Aprepitant has Mixed Effects on Nausea and Reduces Other Symptoms in Patients With Gastroparesis and Related Disorders

Online Supplement

Table of contents Online Supplement	1
Contributing Authors	2
Appendix 1. Members of the GpCRC	3
Appendix 2: List of GpCRC participating centers	4
Appendix 3. Additional Figures and Tables	5
Figure S1: CONSORT Flow Diagram of APRON Trial Participants	5
Figure S2: Changes from baseline in Gastroparesis Cardinal Symptom Index (GCSI), 6 individual GCSI component severity scores, and the upper abdominal pain and GERD subscores by treatment group	6
Table S1: Additional baseline patient characteristics: physical exam, medications, co-morbidities, laboratory measures, patient symptom inventories, and satiety and electrogastrography tests	8
Table S2: Use of rescue medication over the 28-days of follow-up and at post-treatment by treatment group	.10
Table S3: Additional secondary outcomes: mean changes from baselinein physical exam measures, laboratory measures, gastroparesissymptoms inventories, and satiety and electrogastrography tests	6
Table S4: Post-hoc analysis: Subgroup variation in the odds of nausea improvement between treatment groups using baseline and post-randomization subgroups	6
Table S5: Adverse events by body classification system by treatment group	.15

Contributing Authors and Author Contributions

Pasricha, Pankaj J., M.D.¹*; Yates, Katherine P., Sc.M.²; Sarosiek, Irene, M.D.³; McCallum, Richard W., M.D.³; Abell, Thomas L., M.D.⁴; Koch, Kenneth L., M.D.⁵; Nguyen, Linda Anh B., M.D.⁶; Snape, William J., M.D.⁷; Hasler, William L., M.D.⁸; Clarke, John O., M.D.¹; Dhalla, Sameer, M.D.¹; Stein, Ellen M., M.D.¹; Lee, Linda A.,M.D.¹; Miriel, Laura A., B.S.²; Van Natta, Mark L.,M.H.S.²; Grover, Madhusudan, M.D.⁹; Farrugia, Gianrico, M.D.⁹; Tonascia, James, Ph.D.²; Hamilton, Frank A., M.D., M.P.H.¹⁰; Parkman, Henry P., M.D.¹¹, for the NIDDK Gastroparesis Clinical Research Consortium (GpCRC)**

**Members of the NIDDK Gastroparesis Clinical Research Consortium are listed in Appendix 1.

¹ Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

- ² Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD
- ³ Texas Tech University, El Paso, TX
- ⁴ University of Louisville, Louisville, KY
- ⁵ Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC
- ⁶ Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA
- ⁷ California Pacific Medical Center, San Francisco, CA
- ⁹ Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, MN
- ¹⁰National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda, MD
- ¹¹Temple University, Philadelphia, PA

* Corresponding author:

P J Pasricha, MD Director, Johns Hopkins Center for Neurogastroenterology Professor of Medicine and Neurosciences, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine Professor of Innovation Management, Johns Hopkins Carey School of Business

720 Rutland Street, Ross 958 Baltimore, MD 21205 Tel: 410 955 8612 ppasric1@jhmi.edu

This paper is subject to the NIH Public Access policy (http://publicaccess.nih.gov/).

The APRON trial is registered on clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01149369; <u>https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01149369</u>).

Support statement:

This project is supported through federal funding from the National Institutes of Diabetes, Digestive and Kidney Diseases (grants: U01DK073983, U01DK073985, U01DK073975, U01DK074035, U01DK074007, U01DK073974, U01DK074008,) and the following Clinical and Translational Science Award Grants (UL1TR000424 (Johns Hopkins University), UL1TR000093 (Stanford University), UL1TR001105 (Texas Tech Health Sciences Center), UL1TR000433 (University of Michigan), UL1TR000135 (Mayo Clinic College of Medicine). This study also was funded in part by Merck & Co., Inc. via a Collaborative Research and Development Agreement with the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases.

Author Contributions

Pankaj J. Pasricha: study concept and design, acquisition of data, writing of manuscript

Katherine P. Yates: statistical analysis and presentation of data, writing and revision of manuscript

Irene Sarosiek: acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

Richard W McCallum: acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

Thomas L. Abell: study concept and design, acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

Kenneth L. Koch: study concept and design, acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

Linda Nguyen: acquisition of data; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

William J. Snape: acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

William L. Hasler: acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

John Clarke: acquisition of data

Sameer Dhalla: acquisition of data

Ellen Stein: acquisition of data

Linda Lee: review of safety data, technical support

Laura Miriel: technical support

Mark Van Natta: statistical analysis

Madhusudan Grover: critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

Gianrico Farrugia: critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

James Tonascia: study concept and design, statistical analysis, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

Frank Hamilton: study supervision, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

Henry P. Parkman: study concept and design, acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

Appendix 1. Members of the Gastroparesis Clinical Research Center

Members of the Gastroparesis Clinical Research Consortium (GpCRC) are as follows:

Clinical Centers:

California Pacific Medical Center, San Francisco, CA: William J. Snape, MD (Principal Investigator); Nata DeVole, RN; Karen Earle, MD; Kjersti Kirkeby, MD; Candice Lee; Mimi Lin, MD; Katie Ponting; Gloria Yee, RN CDE

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD: Pankaj Jay Pasricha, MD (Principal Investigator); John O. Clarke, MD; Ellen Stein, MD; Sameer Dhalla, MD; Yale Kim, MHS, MS

Stanford University, Stanford, CA: Linda Anh B. Nguyen, MD (Principal Investigator); Gotzone Garay, MD; Chiara Orlando

Temple University, Philadelphia, PA: Henry P. Parkman, MD (Principal Investigator); Alan Mauer, MD; Perry Orthey, PhD; Amiya Palit, MD

Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, El Paso, TX: Richard W. McCallum, MD (Principal Investigator); Irene Sarosiek, MD; Sean Connery, MS; Yvette Gomez; Roberta Romero, RN FNP-C; Natalia Vega, RN; Ben Alvarado

University of Louisville, Louisville, KY: Thomas L. Abell, MD (Principal Investigator); Lisa Hatter, RN; Ronna Howard; Lindsay Nowotny, PA-C

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI: William L. Hasler, MD (Principal Investigator); William Herman, MD; Andrew Kraftson, MD; Amy E. Rothberg, MD; Sophanara Wootten

Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC: Kenneth L. Koch, MD (Principal Investigator); Lynn Baxter; Anya Brown; Paula Stuart, PA; Samantha Culler

Resource Centers:

Mayo Clinic College of Medicine (Pathology Analyses Center), Rochester, MN: Gianrico Farrugia, MD (Principal Investigator); Madhusudan Grover, MD; Cheryl Bernard

National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda, MD: Frank Hamilton, MD, MPH (Project Scientist); Jose Serrano, MD, PhD (Program Official); Stephen James, MD; Rebecca Torrance, RN MSN; Sherry Hall, MS

Johns Hopkins University, Bloomberg School of Public Health (Data Coordinating Center), Baltimore, MD: James Tonascia, PhD (Principal Investigator); Patricia Belt; John Dodge; Michele Donithan, MHS; Erin Hallinan, MHS; Milana Isaacson; Linda A. Lee, MD; Patrick K. May, MS; Laura Miriel; Alice Sternberg, ScM; Mark Van Natta, MHS; Annette Wagoner; Laura Wilson, ScM; Katherine P. Yates, ScM

Appendix 2. List of GpCRC centers participating in APRON

Clinical Centers

- Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD (Pankaj Jay Pasricha, MD)
 - o California Pacific Medical Center, San Francisco, CA (William J. Snape, Jr., MD)
 - Stanford University, Stanford, CA (Pankaj Jay Pasricha, MD)
- Temple University, Philadelphia, PA (Henry P. Parkman, MD)
- Texas Tech University Health Science Center, El Paso, TX (Richard W. McCallum, MD)
- University of Louisville, Louisville, KY (Thomas Abell, MD)
- University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI (Willam Hasler, MD)
- Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC (Kenneth Koch, MD)

Data Coordinating Center

• Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD (James Tonascia, PhD)

Appendix 3. Additional Figures and Tables

Figure S1. CONSORT Flow Diagram of APRON Trial Participants



Note: ITT denotes Intention-to-treat

Figure S2. Changes from baseline in Gastroparesis Cardinal Symptom Index (GCSI), 6 individual GCSI component severity scores, and the upper abdominal pain and GERD subscores by treatment group

GCSI total score, each severity score, and the upper abdominal pain/discomfort and the gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) subscores range from 0 (no symptoms) to 5 (very severe). There was an overall significant decrease in total GCSI (P=0.001) (A) between the aprepitant group compared to placebo; however, there were no differences in changes during the trial in 5 of the remaining 6 individual severity scores (fullness (B), early satiety (C), excessive fullness (D), loss of appetite (E), and stomach distention (G)) between the aprepitant group compared with the placebo group. There were significant decreases in bloating (P=0.01) (F), the upper abdominal pain/discomfort severity subscore (P=0.02) (H) and the GERD subscore (P=0.03) (I) comparing the aprepitant group with the placebo. P-values shown are for the overall treatment effect over the trial from a repeated-measures analysis adjusting for the baseline value of the outcome.



Table S1. Additional baseline patient characteristics: physical exam, medications, comorbidities, laboratory measures, patient symptom inventories, quality of life and psychological inventories, and satiety and electrogastrography tests

		Mean (SD)*	
Characteristic	Aprepitant (N=63)	Placebo (N=63)	Total (N=126)
Physical exam			
BMI classification No. (%)			
Underweight	5 (8%)	1 (2%)	6 (5%)
Normal	24 (38%)	22 (35%)	46 (37%)
Overweight	12 (19%)	23 (37%)	35 (28%)
Obese	22 (35%)	17 (27%)	39 (31%)
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	121.1 (17.8)	122.1 (15.0)	121.6 (16.4)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	73.6 (11.6)	75.0 (11.3)	74.3 (11.4)
Medications taken in past month. No. (%)			
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI)	9 (14%)	18 (29%)	27 (21%)
Tricyclic antidepressant (TCA)	11 (17%)	16 (25%)́	27 (21%)
Other antidepressant	12 (19%)	10 (16%)	22 (14%)
Co-morbidities N (%)			
Any comorbidity	60 (95%)	61 (97%)	121 (96%)
Number of comorbidities, median (IQR)	3 (2 - 6)	5(2-6)	4(2-6)
Fibromyalgia	7 (11%)	10 (16%)	17 (13%)
Migraine	19 (30%)	25 (40%)	44 (35%)
Hypertension	14 (22%)	18 (29%)	32 (25%)
Major depression, schizophrenia, bipolar			
disorder, OCD or severe anxiety or personality	16 (25%)	23 (37%)	39 (31%)
Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD)	34 (54%)	44 (70%)	78 (62%)
	х <i>у</i>	· · ·	
Laboratory measures: Liver enzymes, glucose,			
Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (II/I)	25.7(17.4)	23 4 (16 3)	24 5 (116 8)
Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (U/L)	20.2 (9.3)	20.0 (18.0)	20.1 (14.2)
HbA1c (%)†	7 1 (2 2)	6.3 (1.2)	67(18)
Fasting serum glucose (mg/dL)	124.4 (71.6)	100.8 (36.0)	112.6 (57.6)
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	13.4 (1.7)	13.3 (1.6)	13.4 (1.6)
Hematocrit (%)	40.3 (4.7)	40.0 (4.3)	40.1 (̀4.4)́
Red blood cell count (RB) (x10 ⁶ cells/µL)	4.6 (0.6)	4.5 (0.5)	4.5 (0.5)
Sodium (mEq/L)	139.4 (2.3)	140.4 (2.1)	139.9 (2.2)*
Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) (mg/dL)	14.2 (8.2)	13.8 (6.6)	14.0 (7.4)
Creatinine (mg/dL)	1.2 (2.0)	0.9 (0.5)	1.0 (1.4)
Gastroparesis symptom inventories			
PAGI-SYM Severity index			
Stomach fullness severity	3.9 (1.0)	3.8 (1.0)	3.9 (1.0)
Unable to finish meal severity	3.6 (1.4)	3.8 (1.0)	3.7 (1.2)
Excessive fullness severity	4.0 (1.0)	3.9 (0.9)	4.0 (1.0)
Loss of appetite severity	3.3 (1.4)	3.3 (1.2)	3.3 (1.3)
Bloating severity	3.4 (1.4)	3.5 (1.3)	3.5 (1.4)
Stomach distension severity	3.1 (1.6)	3.3 (1.6)	3.2 (1.6)
Upper abdominal pain severity	3.2 (1.5)	3.1 (1.5)	3.2 (1.5)

	Mean (SD)*						
-	Aprepitant	Placebo	Total				
Characteristic	(N=63)	(N=63)	(N=126)				
Upper abdominal discomfort severity	3.6 (1.2)	3.5 (1.2)	3.6 (1.2)				
Lower abdominal pain	2.4 (1.6)	2.5 (1.4)	2.5 (1.5)				
Lower abdominal discomfort	2.5 (1.6)	2.6 (1.4)	2.6 (1.5)				
Heartburn during the day severity	2.5 (1.6)	2.4 (1.7)	2.5 (1.7)				
Heartburn when lying down severity	2.6 (1.6)	2.4 (1.7)	2.5 (1.7)				
Chest discomfort during the day severity	2.2 (1.4)	2.1 (1.6)	2.2 (1.5)				
Chest discomfort during sleep time sevenity	2.0 (1.6)	2.1(1.7)	2.0(1.7)				
Regurgitation when lying down soverity	2.4 (1.7)	2.4 (1.0)	2.4 (1.0)				
Bitter taste severity	2.4 (1.8)	2.4 (1.0) 2.4 (1.7)	2.4 (1.7)				
Gastroparesis Cardinal Symptom Index Daily Diary (GCSI-DD) (0=none to 4=very severe)							
Early satiety severity	2.7 (1.0)	2.7 (0.9)	2.7 (0.9)				
Excessive fullness severity	2.7 (0.1)	2.8 (0.9)	2.7 (1.0)				
Bloating severity	2.3 (1.4)	2.5 (1.1)	2.4 (1.2)				
Gastrointestinal Symptom Rating Scale (GSRS) (items coded 0 to 7, no to very severe discomfort)							
Total score	3.6 (1.1)	3.7 (1.0)	3.7 (1.1)				
Reflux score	3.5 (1.8)	3.6 (1.8)	3.5 (1.8)				
Abdominal pain score	4.5 (1.1)	4.5 (1.2)	4.5 (1.2)				
Indigestion score	3.6 (1.5)	3.9 (1.5)	3.8 (1.5)				
Diarrhea score	2.8 (1.7)	2.8 (1.8)	2.8 (1.8)				
Constipation score	3.7 (1.8)	3.7 (1.7)	3.7 (1.7)				
Depression, anxiety, and quality of life (QOL)							
Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) (0 to 63, none	10 (11 0)	10 2 (12 6)	10 0 (10 1)				
to severe) total score	10.0 (11.0)	10.5 (12.0)	10.2 (12.1)				
State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI)							
State anxiety score (20 to 80)	39.6 (13.9)	41.9 (13.9)	40.7 (13.9)				
Trait anxiety score (20 to 80)	40.3 (14.2)	43.0 (13.2)	41.6 (13.7)				
SF-36 Quality of Life (QOL) (0 to 100, low to bigh)							
Physical component summary score	33.5 (9.5)	29.8 (8.8)	31.6 (9.4)*				
Mental component summary score	41.4 (14.3)	40.5 (13.5)	40.9 (13.9)				
Satiety test†							
Volume of Ensure consumed, median (IQR), mL	240 (150-300)	237 (150-356)	238 (150-300)				
Electrogastrography (EGG)†							
Average power in frequency region, %							
Bradygastria (1-<2.5 cpm)		FO (400()					
Baseline	54 (21%)	50 (19%)	52 (20%)				
U-30 post-satiety	48 (18%)	44 (15%)	46 (17%)				
Normogastria (2.5-<3.8 Cpm)	10 (150/)	22 (1 40/)	21 (140/)				
Dasellile 0-30 post-satiety	19(10%) 23(14%)	22 (14%) 22 (12%)	∠ I (14%) 22 (14%)				
Tachygastria (3.8-10 cpm)	20 (17/0)	22 (13/0)	22 (17/0)				
Baseline	19 (10%)	21 (9%)	20 (10%)				

	Mean (SD)*					
Characteristic	Aprepitant	Placebo	Total			
	(N=63)	(N=63)	(N=126)			
0-30 post-satiety Duodenal (>10-15 cpm)	24 (11%)	26 (10%)	24 (10%)			
Baseline	7 (9%)	8 (6%)	8 (7%)			
0-30 post-satiety	8 (11%)	8 (7%)	8 (9%)			

* Data are mean (SD), unless otherwise noted. There were only 2 significant difference in the above 60 baseline characteristics by treatment group which was: sodium (P=0.02), and the physical component summary score of the SF-36 QOL (P=0.03). P values were determined using the Kruskal-Wallis two sample test, to account for skewness in the lab distributions and t-tests for all other continuous variables; Fisher's exact test was used to determine P values for categorical variables.

† 40 aprepitant, 34 placebo did not report HbA1c; 1 aprepitant, 1 placebo subject did not have SF-36v2 data; 1 aprepitant, 1 placebo subject did not have EGG and satiety results.

Apron				Placebo					
Rescue Medication (any use)	Mean weeks 2-4	Mean week 6	Mean change† (95% CI)	P†	Mean weeks 2-4	Mean week 6	Mean change† (95% CI)	P†	P†
No. patients*	57				63		· · ·		
Antiemetic	36 (63%)	33 (58%)	-5% (-13,2)	0.25	43 (68%)	39 (62%)	-6% (-14,1)	0.05	1.00

Table S2. Use of rescue medication over the 28-days of follow-up and at post-treatment by treatment group

* No of patients determined by data for the rescue medication being available at either week 2 or 4 and at 6 weeks.

† Mean change of % medication use (week 6 – treatment phase) reported. P values determined using an exact McNemar's test for paired proportions and 95% confidence intervals (C.I.) determined using a continuity correction.

‡ Exact logistic regression was used to assess whether changes in medication use from 28-days of follow-up to post-treatment phase differed by treatment group. **Table S3.** Additional secondary and exploratory outcomes: mean changes from baseline in physical exam measures, laboratory measures, gastroparesis symptoms inventories, quality of life and psychological inventories and satiety and electrogastrography tests

	Change from days (me	baseline to 28 ean (SD))*	Adjusted mean changes from baseline	
Outcome‡	Aprepitant (N=56)	Placebo (N=61)	(95% CI) Aprepitant vs. placebo†	P†
Physical exam				
Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m ²)	0.3 (2.5)	-0.3 (1.0)	0.6 (-0.1, 1.2)	0.09
Weight (kg)	-0.1 (4.5)	-0.7 (2.8)	0.7 (-0.6, 1.9)	0.30
Waist circumference (cm)	-0.9 (6.5)	-0.4 (6.0)	-0.5 (-2.8, 1.8)	0.65
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	1.2 (16.0)	-2.1 (10.7)	2.6 (-2.0, 7.2)	0.26
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	0.4 (10.3)	-0.2 (7.5)	0.1 (-2.8, 3.0)	0.94
Laboratory measures: liver enzymes,				
Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)				
(U/L)	2.3 (36.6)	0.8 (7.6)	2.6 (-7.0, 12.2)	0.59
Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)	3.1 (30.2)	0.9 (7.7)	2.1 (-5.9, 10.0)	0.61
HbA1c (%)	-0.1 (1.0)	0.1 (0.5)	0.0 (-0.5, 0.5)	0.97
Glucose (ma/dL)	9.6 (66.8)	7.0 (44.8)	3.1 (-18.0, 24.2)	0.77
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	-0.1 (0.9)	-0.2 (0.6)	0.1 (-0.2, 0.4)	0.44
Hematocrit (%)	-0.4 (2.7)	-0.4 (1.9)	0.1 (-0.7, 0.9)	0.77
Red blood cell count (RB) (x10 ⁶	-0.1 (0.3)	-0.1 (0.2)	0.0 (-0.1, 0.1)	0.88
Cells/µL) Sodium (mEa/L)	-03(23)	-07(20)	0.0(-0.0, 1.0)	0.03
Blood urea nitrogen (BLIN) (mg/dL)	-0.3 (2.3)	-0.7 (2.9)	-0.5 (-0.9, 1.0)	0.93
Creatinine (mg/dL)	-0.1 (0.2)	0.0 (0.2)	-0.1 (-0.2, -0)	0.008
Symptom Inventories				
PAGI-SYM Severity index				
(0=none to 5=very severe)				
Fullness/early satiety subscore	-1.0 (1.3)	-0.7 (1.0)	-0.3 (-0.7, 0.1)	0.13
Stomach fullness severity	-1.1 (1.6)	-0.5 (1.4)	-0.5 (-1.0, -0.1)	0.03
Unable to finish meal severity	-0.8 (1.4)	-0.6 (1.3)	-0.3 (-0.8, 0.1)	0.16
Excessive fullness severity	-1.1 (1.4)	-0.6 (1.2)	-0.5 (-0.9, 0.0)	0.05
Loss of appetite severity	-0.9 (1.6)	-0.9 (1.4)	0.1 (-0.4, 0.6)	0.72
Bloating subscore	-1.2 (1.2)	-0.6 (1.2)	-0.6 (-1.2, -0.2)	0.004
Bloating severity	-1.2 (1.4)	-0.5 (1.3)	-0.8 (-1.2, -0.3)	0.001
Stomach distension seventy	-1.1 (1.3)	-0.7 (1.3)	-0.5 (-0.9, 0.0)	0.04
Upper abdominal pain subscore	-1.1 (1.5)	-0.6 (1.2)	-0.4(-0.9, 0.1)	0.08
Upper abdominal pain sevency	-1.0 (1.6)	-0.5 (1.4)	-0.4 (-0.9, 0.1)	0.14
severity	-1.2 (1.6)	-0.7 (1.3)	-0.5 (-1.0, 0.0)	0.07
Lower abdominal pain severity	-0.8 (1.4)	-0.6 (1.5)	-0.3 (-0.8, 0.2)	0.19
Lower abdominal discomfort severity	-1.1 (1.4)	-0.6 (1.5)	-0.5 (-0.9, -0.0)	0.04
GERD subscore	-1.1 (1.3)	-0.6 (0.9)	-0.5 (-0.8, -0.1)	0.007
Heartburn during the day severity	-1.2 (1.5)	-0.6 (1.4)	-0.5 (-1.0, -0.1)	0.02

Heartburn when lying down	-1.2 (1.5)	-0.7 (1.4)	-0.4 (-0.9, 0.0)	0.06
Chest discomfort during the day severity	-1.1 (1.5)	-0.7 (1.4)	-0.4 (-0.8, 0.1)	0.09
Chest discomfort during sleep	-0.9 (1.5)	-0.6 (1.4)	-0.3 (-7, 0.1)	0.17
Regurgitation during the day severity	-1.2 (1.7)	-0.5 (1.5)	-0.6 (-1.1, -0.2)	0.009
Regurgitation when lying down severity	-1.3 (1.7)	-0.5 (1.3)	-0.7 (-1.2, -0.2)	0.004
Bitter taste severity	-0.8 (1.6)	-0.5 (1.3)	-0.4 (-0.8, 0.1)	0.10
Constipation severity	-0.8 (1.6)	-0.3 (1.5)	-0.4 (-0.9, 0.1)	0.13
Diarrhea severity	-0.1 (1.4)	-0.2 (1.3)	-0.01 (-0.5, 0.4)	0.98
Gastroparesis Cardinal Symptom Index Daily Diary (GCSI-DD)				
(<i>D=101e to 4=very severe)</i> Farly satiety severity	-0.6 (0.9)	-0.4 (0.7)	-02(-0501)	0 12
Excessive fullness severity	-0.6 (0.9)	-0.4 (0.7)	-0.2 (-0.4, 0.1)	0.12
Bloating severity	-0.6 (1.0)	-0.4 (0.8)	-0.2 (-0.5, 0.1)	0.12
Percent of vomiting-free days during 28-day fup (mean, 95% CI)	69.5% (59.7, 79.2%)	66.7% (57.7, 75.6%)	2.8 (-10.3, 15.9)	0.67
Gastrointestinal Symptom Rating Scale symptom clusters (GSRS) (0=no to 7=very severe discomfort)				
Reflux score	-1.1 (1.5)	-0.7 (1.6)	-0.5 (-0.9, 0.0)	0.06
Abdominal pain score	-1.3 (1.1)	-0.7 (1.0)	-0.6 (-1.0, -0.2)	0.001
Indigestion score	-0.7 (1.3)	-0.7 (1.1)	-0.2 (-0.6, 0.2)	0.28
Diarrhea score	-0.5 (1.6)	0.1 (1.3)	-0.5 (-1.0, 0.0)	0.05
Constipation score	-0.8 (1.3)	-0.4 (1.5)	-0.4 (-0.9, 0.1)	0.08
Depression and quality of life (QOL) Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) score (0=none to 63=severe)	-4.6 (8.5)	-2.4 (5.9)	-2.2 (-4.7, 0.4)	0.09
State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI)				
State anxiety score (20 to 80)	-3.1 (8.7)	-1.7 (10.6)	-1.8 (-5.2, 1.5)	0.28
Trait anxiety score (20 to 80)	-1.8 (8.8)	-0.7 (8.4)	-1.6 (-4.6, 1.4)	0.30
SF-36v2 Quality of Life (0 to 100, low to high)				
Physical component summary score	2.3 (6.9)	3.2 (7.5)	-0.1 (-2.7, 2.5)	0.95
Mental component summary score	3.5 (10.8)	2.6 (9.2)	1.4 (-1.9, 4.7)	0.41
Satiety Test§				
Volume Ensure consumed, mL	-13.0 (125.7)	9.2 (131.1)	-21.2 (-70.5, 28.1)	0.40
Electrogastrography (EGG)§ Average power in frequency region, %				
Bradygastria (1-<2.5 cpm)				
Baseline	-5.7 (23.1)	1.2 (21.2)	-4.5 (-11.9, 2.9)	0.23
U-30 post-satiety	-0.7 (16.7)	-1.9 (17.0)	2.8 (-3.3, 8.9)	0.37

Normogastria (2.5-<3.8 cpm)				
Baseline	1.8 (17.9)	-2.0 (15.4)	2.1 (-3.1, 7.3)	0.43
0-30 post-satiety	2.8 (13.8)	1.1 (15.4)	2.1 (-3.3, 7.5)	0.44
Tachygastria (3.8-10 cpm)				
Baseline	1.5 (9.7)	0.0 (11.4)	0.8 (-2.6, 4.2)	0.65
0-30 post-satiety	-2.0 (11.0)	1.3 (12.9)	-5.4 (-9.1, -1.7)	0.004
Duodenal (>10-15 cpm)				
Baseline	2.3 (13.6)	0.9 (11.2)	1.3 (-3.3, 5.9)	0.58
0-30 post-satiety	-1.1 (7.8)	-0.7 (6.1)	-0.8 (-2.6, 0.9)	0.33

NOTE: Physical exam and laboratory outcomes are included as part of the safety profile.

Exploratory outcomes include all symptom severity measures from the GCSI-DD and the 5 symptom clusters measured by the GSRS.

* Defined as value at baseline visit subtracted from the value at the 28-day visit.

† P-values and mean changes from baseline were calculated using ANCOVA, regressing change from baseline to 28 days on treatment group and baseline value of the secondary outcome.

‡ 2 aprepitant subjects did not provide 28 day data (NP, GSRS)

§ No. patients with 28-day satiety and EGG data: 49 aprepitant, 55 placebo.

Nausea Improvement*					Odds ratio		Treatment
Subgroup§	Apre	oritant	Pla	cebo	Aprepitant vs.		by Subgoup
	%	x/N	%	x/N	Placebo†	Р	F4
Overall	49%	29/59	40%	25/63			
Demographic Gender							0.93
Female	44%	20/45	37%	19/33	1.4 (0.6, 3.1)	0.43	0.00
Male	64%	9/14	55%	6/11	1.5 (0.3, 7.5)	0.62	
Age (years)							0.19
< 50	41%	16/39	40%	15/35	1.0 (0.4, 2.6)	0.93	
≥ 50	65%	7/20	39%	11/28	2.9 (0.9, 9.4)	0.08	
Clinical BMI group (kg/m²)							0.21
< 25	57%	16/28	35%	8/23	2.5 (0.8, 7.8)	0.12	0.2.
≥ 25	42%	13/31	42%	17/40	1.0 (0.4, 2.5)	0.96	
Etiology							0.78
Diabetic	57%	12/21	54%	7/13	1.1 (0.3, 4.6)	0.85	
Not diabetic	45%	17/38	36%	18/50	1.4 (0.6, 3.4)	0.41	
Scintigraphic gastric emptying (GES)¶							0.91
Delayed retention	52%	14/27	42%	18/43	1.5 (0.6, 3.9)	0.42	
Not delayed	47%	15/32	35%	7/20	1.6 (0.5, 5.2)	0.19	
Medications Anti-emetic at BL							0.40
Any	48%	20/42	35%	17/49	1.7 (0.7, 4.0)	0.21	
None	53%	9/17	57%	8/14	0.8 (0.27, 3.5)	0.82	
Ondansetron use	38%	12/32	34%	10/29	1.1 (0.4, 3.2)	0.81	0.40
No use	63%	17/27	44%	15/34	2.2 (0.4, 1.6)	0.15	
Proton pump	48%	19/40	41%	21/51	13(0630)	0.60	0.58
inhibitor use at BL	-070	10/40	-170	21/01		0.00	0.00
NO USE	53%	10/19	33%	4/12	2.2 (0.5, 10.0)	0.30	
Narcotic use over	57%	4/7	57%	4/7	1.0 (0.1, 8.3)	1.00	0.71
No use	48%	25/52	38%	21/56	1.5 (0.7, 3.3)	0.27	
					- (- ,)		
Symptoms at BL Nausea							0.26
Severe	48%	23/48	33%	16/49	1.9 (0.8, 4.3)	0.13	
None to moderate	55%	6/11	64%	9/14	0.7 (0.1, 3.3)	0.62	
Vomiting							0.40

Table S4. Post-hoc analysis: Subgroup variation in the odds of nausea improvementbetween treatment groups using baseline and post-randomization subgroups

	Nausea Improvement*				Odds ratio (95% Cl)		Treatment
bubgroup§	Aprepritant % x/N		Placebo % x/N		Aprepitant vs. Placebot	Р	by Subgoup P‡
Severe/very severe	59%	16/27	40%	4/10	2.2 (0.5, 2.6)	0.30	
None to moderate	41%	13/32	40%	21/53	1.0 (0.4, 2.6)	0.09	
Stomach fullness							0.56
Severe/very severe	44%	18/41	37%	15/41	1.4 (0.6, 3.3)	0.50	
None to moderate	61%	11/18	45%	10/22	1.9 (0.5, 6.7)	0.33	
Excessive fullness after meal							0.36
Severe/very severe	39%	16/41	34%	14/41	1.2 (0.5, 3.0)	0.65	
None to moderate	72%	13/18	50%	22/22	2.6 (0.7, 9.8)	0.16	
Bloating							0.44
Severe/very severe	41%	14/34	38%	14/37	1.2 (0.4, 3.0)	0.77	
None to moderate	60%	15/25	42%	11/26	2.0 (0.7, 6.2)	0.21	
Abdominal pain							
Severe/very severe	48%	16/33	37%	10/27	1.6 (0.6, 4.5)	0.38	0.86
None to moderate	50%	13/26	42%	15/36	1.4 (0.5, 3.9)	0.52	
\dherence to \rescribed dose∥ Dose by pill count							
Adherent Non-adherent	52% 0%	29/56 0/3	40% 33%	24/60 1/3	1.6 (0.8, 3.4) 1.00 (0, 39)	0.20 1.00	0.80
Stomach fullness Severe/very severe None to moderate Excessive fullness after meal Severe/very severe None to moderate Bloating Severe/very severe None to moderate Abdominal pain Severe/very severe None to moderate Abdominal pain Severe/very severe None to moderate	44% 61% 39% 72% 41% 60% 48% 50% 52% 0%	18/41 11/18 16/41 13/18 14/34 15/25 16/33 13/26 29/56 0/3	37% 45% 34% 50% 38% 42% 37% 42%	15/41 10/22 14/41 22/22 14/37 11/26 10/27 15/36 24/60 1/3	1.4 (0.6, 3.3) 1.9 (0.5, 6.7) 1.2 (0.5, 3.0) 2.6 (0.7, 9.8) 1.2 (0.4, 3.0) 2.0 (0.7, 6.2) 1.6 (0.6, 4.5) 1.4 (0.5, 3.9) 1.6 (0.8, 3.4) 1.00 (0, 39)	0.50 0.33 0.65 0.16 0.77 0.21 0.38 0.52 0.20 1.00	0.56 0.36 0.44 0.86 0.80

* Improvement in nausea is a binary composite outcome defined as either 1) an improvement in the mean of available nausea visual analog scale (VAS) scores over the 28-day treatment period compared to the means of VAS during the 7-day baseline (BL) period being ≤ -25 mm, or 2) the mean VAS after 28-days of treatment was < 25 mm.</p>

† The odds ratio and 95% confidence interval were derived from a logistic regression of the odds of nausea improvement by treatment group within each stratum of the subgroup.

- ‡ The treatment by subgroup P-value was derived from Wald's test of one or more indicator variables of the interaction of treatment group and subgroup within each stratum of the subgroup. P-value <0.01 is defined as significant.
- § Excludes observations with missing subgroup data.
- ¶ Delayed gastric emptying defined as gastric emptying scintigraphy of > 60% retention at 2 hours OR >10% retention at 4 hours.
- Adherence defined as patient taking the treatment medication 80% of the days during the 28-day follow-up period using pill count determined by the Drug Dispensing case-report form ((pills returned pills dispensed)/No. days in the trial)*100.

Exact logistic regression is used to compute odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals and the interaction P-value.

	Number o	of Reports		Number of		
Body System/Category*	Ар	Plbo	P†	Ар (N=63)	(N=63)	P‡
Allergy/Immunology	1	1	1.00	1	1	1.00
Ocular/Visual	0	1	1.00	0	1	1.00
Hepatobiliary/Pancreas	1	0	1.00	1	0	1.00
Cardiac general	0	2	0.50	0	2	0.50
Dermatology/skin	1	0	1.00	1	0	1.00
Endocrine	1	0	1.00	1	0	0.50
Gastrointestinal	11	7	0.48	10	6	0.42
Musculoskeletal/Soft Tissue	3	1	0.63	3	1	0.62
Neurology	4	1	0.38	4	1	0.36
Pulmonary/Upper Respiratory	0	1	1.00	0	1	1.00
Renal/Genitourinary	2	0	0.50	2	0	0.50
Constitutional Symptoms	2	2	1.00	2	2	1.00
Hemorrhage/Bleeding	1	1	1.00	1	1	1.00
Metabolic/Laboratory	1	0	1.00	1	0	1.00
Pain	4	2	0.69	4	2	0.68
Other§	2	2	1.00	2	2	1.00
Total¶	26	15	0.12	22	11	0.04

Table S5. Adverse events by body classification system by treatment group

* Derived from adverse events reported on the Adverse Event Report (AE) forms that were completed by the principal investigator.

Ap denotes Aprepitant group and Plbo denotes the Placebo group.

† P-values derived from two-sided Binomial probability test with probability of success=0.5.

‡ P-values derived from Fisher's exact test.

§ Other body systems specified: Aprepitant: fatigue, general disorders and fatigue; Placebo: withdrawal symptoms from anti-depressants, congenital adrenal hyperplasia.

¶ Total number of reports is the total number of unique Adverse Event reports. Multiple body systems may be reported on each form and patients may have multiple Adverse Event Report forms. Total number of patients is the number of unique patients with one or more Adverse Event Report form. The total is less than the sum across body system because multiple body systems may be reported on each form.