# **BMJ Open**

# Rehabilitation interventions to improve patient reported outcomes and physical fitness in survivors of muscle invasive bladder cancer: a systematic review protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-016054
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	20-Jan-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Rammant, Elke; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research Bultijnck, Renée; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research Sundahl, Nora; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research Ost, Piet; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research Pauwels, Nele; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Knowledge Center Ghent Deforche, Benedicte; Universiteit Gent, Department of Public Health Pieters, Ronny; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Urology Decaestecker, Karel; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Urology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Evidence based practice, Oncology, Rehabilitation medicine
Keywords:	Muscle invasive bladder cancer, Exercise, Psychosocial intervention, systematic review, Rehabilitation



Rehabilitation interventions to improve patient reported outcomes and physical fitness in survivors of muscle invasive bladder cancer: a systematic review protocol

Elke Rammant<sup>1</sup>, Renée Bultijnck<sup>1</sup>, Nora Sundahl<sup>1</sup>, Piet Ost<sup>1</sup>, Nele S. Pauwels<sup>2</sup>, Benedicte Deforche<sup>3</sup>, Ronny Pieters<sup>4</sup>, Karel Decaestecker<sup>4</sup>, Valérie Fonteyne<sup>1</sup>

### Affiliations:

- Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium
- <sup>2.</sup> Knowledge Center Ghent, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium
- 3. Department of Public Health, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
- 4. Department of Urology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium

# **Corresponding Author:**

Elke Rammant, MSc.

Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research,
Ghent University Hospital

De Pintelaan 185, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

Tel: +32 9 33 21552

E-mail: elke.rammant@ugent.be

Word count excluding title page, abstract, references, figures and tables: 2988

### **ABSTRACT**

### Introduction

Survivors of muscle invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) experience physical and psychosocial side effects of cancer diagnosis and treatment. These negative side effects have a crucial impact on the quality of life (Qol). To date, there is evidence that rehabilitation interventions such as physical activity and psychosocial support have a positive effect on the Qol of cancer survivors. Unfortunately, there are no specific guidelines for rehabilitation or survivorship programs for MIBC survivors. Therefore, this systematic review aims to assess the effects of exercise-based and psychosocial rehabilitation interventions for MIBC survivors.

# Methods and analysis

The approach of this review is consistent with the Cochrane methodology. Randomized controlled trials and non-randomized studies will be included. The population of interest is patients (≥18 years of age) with diagnosis of MIBC and there will be two eligible intervention types for inclusion: exercise-based and psychosocial rehabilitation interventions. The primary outcome measures are patient reported outcomes (e.g. Qol, fatigue, pain) and physical fitness. Studies will be identified independently by two review authors by searching the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, MEDLINE, Embase, Web of Science and the Physiotherapy Evidence Database. A third reviewer will be asked by disagreements. Risk of bias will be assessed using the Cochrane Collaboration's tools. Data will be summarized descriptively. If homogeneity of the studies is sufficient, meta-analysis will be undertaken.

### Discussion

This systematic review is expected to provide guidance to development of evidence-based rehabilitation or survivorship programs for MIBC survivors. The broad scope of this review (i.e. different interventions and study designs) is needed to have a comprehensive view on effective rehabilitation interventions.

### **Ethics and dissemination**

Ethics approval is not required, as no primary data will be collected. Results will be disseminated through a peer-reviewed publication.

PROSPERO registration number: CRD42017055306

# **Keywords**

Bladder neoplasm – exercise – psychosocial intervention – rehabilitation

# Strengths and limitations of this study

### Strengths

- The overall approach of this review is consistent with the methodology described in α for S,
  c in the fielt
  ause the inciden.

  city of studies meeting the inclusion τ the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic reviews of Interventions

### Limitations



### **BACKGROUND**

# **Description of the condition**

Bladder cancer (BC) is the 11<sup>th</sup> most common cancer worldwide. When both genders are considered separately, BC in men is rising to the 7<sup>th</sup> place whilst BC in women is dropping to the 17<sup>th</sup> place of most common cancer worldwide<sup>12</sup>. Worldwide, the age-standardized incidence rate (per 100,000 person/years) is 9.0 for men and 2.2 for women. In 2012, the age-standardized mortality rate (per 100,000 person/years) was 3.2 for men and 0.9 for women<sup>3</sup>. Besides the fact that BC is more common in men than in women, BC is also known as a disease of the elderly. Due to the growth of an aging population, it is expected that BC will become an enormous challenge in the near future<sup>45</sup>.

Thirty percent of the patients diagnosed with BC present with muscle invasive BC (MIBC, stages T2, T3, and T4)<sup>6</sup>. Also, depending on risk stratification, up to 45% of patients with non MIBC will progress to MIBC<sup>7</sup>. The standard treatment in patients with MIBC is neo-adjuvant chemotherapy followed by radical cystectomy (bladder removal), extended pelvic lymph node dissection and urinary diversion (continent or incontinent bladder replacement)<sup>1</sup>. These are major interventions associated with a variety of negative side effects, especially on the urinary and sexual function<sup>8</sup>. This can lead to a loss of quality of life (Qol), which refers to overall wellbeing and happiness, and encompasses physical, functional, psychological and social aspects<sup>9</sup>. Combined radiochemotherapy is an alternative for radical cystectomy in order to preserve the bladder. Both radiotherapy and chemotherapy can also be used in the adjuvant or palliative setting and can also cause a variety of treatment related side effects. Therefore, follow-up care beyond the acute diagnosis and treatment phase is necessary<sup>10</sup>.

## **Description of the intervention**

"Cancer rehabilitation is a concept that is defined by the patient and involves helping a person with cancer to obtain maximum physical, social, psychological, and vocational functioning within the limit by the disease and its treatment" <sup>11</sup>. Therefore, a rehabilitation or survivorship program for cancer patients needs to comprise different intervention approaches <sup>12</sup> <sup>13</sup>.

Physical activity (PA) is seen as one of the rehabilitation interventions to improve patients Qol and survival outcomes. PA is defined as "any movement created by the skeletal muscles that causes a substantial increase in energy expenditure". It is important that the terms 'physical activity' and 'exercise' are not mixed with each other. PA can be seen as an overarching term that includes exercise as well as other activities which involve bodily movement and are done as part of playing, working, active transportation, house chores and recreational activities. Exercise on the other hand, is a subcategory of PA. It is planned, structured, repetitive, and purposeful to improve or maintain one or more components of

physical fitness (cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength, muscular endurance, flexibility and body composition)<sup>14</sup>. These components represent important outcomes in cancer survivors that may mediate the influence of exercise on other outcomes<sup>9</sup>.

Psychosocial interventions are another important focus in rehabilitation. These sort of interventions aim to help patients cope with negative side effects of cancer diagnosis and treatment<sup>15</sup>. Based on a framework proposed by Cunningham, there are five categories of interventions: patient education, social support, coping skills training, psychotherapy and spiritual/existential therapy<sup>16</sup>.

# How the intervention might work

Rehabilitation in BC patients can be complicated due to the synergistic interaction of two factors. Firstly, cancer treatments can lead to harmful consequences and side effects. Secondly, the older age of BC patients can lead to an aging process associated with functional and psychosocial declines. Thus, the first factor may stimulate the aging process resulting in amplified physical and psychosocial constraints and subsequent disability, if not remedied through intervention. Therefore, interventions to improve physiologic and psychosocial limitations are of significance to the longevity of cancer survivors<sup>17</sup>. Rehabilitation interventions have been investigated in other cancer diseases and have been proven to be effective. Exercise can lead to better managing of patients physiologic symptoms, improve a variety of mental health outcomes including fatigue, anxiety, Qol and mood and possibly even better survival outcomes<sup>17 18</sup>. Research into effectiveness of psychosocial interventions in cancer patients is scarce. Nonetheless, there is evidence that psychosocial interventions can improve patients' Qol<sup>19</sup>.

Rehabilitation interventions can be categorized as a tertiary prevention approach. Tertiary prevention aims to prevent and manage cancer-related and treatment-induced side effects. Additionally, tertiary prevention provide a knowledge basis for optimal follow-up care and cancer surveillance, and optimize health after cancer treatment<sup>10</sup>.

### Why it is important to do this review

With an increasing number of cancer survivors, tertiary prevention will become imperative in cancer survivorship. In order to develop evidence-based rehabilitation programs for patients with MIBC, it is essential to have a global picture of effective rehabilitation interventions. Therefore, a systematic review assessing the effects of exercise-based and psychosocial rehabilitation interventions for BC patients is needed. A previous systematic review assessed the effects of lifestyle factors (diet, smoking and physical activity) on health-related quality of life in bladder cancer survivors. Findings of this review concluded that there was limited evidence to support a positive association between health-related quality of life and physical activity in bladder cancer survivors<sup>20</sup>. Our review differs with previous review in several aspects. First we want to identify well defined supervised interventions that are effective. In

previous review, they evaluated the physical activity pattern of the patient, which is not the same as a supervised exercise intervention. Furthermore, this review will not only focus on health-related quality of life, we will also assess the effects of rehabilitation interventions on other outcomes (explained below). Additionally, to our knowledge, there is no systematic review that has summarized the evidence of psychosocial rehabilitation interventions for MIBC survivors in a systematic manner.

No specific guidelines exist for exercise-based and/or psychosocial rehabilitation interventions for MIBC survivors. This review could give guidance to the development of specific evidence-based guidelines. Although this review focuses only on the exercise and psychosocial part of rehabilitation, it is important to note that rehabilitation of cancer patients requires also other interventions such as diet counseling, smoking cessation, etc. <sup>12</sup>.

# AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

# **Primary objective**

To assess the effects of rehabilitation interventions (exercise-based and psychosocial interventions) on patient reported outcomes (e.g. Qol, fatigue, pain) and physical fitness of MIBC survivors.

# **Secondary objectives**

To identify significant demographic, clinical, personal, or intervention related moderators of intervention effects.

### **METHODS**

The overall approach of this review is consistent with the methodology described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic reviews of Interventions<sup>21</sup> and is described below. Reports of current systematic review protocol adhere to the preferred items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis protocol (PRISMA-P) checklist <sup>22</sup>. The systematic review itself will adhere to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)<sup>23</sup>.

# Criteria for considering studies for this review

### Types of studies

Because of the anticipated low amount of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), RCTs and non-randomized studies (NRS) (i.e., cohort studies, case-control studies, cross-sectional studies and quasi-randomized controlled clinical trials (CCTs)) will be considered as appropriate study designs. Results from RCTs and NRS will be presented separately.

# Types of participants

The population of interest will be adults (≥18 years of age) with medically confirmed diagnosis of localized MIBC or high risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) for whom a radical cystectomy is indicated. Patients with metastasized BC will be excluded. Demographic factors are no exclusion criteria except for age (<18 years of age). Studies involving participants with a range of cancers or other diagnoses that report results specifically for patients with MIBC will be included. Studies involving participants with urologic cancers where data is not provided separately for MIBC patients will be excluded.

### Types of interventions

Exercise-based rehabilitation interventions considered for this review will be aerobic or endurance activities, strength or resistance training, balance exercises, flexibility exercises (with inclusion of yoga and Pilates), exercises specific to address sexual functioning and pelvic floor exercises in case of bladder preserving strategies or bladder reconstruction after radical cystectomy. Generalized advice to engage in regular PA activity will not be considered as an exercise-based rehabilitation intervention. In this review, the focus will be placed on exercise in a planned, structured, repetitive and purposeful rehabilitation intervention. PA as part of playing, working, active transportation, house chores or recreational activities will not be included.

Psychosocial rehabilitation interventions eligible for inclusion will be based on the framework proposed by Cunningham: patient education (e.g. stoma management, generalized advice to engage in PA activity), social support, coping skills training, psychotherapy and spiritual/existential therapy<sup>16</sup>. Alternative medicine and therapies will not be included in this review.

Both intervention types have to be supervised by a professional, can either be individual or in group, hospital-based or home-based (with follow up by a professional), and can be given before, during and/or after treatment. No limits will be placed on the timing, frequency, intensity and duration of rehabilitation interventions. The interventions will be compared with an inactive control intervention (e.g. no treatment, standard care or a waiting list control).

# Types of outcome measures

For both primary and secondary outcome measures, there will be no exclusion based on length of follow up.

### Primary outcomes

1. Patient reported outcomes (PROs) including overall quality of life, specific quality of life domains including symptoms such as fatigue, pain, urinary incontinence, sexual dysfunction, gastro-intestinal dysfunction and psychological factors such as anxiety, depression, stress

and self-esteem. Due to the wide range of questionnaires used for PROs and the non-consensus of using one standardized questionnaire, only studies using the standardized and validated measurement instruments for PRO, found in Table  $1^{24\,36}$  will be included. All studies using other measurement instruments will be excluded, unless proof of their validation can be found in literature.

2. Physical fitness assessed by VO<sub>2</sub> peak, VO<sub>2</sub> max, 6- or 12-minute walk test, 400-m walk test, handgrip strength tests, sit and reach tests or other proven to be validated instruments.

# Secondary outcomes

- 1. Cancer recurrence, cancer-specific survival, progression-free survival, overall survival, mortality, years of life lost or 5-year survival.
- 2. Body composition assessed by height, weight, body mass index, fat mass, lean body mass, thickness of skin folds, body fat, arm circumference, waist circumference, hip circumference or waist-hip ratio.
- 3. Bone mineral density or fracture risk assessed by fracture risk assessment tool (FRAX)<sup>37</sup>.
- 4. Karnofsky performance score (KPS)<sup>38</sup>.

Table 1 – standardized and validated measurement instruments for the included PROs

Patient reported outcome	Validated measurement instrument
HRQOL	EQ-5D visual analogue scale, MID: 7 points, SF-36, Ferrans and Powers Quality of Life Index, World Health Organization Quality of Life, SF-12, Padilla QLI, 20-item short form health survey and Satisfaction With Life Scale
Cancer specific QOL	FACT-G, EORTC QLQ-C30, Functional Living Index-Cancer, Selby's QLI, Cleary's QLI and Cancer Rehabilitation Evaluation System –SF
Bladder cancer specific QOL	QLQ-BLM30, BCI and FACT-BI
Sexual function	IIEF-5 and FSFI
Gastro-intestinal function	GIQLI
Urinary incontinence	BCI supplement, ICIQ-UI SF, IPSS
Psychological factors	
Depression	BSI-18, BDI,ISR-depression scale
Anxiety	STAI anxiety scales, numeric rating scales or visual analogue scale
Stress	Perceived Stress Scale
Self-esteem	Rosenberg self-esteem scale

# Search methods for identification of studies

### Electronic searches

The following electronic databases will be searched from inception until the search date: the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), MEDLINE (using the PubMed interface), Embase (using the embase.com interface), Web of Science and the Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro). The search strategies will be evaluated using the PRESS checklist<sup>39</sup> and will be monitored and peer reviewed by an information specialist (NSP). The search strategies are presented in 'Supplementary File 1'.

### Searching other resources

The cited and citing references of the included studies will be checked via Web of Science.

# Data collection and analysis

# Selection of studies

All references found through the search process will be downloaded in a database created by reference management software (Endnote). After removing duplicates in Endnote, all references will be imported into Covidence for screening purposes. Obviously irrelevant studies, based on title and abstract, will independently be excluded by two review authors (ER and VF). After screening titles and abstracts, two review authors (ER and VF) will independently assess full-text reports for eligibility. Discrepancies will be discussed with a third review author (NS). Reasons for exclusion of full-texts will be documented. Studies will be excluded if no full-text is available. Abstracts in any other language than English will be excluded. There will be no language restriction for full texts and translations will be carried out if necessary. If studies have multiple publications with the same outcome(s) reported, manuscripts with the longest follow-up will be selected for inclusion. Older publications referred to in included articles will be accessed to clarify methods if required.

### Data extraction

A modified EPOC data collection form of the Cochrane collaboration will be used and pilot tested with at least three studies in the review<sup>40</sup>. After pilot testing the form, adjustments can be made. Data extraction will be performed independently by two review authors (ER and VF). Following data will be extracted for each study:

- **General information:** date form completed, name of person extracting data, report title, report ID, authors' names, source, country, contact address, language of publication, year of publication.
- Population and setting: population description (from which study participants are drawn), setting (e.g. inpatient, outpatient, hospital setting, home setting,

- combination), inclusion and exclusion criteria and sampling method (e.g., convenience, random).
- **Methods:** aim of study, design, unit of allocation, start and end date, duration of participation.
- Participants: number of participants in intervention and control groups, details of clusters if applicable, baseline imbalances, participant demographics such as sex, age and ethnicity, disease related characteristics such as stage of disease, received treatment(s), time after diagnosis and comorbidities.
- Intervention: type of intervention (exercise-based, psychosocial or combination), details of intervention type (e.g. aerobic, pelvic floor exercises, counseling, patient education), co-intervention(s), type of control intervention, frequency, duration, intensity and providers of the intervention.
- Outcomes: outcome name, time points measured and reported, outcome definition, person measuring/reporting, upper and lower limits of scales, unit measurement if relevant, if outcome/tool is validated, imputation of missing data and assumed risk estimate.
- Results: outcome, measurement effects (please see data analyses below) for intervention and comparison group, baseline data, number of missing participants and reasons, statistical methods used.

### Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias will be used for RCTs. Assessment of risk of bias in NRS will be done using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for observational studies. Assessment of risk of bias of individual studies as well as the overall quality of the body of evidence will be evaluated with these two tools (which correspond to the GRADE approach). A summary assessment of risk of bias will be done for each outcome 'within' a study and for each outcome 'across' studies of the same study design. This will be summarized in the 'Summary of findings table'. Given the nature of exercise and psychosocial interventions, it is impossible to blind participants and personnel for this interventions. Therefore, 'blinding of participants and personnel' will not be taken into account in the risk of bias assessment, but this will be taken into consideration in the discussion section.

## Dealing with missing data

If the data required are not available in the publication, we will first attempt to contact the study authors. If this is not possible, we will try to back-calculate from the data presented. If data will be obtained from other study authors, this will be reported in the review in a transparent manner. This way, we can keep in mind that this missing data obtained from study authors was not peer reviewed. Studies assessing lifestyle interventions may have

issues with compliance. Therefore, reasons for missing data (e.g. dropouts, losses to follow-up and withdrawals) will be carefully reported.

# Assessment of heterogeneity

Heterogeneity will be assessed for each different study design type. Statistical heterogeneity will be quantified using the  $l^2$  statistic. We will consider the statistical heterogeneity to be high if  $l^2 > 50$  %. Depending on the heterogeneity of the studies and their results, we will decide if a meta-analysis can be conducted. We will attempt to explain any observed heterogeneity in the review.

# Assessment of reporting bias

Funnel plots will be used to assess publication bias when ten or more studies are included in a meta-analysis.

### Data synthesis

The findings from the included studies will be summarized descriptively. For dichotomous outcomes, measurement of treatment effect will be reported as risk ratios (RRs) and 95 % confidence intervals (CIs). For continuous outcomes, we will calculate mean differences and 95 % CIs when results are reported on the same scale (or can be converted to the same scale) or standardized mean differences and 95 % CIs if results are reported on different scales.

There will be a moderator analysis to identify the most effective timing, frequency and duration of the rehabilitation interventions. There will also be an attempt to identify significant demographical or clinical moderators. To prevent co-intervention bias, there will be a subgroup analysis based on the type of intervention (only exercised-based interventions, only psychosocial interventions or a combination).

When homogeneity of the studies is sufficient, random-effects meta-analysis will be undertaken separately for each type of study design. Only studies with low risk of bias will be included in meta-analyses. Therefore, we will perform sensitivity analyses to investigate how conclusions might be affected if studies at high or unclear risk of bias were included.

## **Ethics and dissemination**

Ethics approval is not required, as no primary data will be collected. Results will be disseminated through a peer-reviewed publication.

### Discussion

To date, there are no specific guidelines for exercise-based or psychosocial rehabilitation interventions for MIBC survivors. This systematic review is expected to provide guidance to

the development of specific guidelines and evidence-based rehabilitation or survivorship programs for MIBC survivors.

The scope of this review is broad (i.e., different rehabilitation interventions and study designs). Although this means that heterogeneity might be present between the studies, evaluation of different intervention approaches is needed to develop optimal rehabilitation or survivorship programs. Caution will be present in the interpretation of the results because of the fact that evidence from RCTs is higher than evidence from NRS. Therefore, the results derived from RCTs will be seen as the primary evidence. Results from NRS will be seen as additional evidence to support the results from RCTs.

### Authors' contribution

ER drafted the manuscript and developed the methods and analyses strategy. VF coordinated the process. NSP and NS aided in developing the search strategy. VF, PO and KD provided uro-oncology specific expertise. RB and BD gave valuable input concerning rehabilitation.

### **Funding statement**

This study is funded by a grant of *Kom op tegen Kanker*. The granting bodies are not involved in data collection or analysis.

### **Competing interests statement**

None declared.

### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Witjes JA, Compérat E, Cowan NC, et al. EAU Guidelines 2016: Muscle invasive and metastatic bladder cancer. Available from: https://uroweborg/guideline/bladder-cancer-muscle-invasive-and-metastatic/, accessed on 12/10/2016.
- 2. Burger M, Catto JW, Dalbagni G, et al. Epidemiology and risk factors of urothelial bladder cancer. *European urology* 2013;**63**(2):234-41.
- 3. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, et al. Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11 [Internet]. Available from: <a href="http://globocaniarcfr">http://globocaniarcfr</a>, accessed on 15/10/2016 GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0.
- 4. Malats N, Real FX. Epidemiology of bladder cancer. Hematology/oncology clinics of North America 2015;**29**(2):177-89, vii.
- 5. Shahrokh FS, Milowsky M, Droller MJ. Bladder cancer in the elderly. *Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations* 2009;**27**(6):653-67.
- 6. Stenzl A, Witjes J, Compérat E, et al. Bladder Cancer Muscle-invasive and Metastatic. UPDATE 2012.
- 7. van Rhijn BW, Burger M, Lotan Y, et al. Recurrence and progression of disease in non–muscle-invasive bladder cancer: from epidemiology to treatment strategy. *European urology* 2009;**56**(3):430-42.
- 8. Wright JL, Porter MP. Quality-of-life assessment in patients with bladder cancer. Nature clinical practice Urology 2007;**4**(3):147-54.
- 9. McGowan EL, Courneya KS. Exercise interventions in supportive oncology. 2011.
- National Cancer Institute: division of cancer control & population sciences. Available from: https://cancercontrolcancergov/ocs/statistics/definitionshtml, accessed on 11/10/2016 2016.
- 11. Gudbergsson SB, Dahl AA, Loge JH, et al. What is covered by "cancer rehabilitation" in PubMed? A review of randomized controlled trials 1990–2011. Journal of rehabilitation medicine 2015;47(2):97-106.
- 12. Weis J, Giesler JM. Rehabilitation for cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology* 2014:87-101.
- 13. Harrison JD, Young JM, Price MA, et al. What are the unmet supportive care needs of people with cancer? A systematic review. *Supportive Care in Cancer* 2009;**17**(8):1117-28.
- 14. World Health Organisation: Global Strategy On Diet, Physical Activity and Health. Available from: <a href="http://wwwwhoint/dietphysicalactivity/pa/en/">http://wwwwhoint/dietphysicalactivity/pa/en/</a>, accessed on 11/10/2016.
- 15. Parahoo K, McDonough S, McCaughan E, et al. Psychosocial interventions for men with prostate cancer. *The Cochrane Library* 2013.
- 16. Buffart LM, Kalter J, Chinapaw MJ, et al. Predicting OptimaL cAncer Rehabilitation and Supportive care (POLARIS): rationale and design for meta-analyses of individual patient data of randomized controlled trials that evaluate the effect of physical activity and psychosocial interventions on health-related quality of life in cancer survivors. Systematic reviews 2013;2(1):1.
- 17. Brown JC, Winters-Stone K, Lee A, et al. Cancer, physical activity, and exercise. *Comprehensive Physiology* 2012.
- 18. Courneya KS. Physical activity and cancer survivorship: a simple framework for a complex field. *Exercise and sport sciences reviews* 2014;**42**(3):102-09.
- 19. Guo Z, Tang H-y, Li H, et al. The benefits of psychosocial interventions for cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy. *Health and quality of life outcomes* 2013;**11**(1):1.
- 20. Gopalakrishna A, Longo TA, Fantony JJ, et al. Lifestyle factors and health-related quality of life in bladder cancer survivors: a systematic review. Journal of Cancer Survivorship 2016;**10**(5):874-82.

- 21. Higgins JPT, (editors) GS. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011:Available from <a href="https://www.handbook.cochrane.org">www.handbook.cochrane.org</a>.
- 22. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and metaanalysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. Bmj 2015;**349**:g7647.
- 23. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: the PRISMA statement. Bmj 2009;**339**:b2535.
- 24. Measuring health-related quality of life in men with prostate cancer: A systematic review of the most used questionnaires and their validity. *Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations*; 2015. Elsevier.
- 25. Danna BJ, Metcalfe MJ, Wood EL, et al. Assessing Symptom Burden in Bladder Cancer: An Overview of Bladder Cancer Specific Health-Related Quality of Life Instruments. *Bladder Cancer* 2016;**2**(3):329-40.
- 26. Vodermaier A, Linden W, Siu C. Screening for emotional distress in cancer patients: a systematic review of assessment instruments. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 2009;**101**(21):1464-88.
- 27. Brandt WA, Loew T, von Heymann F, et al. How does the ICD-10 symptom rating (ISR) with four items assess depression compared to the BDI-II? A validation study. *Journal of affective disorders* 2015;**173**:143-45.
- 28. Hornblow A, Kidson M-A. The visual analogue scale for anxiety: a validation study. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 1976;**10**(4):339-41.
- 29. Araujo IB, Castelani A, Soler T, et al. Stress assessment in the elderly by the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS). INTERNATIONAL PSYCHOGERIATRICS 2015;27:S119-S19.
- 30. Li C-C, Chen M-L, Chang T-C, et al. Social support buffers the effect of self-esteem on quality of life of early-stage cervical cancer survivors in Taiwan. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing* 2015;**19**(5):486-94.
- 31. Gilbert SM, Dunn RL, Hollenbeck BK, et al. Development and validation of the Bladder Cancer Index: a comprehensive, disease specific measure of health related quality of life in patients with localized bladder cancer. The Journal of urology 2010;**183**(5):1764-70.
- 32. Dargis L, Trudel G, Cadieux J, et al. Validation of the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) and presentation of norms in older men. Sexologies 2013;22(1):e20-e26.
- 33. Baser RE, Li Y, Carter J. Psychometric validation of the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) in cancer survivors. Cancer 2012;**118**(18):4606-18.
- 34. Eypasch E, Williams J, Wood-Dauphinee S, et al. Gastrointestinal Quality of Life Index: development, validation and application of a new instrument. British Journal of Surgery 1995;82(2):216-22.
- 35. Hajebrahimi S, Nourizadeh D, Hamedani R, et al. Validity and reliability of the International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire-Urinary Incontinence Short Form and its correlation with urodynamic findings. Urology journal 2012;9(4):685.
- 36. Gray M. Psychometric evaluation of the international prostate symptom score. Urologic nursing 1998;**18**(3):175.
- 37. Watts NB, Lewiecki EM, Miller PD, et al. National Osteoporosis Foundation 2008 Clinician's Guide to Prevention and Treatment of Osteoporosis and the World Health Organization Fracture Risk Assessment Tool (FRAX): what they mean to the bone densitometrist and bone technologist. Journal of Clinical Densitometry 2008;11(4):473-77.
- 38. Mor V, Laliberte L, Morris JN, et al. The Karnofsky performance status scale: an examination of its reliability and validity in a research setting. Cancer 1984;**53**(9):2002-07.
- 39. McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, et al. PRESS Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies: 2015 Guideline Statement. *Journal of clinical epidemiology* 2016.
- 40. Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC). EPOC Resources for review authors. Oslo:

  Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services; 2015. Secondary Effective Practice and
  Organisation of Care (EPOC). EPOC Resources for review authors. Oslo: Norwegian

Knowledge Centre for the Health Services; 2015. <a href="http://epoc.cochrane.org/epoc-specific-resources-review-authors">http://epoc.cochrane.org/epoc-specific-resources-review-authors</a>.



Database Data last searched The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)  1. [mh "Urinary Bladder Neoplasms"] OR [mh "Carcinoma, Transitional Cell"] OR ("bladder cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder malignancy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder neoplasm"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder neoplasm"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial tumor"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial tumor"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract malignancy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial tumor"):ti,ab,kw
The Cochrane Central 13/12/2016 Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)  1. [mh "Urinary Bladder Neoplasms"] OR [mh "Carcinoma, Transitional Cell"] OR ("bladder cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder malignancy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder neoplasm"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder tumor"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial tumor"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial tumor"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract malignancy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract malignancy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR [mh "Sports"] OR [mh "Physical Fitness"] OR [mh "Exercise Movement Techniques"] OR [mh "Dance Therapy"] OR [mh "Recreation Therapy"] "Rehabilitation, Vocational"] OR [mh "Telerehabilitation"] OR [mh "Occupational Therapy"] OR [mh "Self Co"Urinary Incontinence/rehabilitation"] OR [mh "Pelvic Floor/therapy"] OR ("self care"):ti,ab,kw OR (exercion Corea (sport):ti,ab,kw OR ("physical activity"):ti,ab,kw OR (physiotherapy):ti,ab,kw OR (kinesiotherapy):ti,ab,kw OR (physiotherapy):ti,ab,kw OR (kinesiotherapy):ti,ab,kw OR (physiotherapy):ti,ab,kw OR (kinesiotherapy):ti,ab,kw OR (mance (pancer):ti,ab,kw OR (physiotherapy):ti,ab,kw OR (physiotherapy):
Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)  carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder malignancy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder neoplasm"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder tumor"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell tumor"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial tumor"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial malignancy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial tumor"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract malignancy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract malignancy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract malignancy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR [mh "Exercise Therapy"] OR [mh "Sports"] OR [mh "Physical Fitness"] Ol "Physical Exertion"] OR [mh "Exercise Therapy"] OR [mh "Dance Therapy"] OR [mh "Recreation Therapy"] "Rehabilitation, Vocational"] OR [mh "Telerehabilitation"] OR [mh "Occupational Therapy"] OR [mh "Self Care"):ti,ab,kw OR (sport):ti,ab,kw OR (
(running):ti,ab,kw OR (swimming):ti,ab,kw OR (walking):ti,ab,kw OR (jogging):ti,ab,kw OR ("weight lifting OR (dance):ti,ab,kw OR ("tai chi"):ti,ab,kw OR (yoga):ti,ab,kw OR (pilates):ti,ab,kw OR (gymnastic):ti,ab,kw OR ("resistance training"):ti,ab,kw OR ("aerobic training"):ti,ab,kw OR ("resistance training"):ti,ab,kw OR (serobic):ti,ab,kw OR (rehabilitation):ti,ab,kw OR (forehabilitation):ti,ab,kw OR (prehabilitation):ti,ab,kw OR (prehabilitation):ti,ab,kw OR (rehabilitation):ti,ab,kw OR (forehabilitation):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder training"):ti,ab,kw OR ("incontinence management"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary management"):ti,ab,kw  3. [mh "Tertiary Prevention"] OR [mh "Social Support"] OR [mh "Psycholitatic Rehabilitation"] OR [mh "Health OR [mh "Psycholotarior)] OR [mh "Counseling"] OR [mh "Psychology/education] OR [mh "Sex Educat "Sexuality/rehabilitation"] OR [mh "Health Literacy"] OR [mh "psychology/education"] OR [mh "psychology [mh "Problem Solving"] OR [mh "Mind-body therapies"] OR [mh "patient participation"] OR [mh "Existenti [mh "spiritual therapies"] OR [mh "problem-based learning"] OR [mh "Sedentary Lifestyle"] OR ("psychologi intervention"):ti,ab,kw OR ("psychological intervention"):ti,ab,kw OR ("psychological intervention"):ti,ab,kw OR ("psychology therapies"):ti,ab,kw OR ("psychology therapies"):

BMJ Open

Page 16 of 23

therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR (counseling):ti,ab,kw OR ("patient education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("patient participation"):ti,ab,kw OR ("health education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("sex education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("sexual education"):ti,ab,kw OR (psychoeducation):ti,ab,kw OR ("sex therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("sexual therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("stoma education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("stoma therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("health literacy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("health promotion"):ti,ab,kw OR ("health behavior"):ti,ab,kw OR ("cognitive behavior"):ti,ab,kw OR ("behavior training"):ti,ab,kw OR ("behavioral training"):ti,ab,kw OR (CBT) OR ("complementary therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("mind body therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("spiritual therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("relaxation therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("relaxation training"):ti,ab,kw OR ("client centered therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR (conditioning):ti,ab,kw OR ("stoma management"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bowel management"):ti,ab,kw OR (coping):ti,ab,kw OR (mindfulness):ti,ab,kw OR (empowerment):ti,ab,kw OR ("self-monitoring"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivation therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivational therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivation interviewing"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivational interviewing"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivation treatment"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivational treatment"):ti,ab,kw OR (psychology):ti,ab,kw OR ("problem solving"):ti,ab,kw OR ("psychosocial care"):ti,ab,kw OR ("psychological care"):ti,ab,kw OR ("psychologic care"):ti,ab,kw OR ("caregiver support"):ti,ab,kw OR (sociotherapy):ti,ab,kw OR ("occupational therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("care delivery"):ti,ab,kw OR ("functional therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("functional training"):ti,ab,kw OR (existentialism):ti,ab,kw OR ("spiritual healing"):ti,ab,kw OR ("spiritual therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transcultural care"):ti,ab,kw OR ("life style"):ti,ab,kw

- 4. 2 OR 3
- 5. 1 AND 4

MEDLINE (via 13/12/2016 PubMed interface)

- 1. "Urinary Bladder Neoplasms" [MESH] OR "Urinary Bladder/abnormalities" [MESH] OR "Urinary bladder/surgery" [MESH] OR "Carcinoma, Transitional Cell"[Mesh] OR "bladder cancer"[TIAB] OR "bladder cancers"[TIAB] OR "bladder carcinoma"[TIAB] OR "bladder carcinomas"[TIAB] OR "bladder malignancy"[TIAB] OR "bladder malignancies"[TIAB] OR "bladder neoplasm"[TIAB] OR "bladder neoplasms"[TIAB] OR "bladder tumor"[TIAB] OR "bladder tumors"[TIAB] OR "bladder tumour"[TIAB] OR "bladder tumours"[TIAB] OR "transitional cell carcinoma"[TIAB] OR "transitional cell carcinomas" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell cancer" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell cancers" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell cancer" malignancies" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell tumor" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell tumors" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell tumour" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell tumours" [TIAB] OR "urothelial cancer" [TIAB] OR "urothelial cancers" [TIAB] OR "urothelial carcinoma" [TIAB] OR "urothelial carcinomas" [TIAB] OR "urothelial malignancy" [TIAB] OR "urothelial malignancies" [TIAB] OR "urothelial tumor" [TIAB] OR "urothelial tumors" [TIAB] OR "urothelial tumour" [TIAB] OR "urothelial tumours" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract carcinoma" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract carcinomas" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract cancer"[TIAB] OR "urinary tract cancers"[TIAB] OR "urinary tract malignancy"[TIAB] OR "urinary tract malignancies"[TIAB] OR "urinary tract tumor" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract tumors" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract tumour" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract tumours"[TIAB]
- 2. "exercise" [MESH] OR "Exercise Movement Techniques" [Mesh] OR "Sports" [Mesh] OR "Physical Fitness" [Mesh] OR "Physical Exertion"[Mesh] OR "Exercise Therapy"[Mesh] OR "Dance Therapy"[Mesh] OR "Recreation Therapy"[Mesh] OR "Rehabilitation, Vocational" [Mesh] OR "Telerehabilitation" [Mesh] OR "Occupational Therapy" [Mesh] OR "Self Care"[Mesh] OR "Urinary Incontinence/rehabilitation"[Mesh] OR "Pelvic Floor/therapy"[Mesh] OR "self care"[TIAB] OR

"exercise" [TIAB] OR "exercising" [TIAB] OR "exercises" [TIAB] OR "sport" [TIAB] OR "sports" [TIAB] OR "physical activity" [TIAB] OR "physical activities" [TIAB] OR "physiotherapy" [TIAB] OR "kinesiotherapy" [TIAB] OR "physical conditioning"[TIAB] OR physical education\*[TIAB] OR "physical fitness"[TIAB] OR "running"[TIAB] OR "swimming"[TIAB] OR "walking" [TIAB] OR "jogging" [TIAB] OR "weight lifting" [TIAB] OR "dancing" [TIAB] OR "dance" [TIAB] OR "tai chi" [TIAB] OR "tai ji" [TIAB] OR "yoga" [TIAB] OR "pilates" [TIAB] OR "gymnastic" [TIAB] OR "gymnastics" [TIAB] OR "resistance training"[TIAB] OR "aerobic training"[TIAB] OR "anaerobic training"[TIAB] OR "muscle training"[TIAB] OR "stretching"[TIAB] OR "aerobic"[TIAB] OR "aerobics"[TIAB] OR "rehabilitation"[TIAB] OR "pre-habilitation"[TIAB] OR "prehabilitation" [TIAB] OR "aquagym" [TIAB] OR "football" [TIAB] OR "soccer" [TIAB] OR "circuit training" [TIAB] OR "fitness" [TIAB] OR "bladder training" [TIAB] OR "incontinence management" [TIAB] OR "urinary management" [TIAB] "Tertiary Prevention"[Mesh] OR "Social Support"[Mesh] OR "Psychiatric Rehabilitation"[Mesh] OR "Health Promotion"[Mesh] OR "Psychotherapy"[Mesh] OR "Counseling"[Mesh] OR "Patient Education as Topic"[Mesh] OR "Sex Education"[Mesh] OR "Sexuality/rehabilitation"[Mesh] OR "Health Literacy"[Mesh] OR "psychology/education"[MESH] OR "psychology/therapy" [MESH] OR "Problem Solving" [MESH] OR "Mind-body therapies" [Mesh] OR "patient participation" [MESH] OR "Existentialism" [MESH] OR "spiritual therapies" [MESH] OR "problem-based learning" [MESH] OR "Sedentary Lifestyle" [Mesh] OR psychosocial intervention\* [TIAB] OR psychologic intervention\* [TIAB] OR psychological intervention\*[TIAB] OR psychology intervention\*[TIAB] OR social intervention\*[TIAB] OR psychosocial therap\*[TIAB] OR psychologic therap\*[TIAB] OR "psychological therapy"[TIAB] OR "psychological therapies"[TIAB] OR "psychology therapies" [TIAB] OR "psychotherapy" [TIAB] OR "tertiary health care" [TIAB] OR "tertiary prevention" [TIAB] OR "social support"[TIAB] OR social behav\*[TIAB] OR "support care"[TIAB] OR "supportive care"[TIAB] OR behavior treatment\*[TIAB] OR behavioral treatment\*[TIAB] OR behavior therap\*[TIAB] OR behavioral therap\*[TIAB] OR cognitive treatment\*[TIAB] OR cognitive therap\*[TIAB] OR "counseling" [TIAB] OR patient education\*[TIAB] OR "patient participation"[TIAB] OR health education\*[TIAB] OR sex education\*[TIAB] OR sexual education\*[TIAB] OR psychoeducation\*[TIAB] OR sex therap\*[TIAB] OR sexual therap\*[TIAB] OR stoma education\*[TIAB] OR stoma therap\*[TIAB] OR health literac\*[TIAB] OR health promotion\*[TIAB] OR health behavio\*[TIAB] OR "cognitive behavior" [TIAB] OR "behavior training" [TIAB] OR "behavioral training" [TIAB] OR "behavioural training" [TIAB] OR CBT[TIAB] OR complementary therap\*[TIAB] OR mind body therap\*[TIAB] OR spiritual therap\*[TIAB] OR relaxation therap\*[TIAB] OR "relaxation training"[TIAB] OR client centered therap\*[TIAB] OR conditioning[TIAB] OR stoma management[TIAB] OR bowel management[TIAB] OR coping [TIAB] OR mindfulness[TIAB] OR empowerment[TIAB] OR

empowering[TIAB] OR self-monitoring\*[TIAB] OR motivation therap\*[TIAB] OR motivational therap\*[TIAB] OR motivation

interview[TIAB] OR motivation interviewing[TIAB] OR motivational interview[TIAB] OR motivational interviewing[TIAB] OR

motivation treatment\*[TIAB] OR motivational treatment\*[TIAB] OR psychology[TIAB] OR problem solv\*[TIAB] OR

training"[TIAB] OR existentialism[TIAB] OR "spiritual healing"[TIAB] OR spiritual therap\*[TIAB] OR "transcultural

"psychosocial care" [TIAB] OR "psychological care" [TIAB] OR "psychologic care" [TIAB] OR caregiver support\* [TIAB] OR

sociotherap\*[TIAB] OR occupational therap\*[TIAB] OR care deliver\*[TIAB] OR functional therap\*[TIAB] OR "functional

4. 2 OR 3

care"[TIAB] OR "life style"[TIAB]

	5. 1 AND 4
EMBASE (via Embase.com interface)	<ol> <li>'bladder tumor'/exp OR 'urinary tract carcinoma'/exp OR 'bladder cancer':ab,ti OR 'bladder cancer':ab,ti OR 'bladder cancer':ab,ti OR 'bladder cancer':ab,ti OR 'bladder carcinoma':ab,ti OR 'bladder tumor':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell carcinoma':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell carcinomas':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell cancer':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell cancer':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell tumors':ab,ti OR 'urothelial cancers':ab,ti OR 'urothelial cancers':ab,ti OR 'urothelial cancers':ab,ti OR 'urothelial tumors':ab,ti OR 'urinary tract carcinomas':ti,ab OR 'urinary tract cancers':ti,ab OR 'urinary tract tumors':ti,ab O</li></ol>

care':ab,ti OR 'behavior treatment\*':ab,ti OR 'behavioral treatment\*':ab,ti OR 'behavior therap\*':ab,ti OR 'behavioral therap\*':ab,ti OR 'cognitive treatment\*':ab,ti OR 'cognitive therap\*':ab,ti OR 'counseling':ab,ti OR 'patient education\*':ab,ti OR 'patient participation':ab,ti OR 'health education\*':ab,ti OR 'sex education\*':ab,ti OR 'sexual education\*':ab,ti OR 'psychoeducation\*':ab,ti OR 'sex therap\*':ab,ti OR 'sexual therap\*':ab,ti OR 'stoma education\*':ab,ti OR 'stoma therap\*':ab,ti OR 'health literac\*':ab,ti OR 'health promotion\*':ab,ti OR 'health behavio\*':ab,ti OR 'cognitive behavior':ab,ti OR 'behavior training':ab,ti OR 'behavioral training':ab,ti OR 'behavioural training':ab,ti OR 'CBT':ab,ti OR 'complementary therap\*':ab,ti OR 'mind body therap\*':ab,ti OR 'spiritual therap\*':ab,ti OR 'relaxation therap\*':ab,ti OR 'relaxation training':ab,ti OR 'client centered therap\*':ab,ti OR 'conditioning':ab,ti OR 'stoma management':ab,ti OR 'bowel management':ab,ti OR 'coping':ab,ti OR 'mindfulness':ab,ti OR 'empowerment':ab,ti OR 'empowering':ab,ti OR 'self-monitoring\*':ab,ti OR 'motivation therap\*':ab,ti OR 'motivational therap\*':ab,ti OR 'motivation interview':ab,ti OR 'motivation interviewing':ab,ti OR 'motivational interview':ab,ti OR 'motivational interviewing':ab,ti OR 'motivation treatment\*':ab,ti OR 'motivational treatment\*':ab,ti OR 'psychology':ab,ti OR 'problem solv\*':ab,ti OR 'psychosocial care':ab,ti OR 'psychological care':ab,ti OR 'psychologic care':ab,ti OR 'caregiver support\*':ab,ti OR 'sociotherap\*':ab,ti OR 'occupational therap\*':ab,ti OR 'care deliver\*':ab,ti OR 'functional therap\*':ab,ti OR 'functional training':ab,ti OR 'existentialism':ab,ti OR 'spiritual healing':ab,ti OR 'spiritual therap\*':ab,ti OR 'transcultural care':ab,ti OR 'life style':ab,ti

- 4. 2 OR 3
- 5. 1 AND 4

Web of Science 13/12/2016

- 1. TS=("bladder cancer") OR TS=("bladder cancers") OR TS=("bladder carcinoma") OR TS=("bladder carcinomas") OR TS=("bladder malignancy") OR TS=("bladder malignancies") OR TS=("bladder neoplasm") OR TS=("bladder neoplasms") OR TS=("bladder tumor") OR TS=("bladder tumors") OR TS=("bladder tumour") OR TS=("bladder tumours") OR TS=("transitional cell carcinoma") OR TS=("transitional cell carcinomas") OR TS=("transitional cell cancer") OR TS=("transitional cell cancers") OR TS=("transitional cell malignancies") OR TS=("transitional cell tumor") OR TS=("transitional cell tumors") OR TS=("transitional cell tumour") OR TS=("transitional cell tumours") OR TS=("urothelial cancer") OR TS=("urothelial cancers") OR TS=("urothelial carcinoma") OR TS=("urothelial carcinomas")OR TS=("urothelial carci malignancy") OR TS=("urothelial malignancies") OR TS=("urothelial tumor") OR TS=("urothelial tumors")OR TS=("urothelial tumour") OR TS=("urothelial tumours") OR TS=("urinary tract carcinoma") OR TS=("urinary tract carcinomas") OR TS=("urinary tract cancer") OR TS=("urinary tract cancers") OR TS=("urinary tract malignancy") OR TS=("urinary tract malignancies") OR TS=("urinary tract tumor") OR TS=("urinary tract tumors") OR TS=("urinary tract tumour") OR TS=("urinary tract tumours")
- 2. TS=("self care") OR TS=(exercise) OR TS=(exercising) OR TS=(exercises) OR TS=(sport) OR TS=(sports) OR TS=("physical activity") OR TS=("physical activities") OR TS=(physiotherapy) OR TS=(kinesiotherapy) OR TS=("physical conditioning") OR TS=("physical education\*") OR TS=("physical fitness") OR TS=(running) OR TS=(swimming) OR TS=(walking) OR TS=(jogging) OR TS=("weight lifting") OR TS=(dancing) OR TS=(dance) OR TS=("tai chi") OR TS=("tai ji") OR TS=(yoga) OR TS=(pilates) OR TS=(gymnastic) OR TS=(gymnastics) OR TS=("resistance training") OR TS=("aerobic training") OR TS=("anaerobic training") OR TS=("muscle training") OR TS=(aerobic) OR TS=(aerobics) OR TS=(rehabilitation) OR TS=("pre-habilitation") OR TS=(prehabilitation) OR TS=(aguagym) OR TS=(football) OR TS=(soccer)

- OR TS=("circuit training") OR TS=(fitness) OR TS=("bladder training") OR TS=("incontinence management") OR TS=("urinary management")
- 3. TS=("psychosocial intervention\*")OR TS=("psychologic intervention\*") OR TS=("psychological intervention\*")OR TS=("psychology intervention\*")OR TS=("social intervention\*") OR TS=("psychosocial therap\*")OR TS=("psychologic therap\*")OR TS=("psychological therapy")OR TS=("psychological therapies") OR TS=("psychology therapies") OR TS=(psychotherapy) OR TS=("tertiary health care") OR TS=("tertiary prevention") OR TS=("social support") OR TS=("social support") behav\*") OR TS=("support care") OR TS=("behavior treatment\*") OR TS=("behavioral treatment\*")OR TS=("behavior therap\*")OR TS=("behavioral therap\*") OR TS=("cognitive treatment\*") OR TS=("cognitive therap\*") OR TS=(counseling) OR TS=("patient education\*")OR TS=("patient participation")OR TS=("health education\*")OR TS=("sex education\*") OR TS=("sex therap\*")OR TS=("sexual therap\*") OR TS=("stoma education\*")OR TS=("stoma therap\*")OR TS=("health literac\*") OR TS=("health promotion\*")OR TS=("health behavio\*") OR TS=("cognitive behavior") OR TS=("behavior training") OR TS=("behavioral training") OR TS=("behavioural training") OR TS=(CBT) OR TS=("complementary therap\*")OR TS=("mind body therap\*")OR TS=("spiritual therap\*")OR TS=("relaxation therap\*")OR TS=("relaxation training") OR TS=("client centered therap\*")OR TS=(conditioning)OR TS=("stoma management")OR TS=("bowel management") OR TS=(coping)OR TS=(mindfulness)OR TS=(empowerment) OR TS=(empowering) OR TS=("self-monitoring\*")OR TS=("motivation") therap\*")OR TS=("motivational therap\*")OR TS=("motivation interview") OR TS=("motivation interviewing") OR TS=("motivational interview") OR TS=("motivational interviewing") OR TS=("motivation treatment\*")OR TS=("motivational treatment\*")OR TS=(psychology)OR TS=("problem solv\*")OR TS=("psychosocial care")OR TS=("psychological care")OR TS=("psychologic care")OR TS=("caregiver support\*")OR TS=(sociotherap\*) OR TS=("occupational therap\*") OR TS=("care deliver\*") OR TS=("functional therap\*") OR TS=("functional training") OR TS=(existentialism)OR TS=("spiritual healing") OR TS=("spiritual therap\*")OR TS=("transcultural care") OR TS=("life style")
- 4. 2 OR 3
- 5. 1 AND 4

PEDro 13/12/2016

Title/abstract: bladder cancer

The cited and citing articles of the included studies, retrieved with the above searches, will be screened through Web of Science to potentially identify other studies.

# PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol\*

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMA	ATION	line number
Title:		
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review 2-3
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number 61
Authors:		
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author 4-22
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review 365-368
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments
Support:		
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review 369-371
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor 369-371
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol 370-371
INTRODUCTION		
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known 92-176
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO) 177-184
METHODS		
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) 192-251 and report characteristics (such as years considered 254, language 269-271, publication status 269-270) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage 253-260
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated Supplementary file 1
Study records:		
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review 263-265

Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis) 263-274
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators 275-279
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications 280-303
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale 231-249
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis 304-315
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised 328-329
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as $I^2$ , Kendall's $\tau$ ) 326-327 335-349
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression) 344, 348
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned 335
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies) 331-333
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)307-309

<sup>\*</sup> It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

# **BMJ Open**

# Rehabilitation interventions to improve patient reported outcomes and physical fitness in survivors of muscle invasive bladder cancer: a systematic review protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-016054.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	20-Mar-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Rammant, Elke; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research Bultijnck, Renée; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research Sundahl, Nora; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research Ost, Piet; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research Pauwels, Nele; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Knowledge Center Ghent Deforche, Benedicte; Universiteit Gent, Department of Public Health Pieters, Ronny; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Urology Decaestecker, Karel; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Urology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Evidence based practice, Oncology, Rehabilitation medicine
Keywords:	Muscle invasive bladder cancer, Exercise, Psychosocial intervention, systematic review, Rehabilitation



Rehabilitation interventions to improve patient reported outcomes and physical fitness in survivors of muscle invasive bladder cancer: a systematic review protocol

Elke Rammant<sup>1</sup>, Renée Bultijnck<sup>1</sup>, Nora Sundahl<sup>1</sup>, Piet Ost<sup>1</sup>, Nele S. Pauwels<sup>2</sup>, Benedicte Deforche<sup>3</sup>, Ronny Pieters<sup>4</sup>, Karel Decaestecker<sup>4</sup>, Valérie Fonteyne<sup>1</sup>

### Affiliations:

- Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium
- <sup>2.</sup> Knowledge Center Ghent, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium
- 3. Department of Public Health, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
- 4. Department of Urology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium

# **Corresponding Author:**

Elke Rammant, MSc.

Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research,
Ghent University Hospital

De Pintelaan 185, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

Tel: +32 9 33 21552

E-mail: elke.rammant@ugent.be

Word count excluding title page, abstract, references, figures and tables: 3576

### **ABSTRACT**

### Introduction

Survivors of muscle invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) experience physical and psychosocial side effects of cancer diagnosis and treatment. These negative side effects have a crucial impact on the quality of life (Qol). To date, there is evidence that rehabilitation interventions such as physical activity and psychosocial support have a positive effect on the Qol of cancer survivors. Unfortunately, there are no specific guidelines for rehabilitation or survivorship programs for MIBC survivors. Therefore, this systematic review aims to assess the effects of exercise-based and psychosocial rehabilitation interventions for MIBC survivors.

# Methods and analysis

The approach of this review is consistent with the Cochrane methodology. Randomized controlled trials and non-randomized studies will be included. The population of interest is patients (≥18 years of age) with diagnosis of MIBC and there will be two eligible intervention types for inclusion: exercise-based and psychosocial rehabilitation interventions. The primary outcome measures are patient reported outcomes (e.g. Qol, fatigue, pain) and physical fitness. Studies will be identified independently by two review authors by searching the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, MEDLINE, Embase, Web of Science and the Physiotherapy Evidence Database. A third reviewer will be asked by disagreements. Risk of bias will be assessed using the Cochrane Collaboration tool and the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. Data will be summarized descriptively. If homogeneity of the studies is sufficient, meta-analysis will be undertaken.

### Discussion

This systematic review is expected to provide guidance to development of evidence-based rehabilitation or survivorship programs for MIBC survivors. The broad scope of this review (i.e. different interventions and study designs) is needed to have a comprehensive view on effective rehabilitation interventions.

### **Ethics and dissemination**

Ethics approval is not required, as no primary data will be collected. Results will be disseminated through a peer-reviewed publication.

PROSPERO registration number: CRD42017055306

### **Keywords**

Bladder neoplasm – exercise – psychosocial intervention – rehabilitation

# Strengths and limitations of this study

### Strengths

- The overall approach of this review is consistent with the methodology described in is for Sy.

  in the field.

  Ause the incidenc

  city of studies meeting the inclusion c the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic reviews of Interventions

### Limitations



### **BACKGROUND**

# **Description of the condition**

Bladder cancer (BC) is the 11<sup>th</sup> most common cancer worldwide. When both genders are considered separately, BC in men is rising to the 7<sup>th</sup> place whilst BC in women is dropping to the 17<sup>th</sup> place of most common cancer worldwide<sup>12</sup>. Besides the fact that BC is more common in men than in women, BC is also known as a disease of the elderly. Due to the growth of an aging population, it can be expected that the incidence of BC will rise in the near future<sup>3 4</sup>. Thirty percent of the patients diagnosed with BC present with muscle invasive BC (MIBC, stages T2-T4)<sup>5</sup>. Also, depending on risk stratification, up to 45% of patients with non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) will progress to MIBC<sup>6</sup>. The standard treatment in MIBC is neo-adjuvant chemotherapy followed by radical cystectomy (bladder removal), extended pelvic lymph node dissection and urinary diversion (continent or incontinent bladder replacement). Also patients with high-risk NMIBC are offered a radical cystectomy. <sup>1</sup>. These are major interventions associated with a variety of negative side effects, especially on the urinary, gastro-intestinal and sexual function. This can lead to a loss of health-related quality of life (HRQoL), which refers to the patients' own perceptions of their health and ability to function<sup>7</sup> encompassing physical, psychological, social, and spiritual dimensions<sup>8</sup>. Combined radiochemotherapy is an alternative for radical cystectomy in order to preserve the bladder. Both radiotherapy and chemotherapy can also be used in the adjuvant or palliative setting and can also cause a variety of treatment related side effects. Therefore, follow-up care beyond the acute diagnosis and treatment phase is necessary<sup>9</sup>.

## **Description of the intervention**

The World Health Organisation (WHO) has defined rehabilitation as "the use of all means aimed at reducing the impact of disabling and handicapping conditions at enabling people with disabilities to achieve optimal social integration"<sup>10</sup>. A more specific definition of rehabilitation in the setting of cancer is the following: "cancer rehabilitation is a concept that is defined by the patient and involves helping a person with cancer to obtain maximum physical, social, psychological, and vocational functioning within the limit by the disease and its treatment"<sup>11</sup>. Therefore, cancer rehabilitation needs to comprise different intervention approaches<sup>12</sup>.

Physical activity (PA) is seen as one of the rehabilitation interventions to improve patients quality of life (Qol) and survival outcomes. PA is defined as "any movement created by the skeletal muscles that causes a substantial increase in energy expenditure" <sup>14</sup>. It is important that the terms 'physical activity' and 'exercise' are not mixed with each other. PA can be seen as an overarching term that includes exercise as well as other activities which involve bodily movement and are done as part of playing, working, active transportation, house chores and recreational activities. Exercise on the other hand, is a subcategory of PA. It is planned, structured, repetitive and purposeful to improve or maintain one or more

components of physical fitness (cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength, muscular endurance, flexibility and body composition)<sup>15</sup>. These components represent important outcomes in cancer survivors that may mediate the influence of exercise on other outcomes<sup>14</sup>.

Psychosocial interventions are another important focus in rehabilitation. These sort of interventions aim to help patients cope with negative side effects of cancer diagnosis and treatment<sup>16</sup>. Based on a framework proposed by Buffart et al., there are five categories of interventions: patient education, social support, coping skills training, psychotherapy and spiritual/existential therapy<sup>17</sup>.

# How the intervention might work

In order to explain how cancer rehabilitation interventions might work, the revised Wilson and Cleary Model for HRQoL will be used as a conceptual framework<sup>18</sup>. This is useful to explain the pathways between different patient outcomes<sup>19</sup>. The model proposes five types of patient outcome measurements (biological function, symptoms, functional status, health perception and overall QOL), which have a causal relationship <sup>18 20</sup>. These five patient outcome measurements can be influenced by individual and environment characteristics.

In the context of bladder cancer, morbidity associated with the disease and its treatment can lead to complications related to urinary diversion, fatigue, urinary incontinence or constipation, sexual dysfunction<sup>21</sup> and psychological distress<sup>22</sup>. This can potentially lead to a loss of physical, social, psychological and role function, which affects activities in daily life. As a consequence, the general health perceptions of the patient can be damaged which can finally lead to an overall impaired QOL. All of these outcomes can be influenced by environment and individual characteristics.

The provision of cancer rehabilitation can be seen as a physical environment characteristic. Since it has been proven in other cancer populations that cancer rehabilitation interventions have a positive influence on for example physical fitness<sup>23</sup>, muscle capacity<sup>24</sup>, fatigue and emotional distress<sup>25</sup>, we can conclude, according to the revised Wilson and Cleary Model for HRQoL, that cancer rehabilitation interventions can have a positive influence on other patient outcomes such as HRQoL<sup>18</sup>.

Individual characteristics, such as age and the associated increased risk of co morbidities, are important factors to take into account in bladder cancer patients. These characteristics are associated with poorer health<sup>26</sup> such as functional and psychosocial declines<sup>27</sup>. Although this supports the need for cancer rehabilitation, the older age of bladder cancer patients creates challenges in recommending rehabilitation interventions. Potential difficulties are the lack of social support in older patients and the need for extra time and resources to enroll these patients<sup>28</sup>. Additionally, the high prevalence of urinary complications and problems with body image in bladder cancer patients can act as potential barriers to participate in exercise interventions<sup>26</sup>. According to Karvinen et al., exercise interventions aimed at bladder cancer

survivors should focus on offering enjoyable activities, education on the benefits of regular exercise, enhancing activity levels in important others and targeting perceived barriers. They also note that adjuvant therapy, age and invasiveness of the tumor may affect exercise participation<sup>26</sup>. Furthermore, bladder cancer survivors seem to be most interested in walking and home-based, individual exercises that are not supervised<sup>29</sup>.

# Why it is important to do this review

With an increasing number of cancer survivors, cancer rehabilitation will become imperative in cancer survivorship. In order to develop evidence-based rehabilitation programs for patients with MIBC, it is essential to have a global picture of effective rehabilitation interventions. Therefore, a systematic review assessing the effects of exercise-based and psychosocial rehabilitation interventions for BC patients is needed. A previous systematic review assessed the effects of lifestyle factors (diet, smoking and physical activity) on HRQoL in bladder cancer survivors. Findings of this review concluded that there was limited evidence to support a positive association between HRQoL and physical activity in bladder cancer survivors<sup>30</sup>. Our review differs with previous review in several aspects. First we want to identify well defined interventions that are effective. In previous review, they evaluated the physical activity pattern of the patient, which is not the same as an exercise intervention. Furthermore, this review will not only focus on HRQoL, we will also assess the effects of rehabilitation interventions on other outcomes (explained below). Additionally, to our knowledge, there is no systematic review that has summarized the evidence of psychosocial rehabilitation interventions for MIBC survivors in a systematic manner.

So far, no specific guidelines exist for exercise-based and/or psychosocial rehabilitation interventions for MIBC survivors. This review could give guidance to the development of specific evidence-based guidelines. Although this review focuses only on the exercise and psychosocial part of rehabilitation, it is important to note that rehabilitation of cancer patients requires also other interventions such as diet counseling, smoking cessation, etc. <sup>12</sup>.

It should also be noticed that the provision of cancer rehabilitation is an often-neglected facet of cancer care in terms of health policy and infrastructure<sup>31</sup>. Frequently reported barriers to rehabilitation interventions are the lack of expertise, inappropriate referrals by physicians, funding issues<sup>24 31</sup> and availability of rehabilitation resources<sup>32</sup>. The results of this review may increase the awareness of physicians and funders of the importance of cancer rehabilitation.

### **AIMS AND OBJECTIVES**

# **Primary objective**

1. Assessing the effects of rehabilitation interventions (exercise-based and psychosocial interventions) on patient reported outcomes (PROs) (e.g. Qol, fatigue, pain) and physical fitness of MIBC survivors.

# Secondary objective

1. Identifying significant moderators of intervention effects.

### **METHODS**

The overall approach of this review is consistent with the methodology described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic reviews of Interventions<sup>33</sup> and is described below. Reports of current systematic review protocol adhere to the preferred items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis protocol (PRISMA-P) checklist <sup>34</sup>. The systematic review itself will adhere to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)<sup>35</sup>.

# Criteria for considering studies for this review

# Types of studies

Because of the anticipated low amount of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), RCTs and non-randomized studies (NRS) (i.e., cohort studies, case-control studies, cross-sectional studies and quasi-randomized controlled clinical trials (CCTs)) will be considered as appropriate study designs. Results from RCTs and NRS will be presented separately.

# Types of participants

The population of interest will be adults (≥18 years of age) with medically confirmed diagnosis of localized MIBC or high risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) for whom a radical cystectomy is indicated. Studies with a majority of patients with metastasized BC will be excluded. Demographic factors are no exclusion criteria except for age (<18 years of age). Studies involving participants with a range of cancers or other diagnoses that report results specifically for patients with MIBC will be included. Studies involving participants with urologic cancers where data is not provided separately for MIBC patients will be excluded.

## Types of interventions

Exercise-based rehabilitation interventions considered for this review will be aerobic or endurance activities, strength or resistance training, balance exercises, flexibility exercises (with inclusion of yoga and Pilates), exercises specific to address sexual functioning and pelvic floor exercises in case of bladder preserving strategies or bladder reconstruction after radical cystectomy. Generalized advice to engage in regular PA activity will not be considered as an exercise-based rehabilitation intervention. In this review, the focus will be placed on exercise in a planned, structured, repetitive and purposeful rehabilitation intervention. PA as part of playing, working, active transportation, house chores or recreational activities will not be included.

Psychosocial rehabilitation interventions eligible for inclusion will be based on the framework proposed by Buffart et al.: patient education (e.g. stoma management, generalized advice to engage in PA activity), social support, coping skills training, psychotherapy and spiritual/existential therapy<sup>17</sup>. Alternative medicine and therapies will not be included in this review.

Both intervention types can either be individual or in group, hospital-based or home-based (with follow up by a professional), supervised by a physiotherapist or not and can be given before, during and/or after treatment. No limits will be placed on the timing, frequency, intensity and duration of rehabilitation interventions. The interventions will be compared with an inactive control intervention (e.g. no treatment, standard care or a waiting list control).

# Types of outcome measures

For both primary and secondary outcome measures, there will be no exclusion based on length of follow up.

### **Primary outcomes**

- 1. PROs including overall HRQoL, specific HRQoL domains including symptoms such as fatigue, pain, urinary incontinence, sexual dysfunction, gastro-intestinal dysfunction and psychological factors such as anxiety, depression, stress and self-esteem. Due to the wide range of questionnaires used for PROs and the non-consensus of using one standardized questionnaire, only studies using the standardized and validated measurement instruments for PRO, found in Table 1<sup>36 48</sup> will be included. All studies using other measurement instruments will be excluded, unless proof of their validation can be found in literature.
- 2. Physical fitness assessed by  $VO_2$  peak,  $VO_2$  max, 6- or 12-minute walk test, 400-m walk test, handgrip strength tests, sit and reach tests or other proven to be validated instruments.

# Secondary outcomes

- 1. Cancer recurrence, cancer-specific survival, progression-free survival, overall survival, mortality, years of life lost or 5-year survival.
- 2. Body composition assessed by height, weight, body mass index, muscle capacity, fat mass, lean body mass, thickness of skin folds, body fat, arm circumference, waist circumference, hip circumference or waist-hip ratio.
- 3. Bone mineral density or fracture risk assessed by fracture risk assessment tool (FRAX)<sup>49</sup>.
- 4. Karnofsky performance score (KPS)<sup>50</sup>.

Table 1 – standardized and validated measurement instruments for the included PROs

Patient reported outcome	Validated measurement instrument
HRQOL	EQ-5D visual analogue scale, MID: 7 points, SF-36, Ferrans and Powers Quality of Life Index, World Health Organization Quality of Life, SF-12, Padilla QLI, 20-item short form health survey and Satisfaction With Life Scale
Cancer specific QOL	FACT-G, EORTC QLQ-C30, Functional Living Index-Cancer, Selby's QLI, Cleary's QLI and Cancer Rehabilitation Evaluation System –SF
Bladder cancer specific QOL	QLQ-BLM30, BCI and FACT-BI
Sexual function	IIEF-5 and FSFI
Gastro-intestinal function	GIQLI
Urinary incontinence	BCI supplement, ICIQ-UI SF, IPSS
Psychological factors	
Depression	BSI-18, BDI,ISR-depression scale
Anxiety	STAI anxiety scales, numeric rating scales or visual analogue scale
Stress	Perceived Stress Scale
Self-esteem	Rosenberg self-esteem scale

# Search methods for identification of studies

# Electronic searches

The following electronic databases will be searched from inception until the search date: the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), MEDLINE (using the PubMed interface), Embase (using the embase.com interface), Web of Science and the Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro). The search strategies will be evaluated using the PRESS checklist<sup>51</sup> and will be monitored and peer reviewed by an information specialist (NSP). The search strategies are presented in 'Supplementary File 1'.

### Searching other resources

The cited and citing references of the included studies will be checked via Web of Science.

# Data collection and analysis

### Selection of studies

All references found through the search process will be downloaded in a database created by reference management software (Endnote). After removing duplicates in Endnote, all references will be imported into Covidence for screening purposes. Obviously irrelevant studies, based on title and abstract, will independently be excluded by two review authors (ER and VF). After screening titles and abstracts, two review authors (ER and VF) will independently assess full-text reports for eligibility. Discrepancies will be discussed with a third review author (NS). Reasons for exclusion of full-texts will be documented. Studies will be excluded if no full-text is available. Abstracts in any other language than English will be excluded. There will be no language restriction for full texts and translations will be carried out if necessary. If studies have multiple publications with the same outcome(s) reported, manuscripts with the longest follow-up will be selected for inclusion. Older publications referred to in included articles will be accessed to clarify methods if required.

### Data extraction

A modified EPOC data collection form of the Cochrane collaboration will be used and pilot tested with at least three studies in the review<sup>52</sup>. After pilot testing the form, adjustments can be made. Data extraction will be performed independently by two review authors (ER and VF). At least following data will be extracted for each study:

- **General information:** date form completed, name of person extracting data, report title, report ID, authors' names, source, country, contact address, language of publication, year of publication.
- Population and setting: population description (from which study participants are drawn), setting (e.g. inpatient, outpatient, hospital setting, home setting, combination), inclusion and exclusion criteria.
- Methods: aim of study, design, unit of allocation, start and end date, duration of participation.
- Participants: number of participants in intervention and control groups, details of
  clusters if applicable, baseline imbalances, participant demographics such as sex and
  age, disease related characteristics such as stage of disease, received treatment(s)
  and comorbidities.
- Intervention: type of intervention (exercise-based, psychosocial or combination), details of intervention type (e.g. aerobic, pelvic floor exercises, counseling, patient education), co-intervention(s), type of control intervention, frequency, duration and providers of the intervention.
- Outcomes: outcome name, time points measured and reported, outcome definition, person measuring/reporting, upper and lower limits of scales, unit measurement if relevant, if outcome/tool is validated, imputation of missing data and assumed risk estimate if reported.
- Results: outcome, measurement effects (please see data analyses below) for intervention and comparison group, baseline data, number of missing participants and reasons, and statistical methods used.

### Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias will be used for RCTs. Assessment of risk of bias in NRS will be done using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for observational studies. The assessment of risk of bias will be done independently by two reviewers (ER and NSP). Differences will be discussed and a third reviewer (NS) will be consulted when needed. Results will be summarized both in a graph and a narrative summary. In order to evaluate selective reporting, the reviewers will check clinical trial registries or search any protocols of the studies for a priori reported primary and secondary outcome measures. The strength of the body of evidence will be assessed according to the GRADE approach.

### Dealing with missing data

If essential data is not available in the publication, we will first attempt to contact the study authors. If this is not possible, we will try to back-calculate from the data presented. If data will be obtained from other study authors, this will be reported in the review in a transparent manner. This way, we can keep in mind that this missing data obtained from study authors was not peer reviewed. Studies assessing lifestyle interventions may have issues with compliance. Therefore, reasons for missing data (e.g. dropouts, losses to follow-up and withdrawals) will be carefully reported.

# Assessment of heterogeneity

First, there will be a critical consideration of the heterogeneity between the different interventions and outcomes to evaluate whether there is clinical diversity or not. Based on this evaluation, there will be a decision if a meta-analysis can be conducted. When there's no clinical heterogeneity, statistical heterogeneity will be quantified using the  $I^2$  statistic. We will consider the statistical heterogeneity to be high if  $I^2 > 50$  %. Depending on the heterogeneity of the studies and their results, we will further decide if a meta-analysis can be conducted. We will attempt to explain any observed heterogeneity in the review.

# Assessment of reporting bias

Funnel plots will be used to assess publication bias when ten or more studies are included in a meta-analysis.

### Data synthesis

The findings from the included studies will be summarized descriptively. For dichotomous outcomes, measurement of treatment effect will be reported as risk ratios (RRs) and 95 % confidence intervals (CIs). For continuous outcomes, we will calculate mean differences and 95 % CIs when results are reported on the same scale (or can be converted to the same scale) or standardized mean differences and 95 % CIs if results are reported on different scales.

There will be an attempt to identify significant moderators, based on the most important demographical and clinical characteristics in this population. In order to do this, there will be a subgroup analysis for age and urinary diversion type. To prevent co-intervention bias, there will also be a subgroup analysis based on the type of intervention (only exercised-based interventions, only psychosocial interventions or a combination).

When homogeneity of the studies is sufficient, random-effects meta-analysis will be undertaken separately for each type of study design. Only studies with low risk of bias will be included in meta-analyses. Therefore, we will perform sensitivity analyses to investigate how conclusions might be affected if studies at high or unclear risk of bias were included.

#### **Ethics and dissemination**

Ethics approval is not required, as no primary data will be collected. Results will be disseminated through a peer-reviewed publication.

#### **Discussion**

The scope of this review is broad (i.e., different rehabilitation interventions and study designs). Although this means that heterogeneity might be present between the studies, evaluation of different intervention approaches is needed to develop optimal rehabilitation or survivorship programs. Caution will be present in the interpretation of the results because of the fact that evidence from RCTs is higher than evidence from NRS. Therefore, the results derived from RCTs will be seen as the primary evidence. Results from NRS will be seen as additional evidence to support the results from RCTs.

This systematic review has several strengths. First, the overall approach of this review is consistent with the methodology described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic reviews of Interventions. Secondly, rehabilitation interventions are an innovative topic in the field of bladder cancer so this review ensures an absolute value. A third strength is the fact that MIBC is an actual problem because of the potentially rising incidence of MIBC due to the aging population.

The results of this systematic review could also have potential limitations in terms of biased results due to the nature of exercise and psychosocial interventions. It is impossible for such interventions to blind participants and personnel. Therefore, 'blinding of participants and personnel' will not be taken into account in the risk of bias assessment because of the thought that this will not necessarily affect the study quality. On the other hand, attrition and adherence biases and selective reporting biases are other common concerns around high risk of bias that would affect the study quality<sup>53</sup>. Therefore, it's important that the risk of bias assessment will be carried out very carefully. Another limitation of this review could be the possible paucity of studies meeting the inclusion criteria.

In spite of these anticipated limitations, it's important to conduct this review because of the expected implications for health care, research and survivorship. To date, there are no specific guidelines for exercise-based or psychosocial rehabilitation interventions for MIBC survivors. This systematic review is expected to provide guidance to the development of specific guidelines and evidence-based rehabilitation or survivorship programs for MIBC survivors. Development of such programs could have further implications for health care if they will be translated into daily clinical practice.

By identifying those interventions that have a positive effect on patient outcomes and which underlying factors ensure the success of such rehabilitation interventions, new interventions can be developed that can contribute to further research. The positive influence of physical activity on survivorship is already suggested in different tumor types<sup>54</sup>. The results of this systematic review can contribute to patient survivorship from the hypothesis that this positive association is also applicable in MIBC.

#### **Authors' contribution**

ER drafted the manuscript and developed the methods and analyses strategy. VF coordinated the process. NSP and NS aided in developing the search strategy. VF, PO and KD provided uro-oncology specific expertise. RB and BD gave valuable input concerning rehabilitation.

#### **Funding statement**

This study is funded by a grant of *Kom op tegen Kanker*. The granting bodies are not involved in data collection or analysis.

#### **Competing interests statement**

None declared.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Witjes JA, Compérat E, Cowan NC, et al. EAU Guidelines 2016: Muscle invasive and metastatic bladder cancer. Available from: https://uroweborg/guideline/bladder-cancer-muscle-invasive-and-metastatic/, accessed on 12/10/2016.
- 2. Burger M, Catto JW, Dalbagni G, et al. Epidemiology and risk factors of urothelial bladder cancer. *European urology* 2013;**63**(2):234-41.
- 3. Malats N, Real FX. Epidemiology of bladder cancer. Hematology/oncology clinics of North America 2015;**29**(2):177-89, vii.
- 4. Shahrokh FS, Milowsky M, Droller MJ. Bladder cancer in the elderly. *Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations* 2009;**27**(6):653-67.
- 5. Stenzl A, Witjes J, Compérat E, et al. Bladder Cancer Muscle-invasive and Metastatic. UPDATE 2012.
- 6. van Rhijn BW, Burger M, Lotan Y, et al. Recurrence and progression of disease in non–muscle-invasive bladder cancer: from epidemiology to treatment strategy. *European urology* 2009;**56**(3):430-42.
- 7. Wright JL, Porter MP. Quality-of-life assessment in patients with bladder cancer. Nature clinical practice Urology 2007;**4**(3):147-54.
- 8. Mohamed N, Gilbert F, Lee C, et al. Pursuing Quality in the Application of Bladder Cancer Quality of Life Research. Bladder Cancer 2016;**2**(2):139-49.
- National Cancer Institute: division of cancer control & population sciences. Available from: https://cancercontrolcancergov/ocs/statistics/definitionshtml, accessed on 11/10/2016 2016.
- 10. Hojan K. Contemporary rehabilitation at cancer centres. Journal of Medical Science 2016;83(2):156-60.
- 11. Gudbergsson SB, Dahl AA, Loge JH, et al. What is covered by "cancer rehabilitation" in PubMed? A review of randomized controlled trials 1990–2011. Journal of rehabilitation medicine 2015;47(2):97-106.
- 12. Weis J, Giesler JM. Rehabilitation for cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology* 2014:87-101.
- 13. Harrison JD, Young JM, Price MA, et al. What are the unmet supportive care needs of people with cancer? A systematic review. *Supportive Care in Cancer* 2009;**17**(8):1117-28.
- 14. McGowan EL, Courneya KS. Exercise interventions in supportive oncology. 2011.
- 15. World Health Organisation: Global Strategy On Diet, Physical Activity and Health. Available from: <a href="http://wwwwhoint/dietphysicalactivity/pa/en/">http://wwwwhoint/dietphysicalactivity/pa/en/</a>, accessed on 11/10/2016.
- 16. Parahoo K, McDonough S, McCaughan E, et al. Psychosocial interventions for men with prostate cancer. *The Cochrane Library* 2013.
- 17. Buffart LM, Kalter J, Chinapaw MJ, et al. Predicting OptimaL cAncer Rehabilitation and Supportive care (POLARIS): rationale and design for meta-analyses of individual patient data of randomized controlled trials that evaluate the effect of physical activity and psychosocial interventions on health-related quality of life in cancer survivors. *Systematic reviews* 2013;2(1):1.
- 18. Ferrans CE, Zerwic JJ, Wilbur JE, et al. Conceptual model of health-related quality of life. Journal of Nursing Scholarship 2005;**37**(4):336-42.
- 19. Saban KL, Penckofer SM, Androwich I, et al. Health-related quality of life of patients following selected types of lumbar spinal surgery: A pilot study. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes 2007;5(1):71.
- 20. Hacker E. Exercise and quality of life: strengthening the connections. Clinical journal of oncology nursing 2009;**13**(1):31.
- 21. Jensen BT, Jensen JB, Laustsen S, et al. Multidisciplinary rehabilitation can impact on health-related quality of life outcome in radical cystectomy: Secondary reported outcome of a randomized controlled trial. Journal of multidisciplinary healthcare 2014;**7**:301-11.

- 22. Palapattu GS, Haisfield-Wolfe ME, Walker JM, et al. Assessment of perioperative psychological distress in patients undergoing radical cystectomy for bladder cancer. The Journal of urology 2004;**172**(5):1814-17.
- 23. Buffart LM, Kalter J, Sweegers MG, et al. Effects and moderators of exercise on quality of life and physical function in patients with cancer: an individual patient data meta-analysis of 34 RCTs. Cancer Treatment Reviews 2016.
- 24. Alfano CM, Ganz PA, Rowland JH, et al. Cancer survivorship and cancer rehabilitation: revitalizing the link. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2012;**30**(9):904-06.
- 25. Andersen BL. Biobehavioral outcomes following psychological interventions for cancer patients. Journal of consulting and clinical psychology 2002;**70**(3):590.
- 26. Karvinen KH, Courneya KS, Plotnikoff RC, et al. A prospective study of the determinants of exercise in bladder cancer survivors using the theory of planned behavior. Supportive Care in Cancer 2009;17(2):171.
- 27. Brown JC, Winters-Stone K, Lee A, et al. Cancer, physical activity, and exercise. *Comprehensive Physiology* 2012.
- 28. Grabowski JP, Pintus D, Ramesh HS, et al. The Challenges of Treating Cancer in Older Patients— How Effective Are Clinical Trials in Developing Unique Treatments? performance evaluation 2008;5:28.
- 29. Karvinen KH, Courneya KS, Venner P, et al. Exercise programming and counseling preferences in bladder cancer survivors: a population-based study. Journal of cancer survivorship: research and practice 2007;1(1):27-34.
- 30. Gopalakrishna A, Longo TA, Fantony JJ, et al. Lifestyle factors and health-related quality of life in bladder cancer survivors: a systematic review. Journal of Cancer Survivorship 2016;**10**(5):874-82.
- 31. Pearson EJ, Twigg VJ. A framework for rehabilitation for cancer survivors. European journal of cancer care 2013;**22**(6):701-08.
- 32. Bultijnck R, Surcel C, Ploussard G, et al. Practice Patterns Compared with Evidence-based Strategies for the Management of Androgen Deprivation Therapy–Induced Side Effects in Prostate Cancer Patients: Results of a European Web-based Survey. European Urology Focus 2016.
- 33. Higgins JPT, (editors) GS. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011:Available from <a href="https://www.handbook.cochrane.org">www.handbook.cochrane.org</a>.
- 34. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and metaanalysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. Bmj 2015;**349**:g7647.
- 35. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: the PRISMA statement. Bmj 2009;**339**:b2535.
- 36. Measuring health-related quality of life in men with prostate cancer: A systematic review of the most used questionnaires and their validity. *Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations*; 2015. Elsevier.
- 37. Danna BJ, Metcalfe MJ, Wood EL, et al. Assessing Symptom Burden in Bladder Cancer: An Overview of Bladder Cancer Specific Health-Related Quality of Life Instruments. *Bladder Cancer* 2016;**2**(3):329-40.
- 38. Vodermaier A, Linden W, Siu C. Screening for emotional distress in cancer patients: a systematic review of assessment instruments. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 2009;**101**(21):1464-88.
- 39. Brandt WA, Loew T, von Heymann F, et al. How does the ICD-10 symptom rating (ISR) with four items assess depression compared to the BDI-II? A validation study. *Journal of affective disorders* 2015;**173**:143-45.
- 40. Hornblow A, Kidson M-A. The visual analogue scale for anxiety: a validation study. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 1976;**10**(4):339-41.

- 41. Araujo IB, Castelani A, Soler T, et al. Stress assessment in the elderly by the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS). INTERNATIONAL PSYCHOGERIATRICS 2015;27:S119-S19.
- 42. Li C-C, Chen M-L, Chang T-C, et al. Social support buffers the effect of self-esteem on quality of life of early-stage cervical cancer survivors in Taiwan. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing* 2015;**19**(5):486-94.
- 43. Gilbert SM, Dunn RL, Hollenbeck BK, et al. Development and validation of the Bladder Cancer Index: a comprehensive, disease specific measure of health related quality of life in patients with localized bladder cancer. The Journal of urology 2010;**183**(5):1764-70.
- 44. Dargis L, Trudel G, Cadieux J, et al. Validation of the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) and presentation of norms in older men. Sexologies 2013;**22**(1):e20-e26.
- 45. Baser RE, Li Y, Carter J. Psychometric validation of the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) in cancer survivors. Cancer 2012;**118**(18):4606-18.
- 46. Eypasch E, Williams J, Wood-Dauphinee S, et al. Gastrointestinal Quality of Life Index: development, validation and application of a new instrument. British Journal of Surgery 1995;82(2):216-22.
- 47. Hajebrahimi S, Nourizadeh D, Hamedani R, et al. Validity and reliability of the International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire-Urinary Incontinence Short Form and its correlation with urodynamic findings. Urology journal 2012;9(4):685.
- 48. Gray M. Psychometric evaluation of the international prostate symptom score. Urologic nursing 1998;**18**(3):175.
- 49. Watts NB, Lewiecki EM, Miller PD, et al. National Osteoporosis Foundation 2008 Clinician's Guide to Prevention and Treatment of Osteoporosis and the World Health Organization Fracture Risk Assessment Tool (FRAX): what they mean to the bone densitometrist and bone technologist. Journal of Clinical Densitometry 2008;11(4):473-77.
- 50. Mor V, Laliberte L, Morris JN, et al. The Karnofsky performance status scale: an examination of its reliability and validity in a research setting. Cancer 1984;**53**(9):2002-07.
- 51. McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, et al. PRESS Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies: 2015 Guideline Statement. *Journal of clinical epidemiology* 2016.
- 52. Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC). EPOC Resources for review authors. Oslo:
  Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services; 2015. Secondary Effective Practice and
  Organisation of Care (EPOC). EPOC Resources for review authors. Oslo: Norwegian
  Knowledge Centre for the Health Services; 2015. <a href="http://epoc.cochrane.org/epoc-specific-resources-review-authors">http://epoc.cochrane.org/epoc-specific-resources-review-authors</a>.
- 53. Bourke L, Smith D, Steed L, et al. Exercise for men with prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. European urology 2016;69(4):693-703.
- 54. Ammitzboll G, Sogaard K, Karlsen RV, et al. Physical activity and survival in breast cancer. European journal of cancer (Oxford, England : 1990) 2016;**66**:67-74.

Database	Data last searched	Search Syntax
The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)	13/12/2016	1. [mh "Urinary Bladder Neoplasms"] OR [mh "Carcinoma, Transitional Cell"] OR ("bladder cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder malignancy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder neoplasm"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder tumor"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial malignancy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract tumor"):ti,ab,kw OR ("in "exercise") OR [mh "Exercise Therapy"] OR [mh "Sports"] OR [mh "Recreation Therapy"] OR [mh "Rehabilitation, Vocational"] OR [mh "Telerehabilitation"] OR [mh "Occupational Therapy"] OR [mh "Self Care"] OR [mh "Urinary Incontinence/rehabilitation"] OR [mh "Pelivic Floor/therapy"] OR ("ale face"):ti,ab,kw OR (kexercise):ti,ab,kw OR ("physical conditioning"):ti,ab,kw OR ("physical education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("physical fitness"):ti,ab,kw OR ("physical conditioning"):ti,ab,kw OR ("physical education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("physical fitness"):ti,ab,kw OR ("uning):ti,ab,kw OR ("seight lifting"):ti,ab,kw OR ("seight lift

therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR (counseling):ti,ab,kw OR ("patient education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("patient participation"):ti,ab,kw OR ("health education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("sex education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("sexual education"):ti,ab,kw OR (psychoeducation):ti,ab,kw OR ("sex therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("sexual therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("stoma education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("stoma therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("health literacy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("health promotion"):ti,ab,kw OR ("health behavior"):ti,ab,kw OR ("cognitive behavior"):ti,ab,kw OR ("behavior training"):ti,ab,kw OR ("behavioral training"):ti,ab,kw OR (CBT) OR ("complementary therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("mind body therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("spiritual therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("relaxation therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("relaxation training"):ti,ab,kw OR ("client centered therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR (conditioning):ti,ab,kw OR ("stoma management"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bowel management"):ti,ab,kw OR (coping):ti,ab,kw OR (mindfulness):ti,ab,kw OR (empowerment):ti,ab,kw OR ("self-monitoring"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivation therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivational therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivation interviewing"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivational interviewing"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivation treatment"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivational treatment"):ti,ab,kw OR (psychology):ti,ab,kw OR ("problem solving"):ti,ab,kw OR ("psychosocial care"):ti,ab,kw OR ("psychological care"):ti,ab,kw OR ("psychologic care"):ti,ab,kw OR ("caregiver support"):ti,ab,kw OR (sociotherapy):ti,ab,kw OR ("occupational therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("care delivery"):ti,ab,kw OR ("functional therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("functional training"):ti,ab,kw OR (existentialism):ti,ab,kw OR ("spiritual healing"):ti,ab,kw OR ("spiritual therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transcultural care"):ti,ab,kw OR ("life style"):ti,ab,kw

- 4. 2 OR 3
- 5. 1 AND 4

MEDLINE (via PubMed interface)

13/12/2016

- 1. "Urinary Bladder Neoplasms" [MESH] OR "Urinary Bladder/abnormalities" [MESH] OR "Urinary bladder/surgery" [MESH] OR "Carcinoma, Transitional Cell"[Mesh] OR "bladder cancer"[TIAB] OR "bladder cancers"[TIAB] OR "bladder carcinoma"[TIAB] OR "bladder carcinomas"[TIAB] OR "bladder malignancy"[TIAB] OR "bladder malignancies"[TIAB] OR "bladder neoplasm"[TIAB] OR "bladder neoplasms"[TIAB] OR "bladder tumor"[TIAB] OR "bladder tumors"[TIAB] OR "bladder tumour" [TIAB] OR "bladder tumours" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell carcinoma" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell carcinomas" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell cancer" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell cancers" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell cancer" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell cancers" [TIAB] OR "transitiona malignancies" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell tumor" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell tumors" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell tumour" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell tumours" [TIAB] OR "urothelial cancer" [TIAB] OR "urothelial cancers" [TIAB] OR "urothelial carcinoma" [TIAB] OR "urothelial carcinomas" [TIAB] OR "urothelial malignancy" [TIAB] OR "urothelial malignancies" [TIAB] OR "urothelial tumor" [TIAB] OR "urothelial tumors" [TIAB] OR "urothelial tumour" [TIAB] OR "urothelial tumours" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract carcinoma" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract carcinomas" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract cancer"[TIAB] OR "urinary tract cancers"[TIAB] OR "urinary tract malignancy"[TIAB] OR "urinary tract malignancies"[TIAB] OR "urinary tract tumor" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract tumors" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract tumour" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract tumours"[TIAB]
- 2. "exercise" [MESH] OR "Exercise Movement Techniques" [Mesh] OR "Sports" [Mesh] OR "Physical Fitness" [Mesh] OR "Physical Exertion"[Mesh] OR "Exercise Therapy"[Mesh] OR "Dance Therapy"[Mesh] OR "Recreation Therapy"[Mesh] OR "Rehabilitation, Vocational" [Mesh] OR "Telerehabilitation" [Mesh] OR "Occupational Therapy" [Mesh] OR "Self Care"[Mesh] OR "Urinary Incontinence/rehabilitation"[Mesh] OR "Pelvic Floor/therapy"[Mesh] OR "self care"[TIAB] OR

45 46 47

"exercise" [TIAB] OR "exercising" [TIAB] OR "exercises" [TIAB] OR "sport" [TIAB] OR "sports" [TIAB] OR "physical activity" [TIAB] OR "physical activities" [TIAB] OR "physiotherapy" [TIAB] OR "kinesiotherapy" [TIAB] OR "physical conditioning"[TIAB] OR physical education\*[TIAB] OR "physical fitness"[TIAB] OR "running"[TIAB] OR "swimming"[TIAB] OR "walking" [TIAB] OR "jogging" [TIAB] OR "weight lifting" [TIAB] OR "dancing" [TIAB] OR "dance" [TIAB] OR "tai chi" [TIAB] OR "tai ji" [TIAB] OR "yoga" [TIAB] OR "pilates" [TIAB] OR "gymnastic" [TIAB] OR "gymnastics" [TIAB] OR "resistance training"[TIAB] OR "aerobic training"[TIAB] OR "anaerobic training"[TIAB] OR "muscle training"[TIAB] OR "stretching"[TIAB] OR "aerobic"[TIAB] OR "aerobics"[TIAB] OR "rehabilitation"[TIAB] OR "pre-habilitation"[TIAB] OR "prehabilitation" [TIAB] OR "aquagym" [TIAB] OR "football" [TIAB] OR "soccer" [TIAB] OR "circuit training" [TIAB] OR "fitness" [TIAB] OR "bladder training" [TIAB] OR "incontinence management" [TIAB] OR "urinary management" [TIAB] "Tertiary Prevention"[Mesh] OR "Social Support"[Mesh] OR "Psychiatric Rehabilitation"[Mesh] OR "Health Promotion"[Mesh] OR "Psychotherapy"[Mesh] OR "Counseling"[Mesh] OR "Patient Education as Topic"[Mesh] OR "Sex Education"[Mesh] OR "Sexuality/rehabilitation"[Mesh] OR "Health Literacy"[Mesh] OR "psychology/education"[MESH] OR "psychology/therapy" [MESH] OR "Problem Solving" [MESH] OR "Mind-body therapies" [Mesh] OR "patient participation" [MESH] OR "Existentialism" [MESH] OR "spiritual therapies" [MESH] OR "problem-based learning" [MESH] OR "Sedentary Lifestyle" [Mesh] OR psychosocial intervention\* [TIAB] OR psychologic intervention\* [TIAB] OR psychological intervention\*[TIAB] OR psychology intervention\*[TIAB] OR social intervention\*[TIAB] OR psychosocial therap\*[TIAB] OR psychologic therap\*[TIAB] OR "psychological therapy"[TIAB] OR "psychological therapies"[TIAB] OR "psychology therapies" [TIAB] OR "psychotherapy" [TIAB] OR "tertiary health care" [TIAB] OR "tertiary prevention" [TIAB] OR "social support"[TIAB] OR social behav\*[TIAB] OR "support care"[TIAB] OR "supportive care"[TIAB] OR behavior treatment\*[TIAB] OR behavioral treatment\*[TIAB] OR behavior therap\*[TIAB] OR behavioral therap\*[TIAB] OR cognitive treatment\*[TIAB] OR cognitive therap\*[TIAB] OR "counseling" [TIAB] OR patient education\*[TIAB] OR "patient participation"[TIAB] OR health education\*[TIAB] OR sex education\*[TIAB] OR sexual education\*[TIAB] OR psychoeducation\*[TIAB] OR sex therap\*[TIAB] OR sexual therap\*[TIAB] OR stoma education\*[TIAB] OR stoma therap\*[TIAB] OR health literac\*[TIAB] OR health promotion\*[TIAB] OR health behavio\*[TIAB] OR "cognitive behavior" [TIAB] OR "behavior training" [TIAB] OR "behavioral training" [TIAB] OR "behavioural training" [TIAB] OR CBT[TIAB] OR complementary therap\*[TIAB] OR mind body therap\*[TIAB] OR spiritual therap\*[TIAB] OR relaxation therap\*[TIAB] OR "relaxation training"[TIAB] OR client centered therap\*[TIAB] OR conditioning[TIAB] OR stoma management[TIAB] OR bowel management[TIAB] OR coping [TIAB] OR mindfulness[TIAB] OR empowerment[TIAB] OR empowering[TIAB] OR self-monitoring\*[TIAB] OR motivation therap\*[TIAB] OR motivational therap\*[TIAB] OR motivation interview[TIAB] OR motivation interviewing[TIAB] OR motivational interview[TIAB] OR motivational interviewing[TIAB] OR motivation treatment\*[TIAB] OR motivational treatment\*[TIAB] OR psychology[TIAB] OR problem solv\*[TIAB] OR "psychosocial care" [TIAB] OR "psychological care" [TIAB] OR "psychologic care" [TIAB] OR caregiver support\* [TIAB] OR sociotherap\*[TIAB] OR occupational therap\*[TIAB] OR care deliver\*[TIAB] OR functional therap\*[TIAB] OR "functional training"[TIAB] OR existentialism[TIAB] OR "spiritual healing"[TIAB] OR spiritual therap\*[TIAB] OR "transcultural care"[TIAB] OR "life style"[TIAB] 4. 2 OR 3

		5.	1 AND 4
EMBASE (via Embase.com interface)	13/12/2016	2.	'bladder cancer':ab,ti OR 'bladder cancers':ab,ti OR 'bladder carcinoma':ab,ti OR 'bladder carcinomas':ab,ti OR 'bladder malignancy':ab,ti OR 'bladder malignancies':ab,ti OR 'bladder neoplasms':ab,ti OR 'bladder neoplasms':ab,ti OR 'bladder tumor':ab,ti OR 'bladder tumor':ab,ti OR 'bladder tumor':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell carcinoma':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell carcinoma':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell cancers':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell tumor':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell tumors':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell tumors':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell tumors':ab,ti OR 'urothelial cancers':ab,ti OR 'urothelial carcinoma':ab,ti OR 'urothelial cancers':ab,ti OR 'urothelial carcinoma':ab,ti OR 'urothelial tumors':ab,ti OR 'urothelial tumors':ab,

	care':ab,ti OR 'behavior treatment*':ab,ti OR 'behavioral treatment*':ab,ti OR 'behavior therap*':ab,ti OR 'behavioral
	therap*':ab,ti OR 'cognitive treatment*':ab,ti OR 'cognitive therap*':ab,ti OR 'counseling':ab,ti OR 'patient
	education*':ab,ti OR 'patient participation':ab,ti OR 'health education*':ab,ti OR 'sex education*':ab,ti OR 'sexual
	education*':ab,ti OR 'psychoeducation*':ab,ti OR 'sex therap*':ab,ti OR 'sexual therap*':ab,ti OR 'stoma education*':ab,ti
	OR 'stoma therap*':ab,ti OR 'health literac*':ab,ti OR 'health promotion*':ab,ti OR 'health behavio*':ab,ti OR 'cognitive
	behavior':ab,ti OR 'behavior training':ab,ti OR 'behavioral training':ab,ti OR 'behavioural training':ab,ti OR
	'complementary therap*':ab,ti OR 'mind body therap*':ab,ti OR 'spiritual therap*':ab,ti OR 'relaxation therap*':ab,ti OR
	'relaxation training':ab,ti OR 'client centered therap*':ab,ti OR 'conditioning':ab,ti OR 'stoma management':ab,ti OR
	'bowel management':ab,ti OR 'coping':ab,ti OR 'mindfulness':ab,ti OR 'empowerment':ab,ti OR 'empowering':ab,ti OR
	self-monitoring*':ab,ti OR 'motivation therap*':ab,ti OR 'motivational therap*':ab,ti OR 'motivation interview':ab,ti OR
	'motivation interviewing':ab,ti OR 'motivational interview':ab,ti OR 'motivational interviewing':ab,ti OR 'motivation
	treatment*':ab,ti OR 'motivational treatment*':ab,ti OR 'psychology':ab,ti OR 'problem solv*':ab,ti OR 'psychosocial
	care':ab,ti OR 'psychological care':ab,ti OR 'psychologic care':ab,ti OR 'caregiver support*':ab,ti OR 'sociotherap*':ab,ti OR
	'occupational therap*':ab,ti OR 'care deliver*':ab,ti OR 'functional therap*':ab,ti OR 'functional training':ab,ti OR
	'existentialism':ab,ti OR 'spiritual healing':ab,ti OR 'spiritual therap*':ab,ti OR 'transcultural care':ab,ti OR 'life style':ab,ti
4.	2 OR 3

5. 1 AND 4

Web of Science 13/12/2016 1. TS=("bladder cancer") OR TS=("bladder cancers") OR TS=("bladder carcinoma") OR TS=("bladder carcinomas") OR TS=("bladder malignancy") OR TS=("bladder malignancies") OR TS=("bladder neoplasm") OR TS=("bladder neoplasms") OR TS=("bladder tumor") OR TS=("bladder tumors") OR TS=("bladder tumour") OR TS=("bladder tumours") OR TS=("transitional cell carcinoma") OR TS=("transitional cell carcinomas") OR TS=("transitional cell cancer") OR TS=("transitional cell cancers") OR TS=("transitional cell malignancies") OR TS=("transitional cell tumor") OR TS=("transitional cell tumors") OR TS=("transitional cell tumour") OR TS=("transitional cell tumours") OR TS=("urothelial cancer") OR TS=("urothelial cancers") OR TS=("urothelial carcinoma") OR TS=("urothelial carcinomas")OR TS=("urothelial carci malignancy") OR TS=("urothelial malignancies") OR TS=("urothelial tumor") OR TS=("urothelial tumors")OR TS=("urothelial tumour") OR TS=("urothelial tumours") OR TS=("urinary tract carcinoma") OR TS=("urinary tract carcinomas") OR TS=("urinary tract cancer") OR TS=("urinary tract cancers") OR TS=("urinary tract malignancy") OR

TS=("urinary tract malignancies") OR TS=("urinary tract tumor") OR TS=("urinary tract tumors") OR TS=("urinary tract tumors") tumour") OR TS=("urinary tract tumours") 2. TS=("self care") OR TS=(exercise) OR TS=(exercising) OR TS=(exercises) OR TS=(sport) OR TS=(sports) OR TS=("physical

activity") OR TS=("physical activities") OR TS=(physiotherapy) OR TS=(kinesiotherapy) OR TS=("physical conditioning") OR TS=("physical education\*") OR TS=("physical fitness") OR TS=(running) OR TS=(swimming) OR TS=(walking) OR TS=(jogging) OR TS=("weight lifting") OR TS=(dancing) OR TS=(dance) OR TS=("tai chi") OR TS=("tai ji") OR TS=(yoga) OR TS=(pilates) OR TS=(gymnastic) OR TS=(gymnastics) OR TS=("resistance training") OR TS=("aerobic training") OR TS=("anaerobic training") OR TS=("muscle training") OR TS=(aerobic) OR TS=(aerobics) OR TS=(rehabilitation) OR TS=("pre-habilitation") OR TS=(prehabilitation) OR TS=(aquagym) OR TS=(football) OR TS=(soccer)

- OR TS=("circuit training") OR TS=(fitness) OR TS=("bladder training") OR TS=("incontinence management") OR TS=("urinary management")
- 3. TS=("psychosocial intervention\*")OR TS=("psychologic intervention\*") OR TS=("psychological intervention\*")OR TS=("psychology intervention\*")OR TS=("social intervention\*") OR TS=("psychosocial therap\*")OR TS=("psychologic therap\*")OR TS=("psychological therapy")OR TS=("psychological therapies") OR TS=("psychology therapies") OR TS=(psychotherapy) OR TS=("tertiary health care") OR TS=("tertiary prevention") OR TS=("social support") OR TS=("social support") behav\*") OR TS=("support care") OR TS=("supportive care") OR TS=("behavior treatment\*") OR TS=("behavioral treatment\*")OR TS=("behavior therap\*")OR TS=("behavioral therap\*") OR TS=("cognitive treatment\*") OR TS=("cognitive therap\*") OR TS=(counseling) OR TS=("patient education\*")OR TS=("patient participation")OR TS=("health education\*")OR TS=("sex education\*") OR TS=("sex therap\*")OR TS=("sexual therap\*") OR TS=("stoma education\*")OR TS=("stoma therap\*")OR TS=("health literac\*") OR TS=("health promotion\*")OR TS=("health behavio\*") OR TS=("cognitive behavior") OR TS=("behavior training") OR TS=("behavioral training") OR TS=("behavioural training") OR TS=(CBT) OR TS=("complementary therap\*")OR TS=("mind body therap\*")OR TS=("spiritual therap\*")OR TS=("relaxation therap\*")OR TS=("relaxation training") OR TS=("client centered therap\*")OR TS=(conditioning)OR TS=("stoma management")OR TS=("bowel management") OR TS=(coping)OR TS=(mindfulness)OR TS=(empowerment) OR TS=(empowering) OR TS=("self-monitoring\*")OR TS=("motivation") therap\*")OR TS=("motivational therap\*")OR TS=("motivation interview") OR TS=("motivation interviewing") OR TS=("motivational interview") OR TS=("motivational interviewing") OR TS=("motivation treatment\*")OR TS=("motivational treatment\*")OR TS=(psychology)OR TS=("problem solv\*")OR TS=("psychosocial care")OR TS=("psychological care")OR TS=("psychologic care")OR TS=("caregiver support\*")OR TS=(sociotherap\*) OR TS=("occupational therap\*") OR TS=("care deliver\*") OR TS=("functional therap\*") OR TS=("functional training") OR TS=(existentialism)OR TS=("spiritual healing") OR TS=("spiritual therap\*")OR TS=("transcultural care") OR TS=("life style")
- 4. 2 OR 3
- 5. 1 AND 4

PEDro 13/12/2016

Title/abstract: bladder cancer

The cited and citing articles of the included studies, retrieved with the above searches, will be screened through Web of Science to potentially identify other studies.

# PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol\*

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item	
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMA	TION	line number; page number	
Title:			
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review 2-3; 1	
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number 62; 2	
Authors:			
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author 4-22; 1	
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review 410-413; 13	
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	
Support:			
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review 415-416; 13	
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor 415; 13	
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol 415-416; 13	
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known 92-199; 4-6	
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO) 200-206; 6-7	
METHODS			
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) 215-273;7-9 and report characteristics (such as years considered 276;9, language 293-295;10, publication status 295-297;10) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review	
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage 276-283; 9	
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated Supplementary file 1 Supplementary file 1	

Study records:		
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review 286-288; 9-10
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review 288-292; 9-10
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators 299-302; 10
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications 303-325; 10
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale 250-270; 8
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis 327-334; 10
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised 345-351; 11-12
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as $I^2$ , Kendall's $\tau$ ) 349-351;11, 356-361; 10-11
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression) 362-370; 12
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned 356; 11
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies) 352-354; 11
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE) 334-335; 11

<sup>\*</sup> It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

# **BMJ Open**

# Rehabilitation interventions to improve patient reported outcomes and physical fitness in survivors of muscle invasive bladder cancer: a systematic review protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-016054.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	12-Apr-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Rammant, Elke; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research Bultijnck, Renée; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research Sundahl, Nora; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research Ost, Piet; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research Pauwels, Nele; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Knowledge Center Ghent Deforche, Benedicte; Universiteit Gent, Department of Public Health Pieters, Ronny; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Urology Decaestecker, Karel; Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent, Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Urology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Evidence based practice, Oncology, Rehabilitation medicine
Keywords:	Muscle invasive bladder cancer, Exercise, Psychosocial intervention, systematic review, Rehabilitation



Rehabilitation interventions to improve patient reported outcomes and physical fitness in survivors of muscle invasive bladder cancer: a systematic review protocol

Elke Rammant<sup>1</sup>, Renée Bultijnck<sup>1</sup>, Nora Sundahl<sup>1</sup>, Piet Ost<sup>1</sup>, Nele S. Pauwels<sup>2</sup>, Benedicte Deforche<sup>3</sup>, Ronny Pieters<sup>4</sup>, Karel Decaestecker<sup>4</sup>, Valérie Fonteyne<sup>1</sup>

#### Affiliations:

- Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium
- <sup>2.</sup> Knowledge Center Ghent, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium
- 3. Department of Public Health, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
- 4. Department of Urology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium

# **Corresponding Author:**

Elke Rammant, MSc.

Department of Radiation Oncology and Experimental Cancer Research,

Ghent University Hospital

De Pintelaan 185, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

Tel: +32 9 33 21552

E-mail: elke.rammant@ugent.be

Word count excluding title page, abstract, references, figures and tables: 3537

#### **ABSTRACT**

#### Introduction

Survivors of muscle invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) experience physical and psychosocial side effects of cancer diagnosis and treatment. These negative side effects have a crucial impact on their health-related quality of life (HRQoL). To date, there is evidence that rehabilitation interventions such as physical activity and psychosocial support have a positive effect on the HRQoL of cancer survivors. Unfortunately, there are no specific guidelines for rehabilitation or survivorship programs for MIBC survivors. Therefore, this systematic review aims to assess the effects of exercise-based and psychosocial rehabilitation interventions on MIBC survivors.

# Methods and analysis

The approach of this review is consistent with the Cochrane methodology. Randomized controlled trials and non-randomized studies will be included. The population of interest is patients (≥18 years of age) with diagnosis of MIBC or high risk non-MIBC for whom a radical cystectomy is indicated. There will be two eligible intervention types for inclusion: exercise-based and psychosocial rehabilitation interventions. The primary outcome measures are patient reported outcomes (e.g. HRQoL, fatigue, pain) and physical fitness. Studies will be identified independently by two review authors by searching the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, MEDLINE, Embase, Web of Science and the Physiotherapy Evidence Database. A third reviewer will be asked by disagreements. Risk of bias will be assessed using the Cochrane Collaboration tool and the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. Data will be summarized descriptively. If homogeneity of the studies is sufficient, meta-analysis will be undertaken. The broad scope of this review (i.e. different interventions and study designs) is needed to have a comprehensive view on effective rehabilitation interventions.

# **Ethics and dissemination**

Ethics approval is not required, as no primary data will be collected. Results will be disseminated through a peer-reviewed publication.

PROSPERO registration number: CRD42017055306

#### **Keywords**

Bladder neoplasm – exercise – psychosocial intervention – rehabilitation

# Strengths and limitations of this study

## Strengths

- The overall approach of this review is consistent with the methodology described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic reviews of Interventions
- It's an innovative topic in the field of bladder cancer.
- Actual problem because the incidence of bladder cancer is rising.

#### Limitations

Possible paucity of studies meeting the inclusion criteria.



#### **BACKGROUND**

# **Description of the condition**

Bladder cancer (BC) is the 11<sup>th</sup> most common cancer worldwide. When both genders are considered separately, BC in men is rising to the 7<sup>th</sup> place whilst BC in women is dropping to the 17<sup>th</sup> place of most common cancer worldwide<sup>12</sup>. Since BC is mainly diagnosed at more advanced age, the incidence is expected to raise due to an increased life expectancy.<sup>34</sup> Thirty percent of the BC patients are diagnosed with muscle invasive BC (MIBC, stages T2-T4)<sup>5</sup> and up to 45% of patients with non-MIBC (NMIBC) will eventually progress to MIBC<sup>6</sup>. The standard treatment of MIBC is neo-adjuvant chemotherapy followed by radical cystectomy combined with an extended pelvic lymph node dissection and urinary diversion (continent or incontinent bladder replacement). Also patients with high-risk NMIBC can be offered a radical cystectomy. 1. This aggressive approach is associated with a variety of negative side effects, mainly hampering urinary, gastro-intestinal and sexual function. This can lead to a loss of health-related quality of life (HRQoL), which refers to the patients' own perceptions of their health and ability to function encompassing physical, psychological, social, and spiritual dimensions<sup>8</sup>. Bladder preserving radiochemotherapy is an alternative for radical cystectomy. Both radiotherapy and chemotherapy can also be used in the adjuvant or palliative setting and can cause important treatment related side effects. Therefore, followup care beyond the acute diagnosis and treatment phase is necessary<sup>9</sup>.

# **Description of the intervention**

The World Health Organisation (WHO) has defined rehabilitation as "the use of all means aimed at reducing the impact of disabling and handicapping conditions at enabling people with disabilities to achieve optimal social integration"<sup>10</sup>. A more specific definition of rehabilitation in the setting of cancer is the following: "cancer rehabilitation is a concept that is defined by the patient and involves helping a person with cancer to obtain maximum physical, social, psychological, and vocational functioning within the limit by the disease and its treatment"<sup>11</sup>. Therefore, cancer rehabilitation needs to comprise different intervention approaches<sup>12</sup>.

Physical activity (PA) is seen as one of the rehabilitation interventions to improve patients quality of life (QoI) and survival outcomes. PA is defined as "any movement created by the skeletal muscles that causes a substantial increase in energy expenditure" 14. It is important to point out that 'physical activity' and 'exercise' are not similar. PA can be seen as an overarching term that includes exercise as well as other activities which involve bodily movement and are done as part of playing, working, active transportation, house chores and recreational activities. Exercise on the other hand, is a subcategory of PA. It is planned, structured, repetitive and purposeful to improve or maintain one or more components of

physical fitness (cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength, muscular endurance, flexibility and body composition)<sup>15</sup>. These components represent important outcomes in cancer survivors that may mediate the influence of exercise on other outcomes<sup>14</sup>.

Psychosocial interventions are another important focus in rehabilitation. These sort of interventions aim to help patients cope with negative side effects of cancer diagnosis and treatment<sup>16</sup>. Based on a framework proposed by Buffart et al., there are five categories of interventions: patient education, social support, coping skills training, psychotherapy and spiritual/existential therapy<sup>17</sup>.

# How the intervention might work

In order to explain how cancer rehabilitation interventions might work, the revised Wilson and Cleary Model for HRQoL will be used as a conceptual framework<sup>18</sup>. This is useful to explain the pathways between different patient outcomes<sup>19</sup>. The model proposes five types of patient outcome measurements (biological function, symptoms, functional status, health perception and overall QOL), which have a causal relationship <sup>18 20</sup>. These five patient outcome measurements can be influenced by individual and environment characteristics.

In case of bladder cancer, morbidity associated with the disease and its treatment can lead to complications related to urinary diversion, urinary incontinence or constipation, sexual dysfunction<sup>21</sup>, fatigue, and psychological distress<sup>22</sup>. This can potentially lead to a loss of physical, social, psychological and role function, which affects activities in daily life. As a consequence, the general health perceptions of the patient can be damaged which can finally lead to an overall impaired QOL. All of these outcomes can be influenced by environment and individual characteristics.

Offering cancer rehabilitation can be seen as a physical environment characteristic. Since it has been proven in other cancer populations that cancer rehabilitation interventions have a positive influence on for example physical fitness<sup>23</sup>, muscle capacity<sup>24</sup>, fatigue and emotional distress<sup>25</sup>, we can conclude, according to the revised Wilson and Cleary Model for HRQoL, that cancer rehabilitation interventions can have a positive influence on other patient outcomes such as HRQoL<sup>18</sup>.

Individual characteristics, such as advanced age and the associated increased risk of co morbidities, are important factors to take into account in BC patients. These characteristics are associated with poorer health<sup>26</sup> such as functional and psychosocial declines<sup>27</sup>. Although this supports the need for cancer rehabilitation, the older age of BC patients creates challenges in recommending rehabilitation interventions. Potential difficulties are the lack of social support in older patients and the need for extra time and resources to enroll these patients<sup>28</sup>. Additionally, the high prevalence of urinary complications and problems with body image in BC patients can act as potential barriers to participate in exercise interventions<sup>26</sup>. According to Karvinen et al., exercise interventions for BC survivors should focus on offering enjoyable activities, education on the benefits of regular exercise,

improving activity levels in important others and targeting perceived barriers. They also note that adjuvant therapy, age and invasiveness of the tumor may affect exercise participation<sup>26</sup>. Furthermore, BC survivors seem to be most interested in walking and home-based, individual exercises that are not supervised<sup>29</sup>.

# Why it is important to do this review

With an increasing number of cancer survivors, cancer rehabilitation will become imperative in cancer survivorship. In order to develop evidence-based rehabilitation programs for BC patients, who received a curative treatment it is essential to have a global picture of effective rehabilitation interventions. Therefore, a systematic review assessing the effects of exercise-based and psychosocial rehabilitation interventions for BC patients is needed. A previous systematic review assessed the effects of lifestyle factors (diet, smoking and physical activity) on HRQoL in BC survivors. Findings of this review concluded that there was limited evidence to support a positive association between HRQoL and physical activity in BC survivors<sup>30</sup>. Our review differs with previous review in several aspects. First we want to identify well-defined interventions that are effective. In previous review, they evaluated the physical activity pattern of the patient, which is not the same as an exercise intervention. Furthermore, this review will not only focus on HRQoL, we will also assess the effects of rehabilitation interventions on other outcomes (explained below). Additionally, to our knowledge, there is no systematic review that has summarized the evidence of psychosocial rehabilitation interventions for MIBC survivors in a systematic manner.

So far, no specific guidelines exist for exercise-based and/or psychosocial rehabilitation interventions for MIBC survivors. This review could give guidance to the development of specific evidence-based guidelines. Although this review focuses only on the exercise and psychosocial part of rehabilitation, it is important to note that rehabilitation of cancer patients requires also other interventions such as diet counseling, smoking cessation, etc. <sup>12</sup>.

It should also be noticed that providing cancer rehabilitation is an often-neglected facet of cancer care in terms of health policy and infrastructure<sup>31</sup>. Frequently reported barriers to rehabilitation interventions are the lack of expertise, inappropriate referrals by physicians, funding issues<sup>24 31</sup> and availability of rehabilitation resources<sup>32</sup>. The results of this review may increase the awareness of physicians and funders of the importance of cancer rehabilitation.

## **AIMS AND OBJECTIVES**

### **Primary objective**

1. Assessing the effects of rehabilitation interventions (exercise-based and psychosocial interventions) on patient reported outcomes (PROs) (e.g. Qol, fatigue, pain) and physical fitness of MIBC survivors.

# Secondary objective

1. Identifying significant moderators of the intervention effects.

#### **METHODS**

The overall approach of this review is consistent with the methodology described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic reviews of Interventions<sup>33</sup> and is described below. Reports of current systematic review protocol adhere to the preferred items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis protocol (PRISMA-P) checklist <sup>34</sup>. The systematic review itself will adhere to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)<sup>35</sup>.

# Criteria for considering studies for this review

# Types of studies

Because of the anticipated low amount of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), RCTs and non-randomized studies (NRS) (i.e., cohort studies, case-control studies, cross-sectional studies and quasi-randomized controlled clinical trials (CCTs)) will be considered as appropriate study designs. Results from RCTs and NRS will be presented separately.

# Types of participants

The population of interest will be adults (≥18 years of age) with medically confirmed diagnosis of localized MIBC or high risk NMIBC for whom a radical cystectomy is indicated. Studies with a majority of patients with metastasized BC will be excluded. Demographic factors are no exclusion criteria except for age (<18 years of age). Studies involving participants with a range of cancers or other diagnoses that report results specifically for patients with MIBC will be included. Studies involving participants with urologic cancers where data is not provided separately for MIBC patients will be excluded.

# Types of interventions

Exercise-based rehabilitation interventions considered for this review will be aerobic or endurance activities, strength or resistance training, balance exercises, flexibility exercises (with inclusion of yoga and Pilates), exercises specific to address sexual functioning and pelvic floor exercises in case of bladder preserving strategies or bladder reconstruction after radical cystectomy. Generalized advice to engage in regular PA activity will not be considered as an exercise-based rehabilitation intervention. In this review, the focus will be placed on exercise in a planned, structured, repetitive and purposeful rehabilitation intervention. PA as part of playing, working, active transportation, house chores or recreational activities will not be included.

Psychosocial rehabilitation interventions eligible for inclusion will be based on the framework proposed by Buffart et al.: patient education (e.g. stoma management, generalized advice to engage in PA activity), social support, coping skills training, psychotherapy and spiritual/existential therapy<sup>17</sup>. Alternative medicine and therapies will not be included in this review.

Both intervention types can either be individual or in group, hospital-based or home-based (with follow up by a professional), supervised by a physiotherapist or not and can be given before, during and/or after treatment. No limits will be placed on the timing, frequency, intensity and duration of rehabilitation interventions. The interventions will be compared with an inactive control intervention (e.g. no treatment, standard care or a waiting list control).

# Types of outcome measures

For both primary and secondary outcome measures, there will be no exclusion based on length of follow up.

#### Primary outcomes

- 1. PROs including overall HRQoL, specific HRQoL domains including symptoms such as fatigue, pain, urinary incontinence, sexual dysfunction, gastro-intestinal dysfunction and psychological factors such as anxiety, depression, stress and self-esteem. Due to the wide range of questionnaires used for PROs and the non-consensus of using one standardized questionnaire, only studies using the standardized and validated measurement instruments for PRO, found in Table  $1^{36\ 37\ 38\ 39\ 40\ 41\ 42\ 43\ 44\ 45\ 46\ 47\ 48}$  will be included. All studies using other measurement instruments will be excluded, unless proof of their validation can be found in literature.
- 2. Physical fitness assessed by  $VO_2$  peak,  $VO_2$  max, 6- or 12-minute walk test, 400-m walk test, handgrip strength tests, sit and reach tests or other proven to be validated instruments.

#### Secondary outcomes

- 1. Cancer recurrence, cancer-specific survival, progression-free survival, overall survival, mortality, years of life lost or 5-year survival.
- 2. Body composition assessed by height, weight, body mass index, muscle capacity, fat mass, lean body mass, thickness of skin folds, body fat, arm circumference, waist circumference, hip circumference or waist-hip ratio.
- 3. Bone mineral density or fracture risk assessed by fracture risk assessment tool (FRAX)<sup>49</sup>.
- 4. Karnofsky performance score (KPS)<sup>50</sup>.

Table 1 – standardized and validated measurement instruments for the included patient reported outcomes

Patient reported outcome	Validated measurement instrument
HRQOL	EQ-5D visual analogue scale, MID: 7 points, SF-36, Ferrans and Powers Quality of Life Index, World Health Organization Quality of Life, SF-12, Padilla QLI, 20-item short form health survey and Satisfaction With Life Scale
Cancer specific QOL	FACT-G, EORTC QLQ-C30, Functional Living Index-Cancer, Selby's QLI, Cleary's QLI and Cancer Rehabilitation Evaluation System –SF
Bladder cancer specific QOL	QLQ-BLM30, BCI and FACT-BI
Sexual function	IIEF-5 and FSFI
Gastro-intestinal function	GIQLI
Urinary incontinence	BCI supplement, ICIQ-UI SF, IPSS
Psychological factors	
Depression	BSI-18, BDI,ISR-depression scale
Anxiety	STAI anxiety scales, numeric rating scales or visual analogue scale
Stress	Perceived Stress Scale
Self-esteem	Rosenberg self-esteem scale

# Search methods for identification of studies

#### Electronic searches

The following electronic databases will be searched from inception until the search date: the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), MEDLINE (using the PubMed interface), Embase (using the embase.com interface), Web of Science and the Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro). The search strategies will be evaluated using the PRESS checklist<sup>51</sup> and will be monitored and peer reviewed by an information specialist (NSP). The search strategies are presented in 'Supplementary File 1'.

## Searching other resources

The cited and citing references of the included studies will be checked via Web of Science.

# **Data collection and analysis**

# Selection of studies

All references found through the search process will be downloaded in a database created by reference management software (Endnote). After removing duplicates in Endnote, all references will be imported into Covidence for screening purposes. Obviously irrelevant studies, based on title and abstract, will independently be excluded by two review authors (ER and VF). After screening titles and abstracts, two review authors (ER and VF) will independently assess full-text reports for eligibility. Discrepancies will be discussed with a third review author (NS). Reasons for exclusion of full-texts will be documented. Studies will be excluded if no full-text is available. Abstracts in any other language than English will be excluded. There will be no language restriction for full texts and translations will be carried out if necessary. If studies have multiple publications with the same outcome(s) reported, manuscripts with the longest follow-up will be selected for inclusion. Older publications referred to in included articles will be accessed to clarify methods if required.

#### Data extraction

A modified EPOC data collection form of the Cochrane collaboration will be used and pilot tested with at least three studies in the review<sup>52</sup>. After pilot testing the form, adjustments can be made. Data extraction will be performed independently by two review authors (ER and VF). At least following data will be extracted for each study:

- **General information:** date form completed, name of person extracting data, report title, report ID, authors' names, source, country, contact address, language of publication, year of publication.
- Population and setting: population description (from which study participants are drawn), setting (e.g. inpatient, outpatient, hospital setting, home setting, combination), inclusion and exclusion criteria.
- Methods: aim of study, design, unit of allocation, start and end date, duration of participation.
- Participants: number of participants in intervention and control groups, details of
  clusters if applicable, baseline imbalances, participant demographics such as sex and
  age, disease related characteristics such as stage of disease, received treatment(s)
  and comorbidities.
- Intervention: type of intervention (exercise-based, psychosocial or combination), details of intervention type (e.g. aerobic, pelvic floor exercises, counseling, patient education), co-intervention(s), type of control intervention, frequency, duration and providers of the intervention.
- Outcomes: outcome name, time points measured and reported, outcome definition, person measuring/reporting, upper and lower limits of scales, unit measurement if relevant, if outcome/tool is validated, imputation of missing data and assumed risk estimate if reported.
- Results: outcome, measurement effects (please see data analyses below) for intervention and comparison group, baseline data, number of missing participants and reasons, and statistical methods used.

#### Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias will be used for RCTs. Assessment of risk of bias in NRS will be done using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for observational studies. The assessment of risk of bias will be done independently by two reviewers (ER and NSP). Differences will be discussed and a third reviewer (NS) will be consulted when needed. Results will be summarized both in a graph and a narrative summary. In order to evaluate selective reporting, the reviewers will check clinical trial registries or search any protocols of the studies for a priori reported primary and secondary outcome measures. The strength of the body of evidence will be assessed according to the GRADE approach.

#### Dealing with missing data

If essential data is not available in the publication, we will first attempt to contact the study authors. If this is not possible, we will try to back-calculate from the data presented. If data will be obtained from other study authors, this will be reported in the review in a transparent manner. This way, we can keep in mind that this missing data obtained from study authors was not peer reviewed. Studies assessing lifestyle interventions may have issues with compliance. Therefore, reasons for missing data (e.g. dropouts, losses to follow-up and withdrawals) will be carefully reported.

# Assessment of heterogeneity

First, there will be a critical consideration of the heterogeneity between the different interventions and outcomes to evaluate whether there is clinical diversity or not. Based on this evaluation, there will be a decision if a meta-analysis can be conducted. When there's no clinical heterogeneity, statistical heterogeneity will be quantified using the  $I^2$  statistic. We will consider the statistical heterogeneity to be high if  $I^2 > 50$  %. Depending on the heterogeneity of the studies and their results, we will further decide if a meta-analysis can be conducted. We will attempt to explain any observed heterogeneity in the review.

# Assessment of reporting bias

Funnel plots will be used to assess publication bias when ten or more studies are included in a meta-analysis.

#### Data synthesis

The findings from the included studies will be summarized descriptively. For dichotomous outcomes, measurement of treatment effect will be reported as risk ratios (RRs) and 95 % confidence intervals (CIs). For continuous outcomes, we will calculate mean differences and 95 % CIs when results are reported on the same scale (or can be converted to the same scale) or standardized mean differences and 95 % CIs if results are reported on different scales.

There will be an attempt to identify significant moderators, based on the most important demographical and clinical characteristics in this population. In order to do this, there will be a subgroup analysis for age and urinary diversion type. To prevent co-intervention bias, there will also be a subgroup analysis based on the type of intervention (only exercised-based interventions, only psychosocial interventions or a combination).

When homogeneity of the studies is sufficient, random-effects meta-analysis will be undertaken separately for each type of study design. Only studies with low risk of bias will be included in meta-analyses. Therefore, we will perform sensitivity analyses to investigate how conclusions might be affected if studies at high or unclear risk of bias were included.

#### Ethics and dissemination

Ethics approval is not required, as no primary data will be collected. Results will be disseminated through a peer-reviewed publication.

The scope of this review is broad (i.e., different rehabilitation interventions and study designs). Although this means that heterogeneity might be present between the studies, evaluation of different intervention approaches is needed to develop optimal rehabilitation or survivorship programs. Caution will be present in the interpretation of the results because of the fact that evidence from RCTs is higher than evidence from NRS. Therefore, the results derived from RCTs will be seen as the primary evidence. Results from NRS will be seen as additional evidence to support the results from RCTs.

This systematic review has several strengths. First, the overall approach of this review is consistent with the methodology described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic reviews of Interventions. Secondly, rehabilitation interventions are an innovative topic in the field of BC so this review ensures an absolute value. A third strength is the fact that MIBC is an actual problem because of the potentially rising incidence of MIBC due to the aging population.

The results of this systematic review could also have potential limitations in terms of biased results due to the nature of exercise and psychosocial interventions. It is impossible for such interventions to blind participants and personnel. Therefore, 'blinding of participants and personnel' will not be taken into account in the risk of bias assessment because of the thought that this will not necessarily affect the study quality. On the other hand, attrition and adherence biases and selective reporting biases are other common concerns around high risk of bias that would affect the study quality<sup>53</sup>. Therefore, it's important that the risk of bias assessment will be carried out very carefully. Another limitation of this review could be the possible paucity of studies meeting the inclusion criteria.

In spite of these anticipated limitations, it's important to conduct this review because of the expected implications for health care, research and survivorship. To date, there are no specific guidelines for exercise-based or psychosocial rehabilitation interventions for MIBC

survivors. This systematic review is expected to provide guidance to the development of specific guidelines and evidence-based rehabilitation or survivorship programs for MIBC survivors. Development of such programs could have further implications for health care if they will be translated into daily clinical practice.

By identifying those interventions that have a positive effect on patient outcomes and which underlying factors ensure the success of such rehabilitation interventions, new interventions can be developed that can contribute to further research. The positive influence of physical activity on survivorship is already suggested in different tumor types<sup>54</sup>. The results of this systematic review can contribute to patient survivorship from the hypothesis that this positive association is also applicable in MIBC.

#### Authors' contribution

ER drafted the manuscript and developed the methods and analyses strategy. VF coordinated the process. NSP and NS aided in developing the search strategy. VF, PO and KD provided uro-oncology specific expertise. RB and BD gave valuable input concerning rehabilitation.

#### **Funding statement**

This study is funded by a grant of *Kom op tegen Kanker*. The granting bodies are not involved in data collection or analysis.

#### Competing interests statement

None declared.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Witjes JA, Compérat E, Cowan NC, et al. EAU Guidelines 2016: Muscle invasive and metastatic bladder cancer. Available from: https://uroweborg/guideline/bladder-cancer-muscle-invasive-and-metastatic/, accessed on 12/10/2016.
- 2. Burger M, Catto JW, Dalbagni G, et al. Epidemiology and risk factors of urothelial bladder cancer. *European urology* 2013;**63**(2):234-41.
- 3. Malats N, Real FX. Epidemiology of bladder cancer. Hematology/oncology clinics of North America 2015;**29**(2):177-89, vii.
- 4. Shahrokh FS, Milowsky M, Droller MJ. Bladder cancer in the elderly. *Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations* 2009;**27**(6):653-67.
- 5. Stenzl A, Witjes J, Compérat E, et al. Bladder Cancer Muscle-invasive and Metastatic. UPDATE 2012.
- 6. van Rhijn BW, Burger M, Lotan Y, et al. Recurrence and progression of disease in non–muscle-invasive bladder cancer: from epidemiology to treatment strategy. *European urology* 2009;**56**(3):430-42.
- 7. Wright JL, Porter MP. Quality-of-life assessment in patients with bladder cancer. Nature clinical practice Urology 2007;**4**(3):147-54.
- 8. Mohamed N, Gilbert F, Lee C, et al. Pursuing Quality in the Application of Bladder Cancer Quality of Life Research. Bladder Cancer 2016;2(2):139-49.
- National Cancer Institute: division of cancer control & population sciences. Available from: https://cancercontrolcancergov/ocs/statistics/definitionshtml, accessed on 11/10/2016 2016.
- 10. Hojan K. Contemporary rehabilitation at cancer centres. Journal of Medical Science 2016;83(2):156-60.
- 11. Gudbergsson SB, Dahl AA, Loge JH, et al. What is covered by "cancer rehabilitation" in PubMed? A review of randomized controlled trials 1990–2011. Journal of rehabilitation medicine 2015;47(2):97-106.
- 12. Weis J, Giesler JM. Rehabilitation for cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology* 2014:87-101.
- 13. Harrison JD, Young JM, Price MA, et al. What are the unmet supportive care needs of people with cancer? A systematic review. *Supportive Care in Cancer* 2009;**17**(8):1117-28.
- 14. McGowan EL, Courneya KS. Exercise interventions in supportive oncology. 2011.
- 15. World Health Organisation: Global Strategy On Diet, Physical Activity and Health. Available from: <a href="http://wwwwhoint/dietphysicalactivity/pa/en/">http://wwwwhoint/dietphysicalactivity/pa/en/</a>, accessed on 11/10/2016.
- 16. Parahoo K, McDonough S, McCaughan E, et al. Psychosocial interventions for men with prostate cancer. *The Cochrane Library* 2013.
- 17. Buffart LM, Kalter J, Chinapaw MJ, et al. Predicting OptimaL cAncer Rehabilitation and Supportive care (POLARIS): rationale and design for meta-analyses of individual patient data of randomized controlled trials that evaluate the effect of physical activity and psychosocial interventions on health-related quality of life in cancer survivors. *Systematic reviews* 2013;2(1):1.
- 18. Ferrans CE, Zerwic JJ, Wilbur JE, et al. Conceptual model of health-related quality of life. Journal of Nursing Scholarship 2005;**37**(4):336-42.
- 19. Saban KL, Penckofer SM, Androwich I, et al. Health-related quality of life of patients following selected types of lumbar spinal surgery: A pilot study. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes 2007;5(1):71.
- 20. Hacker E. Exercise and quality of life: strengthening the connections. Clinical journal of oncology nursing 2009;**13**(1):31.
- 21. Jensen BT, Jensen JB, Laustsen S, et al. Multidisciplinary rehabilitation can impact on health-related quality of life outcome in radical cystectomy: Secondary reported outcome of a randomized controlled trial. Journal of multidisciplinary healthcare 2014;**7**:301-11.

- 22. Palapattu GS, Haisfield-Wolfe ME, Walker JM, et al. Assessment of perioperative psychological distress in patients undergoing radical cystectomy for bladder cancer. The Journal of urology 2004;**172**(5):1814-17.
- 23. Buffart LM, Kalter J, Sweegers MG, et al. Effects and moderators of exercise on quality of life and physical function in patients with cancer: an individual patient data meta-analysis of 34 RCTs. Cancer Treatment Reviews 2016.
- 24. Alfano CM, Ganz PA, Rowland JH, et al. Cancer survivorship and cancer rehabilitation: revitalizing the link. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2012;**30**(9):904-06.
- 25. Andersen BL. Biobehavioral outcomes following psychological interventions for cancer patients. Journal of consulting and clinical psychology 2002;**70**(3):590.
- 26. Karvinen KH, Courneya KS, Plotnikoff RC, et al. A prospective study of the determinants of exercise in bladder cancer survivors using the theory of planned behavior. Supportive Care in Cancer 2009;17(2):171.
- 27. Brown JC, Winters-Stone K, Lee A, et al. Cancer, physical activity, and exercise. *Comprehensive Physiology* 2012.
- 28. Grabowski JP, Pintus D, Ramesh HS, et al. The Challenges of Treating Cancer in Older Patients— How Effective Are Clinical Trials in Developing Unique Treatments? performance evaluation 2008;5:28.
- 29. Karvinen KH, Courneya KS, Venner P, et al. Exercise programming and counseling preferences in bladder cancer survivors: a population-based study. Journal of cancer survivorship: research and practice 2007;1(1):27-34.
- 30. Gopalakrishna A, Longo TA, Fantony JJ, et al. Lifestyle factors and health-related quality of life in bladder cancer survivors: a systematic review. Journal of Cancer Survivorship 2016;**10**(5):874-82.
- 31. Pearson EJ, Twigg VJ. A framework for rehabilitation for cancer survivors. European journal of cancer care 2013;**22**(6):701-08.
- 32. Bultijnck R, Surcel C, Ploussard G, et al. Practice Patterns Compared with Evidence-based Strategies for the Management of Androgen Deprivation Therapy–Induced Side Effects in Prostate Cancer Patients: Results of a European Web-based Survey. European Urology Focus 2016.
- 33. Higgins JPT, (editors) GS. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011:Available from <a href="https://www.handbook.cochrane.org">www.handbook.cochrane.org</a>.
- 34. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and metaanalysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. Bmj 2015;**349**:g7647.
- 35. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: the PRISMA statement. Bmj 2009;**339**:b2535.
- 36. Measuring health-related quality of life in men with prostate cancer: A systematic review of the most used questionnaires and their validity. *Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations*; 2015. Elsevier.
- 37. Danna BJ, Metcalfe MJ, Wood EL, et al. Assessing Symptom Burden in Bladder Cancer: An Overview of Bladder Cancer Specific Health-Related Quality of Life Instruments. *Bladder Cancer* 2016;**2**(3):329-40.
- 38. Vodermaier A, Linden W, Siu C. Screening for emotional distress in cancer patients: a systematic review of assessment instruments. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 2009;**101**(21):1464-88.
- 39. Brandt WA, Loew T, von Heymann F, et al. How does the ICD-10 symptom rating (ISR) with four items assess depression compared to the BDI-II? A validation study. *Journal of affective disorders* 2015;**173**:143-45.
- 40. Hornblow A, Kidson M-A. The visual analogue scale for anxiety: a validation study. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 1976;**10**(4):339-41.

- 41. Araujo IB, Castelani A, Soler T, et al. Stress assessment in the elderly by the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS). INTERNATIONAL PSYCHOGERIATRICS 2015;27:S119-S19.
- 42. Li C-C, Chen M-L, Chang T-C, et al. Social support buffers the effect of self-esteem on quality of life of early-stage cervical cancer survivors in Taiwan. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing* 2015;**19**(5):486-94.
- 43. Gilbert SM, Dunn RL, Hollenbeck BK, et al. Development and validation of the Bladder Cancer Index: a comprehensive, disease specific measure of health related quality of life in patients with localized bladder cancer. The Journal of urology 2010;**183**(5):1764-70.
- 44. Dargis L, Trudel G, Cadieux J, et al. Validation of the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) and presentation of norms in older men. Sexologies 2013;**22**(1):e20-e26.
- 45. Baser RE, Li Y, Carter J. Psychometric validation of the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) in cancer survivors. Cancer 2012;**118**(18):4606-18.
- 46. Eypasch E, Williams J, Wood-Dauphinee S, et al. Gastrointestinal Quality of Life Index: development, validation and application of a new instrument. British Journal of Surgery 1995;82(2):216-22.
- 47. Hajebrahimi S, Nourizadeh D, Hamedani R, et al. Validity and reliability of the International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire-Urinary Incontinence Short Form and its correlation with urodynamic findings. Urology journal 2012;9(4):685.
- 48. Gray M. Psychometric evaluation of the international prostate symptom score. Urologic nursing 1998;**18**(3):175.
- 49. Watts NB, Lewiecki EM, Miller PD, et al. National Osteoporosis Foundation 2008 Clinician's Guide to Prevention and Treatment of Osteoporosis and the World Health Organization Fracture Risk Assessment Tool (FRAX): what they mean to the bone densitometrist and bone technologist. Journal of Clinical Densitometry 2008;11(4):473-77.
- 50. Mor V, Laliberte L, Morris JN, et al. The Karnofsky performance status scale: an examination of its reliability and validity in a research setting. Cancer 1984;**53**(9):2002-07.
- 51. McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, et al. PRESS Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies: 2015 Guideline Statement. *Journal of clinical epidemiology* 2016.
- 52. Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC). EPOC Resources for review authors. Oslo:
  Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services; 2015. Secondary Effective Practice and
  Organisation of Care (EPOC). EPOC Resources for review authors. Oslo: Norwegian
  Knowledge Centre for the Health Services; 2015. <a href="http://epoc.cochrane.org/epoc-specific-resources-review-authors">http://epoc.cochrane.org/epoc-specific-resources-review-authors</a>.
- 53. Bourke L, Smith D, Steed L, et al. Exercise for men with prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. European urology 2016;69(4):693-703.
- 54. Ammitzboll G, Sogaard K, Karlsen RV, et al. Physical activity and survival in breast cancer. European journal of cancer (Oxford, England : 1990) 2016;**66**:67-74.

Database	Data last searched	Search Syntax
The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)	13/12/2016	1. [mh "Urinary Bladder Neoplasms"] OR [mh "Carcinoma, Transitional Cell"] OR ("bladder cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder malignancy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bladder carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transitional cell tumor"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urothelial cancer"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract carcinoma"):ti,ab,kw OR ("urinary tract tumor"):ti,ab,kw OR ("mh "Exercise Movement Techniques"] OR [mh "Sports"] OR [mh "Physical Exertion"] OR [mh "Exercise Therapy"] OR [mh "Physical Exertion"] OR [mh "Exercise Therapy"] OR [mh "Cocupational Therapy"] OR [mh "Self Care"] OR [mh "Vurinary Incontinence/rehabilitation"] OR [mh "Pelvic Floor/therapy"] OR ("self care"):ti,ab,kw OR (exercise):ti,ab,kw OR ("physical conditioning"):ti,ab,kw OR ("physical education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("physical fitness"):ti,ab,kw OR ("physical conditioning"):ti,ab,kw OR ("physical education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("physical fitness"):ti,ab,kw OR ("runing):ti,ab,kw OR ("fai chi"):ti,ab,kw OR ("goa):ti,ab,kw OR (physical conditioning"):ti,ab,kw OR ("fai chi"):ti,ab,kw OR ("goa):ti,ab,kw OR (physical conditioning"):ti,ab,kw OR ("fai chi"):ti,ab,kw OR ("fai chi"):ti,ab,

MEDLINE (via

PubMed interface)

13/12/2016

therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR (counseling):ti,ab,kw OR ("patient education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("patient participation"):ti,ab,kw OR ("health education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("sex education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("sexual education"):ti,ab,kw OR (psychoeducation):ti,ab,kw OR ("sex therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("sexual therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("stoma education"):ti,ab,kw OR ("stoma therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("health literacy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("health promotion"):ti,ab,kw OR ("health behavior"):ti,ab,kw OR ("cognitive behavior"):ti,ab,kw OR ("behavior training"):ti,ab,kw OR ("behavioral training"):ti,ab,kw OR (CBT) OR ("complementary therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("mind body therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("spiritual therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("relaxation therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("relaxation training"):ti,ab,kw OR ("client centered therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR (conditioning):ti,ab,kw OR ("stoma management"):ti,ab,kw OR ("bowel management"):ti,ab,kw OR (coping):ti,ab,kw OR (mindfulness):ti,ab,kw OR (empowerment):ti,ab,kw OR ("self-monitoring"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivation therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivational therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivation interviewing"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivational interviewing"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivation treatment"):ti,ab,kw OR ("motivational treatment"):ti,ab,kw OR (psychology):ti,ab,kw OR ("problem solving"):ti,ab,kw OR ("psychosocial care"):ti,ab,kw OR ("psychological care"):ti,ab,kw OR ("psychologic care"):ti,ab,kw OR ("caregiver support"):ti,ab,kw OR (sociotherapy):ti,ab,kw OR ("occupational therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("care delivery"):ti,ab,kw OR ("functional therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("functional training"):ti,ab,kw OR (existentialism):ti,ab,kw OR ("spiritual healing"):ti,ab,kw OR ("spiritual therapy"):ti,ab,kw OR ("transcultural care"):ti,ab,kw OR ("life style"):ti,ab,kw 4. 2 OR 3 5. 1 AND 4 1. "Urinary Bladder Neoplasms"[MESH] OR "Urinary Bladder/abnormalities"[MESH] OR "Urinary bladder/surgery"[MESH] OR "Carcinoma, Transitional Cell" [Mesh] OR "bladder cancer" [TIAB] OR "bladder cancers" [TIAB] OR "bladder carcinoma"[TIAB] OR "bladder carcinomas"[TIAB] OR "bladder malignancy"[TIAB] OR "bladder malignancies"[TIAB] OR "bladder neoplasm" [TIAB] OR "bladder neoplasms" [TIAB] OR "bladder tumor" [TIAB] OR "bladder tumors" [TIAB] OR "bladder tumour" [TIAB] OR "bladder tumours" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell carcinoma" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell carcinomas" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell cancer" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell cancers" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell malignancies" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell tumor" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell tumors" [TIAB] OR "transitional cell tumour"[TIAB] OR "transitional cell tumours"[TIAB] OR "urothelial cancer"[TIAB] OR "urothelial cancers"[TIAB] OR "urothelial carcinoma" [TIAB] OR "urothelial carcinomas" [TIAB] OR "urothelial malignancy" [TIAB] OR "urothelial malignancies" [TIAB] OR "urothelial tumor" [TIAB] OR "urothelial tumors" [TIAB] OR "urothelial tumour" [TIAB] OR "urothelial tumours" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract carcinoma" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract carcinomas" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract cancer" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract cancers" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract malignancy" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract malignancies" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract tumor" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract tumors" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract tumour" [TIAB] OR "urinary tract tumors" [TIAB] O tumours"[TIAB] "exercise" [MESH] OR "Exercise Movement Techniques" [Mesh] OR "Sports" [Mesh] OR "Physical Fitness" [Mesh] OR "Physical Exertion"[Mesh] OR "Exercise Therapy"[Mesh] OR "Dance Therapy"[Mesh] OR "Recreation Therapy"[Mesh] OR

"Rehabilitation, Vocational" [Mesh] OR "Telerehabilitation" [Mesh] OR "Occupational Therapy" [Mesh] OR "Self

Care" [Mesh] OR "Urinary Incontinence/rehabilitation" [Mesh] OR "Pelvic Floor/therapy" [Mesh] OR "self care" [TIAB] OR

Page 18 of 22

BMJ Open

45

"exercise" [TIAB] OR "exercising" [TIAB] OR "exercises" [TIAB] OR "sport" [TIAB] OR "sports" [TIAB] OR "physical activity" [TIAB] OR "physical activities" [TIAB] OR "physical activities" [TIAB] OR "physical physical activities" [TIAB] OR "physical education\* [TIAB] OR "physical fitness" [TIAB] OR "running" [TIAB] OR "swimming" [TIAB] OR "walking" [TIAB] OR "jogging" [TIAB] OR "weight lifting" [TIAB] OR "dancing" [TIAB] OR "dance" [TIAB] OR "tai chi" [TIAB] OR "tai ji" [TIAB] OR "yoga" [TIAB] OR "pilates" [TIAB] OR "gymnastic" [TIAB] OR "gymnastics" [TIAB] OR "resistance training" [TIAB] OR "aerobic training" [TIAB] OR "anaerobic training" [TIAB] OR "muscle training" [TIAB] OR "stretching" [TIAB] OR "aerobic" [TIAB] OR "aerobics" [TIAB] OR "rehabilitation" [TIAB] OR "pre-habilitation" [TIAB] OR "pre-habilitation" [TIAB] OR "football" [TIAB] OR "soccer" [TIAB] OR "circuit training" [TIAB] OR "fitness" [TIAB] OR "incontinence management" [TIAB] OR "uninary management" [TIAB]

- 3. "Tertiary Prevention"[Mesh] OR "Social Support"[Mesh] OR "Psychiatric Rehabilitation"[Mesh] OR "Health Promotion"[Mesh] OR "Psychotherapy"[Mesh] OR "Counseling"[Mesh] OR "Patient Education as Topic"[Mesh] OR "Sex Education" [Mesh] OR "Sexuality/rehabilitation" [Mesh] OR "Health Literacy" [Mesh] OR "psychology/education" [MESH] OR "psychology/therapy" [MESH] OR "Problem Solving" [MESH] OR "Mind-body therapies" [Mesh] OR "patient participation" [MESH] OR "Existentialism" [MESH] OR "spiritual therapies" [MESH] OR "problem-based learning" [MESH] OR "Sedentary Lifestyle" [Mesh] OR psychosocial intervention\* [TIAB] OR psychologic intervention\* [TIAB] OR psychological intervention\*[TIAB] OR psychology intervention\*[TIAB] OR social intervention\*[TIAB] OR psychosocial therap\*[TIAB] OR psychologic therap\*[TIAB] OR "psychological therapy" [TIAB] OR "psychological therapies" [TIAB] OR "psychology therapies" [TIAB] OR "psychotherapy" [TIAB] OR "tertiary health care" [TIAB] OR "tertiary prevention" [TIAB] OR "social support"[TIAB] OR social behav\*[TIAB] OR "support care"[TIAB] OR "supportive care"[TIAB] OR behavior treatment\*[TIAB] OR behavioral treatment\*[TIAB] OR behavior therap\*[TIAB] OR behavioral therap\*[TIAB] OR cognitive treatment\*[TIAB] OR cognitive therap\*[TIAB] OR "counseling"[TIAB] OR patient education\*[TIAB] OR "patient participation" [TIAB] OR health education\* [TIAB] OR sex education\* [TIAB] OR sexual education\* [TIAB] OR psychoeducation\*[TIAB] OR sex therap\*[TIAB] OR sexual therap\*[TIAB] OR stoma education\*[TIAB] OR stoma therap\*[TIAB] OR health literac\*[TIAB] OR health promotion\*[TIAB] OR health behavio\*[TIAB] OR "cognitive behavior" [TIAB] OR "behavior training" [TIAB] OR "behavioral training" [TIAB] OR "behavioural training" [TIAB] OR CBT[TIAB] OR complementary therap\*[TIAB] OR mind body therap\*[TIAB] OR spiritual therap\*[TIAB] OR relaxation therap\*[TIAB] OR "relaxation training"[TIAB] OR client centered therap\*[TIAB] OR conditioning[TIAB] OR stoma management[TIAB] OR bowel management[TIAB] OR coping [TIAB] OR mindfulness[TIAB] OR empowerment[TIAB] OR empowering[TIAB] OR self-monitoring\*[TIAB] OR motivation therap\*[TIAB] OR motivational therap\*[TIAB] OR motivation interview[TIAB] OR motivation interviewing[TIAB] OR motivational interview[TIAB] OR motivational interviewing[TIAB] OR motivation treatment\*[TIAB] OR motivational treatment\*[TIAB] OR psychology[TIAB] OR problem solv\*[TIAB] OR "psychosocial care" [TIAB] OR "psychological care" [TIAB] OR "psychologic care" [TIAB] OR caregiver support\* [TIAB] OR sociotherap\*[TIAB] OR occupational therap\*[TIAB] OR care deliver\*[TIAB] OR functional therap\*[TIAB] OR "functional training"[TIAB] OR existentialism[TIAB] OR "spiritual healing"[TIAB] OR spiritual therap\*[TIAB] OR "transcultural care"[TIAB] OR "life style"[TIAB]
- 4. 2 OR 3

BMJ Open Page 20 of 22

		5.	1 AND 4
EMBASE (via Embase.com interface)	13/12/2016	2.	'bladder tumor'/exp OR 'urinary tract carcinoma'/exp OR 'bladder surgery'/exp OR 'transitional cell carcinoma'/exp OR 'bladder cancer':ab,ti OR 'bladder cancers':ab,ti OR 'bladder carcinoma':ab,ti OR 'bladder carcinoma':ab,ti OR 'bladder malignancy':ab,ti OR 'bladder malignancy:ab,ti OR 'bladder tumor':ab,ti OR 'bladder neoplasms':ab,ti OR 'bladder tumor':ab,ti OR 'bladder tumor':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell cancers':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell cancers':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell cancers':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell malignancies':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell tumor':ab,ti OR 'transitional cell tumors':ab,ti OR 'urothelial cancers':ab,ti OR 'urothelial cancers':ab,ti OR 'urothelial carcinoma':ab,ti OR 'urothelial carcinoma':ab,ti OR 'urothelial carcinoma':ab,ti OR 'urothelial tumor':ab,ti OR 'urinary tract carcinoma':ti,ab OR 'urinary tract cancers':ti,ab OR 'urinary tract cancers':ti,ab OR 'urinary tract tumor':ti,ab OR 'urinary tract tumor':ti,ab OR 'urinary tract tumors':ti,ab OR

			care':ab,ti OR 'behavior treatment*':ab,ti OR 'behavioral treatment*':ab,ti OR 'behavior therap*':ab,ti OR 'behavioral
			therap*':ab,ti OR 'cognitive treatment*':ab,ti OR 'cognitive therap*':ab,ti OR 'counseling':ab,ti OR 'patient
			education*':ab,ti OR 'patient participation':ab,ti OR 'health education*':ab,ti OR 'sex education*':ab,ti OR 'sexual
			education*':ab,ti OR 'psychoeducation*':ab,ti OR 'sex therap*':ab,ti OR 'sexual therap*':ab,ti OR 'stoma education*':ab,ti
			OR 'stoma therap*':ab,ti OR 'health literac*':ab,ti OR 'health promotion*':ab,ti OR 'health behavio*':ab,ti OR 'cognitive
			behavior':ab,ti OR 'behavior training':ab,ti OR 'behavioral training':ab,ti OR 'behavioural training':ab,ti OR 'CBT':ab,ti OR
			'complementary therap*':ab,ti OR 'mind body therap*':ab,ti OR 'spiritual therap*':ab,ti OR 'relaxation therap*':ab,ti OR
			'relaxation training':ab,ti OR 'client centered therap*':ab,ti OR 'conditioning':ab,ti OR 'stoma management':ab,ti OR
			'bowel management':ab,ti OR 'coping':ab,ti OR 'mindfulness':ab,ti OR 'empowerment':ab,ti OR 'empowering':ab,ti OR
			'self-monitoring*':ab,ti OR 'motivation therap*':ab,ti OR 'motivational therap*':ab,ti OR 'motivation interview':ab,ti OR
			motivation interviewing ab, ti OR motivational interview ab, ti OR motivational interviewing ab, ti OR motivation
			treatment*':ab,ti OR 'motivational treatment*':ab,ti OR 'psychology':ab,ti OR 'problem solv*':ab,ti OR 'psychosocial
			care':ab,ti OR 'psychological care':ab,ti OR 'psychologic care':ab,ti OR 'caregiver support*':ab,ti OR 'sociotherap*':ab,ti OR
			'occupational therap*':ab,ti OR 'care deliver*':ab,ti OR 'functional therap*':ab,ti OR 'functional training':ab,ti OR
			'existentialism':ab,ti OR 'spiritual healing':ab,ti OR 'spiritual therap*':ab,ti OR 'transcultural care':ab,ti OR 'life style':ab,ti
		4	2 OR 3
			1 AND 4
Web of Science	13/12/2016		TS=("bladder cancer") OR TS=("bladder cancers") OR TS=("bladder carcinoma") OR TS=("bladder carcinomas") OR
	,,		TS=("bladder malignancy") OR TS=("bladder malignancies") OR TS=("bladder neoplasm") OR TS=("bladder neoplasms")
			OR TS=("bladder tumor") OR TS=("bladder tumors") OR TS=("bladder tumour") OR TS=("bladder tumours") OR
			TS=("transitional cell carcinoma") OR TS=("transitional cell carcinomas") OR TS=("transitional cell cancer") OR
			TS=("transitional cell cancers") OR TS=("transitional cell malignancies") OR TS=("transitional cell tumor") OR
			TS=("transitional cell tumors") OR TS=("transitional cell tumour") OR TS=("transitional cell tumours") OR TS=("urothelial")
			cancer") OR TS=("urothelial cancers") OR TS=("urothelial carcinoma") OR TS=("urothelial carcinomas")OR TS=("urothelial carcinomas")
			malignancy") OR TS=("urothelial malignancies") OR TS=("urothelial tumor") OR TS=("urothelial tumors")OR
			TS=("urothelial tumour") OR TS=("urothelial tumours") OR TS=("urinary tract carcinoma") OR TS=("urinary tract
			carcinomas") OR TS=("urinary tract cancer") OR TS=("urinary tract cancers") OR TS=("urinary tract malignancy") OR
			TS=("urinary tract malignancies") OR TS=("urinary tract tumor") OR TS=("urinary tract tumors") OR TS=("urinary tract
			tumour") OR TS=("urinary tract tumours")
		2.	TS=("self care") OR TS=(exercise) OR TS=(exercising) OR TS=(exercises) OR TS=(sport) OR TS=(sports) OR TS=("physical")
			activity") OR TS=("physical activities") OR TS=(physiotherapy) OR TS=(kinesiotherapy) OR TS=("physical conditioning") OR
			TS=("physical education*") OR TS=("physical fitness") OR TS=(running) OR TS=(swimming) OR TS=(walking) OR
			TS=(jogging) OR TS=("weight lifting") OR TS=(dancing) OR TS=(dance) OR TS=("tai chi") OR TS=("tai ji") OR TS=(yoga) OR
			TS=(pilates) OR TS=(gymnastic) OR TS=(gymnastics) OR TS=("resistance training") OR TS=("aerobic training") OR
			TS=("anaerobic training") OR TS=("muscle training") OR TS=(stretching) OR TS=(aerobic) OR TS=(aerobics) OR
			TS=(rehabilitation) OR TS=("pre-habilitation") OR TS=(prehabilitation) OR TS=(aquagym) OR TS=(football) OR TS=(soccer)
			15=(renabilitation) OK 15=("pre-nabilitation") OK 15=(prenabilitation) OK 15=(aquagym) OK 15=(football) OR 15=(soccer)

- OR TS=("circuit training") OR TS=(fitness) OR TS=("bladder training") OR TS=("incontinence management") OR TS=("urinary management")
- 3. TS=("psychosocial intervention\*")OR TS=("psychologic intervention\*") OR TS=("psychological intervention\*")OR TS=("psychology intervention\*")OR TS=("social intervention\*") OR TS=("psychosocial therap\*")OR TS=("psychologic therap\*")OR TS=("psychological therapy")OR TS=("psychological therapies") OR TS=("psychology therapies") OR TS=(psychotherapy) OR TS=("tertiary health care") OR TS=("tertiary prevention") OR TS=("social support") OR TS=(social support") OR TS=(social support) behav\*") OR TS=("support care") OR TS=("behavior treatment\*") OR TS=("behavioral treatment\*")OR TS=("behavior therap\*")OR TS=("behavioral therap\*") OR TS=("cognitive treatment\*") OR TS=("cognitive treatment\*") therap\*") OR TS=(counseling) OR TS=("patient education\*")OR TS=("patient participation")OR TS=("health education\*")OR TS=("sex education\*") OR TS=("sexual education\*")OR TS=(psychoeducation\*)OR TS=("sex therap\*")OR TS=("sexual therap\*") OR TS=("stoma education\*")OR TS=("stoma therap\*")OR TS=("health literac\*") OR TS=("health literac\*") promotion\*")OR TS=("health behavio\*") OR TS=("cognitive behavior") OR TS=("behavior training") OR TS=("behavioral training") OR TS=("behavioural training") OR TS=(CBT) OR TS=("complementary therap\*")OR TS=("mind body therap\*")OR TS=("spiritual therap\*")OR TS=("relaxation therap\*")OR TS=("relaxation training") OR TS=("client centered therap\*")OR TS=(conditioning)OR TS=("stoma management")OR TS=("bowel management") OR TS=(coping) OR TS=(mindfulness)OR TS=(empowerment) OR TS=(empowering) OR TS=("self-monitoring\*")OR TS=("motivation") therap\*")OR TS=("motivational therap\*")OR TS=("motivation interview") OR TS=("motivation interviewing") OR TS=("motivational interview") OR TS=("motivational interviewing") OR TS=("motivation treatment\*")OR TS=("motivational treatment\*")OR TS=(psychology)OR TS=("problem solv\*")OR TS=("psychosocial care")OR TS=("psychological care")OR TS=("psychologic care")OR TS=("caregiver support\*")OR TS=(sociotherap\*) OR TS=("occupational therap\*") OR TS=("care deliver\*")OR TS=("functional therap\*")OR TS=("functional training") OR TS=(existentialism)OR TS=("spiritual healing") OR TS=("spiritual therap\*")OR TS=("transcultural care") OR TS=("life style")
- 4. 2 OR 3
- 5. 1 AND 4

PEDro 13/12/2016

Title/abstract: bladder cancer

The cited and citing articles of the included studies, retrieved with the above searches, will be screened through Web of Science to potentially identify other studies.