# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

# Modulation of Charge Recombination in CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> Perovskite Films with Electrochemical Bias

Rebecca A. Scheidt,<sup>1,2,#</sup> Gergely F. Samu, <sup>2,3,#</sup> Csaba Janáky<sup>3,4,\*</sup> and Prashant V. Kamat<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Radiation Laboratory, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, 46556, United States

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Notre Dame

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physical Chemistry and Materials Science, University of Szeged, Rerrich Square 1, Szeged, H-6720, Hungary

<sup>4</sup>ELI-ALPS Research Institute, Szeged, Dugonics sq. 13, 6720, Hungary

<sup>#</sup> Contributed equally to this work

\*Address correspondence to these authors: <a href="mailto:pkamat@nd.edu">pkamat@nd.edu</a>, <a href="mailto:janaky@chem.u-szeged.hu">janaky@chem.u-szeged.hu</a> twitter: <a href="mailto:@kamatlabND">@kamatlabND</a>

#### Experimental

### Materials

The blocking  $TiO_2$  layer was obtained by using titanium diisopropoxide bis(acetylacetonate) (Sigma-Aldrich, 75 wt. % in isopropanol) and 1-butanol (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.8%). A homemade  $TiO_2$  paste was the basis of the mesoporous  $TiO_2$  layer, which was treated with titanium-tetrachloride ( $TiCl_4$  - Alfa Aesar, 99.6%) in a subsequent step. Details about the preparation procedure of the  $TiO_2$  paste can be found in previously published literature<sup>1</sup>.

CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals (NCs) were synthetized using lead (II) bromide (PbBr<sub>2</sub> - Alfa Aesar, 99.999%), cesium carbonate (Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Alfa Aesar, 99.9%), oleic acid (OAc, Sigma Aldrich, 90% technical grade), oleylamine (OAm - Sigma Aldrich, 70% technical grade), 1-octadecene (ODE, Sigma-Aldrich, 95%,), acetone (Fischer Scientific, HPLC grade), *n*-hexane (Sigma-Aldrich, 95% anhydrous), *n*-heptane (Sigma-Aldrich, 99% spectrophotometric grade), and ethanol (KOPTEC, 200 proof, anhydrous).

All electrochemical experiments were performed in dichloromethane (DCM – Sigma Aldrich, anhydrous,  $\geq$ 99.8%, contains 40-150 ppm amylene as stabilizer) with 0.1 M tetrabutyl ammonium-hexafluorophosphate (Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> – Sigma Aldrich, for electrochemical analysis,  $\geq$ 99.0%) as the conducting electrolyte. Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> was dried prior to use in a vacuum oven at T=180 °C for t=6 hours.

## **Electrode preparation methods**

The FTO substrates were washed with soap, rinsed with DI water, sonicated in ethanol for 20 minutes and finally plasma-cleaned prior to use. A TiO<sub>2</sub> blocking layer was spin-coated onto these substrates from a 0.15 M titanium diisopropoxide bis(acetylacetonate) solution in 1-butanol. These layers were immediately transferred to a hotplate and heat treated for 5 minutes at T=125°C, under glovebox conditions (N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, H<sub>2</sub>O< 0.1 ppm, O<sub>2</sub>< 0.1 ppm). A mesoporous TiO<sub>2</sub> layer was then spin-coated from an ethanol based suspension (c=0.12 g cm<sup>-3</sup>), and subsequently calcined for 1 hour at T=550 °C in air. This step was followed by a TiCl<sub>4</sub> treatment, carried out in a 20 mM TiCl<sub>4</sub> solution at T=90 °C for 10 minutes. A final calcination step was then performed at T=500 °C in air for 30 minutes.

The FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub>/CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> electrodes were prepared with a method using subsequent cycles of NC deposition and annealing. This procedure is thoroughly detailed elsewhere<sup>2</sup>. As a first step a Cs-oleate precursor (0.306 g Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, 2.8 cm<sup>3</sup> of OAc, and 3 cm<sup>3</sup> ODE) was injected into a PbBr<sub>2</sub> precursor solution (0.808 g PbBr<sub>2</sub>, 6 cm<sup>3</sup> OAc, 6 cm<sup>3</sup> ODE, and 6 cm<sup>3</sup> OAm) at a 170 °C. Highly luminescent, yellow colored CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> NCs were formed immediately after injection. The NCs were cooled to room temperature, purified via centrifugation, carefully washed with acetone, and re-suspended in 3 cm<sup>3</sup> of a 95/5 V/V% n-hexane/nheptane mixture. Deposition of the NCs on the substrate was followed by annealing at 250 °C for 3 minutes, and this cycle was repeated until bulk films were formed with the desired optical density of A<sub>518nm</sub>~0.8. The CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> coated electrodes were kept under glovebox conditions (N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, H<sub>2</sub>O< 0.1 ppm, O<sub>2</sub>< 0.1 ppm) after preparation and used immediately.

#### **Characterization methods**

Steady state UV-vis absorption spectra of the CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> coated electrodes were recorded with a Cary 50 Bio spectrophotometer (Varian). A Gamry potentiostat was used to perform the electrochemical measurements. These were carried out in a standard three electrode setup, where the prepared FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub>/CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> electrodes functioned as the working electrode, a Pt mesh as the counterelectrode, and a Ag/AgCl wire as a pseudoreference electrode. The reference electrode was calibrated towards the ferrocene/ferrocenium (Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup>) redox couple (measured  $E_{Fc/Fc+} = 0.45\pm0.04$  V). All cells were assembled in a glovebox (N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, H<sub>2</sub>O< 0.1 ppm, O<sub>2</sub>< 0.1 ppm) and sealed hermetically to ensure inert conditions.

A Clark MXR-2010 laser system (775 nm fundamental, 1 mJ/pulse, FWHM=150 fs, 1 kHz repetition rate) was used to perform the ultrafast transient spectroelectrochemistry measurements. The data was collected using a Helios software from Ultrafast Systems. The fundamental was separated in an intensity ratio of 95/5, where the 95% was doubled in frequency to generate the 387 nm excitation pump. The remaining 5% was used to generate a white light continuum via a CaF<sub>2</sub> crystal. This light was used as the probe for all measurements. The intensity of the excitation pump was modulated so that the maximum change in absorbance remained constant in all measurements ( $\Delta A_{520nm} \sim -0.25$ ), which corresponded to a pump excitation of about 12 µJ cm<sup>-2</sup>. This was done to ensure that similar amounts of charge carriers were generated in each measurement. A three-armed electrochemical cell with a fused silica cuvette body was placed at the spatial overlap of the pump and the probe. The electrode was kept at a constant potential while the transient absorption spectra were recorded. The sampling spot was adjusted between every measurement to ensure the sampling of a fresh electrode area.



**Supplementary Figure S1**: (A) Time vs. applied potential curve recorded during electrochemical stability measurements. The potential was ramped from -0.6 V to +0.6 V and then back to -0.6 V. (B) Time vs. the normalized absorbance at 518 nm (first excitonic peak) of the FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub>/CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> film used for the electrochemical stability test. An overall decrease in absorbance of about 10% occurred through the 70 minute experiment (irrespective from the applied potential). This stability allowed for further spectroelectrochemical experiments to be investigated. (C) Absorbance spectra of the FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub>/CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> film before and after the cycling of applied bias. Note that there is no shift or broadening in the peak at 518 nm.



**Supplementary Figure S2:** Difference absorption spectra of an  $FTO/TiO_2/CsPbBr_3$  film measured (A) in vacuum (without electrolyte) and (B) in dichloromethane containing 0.1 M Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> electrolyte (with electrolyte) under open circuit potential (OCP) conditions (no external bias was used). (C) Respective bleaching recovery profiles recorded in the two different media.



#### Transient absorption spectra recorded at different applied potentials

**Supplementary Figure S3:** Difference absorption spectra of an  $FTO/TiO_2/CsPbBr_3$  film at different applied potentials on a forward and reverse scan. Spectra are shown for (A) -0.4 V forward; (B) 0.0 V forward; (C) 0.4 V forward; (D) -0.4 V reverse; (E) 0.0 V reverse; (F) 0.4 V reverse. The same film was used for all measurements seen here. However, the film was moved to different positions so that degradation due to the pump laser pulse would not occur.

#### References

- (1) Neale, N. R.; Frank, A. J. J. Mater. Chem. 2007, 17, 3216.
- (2) Hoffman, J. B.; Schleper, A. L.; Kamat, P. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 8603–8611.