Stem Cell Reports, Volume 10

Supplemental Information

GCN5 Regulates FGF Signaling and Activates Selective MYC Target

Genes during Early Embryoid Body Differentiation

Li Wang, Evangelia Koutelou, Calley Hirsch, Ryan McCarthy, Andria Schibler, Kevin Lin, Yue Lu, Collene Jeter, Jianjun Shen, Michelle C. Barton, and Sharon Y.R. Dent

Wang et al. Figure S1

Figure S1 Loss of Gcn5 does not cause overt defect in proliferation or apoptosis. Related to Figure 1 (A) Immunoblots of mitotic marker (H3S10p) and apoptosis marker (cleaved-LaminA) showed no differences between Gcn5^{txtx} and Gcn5^{-/-}EBs at day 5. WCL, whole cell lysates.

(B) Immunoblot of H3K9ac in day 5 EBs demonstrated no global changes upon loss of Gcn5 at early stage of differentiation.

Figure S2 Mass cytometry to delineate heterogeneous cell populations during ESC differentiation. Related to Figure 2.

Proof-of-principle experiment showing lineage markers are enriched for corresponding cell populations. Upper panels: ES cells, NANOG, OCT4, and SOX2 enriched in the pluripotent region defined in red. Middle panels: differentiated EBs, SOX1, PAX6 and PAX3 enriched in the ectoderm region (blue). Lower panels: differentiated EBs, SOX17, GATA4, GATA6 enriched for the endoderm region (green) and Brachyury enriched in the mesoderm region (purple).

Figure S3 Loss of *Gcn5* **impedes mesoderm differentiation. Related to Figure 2**

(A) Gated mesoderm population of day 5 EBs from 4 independent mass cytometry experiments. (B) Quantification of (A).

(C) qRT-PCR analysis of marker genes for indicated populations derived from monolayer mesoderm/endoderm differentiation of control and *Gcn5^{-/-}* ES cells (n=3).

Data are presented as Mean \pm SD, and student t-test was used for pair-wise comparisons.

Figure S4 Loss of Gcn5 impacted genes critical for development and signaling. Related to Figure 3

- (A) Break down of the numbers of genes altered upon Gcn5 loss in day 5 EBs.
- (B and C) Heatmaps showing the top enriched genes in MOD (B) and CSRLST (C).
- Color bars, normalized RPMK counts (False discovery rate 0.05, Fold change >=2)

Antibodies	Expression	Isotope Label
Anti-NANOG	Pluripotency	163Dy
Anti-OCT4	Pluripotency	146Nd
Anti-SOX2	Pluripotency	147Sm
Anti-SOX1	Ectoderm	176Yb
Anti-PAX3	Ectoderm	170Er
Anti-PAX6	Ectoderm	153Eu
Anti- $FOXA2$	Endoderm	150Nd
Anti-GATA6	Endoderm	142Nd
Anti-GATA4	Endoderm	171Yb
Anti-SOX17	Endoderm	175 Lu
Anti-Brachyury (T)	Mesoderm	156Gd
Anti-HAND1	Mesoderm	158Gd

 Table S1 Lineage markers used for mass cytometry. Related to Figure 2

Table S2 List of genes enriched in the Multicellular Organismal Development category identified by GSEA. Related to Figure 3

Table S3 List of genes enriched in the Cell Surface Receptor Linked Signal Transduction category identified by GSEA. Related to Figure 3.

Rank	TFs or regulators	Number of genes with	Q-value
		H3K9ac decrease	
$\mathbf{1}$	HCFC1	205	1.25E-77
$\overline{2}$	MAX	204	4.43E-72
$\overline{3}$	MXI1	199	4.25E-66
$\overline{4}$	GCN ₅	172	2.65E-61
5	NELFE	192	2.65E-61
6	TBP	192	1.69E-59
$\overline{7}$	CTCF	210	3.77E-59
8	SIN3A	192	9.08E-59
9	P300	188	1.14E-58
10	C-MYC	164	2.44E-57
11	E _{2F4}	99	1.40E-48
12	ZNF	145	1.69E-43
13	POL ₂	218	4.62E-43
14	FLI1	103	1.48E-42
15	CHD ₂	143	5.48E-35

Table S4 Top ranked transcription factors or regulators reported to bind genes with decreased H3K9ac identified in *Gcn5-/-* **EBs at day 5. Related to Figure 5.**

Supplemental Experimental Procedures

Chromatin immunoprecipitation

The dissociated cells from day 5 EBs were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde (Thermo Scientific™, PI28906) for 10 minutes at room temperature then quenched with 125 mM glycine for 5 minutes. The cells were washed with ice-cold PBS containing protease inhibitor cocktail (PI, Sigma, P8340), and then resuspended in swelling buffer (5 mM PIPES pH 8.0, 85 mM KCl and 1% NP40) containing PI for 20 minutes on ice. The nuclei were pelleted and lysed in nuclei lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA and 1% SDS) and then sonicated using a Bioruptor® Plus sonication device (Diagenode B01020001). A total of 15 minutes of sonication (3 rounds of 10 cycles with 30 seconds on and 30 seconds off per cycle on the high setting) was applied to obtain chromatin fragments in the size of 150-300 bps. Sonicated samples were centrifuged to remove the insoluble debris. 30ug of chromatin fragments were diluted 1:10 in ChIP Dilution Buffer (0.01% SDS, 1% TritonX-100, 1mM EDTA, 20mM Tris-HCl, pH8.0 and 150mM NaCl) and were precleared with Dynabeads Protein A (Invitrogen™, 10002D) for 1 hour at 4°C. Precleared lysates were incubated with appropriate amount of antibodies (following manufacturer instructions) at 4°C overnight, followed by incubation with Dynabeads™ Protein A for 1 hour at 4°C. Immunoprecipitates were washed as previously described. All solutions used in the steps above were supplemented with PI. The DNA was eluted in elution buffer (50mM NaHCO3 and 1% SDS) at room temperature for 15 minutes, de-crosslinked at 65°C overnight, treated with RNaseA for 1 hour at 37°C and purified using a PCR purification kit (Qiagen, 28104).

ChIP library preparation

ChIP libraries were prepared using a Kapa Hyper Preparation kit (KAPA Biosystems, Wilmington, MA) protocol for Illumina Platforms. Briefly, for each library, 5ng of ChIP DNA was end-repaired and 3' adenylated using a proprietary master mix, then ligated to the specific NexTflex adaptors from Bioo Scientific (Bioo Scientific, Austin, TX). The adaptor-ligated DNA was enriched using a KAPA Hyper Library Preparation kit (KAPA Biosystems, KK8502) with 5 cycles of PCR (1 cycle at 98°C for 45 seconds; 4 cycles of 98°C for 15 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 30 seconds; 1 cycle at 72°C for 1 minute), then purified with AmpureXP beads (Beckman Coulter, A63881). The library quality was validated on a 2200 TapeStation from Agilent Technologies (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). Concentrations of the libraries were determined using a Kapa Library Quantification Kit (KAPA Biosystems, KK4933) and loaded on cBOT (Illumina) at final concentration of 1.5nM for cluster generation, then sequenced with 50bp single-read on a HiSeq3000 sequencer (Illumina).

ChIPseq data analysis

Mapping: Sequenced DNA reads were mapped to mouse genome mm10 using bowtie (version 1.1.2) [\(Langmead et al., 2009](#page-14-0)) with at most 2 mismatches allowed and only the reads that were mapped to unique position were retained. 34-47 million reads were generated per sample. 90-94% reads were mapped to mouse genome, with 73-84% uniquely mapped. To avoid PCR bias, for multiple reads that were mapped to the same genomic position, only one copy was retained for further analysis. 19-29 million reads were used in the final analyses.

Peak Calling: H3K9ac peaks were detected by MACS (version 1.4.2) ([Zhang et al., 2008](#page-14-1)). The window size was set as 500 bp and the p-value cutoff was 1e-5. H3K9ac peaks were initially called by comparing to the corresponding total H3. Then the peaks that overlapped ENCODE blacklisted regions [\(Consortium,](#page-14-2) [2012](#page-14-2)) or were not called by comparing to the corresponding total input were removed.

Differential Peak Calling: The peaks of all H3K9ac samples were merged and the numbers of reads in these merged peaks were counted for each H3K9ac sample. The count table was used to call differential H3K9ac peaks between *Gcn5fx/fx* and *Gcn5-/-* by edgeR ([Robinson et al., 2010](#page-14-3)). Batch effect among the 4 replicates was corrected following edgeR user's guide. Peaks with FDR (false discovery rate) ≤ 0.05 were called as changed between $Gen5^{f x/f x}$ and $Gen5^{-/}$. Genes with differential peaks in promoter (defined as -1000 bp to +500bp from TSS) were called as associated with changed H3K9ac.

Signal Landscape: Each read was extended by 150bp to its 3' end. The number of reads on each genomic position was rescaled to normalize the effective library size by edgeR to 10M and averaged over every 10bp window. The normalized values were displayed in UCSC genome browser [\(Kent et al., 2002\)](#page-14-4).

Transcription Factor Binding: ENCODE ChIP-Seq Significance Tool ([Auerbach et al., 2013](#page-14-5)) was used to identify enriched ENCODE transcription factors in the promoters of the genes associated with changed H3K9ac. The promoter was defined as -5000 bp to +2000 bp from TSS.

RNAseq data analysis

Mapping: The reads were mapped to mouse genome (mm10) by TopHat (version 2.0.10) (Kim et al., 2013) with an overall mapping rate of 84-94%. 72-91% fragments have both ends mapped to mouse [genome.](#page-14-6)

Differential Expression: The number of fragments in each known gene from GENCODE Release M8 (Mudge and Harrow, 2015) was enumerated using htseq-count from HTSeq package (version 0.6.0) (Anders et al., [2015\). Genes](#page-14-7) with less than 10 fragments in all the samples were removed before differential [expression](#page-14-8) analysis. The differential expression between conditions was statistically assessed by R/Bioconductor package DESeq (Anders and Huber, 2010) (version 1.16.0). Genes with FDR (false discovery rate) \leq 0.05, fold change \geq 2 and length $>$ [200bp wer](#page-14-9)e called as differentially expressed.

Principle Component Analysis (PCA): PCA was performed by R function prcomp using cpm (count of fragments in each gene per million of fragments mapped to all exons) values. The scale option was set as TRUE.

Heatmap: The normalized counts from DESeq were used to generate heatmap by Cluster 3.0 (de Hoon et al., 2004) and Java Treeview (Saldanha, 2004). The values in each gene were centered by [median](#page-14-10) and [rescaled](#page-14-10) so that the sum of the s[quares](#page-14-11) of the values is 1.0.

Gene Function and Pathway Analysis: The differential genes called by DESeq were used for Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) and Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) (Subramanian et al., 2005).

Monolayer differentiation of mESCs

Early mesoderm and endoderm lineages were generated following the protocols of Villegas et al., 2013 and (Orlova et al., 2014) with some modifications for mESCs. Briefly, mESCs were cultured on Col-IV coated [plates](#page-14-12) for 2 days in differentiation media supplemented with B27, N2 and ROCK inhibitor (2.5µM). At day 2 the medium was replaced with MEDF (DMEM-High glucose (HyClone™, SH3002201) medium supplemented with 2% (v/v) FBS (Gibco™,10437-028), 0.1mM non-essential amino acids (Corning™, MT25025CI), 2mM L-glutamine (Hyclone, SH3003401), 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin (Hyclone, SV30010), 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (BME) (Fisher, 03446I-100), and 1mM sodium pyruvate (Gibco™, 11360070)) for 24 hours, then supplemented with Activin A (50ng/mL) for two additional days to induce differentiation towards early mesoderm and endoderm lineages.

Antibodies used in this study

Primers used in this study

References

Anders, S., and Huber, W. (2010). Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome Biol *11*, R106.

Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., and Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics *31*, 166-169.

Auerbach, R.K., Chen, B., and Butte, A.J. (2013). Relating genes to function: identifying enriched transcription factors using the ENCODE ChIP-Seq significance tool. Bioinformatics *29*, 1922-1924.

Consortium, E.P. (2012). An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. Nature *489*, 57-74.

de Hoon, M.J., Imoto, S., Nolan, J., and Miyano, S. (2004). Open source clustering software. Bioinformatics *20*, 1453-1454.

Kamiya, D., Banno, S., Sasai, N., Ohgushi, M., Inomata, H., Watanabe, K., Kawada, M., Yakura, R., Kiyonari, H., Nakao, K.*, et al.* (2011). Intrinsic transition of embryonic stem-cell differentiation into neural progenitors. Nature *470*, 503-509.

Kent, W.J., Sugnet, C.W., Furey, T.S., Roskin, K.M., Pringle, T.H., Zahler, A.M., and Haussler, D. (2002). The human genome browser at UCSC. Genome Res *12*, 996-1006.

Kim, D., Pertea, G., Trapnell, C., Pimentel, H., Kelley, R., and Salzberg, S.L. (2013). TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome Biol *14*, R36.

Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., and Salzberg, S.L. (2009). Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol *10*, R25.

Mudge, J.M., and Harrow, J. (2015). Creating reference gene annotation for the mouse C57BL6/J genome assembly. Mamm Genome *26*, 366-378.

Orlova, V.V., van den Hil, F.E., Petrus-Reurer, S., Drabsch, Y., Ten Dijke, P., and Mummery, C.L. (2014). Generation, expansion and functional analysis of endothelial cells and pericytes derived from human pluripotent stem cells. Nat Protoc *9*, 1514-1531.

Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., and Smyth, G.K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics *26*, 139-140.

Saldanha, A.J. (2004). Java Treeview--extensible visualization of microarray data. Bioinformatics *20*, 3246-3248.

Subramanian, A., Tamayo, P., Mootha, V.K., Mukherjee, S., Ebert, B.L., Gillette, M.A., Paulovich, A., Pomeroy, S.L., Golub, T.R., Lander, E.S.*, et al.* (2005). Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *102*, 15545-15550. Zhang, Y., Liu, T., Meyer, C.A., Eeckhoute, J., Johnson, D.S., Bernstein, B.E., Nusbaum, C., Myers, R.M.,

Brown, M., Li, W.*, et al.* (2008). Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol *9*, R137.