

Reporting guidelines checklist (RECORD statement)

Evaluation of Health Links on health services utilization in the Central Ontario health region: a propensity-matched difference-in-differences study

Authors: Luke Mondor, Kevin Walker, Yu Qing Bai, Walter P Wodchis

	No	STROBE items	RECORD items	Location in manuscript where items are reported
Title and abstract				
	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	<p>RECORD 1.1: The type of data used should be specified in the title or abstract. When possible, the name of the databases used should be included.</p> <p>RECORD 1.2: If applicable, the geographic region and timeframe within which the study took place should be reported in the title or abstract.</p> <p>RECORD 1.3: If linkage between databases was conducted for the study, this should be clearly stated in the title or abstract.</p>	<p>Included.</p> <p>1.1 Methods, Abstract 1.2 Included in Title and Abstract 1.3 Methods, Abstract</p>
Introduction				
Background rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported		Paragraphs 1-2 (lines 23-44)

Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any pre-specified hypotheses		Paragraph 3 (lines 45-49)
Methods				
Study Design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper		Introduction (lines 45-49) and Methods.
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection		“Setting” sub-section (lines 52-60)
Participants	6	<p><i>(a) Cohort study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up</p> <p><i>Case-control study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls</p> <p><i>Cross-sectional study</i> - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants</p> <p><i>(b) Cohort study</i> - For matched</p>	<p>RECORD 6.1: The methods of study population selection (such as codes or algorithms used to identify subjects) should be listed in detail. If this is not possible, an explanation should be provided.</p> <p>RECORD 6.2: Any validation studies of the codes or algorithms used to select the population should be referenced. If validation was conducted for this study and not published elsewhere, detailed methods and results should be provided.</p> <p>RECORD 6.3: If the study involved linkage of databases, consider use of a flow diagram or other graphical display to demonstrate the data linkage process, including the number of</p>	<p>Included.</p> <p>6.1 “Population” sub-section (lines 72-86) and Appendix 2</p> <p>6.2 n/a</p> <p>6.3 Figure 1 flow diagram</p>

		<p>studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed</p> <p><i>Case-control study</i> - For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case</p>	<p>individuals with linked data at each stage.</p>	
Variables	7	<p>Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable.</p>	<p>RECORD 7.1: A complete list of codes and algorithms used to classify exposures, outcomes, confounders, and effect modifiers should be provided. If these cannot be reported, an explanation should be provided.</p>	<p>“Propensity-Matched Cohort” and “Outcome Measures” sub-sections (lines 96-117) and Appendix 3.</p>
Data sources/ measurement	8	<p>For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement).</p> <p>Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group</p>		<p>Appendix 1</p>
Bias	9	<p>Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias</p>		<p>“Propensity-Matched Cohort” sub-section (lines 96-111)</p>
Study size	10	<p>Explain how the study size was arrived at</p>		<p>Figure 1</p>
Quantitative variables	11	<p>Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen, and why</p>		<p>“Statistical Analysis: Difference-in-differences estimation” sub-section (lines 118-130)</p>

Statistical methods	12	<p>(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding</p> <p>(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions</p> <p>(c) Explain how missing data were addressed</p> <p>(d) <i>Cohort study</i> - If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed</p> <p><i>Case-control study</i> - If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed</p> <p><i>Cross-sectional study</i> - If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy</p> <p>(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses</p>		<p>(a) “Statistical Analysis: Difference-in-differences estimation” sub-section (lines 118-130).</p> <p>(b) n/a</p> <p>(c) Table 1, “No missing data were present for any variable”</p> <p>(d) “Statistical Analysis: Difference-in-differences estimation” sub-section (lines 118-130).</p> <p>(e) n/a</p>
Data access and cleaning methods		..	RECORD 12.1: Authors should describe the extent to which the investigators had access to the database population used to create the study population.	“Data” sub-section (lines 61-71)

			RECORD 12.2: Authors should provide information on the data cleaning methods used in the study.	
Linkage		..	RECORD 12.3: State whether the study included person-level, institutional-level, or other data linkage across two or more databases. The methods of linkage and methods of linkage quality evaluation should be provided.	“Data” sub-section (lines 61-71)
Results				
Participants	13	(a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study (<i>e.g.</i> , numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed) (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram	RECORD 13.1: Describe in detail the selection of the persons included in the study (<i>i.e.</i> , study population selection) including filtering based on data quality, data availability and linkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram.	Paragraph 1 (lines 132-139) and Figure 1
Descriptive data	14	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (<i>e.g.</i> , demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential		Table 1.

		<p>confounders</p> <p>(b) Indicate the number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest</p> <p>(c) <i>Cohort study</i> - summarise follow-up time (e.g., average and total amount)</p>		
Outcome data	15	<p><i>Cohort study</i> - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time</p> <p><i>Case-control study</i> - Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure</p> <p><i>Cross-sectional study</i> - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures</p>		Table 2
Main results	16	<p>(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (e.g., 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included</p> <p>(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were</p>		Paragraphs 3 and 4 (lines 147-157) and Table 2

		categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period		
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses		Paragraph 2 (lines 140-146)
Discussion				
Key results	18	Summarize key results with reference to study objectives		Paragraph 1 (lines 159-164)
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	RECORD 19.1: Discuss the implications of using data that were not created or collected to answer the specific research question(s). Include discussion of misclassification bias, unmeasured confounding, missing data, and changing eligibility over time, as they pertain to the study being reported.	“Limitations” sub-section (lines 196-208)
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence		Paragraphs 2 and 3 (lines 165-195)

Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results		“Limitations” sub-section (lines 196-208)
Other Information				
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based		Included.
Accessibility of protocol, raw data, and programming code		..	RECORD 22.1: Authors should provide information on how to access any supplemental information such as the study protocol, raw data, or programming code.	Included.

*Reference: Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttman A, Harron K, Moher D, Petersen I, Sørensen HT, von Elm E, Langan SM, the RECORD Working Committee. The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement. 2015 Oct 6;12(10):e1001885. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001885

Completed July 2017 (LM).