## Supplemental Table 1

## Research Gaps(RGs)

## Research Recommendations(RRs)

| RG 1: A need for realistic <i>in silico</i> , <i>in vitro</i> , and <i>in vivo</i> models that more precisely recapitulate the tumour and its micro/macro-environment, to enable comprehensive dissection of the relevant mechanisms governing the transition from normal colorectum to the different malignant stages of the disease | RR1.1: Develop and share appropriate model systems that mimic different pre-malignant/malignant stages of colorectal cancer(CRC), to ensure discovery research questions are addressed in the relevant genetic/clinical context   |
|---|---|
|   | RR1.2: Comprehensively interrogate the normal and APC-mutant colorectal crypt to reveal differences that may be exploitable for CRC prevention/control  |
|   | RR1.3: Better understand the molecular/cellular interplay between the CRC tumour and its microenvironment   |
|   | RR1.4: Determine the role of the gut microbiome and how it can be exploited to improve CRC disease outcomes   |
| RG2: Insufficient evidence on the precise contributions of genetic, environmental and lifestyle factors, and in particular how they interact together to influence the risk of developing CRC.  | RR2.1: Conduct comprehensive genetic susceptibility studies, supported by enabling data-sharing platforms, in appropriately diverse human populations to maximise identification of genetic risks factors that have general applicability, or are relevant to specific ethnic populations |
|   | RR2.2: Develop robust data analytical tools that define and quantify the precise interplay between genetic and environmental/lifestyle factors to attributable CRC risk   |

| RR2.3: Design and implement prospective high quality pan-population studies       |
|---|
| of risk factors for CRC, with robust clinical/pathological data, supporting blood |
| and tissue samples, to inform a population-based assessment of risk               |
| RR3.1: Encourage trans-disciplinary, multi-modal approaches to CRC                |
| prevention, through cross-community collaboration                                 |
| prevention, through cross-community conaboration                                  |
| RR3.2: Ensure future delivery of high-quality robust long-term studies that       |
| identify the appropriate level of intervention including dose, duration, timing,  |
|   |
| feasibility, acceptability as well as clinically-relevant outcome(s)              |
| RR4.1: Coordinate interventional trial activity to ensure maximum impact of       |
| precise and effective prevention strategies across the population                 |
| DD4.0. Duranta studios that habe alreadate manch anima of action of management    |
| RR4.2: Promote studies that help elucidate mechanism-of-action of prevention      |
| interventions   |
| RR4.3: Develop precise individual risk-stratification approaches to ensure        |
| prevention interventions are employed most effectively.                           |
| RR5.1: Embed research RCTs in FIT-based screening programmes to explore           |
| the optimal FIT threshold and/or the role of Flexible Sigmoidoscopy,              |
| incorporating risk adjustment algorithms.   |
| RR6.1: Establish accurate risk-based assessment of symptomatic patients,          |
| incorporating FIT and promising novel technologies                                |
|   |
| RR6. 2: Develop and trial sensitive and specific tests that could be employed in  |
| both screening and symptomatic services.  |
| RR7.1: Precisely define the morpho-molecular taxonomy of precursor lesions        |
| and early-stage disease to help inform risk-stratification in CRC                 |
|   |
|   |
|   |

|  | RR7.2: Develop new standardised molecularly-informed multi-parameter           |
|--|--|
|  | algorithms to permit improved prediction of disease recurrence and therapy     |
|  | response   |
|  | RR7.3: Use our evolving understanding of the CRC tumour and its                |
|  | microenvironment to underpin standardised approaches for pathology             |
|  | specimen analysis  |
| RG8: Lack of qualified personnel to apply state-   | RR8.1: Embed interdisciplinary education/training within undergraduate/        |
| of-the-art knowledge in genomics, big data         | postgraduate and continuing professional education curriculae to ensure        |
| science and digital pathology                      | recruitment, retention and upskilling of qualified personnel to deliver modern |
| colonies and digital patriology                    | pathology to the CRC community   |
| RG9: Inadequate assessment and                     | RR9.1: Develop an appropriate evidence base to inform shared decision-         |
| communication of risk, benefit and uncertainty of  | making for potentially curative therapies for patients.                        |
| treatment choices where cure is possible           | Thaking for potentially defaulted therapide for patiente.                      |
| trouthout onclose where care to pecchie            |  |
| RG10: A need for novel                             | RR10.1: Establish optimum peri-therapeutic interventions to improve curative   |
| technologies/interventions that have the potential | outcomes   |
| to improve curative outcomes.                      |  |
|  | DD40.0: Ontimains according any management of any management discuss that      |
|  | RR10.2: Optimise curative approaches for metastatic or recurrent disease, that |
|  | balance patients expectations with treatment efficacy and health-preserving    |
|  | benefit  |
|  | RR10.3: Develop biomarkers that define the optimal curative therapeutic        |
|  | strategy for an individual or group, preventing over-treatment and improving   |
|  | treatment selection  |
|  | RR10.4: Develop research methodologies to optimally evaluate new curative      |
|  | approaches   |
|  |  |
|  |  |

|  | RR11.1: Develop evidence-based approaches utilising multi-modality treatment   |
|--|--|
| RG11: Lack of approaches that take cognisance of the molecular interplay between the | for patients with stage IV CRC to maximise the utility of cutting-edge   |
| metastasising tumour and its microenvironment  | technologies to improve outcomes.  |
| and help guide evolution of innovative treatments                                    | The second of th |
| that deliver improved health outcomes for the  |  |
| Stage IV patient   |  |
| RG12: Lack of reliable prognostic and predictive                                     | RR12.1: Establish robust prognostic and predictive biomarkers to stratify  |
| biomarkers to help guide stage IV patient  | patients to ensure every patient receives "bespoke" treatment, relevant to their   |
| pathways   | particular disease course  |
|  | RR12.2: Employ our evolving understanding of the role of the tumour  |
|  | microenvironment in CRC to develop innovative therapies that modulate the microenvironment for clinical benefit  |
| RG13: The need to increase understanding of  | RR13.1: Precisely characterise the landscape of HRQOL sequelae in patients   |
| Health-Related-Quality-of-Life(HRQOL) issues   | living with and beyond CRC, including those in receipt of novel treatment  |
| and promote their resolution as part of a research                                   | approaches (e.g. immunotherapy)  |
| effort to enhance survivorship for those living with                                 |  |
| and beyond CRC   |  |
|  | RR13.2: Elucidate the causes of symptoms following CRC treatment and   |
|  | develop viable solutions for their prevention and/or management  |
|  | RR13.3: Evaluate the evidence base and impact of lifestyle interventions,  |
|  |  |
|  | including increased physical activity and better nutrition, in CRC   |
|  | RR13.4: Develop research to support survivorship care planning and promote   |
|  | shared decision-making for people living with and beyond CRC   |
|  |  |

| RG14: Lack of coordination of CRC research and     | RR14.1: Establish an annual national multi-disciplinary CRC research         |
|--|--|
| its funding, leading to fragmented efforts to      | conference, that draws together the entire CRC community in a co-ordinated   |
| elucidate the biology of the disease and translate | research effort  |
| this knowledge into new preventative agents,       |  |
| screening tools, diagnostics and therapeutics      |  |
|  | RR14.2 Develop bespoke data-analytics platforms that maximise the value of   |
|  | CRC genomic, clinical, epidemiological and lifestyle data                    |
|  |  |
|  | RR14.3: Prioritise research resource allocation to recognised research gaps  |
|  | and encourage collaborative research grant calls between complimentary       |
|  | research funding organisations   |
| RG15: Lack of effective communication strategies   | RR15.1: Development of patient- and person-adapted educational materials     |
| between Health Care Professions, CRC               | and shared decision-making tools, in order to empower individual choice      |
| patients/survivors, those at elevated risk of      |  |
| developing CRC, and the general public and         |  |
| varying levels of awareness of key risk factors,   |  |
| prevention options and benefits/risks associated   |  |
| with different treatment options                   |  |
| '  | RR15.2: Embedding strategies that ensure appropriate communication of risk   |
|  | and benefit and best capture Patient Reported Outcome Measures(PROMS) in     |
|  | order to ensure optimal outcomes for patients, their families and carers and |
|  | those at-risk of developing CRC  |
|  | those at his of developing of to   |

Supplemental Table 1 Critical Research Gaps and Research Recommendations