Davenport, K., B. Mosher, B. Brost, D. Henderson, N. Denkers, A. Nalls, E. McNulty, C. Mathiason, and E. Hoover. Distinguishing the shedding and detection of CWD prions in deer saliva with occupancy modeling.

Appendix A. Multi-scale false positive occupancy model specification and associated full-conditional distributions.

1 Model Statement

Let y_{ijk} be a binary observation representing a detection/non-detection, where i = 1, ..., N indexes individual deer, $j = 1, ..., J_i$ indexes saliva samples nested within deer, and $k = 1, ..., K_{ij}$ indexes replicates per saliva sample. Also let v_c be the number of detections out of M_c trials obtained in the "negative control" dataset for detection method c (i.e., concentration methods PTA and IOME).

$$\begin{aligned} y_{ijk} &\sim \begin{cases} (1-\phi_c) \operatorname{Bern} \left(p_{ijk}\right) + \phi_c \mathbf{1}_{\{y_{ijk}=1\}}, & a_{ij} = 1 \\ \operatorname{Bern} \left(\phi_c\right), & a_{ij} = 0 \end{cases} \\ a_{ij} &\sim \begin{cases} \operatorname{Bern} \left(\theta_{ij}\right), & z_i = 1 \\ 0, & z_i = 0 \end{cases} \\ z_i &\sim \operatorname{Bern} \left(\psi_i\right) \\ \psi_i &= \operatorname{logit}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\beta}\right) \\ \theta_{ij} &= \operatorname{logit}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{u}_{ij}'\boldsymbol{\gamma}\right) \end{cases} \\ p_{ijk} &= \operatorname{logit}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{w}_{ijk}'\boldsymbol{\alpha}\right) \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} &\sim \mathcal{N} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \sigma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^2 \mathbf{I}\right) \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma} &\sim \mathcal{N} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^2 \mathbf{I}\right) \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha} &\sim \mathcal{N} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \sigma_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^2 \mathbf{I}\right) \\ v_c &\sim \operatorname{Binom} \left(M_c, \phi_c\right) \\ \phi_c &\sim \operatorname{Beta} \left(a, b\right) \end{aligned}$$

The parameters are as follows:

 ϕ_c Probability of a false positive error for detection method c.

 p_{ijk} Probability of detection for replicate k obtained from saliva sample j within deer i.

 a_{ij} Latent use state.

 θ_{ii} Probability of use for saliva sample j within deer i.

 z_i Latent occupancy state. Note that we set $z_i = 1$ given the experimental design of the chronic wasting disease applications.

 ψ_i Probability of occupancy for deer i (not estimated given the known occupancy state).

Vector of coefficients that describe the affect of covariates \mathbf{x}_i on probability of use (not estimated given the known occupancy state).

 γ Vector of coefficients that describe the affect of covariates \mathbf{u}_{ij} on probability of use.

 α Vector of coefficients that describe the affect of covariates \mathbf{w}_{ijk} on probability of detection.

 μ_{β} Prior mean for occupancy coefficients.

 μ_{γ} Prior mean for use coefficients.

 μ_{α} Prior mean for detection coefficients.

- σ_{β} Prior standard deviation for occupancy coefficients.
- σ_{γ} Prior standard deviation for use coefficients.
- σ_{α} Prior standard deviation for detection coefficients.
- a, b Prior shape parameters for false positive error rate.

2 Full-conditional Distributions

2.1 Occupancy state (z_i)

$$[z_{i} | \cdot] \propto \prod_{j=1}^{J_{i}} [a_{ij} | \theta_{ij}, z_{i}] [z_{i}]$$

$$\propto \prod_{j=1}^{J_{i}} \left(\theta_{ij}^{a_{ij}} (1 - \theta_{ij})^{1 - a_{ij}}\right)^{z_{i}} \left(1_{\{a_{ij} = 0\}}^{1 - z_{i}}\right) \psi_{i}^{z_{i}} (1 - \psi_{i})^{1 - z_{i}}$$

$$\propto \prod_{j=1}^{J_{i}} \left(\psi_{i} \theta_{ij}^{a_{ij}} (1 - \theta_{ij})^{1 - a_{ij}}\right)^{z_{i}} \left((1 - \psi_{i}) 1_{\{a_{ij} = 0\}}\right)^{1 - z_{i}}$$

$$= \operatorname{Bern} \left(\tilde{\psi}_{i}\right),$$

where,

$$\tilde{\psi}_{i} = \frac{\psi_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{J_{i}} \theta_{ij}^{a_{ij}} \left(1 - \theta_{ij}\right)^{1 - a_{ij}}}{\psi_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{J_{i}} \theta_{ij}^{a_{ij}} \left(1 - \theta_{ij}\right)^{1 - a_{ij}} + \left(1 - \psi_{i}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{J_{i}} 1_{\{a_{ij} = 0\}}}.$$

2.2 Use state (a_{ij})

Note that the mixture specification for a_{ij} in the model statement above is equivalent to $a_{ij} \sim \text{Bern}(z_i\theta_{ij})$, an alternate specification that simplifies the update for a_{ij} .

$$[a_{ij} | \cdot] \propto \prod_{k=1}^{K_{ij}} [y_{ijk} | p_{ijk}, a_{ij}, \phi_c] [a_{ij}]$$

$$\propto \prod_{k=1}^{K_{ij}} \left((1 - \phi_c) p_{ijk}^{y_{ijk}} (1 - p_{ijk})^{1 - y_{ijk}} + \phi_c 1_{\{y_{ijk} = 1\}} \right)^{a_{ij}} \left(\phi_c^{y_{ijk}} (1 - \phi_c)^{1 - y_{ijk}} \right)^{1 - a_{ij}} (z_i \theta_{ij})^{a_{ij}} (1 - z_i \theta_{ij})^{1 - a_{ij}}$$

$$\propto \prod_{k=1}^{K_{ij}} \left[(z_i \theta_{ij}) \left((1 - \phi_c) p_{ijk}^{y_{ijk}} (1 - p_{ijk})^{1 - y_{ijk}} + \phi_c 1_{\{y_{ijk} = 1\}} \right) \right]^{a_{ij}} \left((1 - z_i \theta_{ij}) \phi_c^{y_{ijk}} (1 - \phi_c)^{1 - y_{ijk}} \right)^{1 - a_{ij}}$$

$$= \operatorname{Bern} \left(\tilde{\theta}_{ij} \right),$$

where,

$$\tilde{\theta}_{ij} = \frac{z_i \theta_{ij} \prod_{k=1}^{K_{ij}} \left[(1 - \phi_c) p_{ijk}^{y_{ijk}} (1 - p_{ijk})^{1 - y_{ijk}} + \phi_c 1_{\{y_{ijk} = 1\}} \right]}{z_i \theta_{ij} \prod_{k=1}^{K_{ij}} \left[(1 - \phi_c) p_{ijk}^{y_{ijk}} (1 - p_{ijk})^{1 - y_{ijk}} + \phi_c 1_{\{y_{ijk} = 1\}} \right] + (1 - z_i \theta_{ij}) \prod_{k=1}^{K_{ij}} \phi_c^{y_{ijk}} (1 - \phi_c)^{1 - y_{ijk}}}.$$

2.3 Occupancy coefficients (β)

$$[\boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \cdot] \propto \prod_{i=1}^{N} [z_i \mid \psi_i] [\boldsymbol{\beta}]$$

$$\propto \prod_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{Bern} (z_i \mid \psi_i) \mathcal{N} (\boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\beta}, \sigma_{\beta}^2 \mathbf{I}).$$

The update for β proceeds using Metropolis-Hastings.

2.4 Use coefficients (γ)

$$[\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mid \cdot] \propto \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{J_{i}} [a_{ij} \mid \theta_{ij}, z_{i}] [\boldsymbol{\gamma}]$$

$$\propto \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{J_{i}} \operatorname{Bern} (a_{ij} \mid \theta_{ij})^{z_{i}} \mathcal{N} (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, \sigma_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{2} \mathbf{I}).$$

The update for γ proceeds using Metropolis-Hastings. Note that the product over i only includes instances of i such that $z_i = 1$.

2.5 Detection coefficients (α)

$$[\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mid \cdot] \propto \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{J_{i}} \prod_{k=1}^{K_{ij}} [y_{ijk} \mid p_{ijk}, a_{ij}, \phi_{c}] [\boldsymbol{\alpha}]$$

$$\propto \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{J_{i}} \prod_{k=1}^{K_{ij}} \left[(1 - \phi_{c}) p_{ijk}^{y_{ijk}} (1 - p_{ijk})^{1 - y_{ijk}} + \phi_{c} 1_{\{y_{ijk} = 1\}} \right]^{a_{ij}} \mathcal{N} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\alpha}, \sigma_{\alpha}^{2} \mathbf{I}) .$$

The update for α proceeds using Metropolis-Hastings. Note that the product over i and j only includes instances of i and j such that $a_{ij} = 1$.

2.6 Probability of a false positive detection (ϕ_c)

$$\begin{split} [\phi_c \mid \cdot] & \propto & [v_c \mid M_c, \phi_c] \left[\phi_c\right] \\ & \propto & \operatorname{Binom}\left(v_c \mid M_c, \phi_c\right) \operatorname{Beta}\left(a, b\right) \\ & \propto & \phi_c^v \left(1 - \phi_c\right)^{M_c - v_c} \phi_c^{a - 1} (1 - \phi)^{b - 1} \\ & \propto & \phi_c^{v_c + a - 1} \left(1 - \phi_c\right)^{M_c - v_c + b - 1} \\ & = & \operatorname{Beta}\left(v_c + a, M_c - v_c + b\right) \end{split}$$