Supplementary Files

Supplementary File 1: Quantitative fidelity checklists used

INTERVENTION SESSION CHECKLIST (OBSERVATION/AUDIO-RECORDING):

Cover sheet (completed for each session)

| Date: | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Venue: | |
| Physiotherapist Name: | |
| Other staff involved: | Name(s): |
| | Role: (e.g. set-up/delivery/support) |
| Session number (tick): | 1 2 3 4 5 6 |
| Start time (class): | |
| Finish time (class): | |
| Time spent on education (mins): | |
| Time spent on exercise (mins): | |
| Method of Observation: | In-vivo/Audio-recording |

| Adverse event(s)/issue(s) (circle): | If yes give brief details: |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| Y/N | |
| 1,11 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Deviations from | Vac/Na |
| protocol/proscribed components | Yes/No |
| delivered? | Details: |
| delivered? | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| General notes on fidelity of | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----------|-----|
| session: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| INITEDVENITION COMPONE | TAIT OUT OWN ICT | YES | NO | ATTEMPTED | N/A |
| INTERVENTION COMPONE | INT CHECKLIST: | | | (1) | N/A |
| | | (2) | (0) | | |
| Session 1: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Make dela | | | | | |
| Materials | | | | | |
| | ervention folder given to participants | | | | |
| Inte | | | | | |
| Inte | ervention folder given to participants folder actively facilitated throughout session | | | | |
| Use of participant intervention | folder actively facilitated throughout session | | | | |
| Use of participant intervention | folder actively facilitated throughout session stickers/badges given to participants | | | | |
| Use of participant intervention | folder actively facilitated throughout session | | | | |
| Use of participant intervention | folder actively facilitated throughout session stickers/badges given to participants | | | | |

| Introduction | | |
|---|--|--|
| Introductions/welcome made | | |
| Set clear expectations - aims, content and structure of programme addressed | | |
| Rationale for weekly attendance provided | | |
| Education | | |
| Rationale for self-management provided | | |
| Posture addressed | | |
| Cycle of change addressed | | |
| Disease characteristics, prevalence and causes of OA/CLBP addressed | | |
| Recommended activity levels/benefits of exercise addressed | | |
| Individual reflection on activity/recommendations facilitated | | |
| Goal setting introduced | | |
| Action planning introduced | | |
| Attention drawn to Action Plan sheets within intervention folder, encouraged use | | |
| Attention drawn to Activity Diaries within intervention folder, encouraged use | | |

| Participants given a chance/encouraged to contribute to discussion | | | |
|--|--------------|--|--|
| Exercise | | | |
| Room set up for exercise (equipment, sheets) | | | |
| Protocol exercises demonstrated | | | |
| Attention drawn to Exercise Programme Diary within intervention | | | |
| folder, encouraged use | | | |
| Rationale for exercises provided | | | |
| Participants given a chance to attempt and practice protocol exercises | | | |
| Individual feedback provided | | | |
| Review and Planning | | | |
| Session review - activity levels and goal setting recap | | | |
| Proscribed components delivered? | <u></u> (-2) | | |
| Total score (Yes = 2, Attempted = 1, No =0) | | | |
| Overall Adherence score | | | |
| | | | |
| Session 2: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| INTERVENTION COMPONENT CHECKLIST: | YES | NO | ATTEMPTED | N/A |
|--|-----|-----|-----------|------|
| INTERVENTION CONPONENT CHECKLIST: | 123 | " | | 14/6 |
| | (2) | (0) | (1) | |
| | , , | , , | | |
| Materials | | | | |
| Use of participant intervention folder actively facilitated throughout | | | | |
| session | | | | |
| Name stickers/badges given to participants | | | | |
| Pens offered/provided | | | | |
| Pedometers offered | | | | |
| Powerpoint slides used | | | | |
| Recap and Review | | | | |
| Welcome back made | | | | |
| Review of previous week activities/action plans | | | | |
| Education | | | | |
| Set clear expectations – content of session outlined | | | | |
| Activity-rest cycle and pacing explained | | | | |
| Individual reflection on current pacing/activity-rest facilitated | | | | |
| Factors influencing pain addressed | | | | |
| Goal setting facilitated | | | | |
| Action planning facilitated | | | | |
| Action Plan sheets use encouraged/facilitated | | | | |

| Attention drawn to Walking/Activity Diary within intervention folder, encouraged use | | | | |
|--|--------------|----|---------------|-----|
| Participants given a chance/encouraged to contribute to discussion | | | | |
| Exercise | | | | |
| Room set up for exercise (equipment, sheets) | | | | |
| Protocol exercises demonstrated | | | | |
| Exercise Programme Diary use encouraged | | | | |
| Rationale for exercises provided | | | | |
| Participants given a chance to attempt and practice protocol exercises | | | | |
| Individual feedback provided | | | | |
| Session Planning and Review | | | | |
| Session review - goal setting and action planning recap | | | | |
| Proscribed components delivered? | <u></u> (-2) | | | |
| Total score (Yes = 2, Attempted = 1, No =0) | | | | |
| Overall Adherence score | | | | |
| Session 3: | | | | |
| INTERVENTION COMPONENT CHECKLIST: | YES | NO | ATTEMPTED (1) | N/A |

| | (2) | (0) | | |
|---|-----|-----|---|--|
| Materials | 1 | l | 1 | |
| Use of participant intervention folder actively facilitated throughout | | | | |
| session | | | | |
| Tape measures offered | | | | |
| Pens offered/provided | | | | |
| Powerpoint slides used | | | | |
| Recap and Review | | | | |
| Previous week Activity Action Plan reviewed | | | | |
| Problem-solving of previous week Activity Action Plan | | | | |
| Action Plan sheets use encouraged/facilitated | | | | |
| Education | | | | |
| Set clear expectations – content of session outlined | | | | |
| Obesity and effect on pain addressed | | | | |
| Balance between weight/activity addressed | | | | |
| Individual reflection on weight/activity balance facilitated | | | | |
| Skills for maintaining healthy weight addressed (e.g. waist | | | | |
| measurement, food diary) | | | | |
| Attention drawn to Healthy Eating Booklet within intervention folder, | | | | |
| encouraged use | | | | |
| Attention drawn to Walking/ Activity Diary within intervention folder, | | | | |
| encouraged use | | | | |
| Attention drawn to Food & Exercise Diary within intervention folder, | | | | |
| encouraged use | | | | |

| Participants given a chance/encouraged to contribute to discussion | | | | |
|--|--------------|----|---------------|-----|
| Exercise | | | | |
| Room set up for exercise (equipment, sheets) | | | | |
| Protocol exercises demonstrated | | | | |
| Exercise Programme Diary use encouraged | | | | |
| Rationale for exercises provided | | | | |
| Participants given a chance to attempt and practice protocol exercises | | | | |
| Individual feedback provided | | | | |
| Session Planning and Review | | | | |
| Session review - goal setting and action planning recap integrating food | | | | |
| and exercise diary | | | | |
| Proscribed components delivered? | <u></u> (-2) | | | |
| Total score (Yes = 2, Attempted = 1, No =0) | | | | |
| Overall Adherence score | | | | |
| Session 4: | | | | |
| INTERVENTION COMPONENT CHECKLIST: | YES | NO | ATTEMPTED (1) | N/A |

| | (2) | (0) | |
|--|-----|-----|--|
| Materials | • | | |
| Use of participant intervention folder actively facilitated throughout | | | |
| session | | | |
| Pens offered/provided | | | |
| Powerpoint slides used | | | |
| Midway Recap and Review | | | |
| Previous week Activity Action Plan reviewed | | | |
| Problem-solving of previous week Activity Action Plan | | | |
| Action Plan sheets use encouraged/facilitated | | | |
| Walking/ Activity Diary use encouraged | | | |
| Education | | | |
| Set clear expectations – content of session outlined | | | |
| Rationale for using pain relief given (e.g. pain pathway explained) | | | |
| Methods of pain relief addressed (e.g. medication, heat/ice, TENS/acupuncture) | | | |
| Individual reflection on use of pain relief facilitated | | | |
| Participants given a chance/encouraged to contribute to discussion | | | |
| Exercise | | | |
| Room set up for exercise (equipment, sheets) | | | |
| Protocol exercises demonstrated | | | |
| Exercise Programme Diary use encouraged | | | |

| Rationale for exercises provided | | | | |
|--|---------|-----------|---------------|-----|
| | | | | |
| Participants given a chance to attempt and practice protocol exercises | | | | |
| Individual feedback provided | | | | |
| Session Planning and Review | | | | |
| Session review - goal setting and action planning recap | | | | |
| Proscribed components delivered? | [-2) | | | |
| Total score (Yes = 2, Attempted = 1, No =0) | | | | |
| Overall Adherence score | | | | |
| Session 5: | | | | |
| INTERVENITION CONTROL CUECULICT. | VFS | NO | ATTEMPTED | N/A |
| INTERVENTION COMPONENT CHECKLIST: | YES | NO | ATTEMPTED (1) | N/A |
| INTERVENTION COMPONENT CHECKLIST: | YES (2) | NO (0) | ATTEMPTED (1) | N/A |
| INTERVENTION COMPONENT CHECKLIST: Materials | | | | N/A |
| | | | | N/A |
| Materials Use of participant intervention folder actively facilitated throughout session | | | | N/A |
| Materials Use of participant intervention folder actively facilitated throughout | | | | N/A |
| Materials Use of participant intervention folder actively facilitated throughout session Pens offered/provided | | | | N/A |

| Previous week Activity Action Plan reviewed | | | |
|--|------|--|--|
| Problem-solving of previous week Activity Action Plan | | | |
| Action Plan sheets use encouraged/facilitated | | | |
| Walking/ Activity Diary use encouraged | | | |
| Education | | | |
| Set clear expectations – content of session outlined | | | |
| Recognising and managing flare-ups addressed | | | |
| Individual reflection about flare-ups facilitated | | | |
| Effect of mood on pain addressed | | | |
| Participants given a chance/encouraged to contribute to discussion | | | |
| Exercise | | | |
| Room set up for exercise (equipment, sheets) | | | |
| Protocol exercises demonstrated | | | |
| Exercise Programme Diary use encouraged | | | |
| Rationale for exercises provided | | | |
| Participants given a chance to attempt and practice protocol exercises | | | |
| Individual feedback provided | | | |
| Relaxation Session | | | |
| Relaxation techniques explained and practiced | | | |
| Session Planning and Review | | | |

| Session review - goal setting and action planning recap with integration | | | | |
|--|--------------|-----|-----------|-----|
| of relaxation techniques | | | | |
| Proscribed components delivered? | <u></u> (-2) | | | |
| Total score (Yes = 2, Attempted = 1, No =0) | | | | |
| Overall Adherence score | | | | |
| Session 6: | | | | |
| INTERVENTION COMPONENT CHECKLIST: | YES | NO | ATTEMPTED | N/A |
| THE TEXT OF COMM STREET CHECKEST | | | (1) | |
| | (2) | (0) | | |
| Materials | | | | |
| Use of participant intervention folder actively facilitated throughout | | | | |
| session | | | | |
| Pens offered/provided | | | | |
| Handouts on local resources and supports provided | | | | |
| Powerpoint slides used | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Recap and Review | | | _ | |
| Recap and Review Previous week Activity Action Plan reviewed | | | | |
| • | | | | |
| Previous week Activity Action Plan reviewed | | | | |
| Previous week Activity Action Plan reviewed Problem-solving of previous week Activity Action Plan | | | | |

| Set clear expectations – content of session outlined | | | |
|--|--------------|--|--|
| Core skills of programme reviewed | | | |
| Aims of long-term self-management addressed | | | |
| Local resources and supports discussed | | | |
| Participants given a chance/encouraged to contribute to discussion | | | |
| Exercise | | | |
| Room set up for exercise (equipment, sheets) | | | |
| Protocol exercises demonstrated | | | |
| Exercise Programme Diary use encouraged | | | |
| Rationale for exercises provided | | | |
| Participants given a chance to attempt and practice protocol exercises | | | |
| Individual feedback provided | | | |
| Session Planning and Review | | | |
| Session review – long term goal setting and action planning recap | | | |
| Activity diaries use recorded (if willing) | | | |
| Proscribed components delivered? | <u></u> (-2) | | |
| Total score (Yes = 2, Attempted = 1, No =0) | | | |
| Overall Adherence score | | | |

| Yes = Fully addressed by the | Physiotherar | nist – coul | ld not a | do more | | | | | |
|---|--------------|-------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Yes = Fully addressed by the Physiotherapist – could not do more Attempted = Reasonable attempt made to address this – could do more | | | | | | | | | |
| • | · | o addiess | 5 (1115 – | could do more | | | | | |
| No = No attempt made to ad | aress this | | | | | | | | |
| INTERVENTION SESSI | ON CHEC | CKLIST (| SELF | -REPORT) | | | | | |
| Self-report checklists | covered | similaı | r com | ponents to observation and audio as provided above - different cover | | | | | |
| sheet (completed for | each ses | sion) p | rovio | ded below | | | | | |
| PCCC Site: | Date: | Class: ci | rcle | Physiotherapist Name: | | | | | |
| | | 1 2 3 4 | 5 6 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| PERSONNEL | | | | | | | | | |
| PERSONNEL | | | | | | | | | |
| Other staff involved in | Yes 🗌 🗈 | No 🗌 | Name | es/Staff Grade: | | | | | |
| | Yes r | No 🗌 | Name | es/Staff Grade: | | | | | |
| Other staff involved in | Yes 1 | No 🗌 | Name | es/Staff Grade: | | | | | |
| Other staff involved in | | No | | es/Staff Grade: | | | | | |
| DEDCOMME | | | | | | | | | |

| ATTENDANCE | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|-----------------------|
| | Present | | Absent | |
| Number of Clients: | | | | |
| Names of non-attenders: | Reasons for no | n-attendance | | |
| 1. | | | | |
| 2. | | | | |
| 3. | | | | |
| 4. | | | | |
| CLASS PREPARATION | | | | |
| Time to review materials | Time to set | up class | Tiı | me to take down class |
| [mins]: | [mins]: | | [m | nins]: |
| | | | | |
| Start Time: | End Time: | | Co | omments: |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| CLASS DELIVERY | | | I | |
| | Education | | | Exercise |
| | 1 | | | · |

| Time to deliver [mins]: | | |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Comments: | | |
| Comments. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Deviations from protocol: | Yes No No | Yes No No |
| Content/time | | |
| Content/time | | |
| If 'yes' give details and | | |
| reason[s] | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | - any unforeseen event/issue should be repo | |
| During class: Yes No No | After class: Yes No No | Reported to Deirdre Yes No No |
| | | |
| | | |

When patient is discharged please give this completed form to UCD Research Physiotherapist or scan and email to physiostudy@ucd.ie

| Supplementary File 2 | Supplementary File 2: Physiotherapist baseline characteristics | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|-------|-------|-------|--------|----------|-------|-------|----------|----------|--|--|
| | | Wa | ave 1 | | Wave 2 | | | | | | | |
| Physiotherapy Site | A | В | С | D | A | В | С | Е | F | G | | |
| Physiotherapist | A1 | B1 | C1 | D1 | A1 | B2 | C2 | E1 | F1 | G1 | | |
| Gender | F | F | F | M | F | F | F | F | F | F | | |
| Experience | 5 | 25 | 8 | 12 | 5 | 25 | 10 | 6 | 19 | 11 | | |
| (years qualified) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Group experience | 1 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 7 | | |
| (years delivering | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| group | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| physiotherapy) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post-training | 74% | 81.5% | 90.5% | 83.4% | 74% | 88.5% | 81.8% | 81.2% | 78.1% | 94.4% | | |
| evaluation score (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Previous training in | N | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | | |
| similar interventions | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Y/N) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F= female, M=male, Y | yes, N= | no | I | 1 | | <u> </u> | I | 1 | <u>I</u> | <u>I</u> | | |

Supplementary File 3: Physiotherapist interviews topic guide

SOLAS Programme Semi-Structured Interviews with Physiotherapists

Interview Schedule

Ice-breaker – describe your experience in running group-based programmes for these or other populations before this study. How many weeks of the SOLAS programme did you deliver?

Therapist Views on Experience of Delivering the Programme

- What are your overall impressions of the programme having delivered it for first/second time?
 - Content overall and week by week –education and exercise components time for each- managing group dynamics – mixed ability/diagnosis and ages of clients
- What aspects did you find easy/challenging to deliver?
 [content/communication/behaviour change/goal setting/action planning these were emphasised in training]
- Views on feasibility of class size of up to 8 clients with one physiotherapist to deliver?
 [none delivered a class of this size their views on running small numbers v the target of
 8]
- How well do you feel you delivered the programme as intended from the training received?
 - Following the slide content/script was it difficult/did you want to edit which parts?
 - Content/needs supportive delivery style/ behaviour change techniques
 - Views on giving advice/setting goals with patients and following through and being needs supportive (using SDT) e.g. using non-controlling language, enabling patient input and choice; providing positive and personalised feedback to patients?
 - o Difficult/constrained by research?
- For the aspects not delivered as planned from training give reasons
 - prompt on potential barriers to delivery [the availiability of resources (e.g. staffing, suitable venue, administrative staff, time constraints); appropriate patient selection and screening, patient uptake and engagement with programme and the potential need to individualise treatment within group]
- How much additional work did delivering the programme and participating in this study
 place upon you? [Specify preparation time reading the manual and supplementary
 materials, setting up the venue, time to deliver on top of other work, completion of
 treatment record forms after each class, completion of post training questionnaires]?
 - o Is this acceptable? What modifications would you suggest for future waves?
- What are your impressions of the resources provided to you to support delivery of the programme? [Training Manual /Intervention Manual/Intervention Slides/SOLAS poster]
 - How much have you used them? What was useful/not useful in terms of helping you deliver the programme as intended?
 - o Views on continuing to use powerpoint versus flip chart or handouts only?
 - Suggestions for modifications for future waves
- What are your impressions of the venue in terms of its suitability for delivering the programme? [Prompt – accessibility, space, equipment for delivering education and exercise component number of stations, sound quality to allow communication to the

group/individuals during exercises

Views on sustainability of the Programme

- What is your opinion of the feasibility of delivering the programme in the future within the study/within normal practice?
- Would you deliver the programme outside the study?
- How would you deliver it? What materials would you use? What would you leave out?
 Who would you deliver it to?

Views on Research Elements of the Programme

- Views on research elements of the programme [pre and post training questionnaires, direct observation during classes, audio recordings, treatment record forms]
 - o Intrusiveness/time/feasibility Any suggestions for modifications for future waves
- Views on level and modes of communication with the research team throughout the study from training to completion of this wave
 - Suggestions for modifications for future waves

| Supplementary File | Supplementary File 4: Agreement between assessment methods | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|---------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Direct Observation v | Direct | Audio-Recordings v | Audio-Recordings | | | | | | | |
| | Self-Report | Observation v | Self-Report | Rater 1 v Rater 2 | | | | | | | |
| | (n=24) | Audio- | (n=60) | (Inter-rater reliability) (n=12) | | | | | | | |
| | | Recordings | | | | | | | | | |
| | | (n=24) | | | | | | | | | |
| Overall agreement | 74.6% | 86.6% | 75.4% | 84.6% | | | | | | | |
| % Agreement per ca | tegory: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Materials | 74.5% | 82.67% | 70.1% | 84.6% | | | | | | | |
| Introduction and | 65.3% | 86.5% | 57% | 81.6% | | | | | | | |
| Review | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Education | 84.6% | 90.3% | 87.3% | 76.7% | | | | | | | |
| Exercise | 70.8% | 86% | 78% | 83.3% | | | | | | | |
| Review and | 50% | 76.2% | 46% | 100% | | | | | | | |
| Planning | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Intended duration (mins) | Actual dura | tion (mins) for 6 | Actual duration (mins) for each session | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---|--------|------|------|------|------|------|--|--|
| | | Direct Observations | Self-Report | Audio- Recordings | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| Education | 45 | 46.6 | 44.6 | 45.1 | 58.9** | 41.6 | 47.7 | 46.5 | 42.4 | 42.4 | | |
| Duration | | (15.6) | (12) | (14.7) | | | | | | | | |
| Mean (SD) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Exercise | 45 | 38.6 | 38.9 | 38.7 | 31.4** | 42.7 | 39.2 | 38.0 | 39.8 | 41.5 | | |
| Duration | | (8.2)* | (7.7)* | (8.9)* | | | | | | | | |
| Mean (SD) | | | (***) | (=) | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 90 | 85.3 | 83.4 | 83.5 | 89.3 | 84.3 | 86.0 | 84.5 | 83.3 | 74.3 | | |
| Duration | | (11.3)*** | (11.4)** | (10.5)** | | | | | | | | |

* p=0.001 ** p<0.001 ***p<0.05

Supplementary file 6: Group size

| Wave 1 | | | | | Wave 2 | | | | Total n= | |
|--------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| A | В | С | D | A | В | С | Е | F | G | 7 |
| (A1) | (B1) | (C1) | (D1) | (A1) | (B2) | (C2) | (E1) | (F1) | (G1) | (0) |
| | | | | | | | | | | (9) |
| 3.7 | 4.8 | 3 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 3 |
| (4) | (6) | (4) | (2) | (4) | (4) | (5) | (4) | (7) | (5) | (SD = |
| | | (4) | | | | | | | | 1.3) |
| | | | | | | | | | | 1.0) |
| | Mean participants present (SD) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 3.3 | (1.4) | | | | | |
| | 3.4 (1.5) | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.8 (1.1) | | | | | | | | | NS |
| | 2.9 (1.3) | | | | | | | | | p=0.8 |
| | 2.8 (1.0) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 2.7 | (1.2) | | | | | |
| | (A1) 3.7 | A B (B1) 3.7 4.8 | A B C (C1) 3.7 4.8 3 | A B C D (D1) 3.7 4.8 3 1.8 (4) (6) (4) | A (A1) (B1) (C1) (D1) (A1) 3.7 (4.8 (3) (1.8 (2) (4) (4) (6) (4) (2) (4) Mean part 3.3 (2) (2.8 (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2.8 (2) (2. | A B C (D1) (A1) (B2) 3.7 4.8 3 1.8 1.5 2.8 (4) (6) (4) (2) (4) (4) Mean participan 3.3 (1.4) 3.4 (1.5) 2.8 (1.1) 2.9 (1.3) | A (A1) (B1) (C1) (D1) (A1) (B2) (C2) 3.7 | A (A1) (B1) (C1) (D1) (A1) (B2) (C2) (E1) 3.7 | A (A1) (B1) (C1) (D1) (A1) (B2) (C2) (E1) (F1) 3.7 | A (A1) (B1) (C1) (D1) (A1) (B2) (C2) (E1) (F1) (G1) 3.7 |

^{*}significant difference between physiotherapists for number of participants recruited and average numbers present, p<0.001

NS = non-significant, SD = standard deviation