

Table S3: Statistical results of linear mixed-effects models with pseudo F-value as response variable, taxonomic group and years after disturbance as predictors and study site as a random effect (i.e.  $F\text{-value} \sim \text{taxonomic group} + \text{time since disturbance} + (1|\text{study site}) - 1$ ). Significant p-values are given in bold and indicate differences in community composition between salvaged and unsalvaged plots. Results correspond to Fig. 2b in the main manuscript.

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Std. error</b>	<b>t-value</b>	<b>p-value</b>
Years after disturbance	-6.44 e <sup>-2</sup>	5.67 e <sup>-2</sup>	-1.14	0.256
Ants	3.03	3.45	8.77 e <sup>-1</sup>	0.381
Bats	9.39 e <sup>-1</sup>	3.29	2.85 e <sup>-1</sup>	0.775
<b>Birds</b>	<b>5.47</b>	<b>7.33 e<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>7.47</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>Carabids</b>	<b>3.38</b>	<b>7.57 e<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>4.47</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Epigaeal lichens	1.08	1.94	5.58 e <sup>-1</sup>	0.577
Epigaeal mosses	2.35	1.54	1.53	0.126
<b>Epigaeal spiders</b>	<b>4.49</b>	<b>7.62 e<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>5.89</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>Epixylic lichens</b>	<b>2.10 e<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>1.54</b>	<b>1.36 e<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Epixylic mosses	2.93	1.54	1.91	0.057
Nocturnal moths	1.56	3.29	4.75 e <sup>-1</sup>	0.635
Non-saproxylic beetles	3.98	3.45	1.15	0.249
Rodents	1.12	3.29	3.41 e <sup>-1</sup>	0.733
<b>Saproxylic beetles</b>	<b>7.46</b>	<b>1.44</b>	<b>5.19</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Scuttle flies	2.31	3.29	7.02 e <sup>-1</sup>	0.483
Vascular plants	2.12	1.01	2.09	0.037
<b>Wood inhabiting fungi</b>	<b>1.46 e<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>1.54</b>	<b>9.51</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>