

BMJ Open

Methods, Applications, Interpretations and Challenges of Interrupted Time Series (ITS) Data: Protocol for a Scoping Review

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-016018
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	18-Jan-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Ewusie, Joycelyne; McMaster University Blondal, Erik; University of Toronto, Institute of Health Policy Management and Evaluation (IHPME); Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St Michael's Hospital Soobiah, Charlene; University of Toronto, Institute of Health Policy Management and Evaluation (IHPME); Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St Michael's Hospital Beyene, Joseph; McMaster University, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics Thabane, Lehana; McMaster University, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics; St Joseph's Healthcare, Biostatistics Unit, Father Sean O'Sullivan Research Centre Straus, Sharon; St. Michael's Hospital, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute; University of Toronto Faculty of Medicine Hamid, Jemila; Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St Michael's Hospital; McMaster University, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics
Primary Subject Heading:	Research methods
Secondary Subject Heading:	Geriatric medicine, Epidemiology
Keywords:	Interrupted time series, segmented regression, scoping review

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Methods, Applications, Interpretations and Challenges of Interrupted Time Series (ITS) Data: Protocol for a Scoping Review

Joycelyne E. Ewusie,¹ Erik Blondal,^{2,3} Charlene Soobiah,^{2,3} Joseph Beyene,¹ Lehana Thabane,^{1,4} Sharon Straus,^{2,5} Jemila S Hamid^{1,2}

¹Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

²Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute of St Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

³Institute of Health Policy Management and Evaluation (IHPME), University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

⁴Biostatistics Unit, Father Sean O'Sullivan Research Centre, St Joseph's Healthcare, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

⁵Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Corresponding Author

Dr Jemila S Hamid: jhamid@mcmaster.ca

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Interrupted time series (ITS) design involves collecting data across multiple time points before and after the implementation of an intervention to assess the effect of the intervention on an outcome. ITS designs have become increasingly common in recent times with frequent use in assessing impact of evidence implementation interventions. Several statistical methods are currently available for analyzing data from ITS designs, however, there is a lack of guidance on which methods are optimal for different data types and on their implications in interpreting results. Our objective is to conduct a scoping review of existing methods for analyzing ITS data, to summarize their characteristics and properties, as well as to examine how the results are reported. We also aim to identify gaps and methodological deficiencies.

Methods and analysis: We will search electronic databases from inception until August 2016 (e.g., MEDLINE, and JSTOR). Two reviewers will independently screen titles, abstracts and full-text articles and complete the data abstraction. The anticipated outcome will be a summarized description of all the methods that have been used in analyzing ITS data in health research, how those methods were applied, their strengths and limitations and the transparency of interpretation/reporting of the results. We will provide summary tables of the characteristics of the included studies. We will also describe the similarities and differences of the various methods.

Ethics and dissemination: Ethical approval is not required for this study since we are just considering the methods used in the analysis and there will not be identifiable patient data. Results will be disseminated through open access peer-reviewed publications.

Strengths and Limitations of this Study

- Interrupted time series (ITS) is the strongest and most commonly utilized quasi-experimental design that is used to assess the effect of an intervention when randomization is not feasible.
- This review will be the first of its kind to provide a comprehensive overview of the methods available in the analysis of data obtained from ITS designs as well as provide characteristics of these current methods as applied in health research.
- The review is limited to articles published in English language.
- This review study will not assess the quality of the papers or the methods themselves.

Keywords: Interrupted time series, segmented regression, scoping review

INTRODUCTION

Quasi-experimental designs, such as interrupted time series and regression discontinuity designs are alternative approaches to assess the effect of interventions in healthcare settings when randomization is impossible, unethical or notfeasible due to scarce resources [1]. Interrupted time series (ITS) is a robust quasi-experimental design and is most commonly utilized in implementation science to evaluate the impact of interventions such as quality improvement programs or policy changes [2, 3]. In ITS designs, data are collected before and after the implementation or introduction of an intervention to examine whether the intervention influenced the outcome of interest versus the underlying secular trend [4].

In recent times, ITS design has been increasingly common with several applications in clinical and health services research. For instance, the ITS method has been used in assessing the impact of a variety of evidence implementation strategies in different settings, which include assessing the effect of prevention programs, policy changes and quality improvement initiatives in hospitals [3,5,6,7,8]. ITS designs are included in Cochrane reviews done by the Effective Practice and Organisation of Care Review group [9].

There are several models for analyzing ITS data such as segmented regression analysis and ARIMA. Segmented regression or change-point model is likely the most common statistical method for analyzing data from ITS design [10]. In segmented regression analysis, a piece-wise regression is fitted to the data allowing each segment of the time series to exhibit different levels and trends. The effect of the intervention is then examined by comparing the slopes and intercepts for the pre- and post-intervention phases [11]. A change in the intercept or level constitutes an immediate intervention effect while a change in slope or trend implies an effect that was experienced over time. A change in trend also allows us to measure the sustainability of

1
2
3 the impact of the intervention. Furthermore, segmented regression analysis enables analysts to
4 control for other variables, other than the intervention, that can cause a change in level or trend
5 of the outcome of interest [11].
6
7
8
9

10
11 Despite the various statistical methods that are available for analyzing ITS data, it is not
12 clear which methods are optimal for the different data types and how using different analyses
13 may affect results. As such, researchers and particularly, novice users of the ITS design are
14 unaware of the various methods available. Thus, researchers often use methods such as
15 segmented linear regression to analyze their ITS data, which can lead to spurious results and
16 consequently unreliable conclusions about the effect of interventions. Commonly used methods
17 for analyzing ITS data are often inappropriate when the data are aggregated per time point or the
18 data are from a skewed distribution, and are often susceptible to aggregation bias, imprecision
19 and loss of power [3,7]. It is therefore imperative that the various methods available for
20 analyzing ITS data are identified to not only inform researchers of which methods are
21 appropriate for different data types but also to inform future research such that the limitations
22 and gaps of the current methods can be adequately addressed.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39
40 Our goal is thus to conduct a scoping review to examine the current methods used in ITS
41 analysis, compare the methods and evaluate the appropriateness of the interpretation of findings
42 based on the type of analyses performed. We aim to:
43
44
45
46

- 47 1. Identify and describe available methods used in ITS data analysis.
- 48 2. Describe the similarities and differences between the methods.
- 49 3. Describe the strengths and limitations of each method.
- 50 4. Provide a review of the application of the methods, that is how they are used, where they
51 are used and the information they provide.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5
 - 6
 - 7
 - 8
 - 9
 - 10
 - 11
 - 12
 - 13
 - 14
 - 15
 - 16
 - 17
 - 18
 - 19
 - 20
 - 21
 - 22
 - 23
 - 24
 - 25
 - 26
 - 27
 - 28
 - 29
 - 30
 - 31
 - 32
 - 33
 - 34
 - 35
 - 36
 - 37
 - 38
 - 39
 - 40
 - 41
 - 42
 - 43
 - 44
 - 45
 - 46
 - 47
 - 48
 - 49
 - 50
 - 51
 - 52
 - 53
 - 54
 - 55
 - 56
 - 57
 - 58
 - 59
 - 60
5. Examine how results are reported and provide a comprehensive description of their interpretation
 6. Identify gaps in methodology and provide direction for future research.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

We will conduct a scoping review using the methods proposed by Arkley and O'Malley [12] and the Joanna Briggs Handbook for conducting systematic scoping reviews [13]. We will first perform a systematic literature search for health research studies that employed interrupted time series design and then use the methodological framework of Arkley and O'Malley to facilitate the identification of gaps and methodological deficiencies in the existing literature.

Search Strategy

For this study, an experienced information scientist helped to develop the search strategy which will be used to search electronic databases including MEDLINE, JSTOR, PUBMED, EMBASE, CINAHL, Web of Science and the CochraneLibrary from inception until August 2016 for relevant articles. Our electronic search will be supplemented by searching for published conference abstracts from relevant statistical conferences and contacting methodological experts in the field of ITS to identify difficult-to-locate or unpublished material. A draft of the literature search for MEDLINE can be found in Appendix A. The search strategy will be modified

1
2
3 accordingly when necessary for other databases. Additionally, references of the included studies
4
5 will be scanned for relevant articles.
6
7
8
9

10 11 **Eligibility Criteria**

12
13
14 All studies that report the development, application or comparison of methods employed in the
15
16 analysis of ITS data will be included. To be included in the analysis, studies must have at least
17
18 three time points before and after the intervention, have a clearly defined point in time when the
19
20 intervention was implemented and the study outcomes must be measured objectively. This is in
21
22 accordance with the Effective Practice and Organization of Care (EPOC) Cochrane Group
23
24 definition of an ITS design [9]. There will be no restrictions on the publication status. We will
25
26 exclude ITS studies that are not related to health research. We define health based on the WHO
27
28 definition that is, complete mental, physical and social well-being
29
30 (<http://www.who.int/about/definition/en/print.html>). Studies that were written in languages other
31
32 than English will be excluded due to resource limitations. Review papers will be excluded but
33
34 their references will be scanned for relevant articles.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 **Study Selection**

45
46 We will import search results, screen citations and full text articles into Endnote X6 desktop
47
48 version. A calibration exercise will be held prior to the commencement of screening of titles and
49
50 abstracts to ensure reliability. For these pilot runs, each reviewer will screen a random sample of
51
52 50 citations for inclusion. Inter-rater agreement for study inclusion will then be calculated and a
53
54 percentage agreement value >80% will imply we can commence screening. A value <80% will
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 imply poor agreement and hence the eligibility criteria will be modified and clarified with the
4
5 reviewers. A second pilot will be run with another random sample of 50 citations. This process
6
7 will be repeated until we reach an agreement >80% and then the screening will begin. Each title
8
9 and abstract will be screened independently by two reviewers (CS or EB and JEE) for inclusion
10
11 using the eligibility criteria (Level 1 screening). This will be followed by full text screening of
12
13 potentially relevant articles by the reviewers to determine inclusion using the same or modified
14
15 eligibility criteria (Level 2 screening). If the eligibility criteria for level 2 are modified, a training
16
17 session will be conducted prior to level 2 screening. Conflicts will be resolved by discussion or
18
19 involvement of a third reviewer (JSH or JB). The PRISMA flow chart will be used to report
20
21 study selection. A draft of the eligibility form can be found in Appendix B.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Data Abstraction**

31
32 General study characteristics such as authorship and year of publication will be abstracted. Other
33
34 characteristics will be abstracted based on the type of article. For instance, for methodological
35
36 articles we will obtain the general description of the methods, the type of outcome (e.g.
37
38 continuous, binary), and the similarities and differences of the methods either reported by the
39
40 authors or based on our own perspective. For articles that report the use of an ITS
41
42 design/analysis (application articles), data to be abstracted will include the characteristics of the
43
44 study design (number of intervention phases, e.g. Pre-and Post-intervention for 2 phases), the
45
46 rationale for using an ITS design/analysis, the statistical methods used, assumptions checked and
47
48 approaches used to summarize the results. Examples used in methodological articles will be
49
50 treated as separate applications and all relevant data will be extracted where necessary. A draft of
51
52 the data abstraction form is found in Appendix C. The data abstraction form will be piloted on a
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 random sample of 50 included studies and modified as required. The abstraction process will be
4
5 completed by JEE in consultations with JSH.
6
7
8
9

10 11 **Risk of bias appraisal**

12 Since this is a scoping review, we will not appraise methodological quality or risk of bias of
13
14 included studies [12].
15
16
17
18
19

20 21 **Data Synthesis**

22 For the descriptive analysis, information will be summarized based on the type of articles the
23
24 data was extracted from. For the methods papers, we will narratively describe the method used
25
26 for analysis, the assumptions made or tested, outcome data type such as continuous or binary,
27
28 and applicability of the method to different outcomes of interest based on information provided
29
30 by the authors, the data summarization process for appropriate ITS analysis as well as the
31
32 similarities and differences between different methods.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 For the application papers, we will summarise; the frequency of use of the method; the
41
42 kind of data the method is applied to such as audit, and survey data; the setting in which the ITS
43
44 design was implemented, such as hospitals or communities; and number of interruption times or
45
46 phases of intervention.
47
48
49

50 In addition, we will evaluate results interpretation as well as the transparency of reporting
51
52 of findings by assessing the information provided by the authors. This will include information
53
54 on the different ways estimates obtained are reported and interpreted. The characteristics of the
55
56 included studies will be provided using summary tables and matrix tables will also be created to
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 compare the various ITS methods and the different ways of reporting and interpretation of
4 findings based on how the data was summarized for analysis. Quantitative data such as the
5 number of time points and number of patients per timepoint will be summarized by medians and
6 IQRs and categorical data such as outcome data type will be summarized by frequencies and
7 percentages. Finally, we will provide a summary of the methodological gaps that will be
8 identified and highlight some potential areas for future research.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 **ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

23
24 This is a scoping review of completed studies and hence no ethical approval is required. Quasi-
25 experimental designs, such as ITS have been identified as the best alternative to investigate the
26 impact of an intervention when randomization is not feasible and has been utilized several times
27 in implementation science [1]. There have been several limitations that have been identified with
28 the frequently used methods of analyzing data from ITS design making them suboptimal [3, 4, 7,
29 8]. For example, when segmented regression is applied to aggregated data where data at each
30 time point is no longer observed but estimated and hence associated with imprecision.
31 Additionally, although several methods exist, it is not clear which of the methods are optimal for
32 different data types. Finding out the various methods available and when each method will be
33 appropriate is necessary to ensure quality analysis of data since using different analyses may lead
34 to different results.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 This study will provide guidance on the appropriate methods to be used under different
52 scenarios and thus strengthen the validity of research that utilizes ITS methods. Subsequently,
53 we will identify methodological gaps for future research into various ways of improving ITS data
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 analysis through both simulation and empirical studies. This review will be the first of its kind to
4 provide a comprehensive overview of the methods available in the analysis of data obtained from
5
6 quasi-experimental designs as well as provide characteristics of these current methods as applied
7
8 in health research. Informed consent and ethical assessment was not required for this study since
9
10 secondary data with non-identifiable individual patient data will be used.
11
12
13

14
15 This scoping review will be relevant not just to statisticians and methodologists but also
16
17 to other stakeholders such as health professionals and policy makers, and most importantly those
18
19 interested in implementation science.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Acknowledgement**

28
29 The authors thank Mr. Andrew Colgoni for helping to develop the search strategy for the
30 literature search.
31
32

33 **Contributors**

34
35 JEE, SES and JSH conceived and designed the study and helped write the draft protocol. JB and
36
37 LT helped design the study and reviewed the protocol critically for intellectual content. EB and
38
39 CS participated in data collection and edited the protocol. All authors have read and approved the
40 final protocol.
41

42 **Funding**

43
44 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or
45 not-for-profit sectors.
46
47

48 **Competing interests**

49
50 None declared.
51
52
53
54

55 **References**

56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 1. Michielutte R, Shelton B, Paskett ED, Tatum CM, Velez R. Use of an interrupted time-
4 series design to evaluate a cancer screening program. *Health Education Research, Theory*
5 *and Practice* 2000,15(5):615-623
6
7
- 8 2. Wagner AK, Soumerai SB, Ghang F, Ross-Degnan D. Segmented regression analysis of
9 interrupted time series studies in medication use research. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy*
10 *and Therapeutics* 2002, 27:299-309
11
12
- 13 3. Taljaard M, Mckenzie J, Ramsay CR, Grimshaw JM. The use of segmented regression in
14 analyzing interrupted time series studies: an example in pre-hospital ambulance care.
15 *Implementation Science* 2014, 9:77
16
17
- 18 4. Ramsay CR, Matowe L, Grilli R, Grimshaw JM, Thomas RE. Interrupted time series
19 designs in health technology assessment: lessons from two systematic reviews of
20 behaviour change strategies. *International Journal of Health Technology Assessment in*
21 *Health Care* 2003; 19:613-23.
22
23
- 24 5. Kastner M, Sawka AM, Hamid JS, Chen M, Thorpe K, Chignell M, Ewusie J, Marquez
25 C, Newton D, Straus SE. A knowledge translation tool improved osteoporosis disease
26 management in primary care: an interrupted time series analysis. *Implementation Science*
27 2014, 9:109 doi:10.1186/s13012-014-0109-9
28
29
- 30 6. Liu B, Almaawiy U, Moore JE, Chan WH, Straus SE and the MOVE ON Team.
31 Evaluation of a multisite educational intervention to improve mobilization of older
32 patients in hospital: protocol for mobilization of vulnerable elders in Ontario (MOVE
33 ON). *Implementation Science* 2013, 8:76
34
35
- 36 7. Gebski V, Ellingson K, Edwards J, Jernigan J, Kleinbaum D. Modelling interrupted time
37 series to evaluate prevention and control of infection in healthcare. *Epidemiology and*
38 *Infection*. 2012 Dec 1;140(12):2131-41.
39
40
- 41 8. Zhang F, Wagner AK, Soumerai SB, Ross-Degnan D. Methods for estimating confidence
42 intervals in interrupted time series analyses of health interventions. *Journal of Clinical*
43 *Epidemiology* 2009,62:143-148
44
45
- 46 9. Bero L, Grilli R, Grimshaw JM, et al. The Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation
47 of care Group (EPOC) Module. In: *The Cochrane Library*. Oxford: Update Software,
48 2002 Issue 1.
49
50
- 51 10. Shardell M, Harris AD, El-Kamary S, Furuno JP, Miller R, Perencevich EN. Statistical
52 analysis and application of quasi experiments to antimicrobial resistance intervention
53 studies. *Antimicrobial resistance* 2007, 45:901:907.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

11. Wang, Joanna JJ, Scott W, Raphael G, Jake O. "A comparison of statistical methods in interrupted time series analysis to estimate an intervention effect." In Australasian Road Safety Research, Policing and Education Conference. 2013.
12. Arksey H, O'Malley L. "Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework." International Journal of social research methodology 2005, 8.1:19-32.
13. Peters MD, Godfrey CM, Khalil H, McInerney P, Parker D, Soares CB. Guidance for conducting systematic scoping reviews. International journal of evidence-based healthcare 2015, 13(3), 141-146.

APPENDIX A:

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>

1
2
3 Search Strategy:
4
5 -----
6

- 7 1 Interrupted Time Series Analysis.mp.
8
9 2 "change point model\$.mp.
10
11 3 Interrupted Time Series Analysis/
12
13 4 (interrupt\$ adj3 (time\$ series\$ or time-series)).mp.
14
15 5 (Interven\$ adj3 (time\$ series\$ or time-series)).mp.
16
17 6 (segment\$ adj2 regression\$.mp.
18
19 7 ((time\$ series\$ or time-series) adj2 regression\$.mp.
20
21 8 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7
22
23 9 limit 8 to english language
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

APPENDIX B:

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

Level 1 screening

1. Does this paper report on a method or application/review of ITS design or analysis?

YES ____

NO ____

UNCLEAR ____

2. Is the study related to health as defined by the WHO (i.e., philosophy, health, education)?

YES ____

NO ____

UNCLEAR ____

If you answer NO to any of these questions, the citation/study will be excluded. All other citations will be included.

Level 2 screening

1. Does this paper report on a method or application of ITS design or analysis?

Yes ____

No ____

Unclear ____

2. Is the study related to health (i.e., philosophy, health, education)?

Yes ____

No ____

Unclear ____

3. Is there a clearly defined time point when intervention was started or implemented?

Yes ____

No ____

Unclear ____

1
2
3 4. Are there at least three time points before and after implementation of intervention?
4
5

6 Yes____

7 No____

8
9 Unclear____

10
11 5. Was the study outcome objectively measured?

12 Yes____

13 No____

14
15
16
17 Unclear____

18 If you answer NO to any of these questions, the citation will be excluded. All other full-text
19 articles will be included
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 APPENDIX C:
8

9 DATA ABSTRACTION
10

11 **General Study Characteristics**
12

- 13
14 1. First author
15 2. Year of publication
16 3. Journal and type of journal (i.e., general or specialty)
17 4. Type of article (i.e. methodological or application)
18 5. Discipline
19 6. Title of Article
20 7. Key article or article complementary to a previously suggested method
21 8. Country
22 9. Sponsorship or funding source
23
24
25
26

27 **Methodological papers:**
28

- 29 1. Name of Method
30 2. General description of methods
31 3. Type of outcome.
32 4. Study type that the methodology is applicable to (only if this is clearly mentioned in the
33 text)
34 5. Software used to implement method.
35 6. Were any assumptions made or tested?
36 7. Was the model validated? If so, how? How good was the performance of the model?
37 8. Was there a comparison of methods? What statistical methods were used to do the
38 comparison?
39 9. Was the data management process reported?
40 10. Description of the data management process for the analysis
41
42
43
44
45

46 **Application papers:**
47

- 48 1. Discipline or field of application (pharmacy, guideline development, etc)
49 2. Description of study (e.g. number of interruptions)
50 3. Setting of study (e.g. single site, multi-site)
51 4. Analysis Method used
52 5. Number of time points in each phase
53 6. Type of primary outcome (Continuous, binary, count)
54 7. Number of outcomes
55
56
57
58
59
60

8. Kind of data (audit, retrospective, survey)
9. Number of patients at each time point/period (if reported)
10. Assumptions tested or checked
11. Justification for performing ITS analysis
12. Methods and models used to estimate the effect of intervention
13. Approaches used reporting of summary estimates (e.g. figures, tables, text)

For peer review only

BMJ Open

Methods, Applications, Interpretations and Challenges of Interrupted Time Series (ITS) Data: Protocol for a Scoping Review

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-016018.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Apr-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Ewusie, Joycelyne; McMaster University Blondal, Erik; University of Toronto, Institute of Health Policy Management and Evaluation (IHPME); Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St Michael's Hospital Soobiah, Charlene; University of Toronto, Institute of Health Policy Management and Evaluation (IHPME); Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St Michael's Hospital Beyene, Joseph; McMaster University, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics Thabane, Lehana; McMaster University, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics; St Joseph's Healthcare, Biostatistics Unit, Father Sean O'Sullivan Research Centre Straus, Sharon; St. Michael's Hospital, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute; University of Toronto Faculty of Medicine Hamid, Jemila; Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St Michael's Hospital; McMaster University, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics
Primary Subject Heading:	Research methods
Secondary Subject Heading:	Geriatric medicine, Epidemiology
Keywords:	Interrupted time series, segmented regression, scoping review

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Methods, Applications, Interpretations and Challenges of Interrupted Time Series (ITS) Data: Protocol for a Scoping Review

Joycelyne E. Ewusie,¹ Erik Blondal,^{2,3} Charlene Soobiah,^{2,3} Joseph Beyene,¹ Lehana Thabane,^{1,4} Sharon Straus,^{2,5} Jemila S Hamid^{1,2}

¹Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

²Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute of St Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

³Institute of Health Policy Management and Evaluation (IHPME), University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

⁴Biostatistics Unit, Father Sean O'Sullivan Research Centre, St Joseph's Healthcare, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

⁵Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Corresponding Author

Dr Jemila S Hamid: jhamid@mcmaster.ca

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Interrupted time series (ITS) design involves collecting data across multiple time points before and after the implementation of an intervention to assess the effect of the intervention on an outcome. ITS designs have become increasingly common in recent times with frequent use in assessing impact of evidence implementation interventions. Several statistical methods are currently available for analyzing data from ITS designs, however, there is a lack of guidance on which methods are optimal for different data types and on their implications in interpreting results. Our objective is to conduct a scoping review of existing methods for analyzing ITS data, to summarize their characteristics and properties, as well as to examine how the results are reported. We also aim to identify gaps and methodological deficiencies.

Methods and analysis: We will search electronic databases from inception until August 2016 (e.g., MEDLINE, and JSTOR). Two reviewers will independently screen titles, abstracts and full-text articles and complete the data abstraction. The anticipated outcome will be a summarized description of all the methods that have been used in analyzing ITS data in health research, how those methods were applied, their strengths and limitations and the transparency of interpretation/reporting of the results. We will provide summary tables of the characteristics of the included studies. We will also describe the similarities and differences of the various methods.

Ethics and dissemination: Ethical approval is not required for this study since we are just considering the methods used in the analysis and there will not be identifiable patient data. Results will be disseminated through open access peer-reviewed publications.

Strengths and Limitations of this Study

- Interrupted time series (ITS) is the strongest and most commonly utilized quasi-experimental design that is used to assess the effect of an intervention when randomization is not feasible.
- This review will be the first of its kind to provide a comprehensive overview of the methods available in the analysis of data obtained from ITS designs as well as provide characteristics of these current methods as applied in health research.
- The review is limited to articles published in English language.
- This review study will not assess the quality of the papers or the methods themselves.

Keywords: Interrupted time series, segmented regression, scoping review

INTRODUCTION

Quasi-experimental designs are alternative approaches to assess the effect of interventions in healthcare settings when randomization is impossible, unethical or not feasible due to scarce resources [1]. Quasi experimental designs are divided into four study design groups namely: a) Quasi Experimental designs without control group b) Quasi experimental design with control groups but no pretest, c) Quasi experimental design with control groups and pretest and d) Interrupted time series designs. [2]

Interrupted time series (ITS) is a robust quasi-experimental design and is most commonly utilized in implementation science to evaluate the effect of interventions such as quality improvement programs or policy changes [2,3,4]. In ITS designs, data are collected before and after the implementation or introduction of an intervention to examine whether the intervention influenced the outcome of interest relative to the underlying secular trend [5] as well as competing non-contemporaneous interventions.

In recent times, ITS design has been increasingly common with several applications in clinical and health services research. For instance, the ITS method has been used in assessing the impact of a variety of evidence implementation strategies in different settings, which include assessing the effect of prevention programs, policy changes and quality improvement initiatives in hospitals [4,6,7,8,9]. ITS designs are included in Cochrane reviews done by the Effective Practice and Organisation of Care Review group [10].

There are several models for analyzing ITS data such as segmented regression analysis and ARIMA. Segmented regression or change-point model is likely the most common statistical method for analyzing data from ITS design [11]. In segmented regression analysis, a piece-wise regression is fitted to the data allowing each segment of the time series to exhibit different levels

1
2
3 and trends. The effect of the intervention is then examined by comparing the slopes and
4
5 intercepts for the pre- and post-intervention phases [12]. A change in the intercept or level
6
7 constitutes an immediate intervention effect while a change in slope or trend implies an effect
8
9 that was experienced over time. A change in trend also allows us to measure the sustainability of
10
11 the impact of the intervention. Furthermore, segmented regression analysis enables analysts to
12
13 control for other variables, other than the intervention, that can cause a change in level or trend
14
15 of the outcome of interest [12].
16
17
18
19

20
21 Despite the various statistical methods that are available for analyzing ITS data, it is not
22
23 clear which methods are optimal for the different data types and how using different analyses
24
25 may affect results. As such, researchers and particularly, novice users of the ITS design are
26
27 unaware of the various methods available. Thus, researchers often use methods such as
28
29 segmented linear regression to analyze their ITS data, which can lead to spurious results and
30
31 consequently unreliable conclusions about the effect of interventions. Commonly used methods
32
33 for analyzing ITS data are often inappropriate when the data are aggregated per time point or the
34
35 data are from a skewed distribution, and are often susceptible to aggregation bias, imprecision
36
37 and loss of power [4,8]. It is therefore imperative that the various methods available for
38
39 analyzing ITS data are identified to not only inform researchers of which methods are available
40
41 for different data types but also to inform future research such that the limitations and gaps of the
42
43 current methods can be adequately addressed.
44
45
46
47
48

49
50 Our goal is thus to conduct a scoping review to identify the available methods used in
51
52 ITS analysis, compare the methods in terms of their strengths and limitations and evaluate the
53
54 application of the methods as well as identify the methodological gaps with the purpose of
55
56 addressing the gaps. We aim to:
57
58
59
60

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 1. Identify and describe available methods used in ITS data analysis.
- 5
- 6 2. Describe the similarities and differences between the methods.
- 7
- 8 3. Describe the strengths and limitations of each method.
- 9
- 10 4. Provide a review of the application of the methods, that is how they are used, where they
- 11 are used and the information they provide.
- 12
- 13 5. Examine how results are reported and provide a comprehensive description of their
- 14 interpretation
- 15
- 16 6. Identify gaps in methodology and provide direction for future research.
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31

32 **METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

33
34 We will conduct a scoping review using the methods proposed by Arkley and O'Malley [13] and
35 the Joanna Briggs Handbook for conducting systematic scoping reviews [14]. We will first
36 perform a systematic literature search for health research studies that employed interrupted time
37 series design and then use the methodological framework of Arkley and O'Malley to facilitate
38 the identification of gaps and methodological deficiencies in the existing literature.
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 **Search Strategy**

46
47
48 For this study, an experienced information scientist helped to develop the search strategy which
49 will be used to search electronic databases including MEDLINE, JSTOR, PUBMED, EMBASE,
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1
2
3 conference abstracts from relevant statistical conferences and contacting methodological experts
4
5 in the field of ITS to identify difficult-to-locate or unpublished material. A draft of the literature
6
7 search for MEDLINE can be found in Appendix A. The search strategy will be modified
8
9 accordingly when necessary for other databases. Additionally, references of the included studies
10
11 will be scanned for relevant articles.
12
13

14 15 16 17 18 19 **Eligibility Criteria**

20
21 All studies that report the development, application or comparison of methods employed in the
22
23 analysis of ITS data will be included. To be included in the analysis, studies must have at least
24
25 three time points before and after the intervention, have a clearly defined point in time when the
26
27 intervention was implemented or a definition of the time within which the intervention was
28
29 rolled out since most interventions are rolled out over a period. Also, the study outcomes must be
30
31 measured objectively. This criteria is in accordance with the Effective Practice and Organization
32
33 of Care (EPOC) Cochrane Group definition of an ITS design [10]. There will be no restrictions
34
35 on the publication status. We will exclude ITS studies that are not related to health research. We
36
37 define health based on the WHO definition that is, complete mental, physical and social well-
38
39 being (<http://www.who.int/about/definition/en/print.html>). Studies that were written in languages
40
41 other than English will be excluded due to resource limitations. Review papers will be excluded
42
43 but their references will be scanned for relevant articles.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Study Selection

We will import search results, screen citations and full text articles into Endnote X6 desktop version. A calibration exercise will be held prior to the commencement of screening of titles and abstracts to ensure reliability. For these pilot runs, each reviewer will screen a random sample of 50 citations for inclusion. Inter-rater agreement for study inclusion will then be calculated and a percentage agreement value >80% will imply we can commence screening. A value <80% will imply poor agreement and hence the eligibility criteria will be modified and clarified with the reviewers. A second pilot will be run with another random sample of 50 citations. This process will be repeated until we reach an agreement >80% and then the screening will begin. Each title and abstract will be screened independently by two reviewers (CS or EB and JEE) for inclusion using the eligibility criteria (Level 1 screening). This will be followed by full text screening of potentially relevant articles by the reviewers to determine inclusion using the same or modified eligibility criteria (Level 2 screening). If the eligibility criteria for level 2 are modified, a training session will be conducted prior to level 2 screening. Conflicts will be resolved by discussion or involvement of a third reviewer (JSH or JB). The PRISMA flow chart will be used to report study selection. A draft of the eligibility form can be found in Appendix B.

Data Abstraction

General study characteristics such as authorship and year of publication will be abstracted. Other characteristics will be abstracted based on the type of article. For instance, for methodological articles we will obtain the general description of the methods, the type of outcome (e.g. continuous, binary), and the similarities and differences of the methods either reported by the authors or based on our own perspective. For articles that report the use of an ITS

1
2
3 design/analysis (application articles), data to be abstracted will include the characteristics of the
4 study design (number of intervention phases, e.g. Pre-and Post-intervention for 2 phases), the
5 rationale for using an ITS design/analysis, the statistical methods used, assumptions checked and
6 approaches used to summarize the results. Examples used in methodological articles will be
7 treated as separate applications and all relevant data will be extracted where necessary. A draft of
8 the data abstraction form is found in Appendix C. The data abstraction form will be piloted on a
9 random sample of 50 included studies and modified as required. The abstraction process will be
10 completed by JEE in consultations with JSH.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 **Risk of bias appraisal**

27
28 Since this is a scoping review, we will not appraise methodological quality or risk of bias of
29 included studies [13].
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Data Summary**

37
38 For the descriptive analysis, information will be summarized based on the type of articles the
39 data was extracted from. For the methods papers, we will narratively describe each of the
40 methods used for analyses such as segmented linear regression and ARIMA, the assumptions
41 made or tested, outcome data type such as continuous or binary, and applicability of the method
42 to different outcomes of interest based on information provided by the authors, the data
43 summarization process for appropriate ITS analysis as well as the similarities and differences
44 between different methods.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 For the application papers, we will summarise; the frequency of use of the method; the
4 kind of data the method is applied to such as audit, and survey data; the setting in which the ITS
5 design was implemented, such as hospitals or communities; and number of interruption times or
6 phases of intervention.
7
8
9
10
11

12
13 In addition, we will evaluate results interpretation as well as the transparency of reporting
14 of findings by assessing the information provided by the authors. This will include information
15 on the different ways estimates obtained are reported and interpreted. The characteristics of the
16 included studies will be provided using summary tables and matrix tables will also be created to
17 compare the various ITS methods and the different ways of reporting and interpretation of
18 findings based on how the data was summarized for analysis. Quantitative data such as the
19 number of time points and number of patients per timepoint will be summarized by medians and
20 IQRs and categorical data such as outcome data type will be summarized by frequencies and
21 percentages. Finally, we will provide a summary of the methodological gaps that will be
22 identified and highlight some potential areas for future research.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

43 This is a scoping review of completed studies and hence no ethical approval is required. Quasi-
44 experimental designs, such as ITS have been identified as the best alternative to investigate the
45 effect of an intervention when randomization is not feasible and has been utilized several times
46 in implementation science [1]. There have been several limitations that have been identified with
47 the frequently used methods of analyzing data from ITS design making them suboptimal
48 [4,5,8,9]. For example, when segmented regression is applied to aggregated data where data at
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 each time point is no longer observed but estimated and hence associated with imprecision.
4
5 Additionally, although several methods exist, it is not clear which of the methods are optimal for
6
7 different data types. Finding out the various methods available and when each method will be
8
9 appropriate is necessary to ensure quality analysis of data since using different analyses may lead
10
11 to different results.
12
13

14
15 This study will provide detailed information on the available methods used under
16
17 different scenarios and thus strengthen the validity of research that utilizes ITS methods.
18
19 Subsequently, we will identify methodological gaps for future research into various ways of
20
21 improving ITS data analysis through both simulation and empirical studies. Thus, this review
22
23 will serve as a ground work towards developing a guidance manuscript or book on methods for
24
25 ITS analysis. This review will be the first of its kind to provide a comprehensive overview of the
26
27 methods available in the analysis of data obtained from quasi-experimental designs as well as
28
29 provide characteristics of these current methods as applied in health research. Informed consent
30
31 and ethical assessment was not required for this study since secondary data with non-identifiable
32
33 individual patient data will be used.
34
35
36
37
38

39
40 This scoping review will be relevant not just to statisticians and methodologists but also
41
42 to other stakeholders such as health professionals and policy makers, and most importantly those
43
44 interested in implementation science.
45
46
47
48
49

50 51 **Acknowledgement**

52
53 The authors thank Mr. Andrew Colgoni for helping to develop the search strategy for the
54
55 literature search.
56
57
58
59
60

Contributors

JEE, SES and JSH conceived and designed the study and helped write the draft protocol. JB and LT helped design the study and reviewed the protocol critically for intellectual content. EB and CS participated in data collection and edited the protocol. All authors have read and approved the final protocol.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests

None declared.

References

1. Michielutte R, Shelton B, Paskett ED, Tatum CM, Velez R. Use of an interrupted time-series design to evaluate a cancer screening program. *Health Education Research, Theory and Practice* 2000,15(5):615-623
2. Harris AD, McGregor JC, Perencevich EN, Furuno JP, Zhu J, Peterson DE, Finkelstein J. The use and interpretation of quasi-experimental studies in medical informatics. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2006,13(1):16-23.
3. Wagner AK, Soumerai SB, Ghang F, Ross-Degnan D. Segmented regression analysis of interrupted time series studies in medication use research. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics* 2002, 27:299-309
4. Taljaard M, Mckenzie J, Ramsay CR, Grimshaw JM. The use of segmented regression in analyzing interrupted time series studies: an example in pre-hospital ambulance care. *Implementation Science* 2014, 9:77
5. Ramsay CR, Matowe L, Grilli R, Grimshaw JM, Thomas RE. Interrupted time series designs in health technology assessment: lessons from two systematic reviews of behaviour change strategies. *International Journal of Health Technology Assessment in Health Care* 2003; 19:613-23.
6. Kastner M, Sawka AM, Hamid JS, Chen M, Thorpe K, Chignell M, Ewusie J, Marquez C, Newton D, Straus SE. A knowledge translation tool improved osteoporosis disease management in primary care: an interrupted time series analysis. *Implementation Science* 2014, 9:109 doi:10.1186/s13012-014-0109-9
7. Liu B, Almaawiy U, Moore JE, Chan WH, Straus SE and the MOVE ON Team. Evaluation of a multisite educational intervention to improve mobilization of older patients in hospital: protocol for mobilization of vulnerable elders in Ontario (MOVE ON). *Implementation Science* 2013, 8:76
8. Gebiski V, Ellingson K, Edwards J, Jernigan J, Kleinbaum D. Modelling interrupted time series to evaluate prevention and control of infection in healthcare. *Epidemiology and Infection*. 2012 Dec 1;140(12):2131-41.
9. Zhang F, Wagner AK, Soumerai SB, Ross-Degnan D. Methods for estimating confidence intervals in interrupted time series analyses of health interventions. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2009,62:143-148

10. Bero L, Grilli R, Grimshaw JM, et al. The Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of care Group (EPOC) Module. In: The Cochrane Library. Oxford: Update Software, 2002 Issue 1.
11. Shardell M, Harris AD, El-Kamary S, Furuno JP, Miller R, Perencevich EN. Statistical analysis and application of quasi experiments to antimicrobial resistance intervention studies. *Antimicrobial resistance* 2007, 45:901:907.
12. Wang, Joanna JJ, Scott W, Raphael G, Jake O. "A comparison of statistical methods in interrupted time series analysis to estimate an intervention effect." In *Australasian Road Safety Research, Policing and Education Conference*. 2013.
13. Arksey H, O'Malley L. "Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework." *International Journal of social research methodology* 2005, 8.1:19-32.
14. Peters MD, Godfrey CM, Khalil H, McInerney P, Parker D, Soares CB. Guidance for conducting systematic scoping reviews. *International journal of evidence-based healthcare* 2015, 13(3), 141-146.

APPENDIX A:

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>
Search Strategy:

-
- 1 Interrupted Time Series Analysis.mp.
 - 2 "change point model\$.mp.
 - 3 Interrupted Time Series Analysis/
4 (interrupt\$ adj3 (time\$ series\$ or time-series)).mp.
 - 5 (Interven\$ adj3 (time\$ series\$ or time-series)).mp.
 - 6 (segment\$ adj2 regression\$.mp.
 - 7 ((time\$ series\$ or time-series) adj2 regression\$.mp.
 - 8 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7
 - 9 limit 8 to english language

APPENDIX B:

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

Level 1 screening

1. Does this paper report on a method or application/review of ITS design or analysis?

YES____

NO____

UNCLEAR____

2. Is the study related to health as defined by the WHO (i.e., philosophy, health, education)?

YES____

NO____

UNCLEAR____

If you answer NO to any of these questions, the citation/study will be excluded. All other citations will be included.

Level 2 screening

1. Does this paper report on a method or application of ITS design or analysis?

Yes____

No____

Unclear____

2. Is the study related to health (i.e., philosophy, health, education)?

Yes____

No____

Unclear____

3. Is there a clearly defined time point when intervention was started or implemented?

Yes____

No____

Unclear____

4. Are there at least three time points before and after implementation of intervention?

Yes____

1
2
3 No____

4
5 Unclear____

6
7 5. Was the study outcome objectively measured?

8
9 Yes____

10
11 No____

12
13 Unclear____

14
15 If you answer NO to any of these questions, the citation will be excluded. All other full-text
16 articles will be included

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

APPENDIX C:

DATA ABSTRACTION

General Study Characteristics

1. First author
2. Year of publication
3. Journal and type of journal (i.e., general or specialty)
4. Type of article (i.e. methodological or application)
5. Discipline
6. Title of Article
7. Key article or article complementary to a previously suggested method
8. Country
9. Sponsorship or funding source

Methodological papers:

1. Name of Method
2. General description of methods
3. Type of outcome.
4. Study type that the methodology is applicable to (only if this is clearly mentioned in the text)
5. Software used to implement method.
6. Were any assumptions made or tested?
7. Was the model validated? If so, how? How good was the performance of the model?
8. Was there a comparison of methods? What statistical methods were used to do the comparison?
9. Was the data management process reported?
10. Description of the data management process for the analysis

Application papers:

1. Discipline or field of application (pharmacy, guideline development, etc)
2. Description of study (e.g. number of interruptions)
3. Setting of study (e.g. single site, multi-site)
4. Analysis Method used
5. Number of time points in each phase
6. Type of primary outcome (Continuous, binary, count)
7. Number of outcomes
8. Kind of data (audit, retrospective, survey)
9. Number of patients at each time point/period (if reported)
10. Assumptions tested or checked
11. Justification for performing ITS analysis

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5
 - 6
 - 7
 - 8
 - 9
 - 10
 - 11
 - 12
 - 13
 - 14
 - 15
 - 16
 - 17
 - 18
 - 19
 - 20
 - 21
 - 22
 - 23
 - 24
 - 25
 - 26
 - 27
 - 28
 - 29
 - 30
 - 31
 - 32
 - 33
 - 34
 - 35
 - 36
 - 37
 - 38
 - 39
 - 40
 - 41
 - 42
 - 43
 - 44
 - 45
 - 46
 - 47
 - 48
 - 49
 - 50
 - 51
 - 52
 - 53
 - 54
 - 55
 - 56
 - 57
 - 58
 - 59
 - 60
12. Methods and models used to estimate the effect of intervention
13. Approaches used reporting of summary estimates (e.g. figures, tables, text)

For peer review only