	YES	NO	UNCERTAIN	NOT APPLICABLE
TITLE, KEYWORDS, ABSTRACT				
Identify article as study of	Х			
assessing diagnostic accuracy				
Identify article as a study of	Χ*			
administrative data				
INTRODUCTION:				
State disease identification &	Х			
validation one of goals of study				
METHODS:				
Participants in validation cohort:				
Describe validation cohort				
(Cohort of patients to which				
reference standard was applied)				
☐ Age	Х			
☐ Disease	Х			
Severity				X**
Location/Jurisdiction	Χ			
Describe recruitment of				
validation cohort				
☐ Inclusion criteria	Х			
Exclusion criteria	X			
Describe patient sampling				
(Random, consecutive, all, etc.)				
Describe data collection				
☐ Who identified patients	Х			
and did selection adhere				
to patient recruitment				
criteria				
☐ Who collected data	Χ			
☐ A priori data collection	Х			
form				
☐ Disease classification	Х			
Split sample (i.e. re-				X
validation using a				
separate cohort)				
Test Methods:				
Describe number, training and	Х			
expertise of persons reading] ``			
reference standard				
If >1 person reading reference		X		
standard, quote measure of				
consistency (e.g. kappa)				
/ (O - /-	1			I

Blinding of interpreters of	Ιx		
reference standard to results of	^		
classification by administrative			
data e.g. Chart abstractor			
blinded to how that chart was			
coded			
Statistical Methods:			
Describe methods of	Х		
calculating/comparing			
diagnostic accuracy			
RESULTS:			
Participants:			
Report when study done,		X***	
start/end dates of enrollment			
Describe number of people who	Х		
satisfied inclusion/exclusion			
criteria			
Study flow diagram		X***	
Test results:			
Report distribution of disease			Х
severity			^
Report cross-tabulation of index	Х		
tests by results of reference			
standard			
Estimates:			
Report at least 4 estimates of	Х		
diagnostic accuracy	^		
Diagnostic Accuracy Measures			
Reported:	V		
Sensitivity	X		
Spec	Х		
☐ PPV	Х		
☐ NPV	Х		
☐ Likelihood ratios		X	
□ Карра		X	
☐ Area under the ROC		Х	
curve/c-statistic			
☐ Accuracy/agreement		Х	
Other (specify)	1	X	
Report accuracy for subgroups	1		Х
(e.g. age, geography, different			
sex, etc.)			
If PPV/NPV reported, ratio of	Х		
cases/controls of validation			
cases/controls of validation			

cohort approximate prevalence of condition in the population			
Report 95% confidence intervals	Х		
for each diagnostic measure			
DISCUSSION:			
Discuss the applicability of the	Х		
validation findings			

^{*}Our study concerns EMR-derived clinical data, rather than administrative health data

^{**}It was not possible within this data source to adequately assess for severity of illness, so that was not a factor we included in the reference standard and have not reported distribution for severity of illness.

^{***} Study dates, including dates of enrollment were listed in the previous study (Williamson et al., 2014) which concerned the same sample as this study for the same period of time (patient data extracted on June 30, 2012). The study flow diagram has also been previously included in Williamson et al. (2014).