

#Simulate n values from log-normal distributions for each of groups 1 and 2.
#For group 1: log-scale mean = mu1, standard deviation of
#log-transformed outcomes = sigma1;
#For group 2: log-scale mean = mu2, standard deviation of
#log-transformed outcomes = sigma2.

  y1<-rlnorm(n,meanlog=mu1,sdlog=sigma1)
  y2<-rlnorm(n,meanlog=mu2,sdlog=sigma2)

#Perform a two-sample t-test on log-transformed outcomes.
  log_ttest<-t.test(log(y1),log(y2),var.equal=TRUE)

#Extract the P-value from the two-sample t-test on log-transformed outcomes.
  logn_pval<-log_ttest$p.value

```

```

#Create a binary variable that equals 1 if the null hypothesis is rejected
#and 0 otherwise.
  logn_reject<-ifelse(logn_pval<0.05,1,0)

#Perform a Mann-Whitney U test on untransformed outcomes.
  mw_test<-wilcox.test(y1,y2,alternative="two.sided")

#Extract the P-value from the Mann-Whitney U test on untransformed outcomes.
  mw_pval<-mw_test$p.value

#Create a binary variable that equals 1 if the null hypothesis is rejected
#and 0 otherwise.
  mw_reject<-ifelse(mw_pval<0.05,1,0)

#Perform a two-sample t-test on untransformed outcomes.
  ttest<-t.test(y1,y2,var.equal=TRUE)

#Extract the P-value from the two-sample t-test on log-transformed outcomes.
  t_pval<-ttest$p.value

#Create a binary variable that equals 1 if the null hypothesis is rejected
#and 0 otherwise.
  t_reject<-ifelse(t_pval<0.05,1,0)

#Produce a table of results and output this.
  results<-data.frame(logn_pval,logn_reject,mw_pval,mw_reject,t_pval,t_reject)
  names(results)<-c("log_N_P_Value", "log_N_Reject", "MW_P_Value", "MW_Reject",
                  "t_test_P_Value", "t_test_Reject")
  return(results)
}

```

R Code for the Simulation Studies Presented in Tables 2 and 3

The function ‘exponential_sim_study’ simulates datasets of a pre-specified size (n) drawn from Exponential distributions with pre-specified rates $\lambda_1 = \log(2)/m_1$, $\lambda_2 = \log(2)/m_2$ for groups 1 and 2 respectively. Simulated data for the groups are then compared using: (1) a two-sample t-test on log-transformed outcomes, (2) A Mann-Whitney U test on untransformed outcomes, (3) A two-sample t-test on untransformed outcomes. This code is repeated 100000 times, for each senario, for the simulation study presented in Tables 2 and 3 of the paper.

```
#Argument ‘pars’ is a 3x1 vector of parameters where
#pars[1] = Pre-specified median for group 1
#pars[2] = Pre-specified median for group 2
#pars[3] = n = Analytical sample size (calculated using Equation (4) from
#the paper for simulation study in Table 2, calculated using Equation (5)
#from the paper for simulation study in Table 3).

exponential_sim_study<-function(pars){

  median1<-pars[1]
  median2<-pars[2]
  n<-pars[3]

  #Compute the rates ‘lambda1’ for group 1 and ‘lambda2’ for group 2.
  lambda1<-log(2)/median1
  lambda2<-log(2)/median2

  #Simulate n values from Exponential distributions for each of groups 1 and 2.
  #y1 = simulated values for group 1;
  #y2 = simualted values for group 2.

  y1<-rexp(n,rate=lambda1)
  y2<-rexp(n,rate=lambda2)

  #Take log-transforms of simulated outcomes for groups 1 and 2, denoting
```

```

#these 'logy1' and 'logy2' respectively.
logy1<-log(y1)
logy2<-log(y2)

#Perform a two-sample t-test on log-transformed outcomes.
log_ttest<-t.test(log(y1),log(y2),var.equal=TRUE)

#Extract the P-value from the two-sample t-test on log-transformed outcomes.
logn_pval<-log_ttest$p.value

#Create a binary variable that equals 1 if the null hypothesis is rejected
#and 0 otherwise.
logn_reject<-ifelse(logn_pval<0.05,1,0)

#Perform a Mann-Whitney U test on untransformed outcomes.
mw_test<-wilcox.test(y1,y2,alternative="two.sided")

#Extract the P-value from the Mann-Whitney U test on untransformed outcomes.
mw_pval<-mw_test$p.value

#Create a binary variable that equals 1 if the null hypothesis is rejected
#and 0 otherwise.
mw_reject<-ifelse(mw_pval<0.05,1,0)

#Perform a two-sample t-test on untransformed outcomes.
ttest<-t.test(y1,y2,var.equal=TRUE)

#Extract the P-value from the two-sample t-test on log-transformed outcomes.
t_pval<-ttest$p.value

#Create a binary variable that equals 1 if the null hypothesis is rejected
#and 0 otherwise.
t_reject<-ifelse(t_pval<0.05,1,0)

#Produce a table of results and output this.

```

```
results<-data.frame(logn_pval,logn_reject,mw_pval,mw_reject,t_pval,t_reject)
names(results)<-c("log_N_P_Value","log_N_Reject","MW_P_Value","MW_Reject",
                 "t_test_P_Value","t_test_Reject")
return(results)
}
```