# **Online Supplement**

Integrative genomic analysis implicates limited peripheral adipose storage capacity in the pathogenesis of human insulin resistance

#### **Supplementary Note**

#### Associations at the 53 loci with changes in hip circumference in weight gainers

The association with lower levels of peripheral fat mass but higher cardiometabolic risk of the 53-SNP genetic score suggested that individuals with a greater number of alleles are unable to expand their peripheral fat compartment. To corroborate this finding, we used weight gain as a surrogate measure for a positive energy balance and change in hip circumference in weight-gainers as a surrogate measure for the changes in peripheral fat compartments. We tested the associations of the 53-SNP genetic score in longitudinal data from 9,150 participants of the EPIC-Norfolk cohort study who gained weight during a median follow-up of 3.7 years. In these individuals, the 53-SNP genetic score was not associated with the amount of weight gained during follow-up (beta coefficient [standard error] in kg of weight change per SD of genetic score, -0.026 [0.029]; p=0.37). However, in analyses adjusted for age, sex, hip circumference at baseline and weight at baseline and the amount of weight gained during follow-up, the 53-SNP genetic score was negatively associated with change in hip circumference (beta coefficient [standard error] in cm of hip circumference change per SD of genetic score, -0.069 [0.031]; p=0.027). In the same participants, in analyses adjusted for age, sex, waist circumference at baseline and weight at baseline and the amount of weight gained during follow-up, the 53-SNP genetic score was not associated with the change in waist circumference during follow-up (beta coefficient [standard error] in cm of waist circumference change per SD of genetic score, 0.055 [0.042]; p=0.20). These results support the notion that individuals with greater burden of the 53 alleles have a relative incapacity of expanding their peripheral fat compartment when challenged by a positive energy balance.

#### Selection of putative effector genes for experimental validation

In light of (a) the enrichment for loci overlapping adipose tissue active enhancer elements and affecting adipocyte gene expression and (b) the association of risk alleles with lower peripheral adiposity, but higher cardiometabolic risk, we hypothesised that some of the risk alleles may act via impaired adipogenesis. We further hypothesised that the effects on adipogenesis could be caused by the altered expression of an effector gene in peripheral adipose tissue (Supplementary Figure 11A). Therefore, to test this hypothesis, we selected five genes at four loci associated with (a) expression of a putative effector gene in subcutaneous adipocytes (p<5 x  $10^{-08}$ ), (b) lower levels of peripheral fat (p<5 x  $10^{-05}$  for hip circumference) and (c) higher risk of metabolic disease (p<0.05 for type 2 diabetes; see Supplementary Tables 10 and 13 and Supplementary Figure 11 for details). For the IRS1 (RTC=0.86) and L3MBTL3 genes ( $r^2$  between lead and best expression SNPs=0.83) there was evidence supporting co-localisation of phenotypic and expression signals. For the CCDC92, DNAH10 and FAM13A genes, the lead expression SNPs (eSNPs) at the locus (rs825452 for CCDC92, rs78985577 for DNAH10 and rs13149209 for FAM13A) were not captured by the HapMap-imputed FIadjBMI association data,<sup>21, 22</sup> meaning they could not be captured by our triangulation of fasting insulin and lipid data. However, the best HapMap proxy for each of those eSNPs was also associated with FIadjBMI in MAGIC,<sup>1,2</sup> further supporting our prioritisation of those genes (see below).

For *CCDC92*, the lead eSNP (rs825452,  $p_{expression}=8.3 \times 10^{-31}$ ) was in very low linkage disequilibrium (r<sup>2</sup>=0.001) with our lead SNP for association with FIadjBMI (rs7973683). However, rs7973683 was also strongly associated with *CCDC92* expression in adipocytes ( $p_{expression}=2.1 \times 10^{-29}$ ), indicative of two distinct signals of association with *CCDC92* expression levels. Furthermore, while the lead eSNP was not available in FIadjBMI results, a strong proxy (rs825453; r<sup>2</sup>=1) for the lead eSNP was also associated with FIadjBMI

(p=0.0053). In the same locus, we found that our lead SNP for association with FladjBMI levels (rs7973683) was also associated with expression of DNAH10 ( $p_{expression}=1.9 \times 10^{-08}$ ). This was in modest linkage disequilibrium ( $r^2=0.27$ ) with the lead eSNP for DNAH10 expression (rs78985577, p<sub>expression</sub>=4.8 x 10<sup>-12</sup>). While the lead eSNP was not available in FladjBMI data, a strong proxy (rs1316952; r<sup>2</sup>=0.83) was also associated with FladjBMI levels (p=0.000086). At FAM13A, our lead SNP for association with FIadjBMI levels (rs3822072) was also associated with expression of FAM13A in subcutaneous adipocytes  $(p_{expression}=7.6 \times 10^{-12})$ . Our lead SNP was in low linkage disequilibrium  $(r^2=0.038)$  with the lead eSNP (rs13149209,  $p_{expression}$ =4.5 x 10<sup>-21</sup>), a modest proxy for which (rs2085600;  $r^2=0.72$ ) was also associated with FIadjBMI levels (p=3.5 x 10<sup>-06</sup>). These results suggest that multiple independent eQTLs of those genes in adipose tissue are also associated with insulin levels and therefore further support our prioritisation of these genes. Genes at all the loci showing the pre-specified pattern of association were studied experimentally, with the exception of KLF14. We did not seek to experimentally validate the KLF14 gene, because it has been studied previously and previous studies suggest complex aetiologic mechanisms at this locus, including a potential parent-of-origin effect.<sup>3</sup> In dedicated figures and tables, we (Supplementary Figure report association criteria **11B**), selection flow-chart (Supplementary Figure 11C), association estimates at loci with an eQTL signal in subcutaneous adipocytes (Supplementary Table 10) and at the prioritised loci (Supplementary Table 13). Loci that did not meet the criteria were not prioritised for experimental validation of putative effector genes (Supplementary Figure 11 and Supplementary Table 10). Finally, on the basis of our hypothesis, we expected that the siRNA knockdown of the candidate causal gene would have effects on adipogenesis in the direction predicted by the adipose tissue eQTL.

#### Whole and regional body composition analysis

Before scanning, the DEXA system was calibrated according to the manufacturer's guidelines using a spine phantom made of calcium hydroxyapatite, embedded in a lucite block. The enCORE software automatically demarcates the regional boundaries. A protocol was established to manually refine these demarcations and all the images were processed by one trained researcher, who corrected the demarcations according to a standardized procedure. The arm region was derived by positioning a line from the crease of the axilla and through the glenohumeral. The trunk region includes the neck, chest, abdominal and pelvic areas. The leg region includes all of the area below the lines that form the lower borders of the trunk. The android region was defined as the area between the ribs and the pelvis, and is enclosed by the trunk region. This region is outlined by iliac crest and with a superior height equivalent to 20% of the distance from the top of the iliac crest to the base of the skull. The gynoid region includes the hips and upper thighs, and overlaps both the leg and trunk regions. The upper demarcation is below the top of the iliac crest at a distance of 1.5 times the android height. The total height of the gynoid region is two times the height of the android region. Estimates of overall and regional body fat, lean and bone masses were derived using the DEXA software. The software also uses an inbuilt algorithm to determine visceral adipose tissue (in grams) within the android region. The subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue (in grams) was calculated as android fat mass minus visceral abdominal adipose tissue.

#### List of sources for eQTL analyses

A general overview of a subset of eQTL datasets interrogated in this study has been published.<sup>4</sup> Specific citations for all >100 datasets included in the current query are provided below.

Tissues (PubMed ID): blood cell related eQTL studies included fresh lymphocytes (17873875), fresh leukocytes (19966804), leukocyte samples in individuals with Celiac disease (19128478), whole blood samples (18344981, 21829388, 22692066, 23818875, 23359819, 23880221, 24013639, 23157493, 23715323, 24092820, 24314549, 24956270, 24592274, 24728292, 24740359, 25609184, 22563384, 25474530, 25816334, 25578447), lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCL) derived from asthmatic children (17873877, 23345460), HapMap LCL from 3 populations (17873874), a separate study on HapMap CEU LCL (18193047), additional LCL population samples (19644074, 22286170, 22941192, 23755361, 23995691, 25010687, 25951796), neutrophils (26151758, 26259071), CD19+ B cells (22446964), primary PHA-stimulated T cells (19644074, 23755361), CD4+ T cells (20833654), peripheral blood monocytes (19222302,20502693,22446964, 23300628, 25951796, 26019233), long non-coding RNAs in monocytes (25025429) and CD14+ monocytes before and after stimulation with LPS or interferon-gamma (24604202), CD11+ dendritic cells before and after Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection (22233810) and a separate study of dendritic cells before or after stimulation with LPS, influenza or interferonbeta (24604203). Micro-RNA QTLs (21691150, 26020509), DNase-I QTLs (22307276), histone acetylation QTLs (25799442), and ribosomal occupancy QTLs (25657249) were also queried for LCL. Splicing QTLs (25685889) and micro-RNA QTLs (25791433) were queried in whole blood. Non-blood cell tissue eQTLs searched included omental and subcutaneous adipose (18344981, 21602305, 22941192, 23715323, 25578447), visceral fat (25578447) stomach (21602305), endometrial carcinomas (21226949), ER+ and ER- breast cancer tumor cells (23374354), liver (18462017,21602305,21637794, 22006096, 24665059, 25578447), osteoblasts (19654370), intestine (23474282) and normal and cancerous colon (25079323, skeletal muscle (24306210, 25578447), breast tissue (normal 25766683), and cancer)(24388359, 22522925), lung (23209423, 23715323, 24307700, 23936167, 26102239), skin (21129726, 22941192, 23715323, 25951796), primary fibroblasts (19644074, 23755361, 24555846), sputum (21949713), pancreatic islet cells (25201977), prostate (25983244), rectal mucosa (25569741), arterial wall (25578447) and heart tissue from left ventricles (23715323, 24846176) and left and right atria (24177373). Micro-RNA QTLs were also queried for gluteal and abdominal adipose (22102887) and liver (23758991). Methylation QTLs were queried in pancreatic islet cells (25375650). Further mRNA and micro-RNA QTLs were queried from ER+ invasive breast cancer samples, colon-, kidney renal clear-, lung- and prostate-adenocarcinoma samples (24907074). Brain eQTL studies included brain cortex (19222302, 19361613, 22685416, 25609184, 25290266), cerebellar cortex (25174004), cerebellum (20485568, 22685416, 22212596, 22832957, 23622250), frontal cortex (20485568, 22832957, 25174004), gliomas (24607568), hippocampus (22832957, 25174004), inferior olivary nucleus (from medulla) (25174004), intralobular white matter (25174004), occiptal cortex (25174004), parietal lobe (22212596), pons (20485568), prefrontal cortex (22031444, 20351726, 22832957, 23622250), putamen (at the level of anterior commussure) (25174004), substantia nigra (25174004), temporal cortex (20485568, 22685416, 22832957, 25174004), thalamus (22832957) and visual cortex (23622250).

Additional eQTL data was integrated from online sources including ScanDB, the Broad Institute's GTEx Portal, and the Pritchard Lab (eqtl.uchicago.edu). Cerebellum, parietal lobe and liver eQTL data was downloaded from ScanDB. Results for GTEx Analysis V4 for 13 tissues were downloaded from the GTEx Portal and then additionally filtered as described below (www.gtexportal.org: thyroid, leg skin [sun exposed], tibial nerve, aortic artery, tibial

artery, skeletal muscle, esophagus mucosa, esophagus muscularis, lung, heart (left ventricle), stomach, whole blood, and subcutaneous adipose [23715323]). Splicing QTL (sQTL) results generated with sQTLseeker with false discovery rate  $p \le 0.05$  were retained.

# **Supplementary Tables**

### Supplementary Table 1. Phenotypes, participating studies and maximum sample size.

Analysis	Phenotype	Participating studies (N; PMID)	Maximum sample size_N
Identification	FIadjBMI	MAGIC (N=108,557; PMID: 22885924, 22581228)	108,557
of 53 loci	HDL cholesterol	GLGC (N=188,577; PMID: 24097068)	188,577
	Triglycerides	GLGC (N=188,577; PMID: 24097068)	188,577
	FIadjBMI	Fenland (N=4,694; this study)	4,694
	HDL cholesterol	Fenland (N=6,101; this study)	6,101
Validation of	Triglycerides	Fenland (N=6,101; this study)	6,101
genetic scores	Insulin sensitivity index	MAGIC (N=4,769; PMID: 24699409)	4,769
	Insulin sensitivity	GENESIS (N=2,764; PMID: 25798622)	2,764
	DEXA	Fenland (N=9,747; this study); EPIC-Norfolk (N=3,101; this study)	12,848
Association with	Body fat percentage	UK Biobank (N=110,358; this study); Fenland (N=9,747; this study); EPIC-Norfolk (N=3,101; this study)	123,206
	BMI	GIANT (N=339,198; 25673413); UK Biobank (N=111,995; this study)	451,193
	Waist circumference	GIANT (N=244,419; 25673412); UK Biobank (N=112,180; this study)	356,599
	Hip circumference	GIANT (N=227,412; 25673412); UK Biobank (N=112,172; this study)	339,584
intermediate traits	Waist-to-hip ratio	GIANT (N=226,586; 25673412); UK Biobank (N=112,158; this study)	338,744
	Fasting plasma glucose	MAGIC (N=133,010; PMID: 22885924, 22581228)	133,010
	2 hour glucose	MAGIC (N=42,854; PMID: 22885924, 20081857)	42,854
	HbA1c	MAGIC (N=46,368; PMID: 20858683)	46,368
	Alanine aminotransferase and gamma glutamyl transferase	Fenland (N=10,330; this study)	10,330
Association	Type 2 diabetes	DIAGRAM (cases=34,840; controls=114,981; PMID: 22885922); InterAct (cases=6,410; controls=8,947; this study); UK Biobank (cases=4,586; controls=106,430; this study)	45,836 cases 230,358 controls
with disease	Coronary heart disease	CARDIoGRAMplusC4D (cases=63,746; controls=130,681; PMID: 21378988, 23202125, 21378990);	63,746 cases 130,681 controls
	FPLD1	Cambridge FPLD1 consortium (cases=37; this study); UKHLS (controls=5,296)	37 cases 5,296 controls

SNP	Genomic coordinate	Alleles (effect / other)	Beta FIadjBMI per allele <sup>a</sup>	FIadjBMI p-value	Beta triglycerides per allele <sup>b</sup>	Triglycerides p-value	Beta HDL cholesterol per allele <sup>b</sup>	HDL cholesterol p-value	Locus name	Putative effector genes <sup>c</sup>
Loci previously	y implicated in insulin r	esistance					•			
rs4846565	Chr1:219722104	G / A	0.022	1.76E-09	0.014	0.00019	-0.013	0.00078	RNU5F-1/LYPLAL1	$RNU5F-1^{[N]}$
rs10195252*	Chr2:165513091	T / C	0.029	1.26E-16	0.028	6.99E-15	-0.025	3.49E-11	COBLL1/GRB14	COBLL1 <sup>[N]</sup> , GRB14 <sup>[E]</sup>
rs2943645*	Chr2:227099180	T / C	0.032	2.26E-19	0.028	3.76E-15	-0.032	4.16E-17	IRS1	IRS1 <sup>[E, EA]</sup>
rs308971	Chr3:12116620	G / A	0.036	2.97E-11	0.021	3.51E-05	-0.016	0.0033	SYN2/PPARG	SYN2 <sup>[N, E]</sup> , PPARG <sup>[MF]</sup>
rs3822072*	Chr4:89741269	A/G	0.020	1.80E-08	0.018	5.74E-07	-0.025	4.06E-12	FAM13A	FAM13A <sup>[N, E, EA, D]</sup>
rs6822892	Chr4:157734675	A/G	0.024	2.58E-10	0.012	0.00084	-0.019	1.93E-07	PDGFC	$PDGFC^{[N, E, EA, D]}$
rs4865796*	Chr5:53272664	A/G	0.025	2.16E-12	0.010	0.0030	-0.013	0.00030	ARL15/FST	$ARL15^{[N]}, FST^{[EA]}$
rs459193*	Chr5:55806751	G / A	0.025	1.15E-10	0.018	1.31E-05	-0.024	8.10E-09	ANKRD55	ANKRD55 <sup>[N]</sup>
rs2745353*	Chr6:127452935	T / C	0.019	4.10E-07	0.017	1.18E-06	-0.020	7.42E-10	RSPO3	RSPO3 <sup>[N, E, EA]</sup>
rs731839*	Chr19:33899065	G / A	0.025	5.13E-12	0.022	2.65E-09	-0.022	3.44E-09	PEPD	$PEPD^{[N, E]}$
Additional loci										
rs683135*	Chr1:39895460	A/G	0.014	0.00024	0.017	6.18E-07	-0.027	7.09E-12	MACF1	MACF1 <sup>[N, NS, E, EA]</sup>
rs17386142	Chr1:50815783	C / T	0.024	0.00092	0.022	0.0033	-0.022	0.00060	DMRTA2	$DMRTA2^{[N]}, CDKN2C^{[D]}$
rs11577194	Chr1:110500175	T / C	0.011	0.0025	0.011	0.0011	-0.019	1.34E-07	CSF1	$CSF1^{[N]}$
rs9425291	Chr1:172312769	A/G	0.015	2.71E-05	0.016	1.9E-05	-0.014	0.00046	DNM3	$DNM3^{[N, E]}, PIGC^{[E, EA]}$
rs2249105	Chr2:65287896	A/G	0.016	1.04E-05	0.016	2.35E-06	-0.016	0.00016	CEP68	$CEP68^{[N, E, EA]}$
rs492400	Chr2:219349752	T / C	0.010	0.0038	0.018	2.25E-06	-0.011	0.0049	USP37	$USP37^{[N, E]}, ZNF142^{[NS, E, EA]}$
rs3864041	Chr3:15185634	T / C	0.011	0.0038	0.009	0.0038	-0.013	0.00028	COL6A4P1	COL6A4P1 <sup>[N]</sup>
rs295449*	Chr3:47375955	A / G	0.011	0.0020	0.014	0.00093	-0.019	0.00011	KLHL18	$\begin{array}{c} \textit{KLHL18}^{[\text{N}, \text{ E}]}, \textit{SCAP}^{[\text{NS}, \text{ E}]}, \\ \textit{SETD2}^{[\text{E}, \text{ D}]} \end{array}$
rs11130329*	Chr3:52896855	A/C	0.024	0.00051	0.020	0.0018	-0.024	0.0010	TMEM110-MUSTN1	TMEM110-MUSTN1 <sup>[N]</sup>
rs9881942	Chr3:123082416	A/G	0.013	0.00014	0.010	0.0036	-0.015	4.79E-06	ADCY5	ADCY5 <sup>[N]</sup>
rs645040*	Chr3:135926622	T/G	0.014	0.0012	0.029	1.83E-12	-0.031	1.53E-12	MSL2	MSL2 <sup>[N, D]</sup>
rs2699429*	Chr4:3480136	C / T	0.011	0.0037	0.025	1.15E-11	-0.013	0.0042	DOK7	$DOK7^{[N, E]}$
rs4976033	Chr5:67714246	G / A	0.015	0.00013	0.014	0.00020	-0.022	6.42E-08	PIK3R1	PIK3R1 <sup>[N, MF, D]</sup>
rs6887914	Chr5:112711486	C / T	0.013	0.0037	0.014	0.0024	-0.017	0.00039	МСС	$MCC^{[N]}$
rs1045241	Chr5:118729286	C / T	0.012	0.0020	0.015	4.06E-05	-0.014	0.00040	TNFAIP8	TNFAIP8 <sup>[N, E, EA]</sup>
rs2434612	Chr5:158022041	G / A	0.016	0.00034	0.015	0.00025	-0.020	0.000015	EBF1	$EBF1^{[N, D]}$
rs966544	Chr5:173350405	G / A	0.012	0.0010	0.016	1.63E-06	-0.013	0.0018	CPEB4	$CPEB4^{[N, E]}$
rs12525532	Chr6:35004819	T / C	0.019	8.95E-08	0.011	0.0026	-0.015	0.000040	ANKSIA	ANKS1A <sup>[N, EA]</sup>
rs6937438*	Chr6:43815364	A/G	0.013	0.0011	0.014	0.00034	-0.019	1.94E-06	LOC100132354	LOC100132354 <sup>[N]</sup>
rs9492443	Chr6:130398731	C / T	0.014	0.0004	0.016	7.43E-05	-0.013	0.0042	L3MBTL3	L3MBTL3 <sup>[N, E, EA]</sup>
rs3861397*	Chr6:139828916	G / A	0.014	0.00011	0.024	1.08E-10	-0.024	8.40E-11	LOC645434	LOC645434 <sup>[N]</sup> , CITED2 <sup>[D]</sup>
rs17169104	Chr7:15883727	G / C	0.020	1.52E-06	0.017	7.62E-05	-0.017	0.00028	MEOX2	MEOX2 <sup>[N]</sup>

# Supplementary Table 2. List of the 53 genomic regions associated with insulin resistance phenotypes.

rs972283*	Chr7:130466854	G / A	0.022	4.41E-06	0.017	2.34E-07	-0.029	4.60E-16	KLF14	$KLF14^{[N, E, EA]}$
rs2126259*	Chr8:9185146	T / C	0.041	3.30E-13	0.017	0.0020	-0.075	1.53E-42	PPP1R3B	LOC157273 <sup>[N]</sup> , PPP1R3B <sup>[E]</sup>
rs1011685*	Chr8:19830769	C / T	0.019	0.00098	0.168	6.12E-197	-0.156	8.65E-150	LPL	$LPL^{[N, NS, E, D]}$
rs4738141	Chr8:72469742	G / A	0.014	0.0014	0.020	2.45E-05	-0.019	0.00030	EYAI	<i>EYA1</i> <sup>[N, EA]</sup> , <i>LOC105375892</i> <sup>[D]</sup>
rs7005992*	Chr8:126528955	C / G	0.016	0.0014	0.021	5.87E-06	-0.016	0.0011	TRIB1	$TRIB1^{[N, D]}$
rs498313	Chr9:78034169	A/G	0.013	0.00073	0.011	0.0026	-0.014	0.00033	MIR548H3	$MIR548H3^{[N]}$
rs10995441*	Chr10:64869239	G / T	0.014	0.00085	0.017	1.19E-06	-0.018	0.00011	NRBF2	NRBF2 <sup>[N, E]</sup>
rs11231693	Chr11:63862612	A/G	0.036	7.19E-07	0.030	0.00012	-0.029	0.000069	MACROD1	MACROD1 <sup>[N]</sup>
rs17402950	Chr12:14571671	G / A	0.027	0.0047	0.032	0.0049	-0.034	0.0028	ATF7IP	$ATF7IP^{[N]}$
rs718314	Chr12:26453283	G / A	0.017	3.65E-05	0.012	0.0015	-0.020	5.88E-06	ITPR2	$ITPR2^{[N, E, D]}$
rs7973683*	Chr12:124449223	C / A	0.019	6.99E-07	0.025	4.67E-12	-0.029	5.26E-14	CCDC92/DNAH10	$\begin{array}{c} CCDC92^{[N, NS, E, EA]}, DNAH10^{[E, EA]} \end{array}$
rs7323406	Chr13:111628195	A/G	0.015	0.0027	0.014	0.0044	-0.016	0.0032	ANKRD10	ANKRD10 <sup>[N, E, D]</sup>
rs7176058	Chr15:39464167	A/G	0.013	0.0036	0.016	0.00094	-0.015	0.00028	C15orf54	C15orf54 <sup>[N]</sup> , THBS1 <sup>[EA]</sup>
rs8032586	Chr15:73081067	C / T	0.019	0.0046	0.025	0.0010	-0.021	0.0030	LOC100287559	LOC100287559 <sup>[N]</sup>
rs754814	Chr17:4657034	T / C	0.011	0.0042	0.011	0.0022	-0.013	0.0014	ZMYND15	ZMYND15 <sup>[N, E]</sup>
rs7227237*	Chr18:47174679	C / T	0.017	0.0013	0.017	0.00088	-0.020	0.00041	LIPG	$LIPG^{[N, E]}$
rs8101064*	Chr19:7293119	T / C	0.042	0.00062	0.069	1.91E-06	-0.066	0.000022	INSR	INSR <sup>[N, MF, D]</sup>
rs4804833*	Chr19:7970635	A/G	0.016	7.11E-06	0.015	9.90E-06	-0.022	9.89E-08	MAP2K7	$MAP2K7^{[N, E, D]}$
rs4804311*	Chr19:8615589	A/G	0.019	0.0026	0.039	1.49E-09	-0.051	3.74E-14	MY01F	MYO1F <sup>[N, E, EA]</sup>
rs6066149	Chr20:45602638	G / A	0.013	0.0019	0.018	5.22E-06	-0.010	0.0037	EYA2	$EYA2^{[N]}$
rs132985*	Chr22:38563471	C / T	0.016	4.69E-06	0.022	6.65E-11	-0.015	0.000017	PLA2G6	$PLA2G6^{[N]}, MAFF^{[E, EA, D]}$

Genomic coordinates refer to human genome build 37 (hg19). Beta coefficients are in standardised units, fasting insulin beta coefficients were standardised using the standard deviation in 8.917 participants of the Fenland study. The gene column reports the nearest gene and/or additional candidate effector genes at the locus.

\*polymorphism within 500 kb of a lead SNP for HDL cholesterol or triglyceride levels reported by the Global Lipids Genetics Consortium (PubMed ID: 24097068).

a From up to 108,557 participants of the MAGIC consortium (PubMed ID: 22885924, 22581228)

b From up to 188,577 participants of the Global Lipids Genetics Consortium (PubMed ID: 24097068)

c Assigned on the basis of the following criteria: N, nearest gene; NS, nonsynonymous variant in linkage disequilibrium with lead SNP ( $r^2 > 0.8$ ); E, evidence of association with gene expression in surveyed eQTL repositories; AE, evidence of association with gene expression in subcutaneous adipose tissue; MF, monogenic insulin resistance forms associated with mutations in this gene; D, gene prioritised by DEPICT software as likely causal (significant p-value after accounting for false discovery rate). Relevant criteria are reported as superscript near each gene. Further details about methodology for the adjudication of these criteria are reported in the Online Methods sections dedicated to prioritisation of putative effector genes. Abbreviations: SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; FladjBMI, fasting insulin adjusted for body mass index; HDL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Supplementary Table 3. Association with type 2 diabetes of the 53-polymorphism genetic score in analyses stratified by sex or body mass index. Results are scaled per 4.5 alleles, i.e. a standard deviation of genetic risk score. Results are from the EPIC-InterAct and the UK Biobank studies.

Stratum	Participants, type 2 diabetes cases / non- cases	OR (95% CI)	p-value	p-interaction	
Sex-stratified ana	lysis				
Men	6,588 / 52,887	1.12 (1.09 – 1.16)	4.13E-14	0.00	
Women	5,418 / 62,811	1.12 (1.08 – 1.16)	1.48E-11	0.90	
BMI-stratified and	alysis*				
BMI < 25	1,298 / 39,930	1.16 (1.09 – 1.23)	3.71E-06		
$BMI \ge 25$ and BMI < 30	4,663 / 49,317	1.17 (1.13 – 1.22)	1.73E-16	0.16	
$BMI \ge 30$	5,945 / 26,090	1.12 (1.08 - 1.16)	7.86E-10		

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index. \*Pairwise category heterogeneity tests: lean vs overweight: p=0.73; lean vs obese: p=0.31; overweight vs obese, p=0.061. Supplementary Table 4. Associations of lead single nucleotide polymorphisms at the 53 loci with glycaemic, anthropometric traits and disease endpoints.

(see Supplementary Table Excel file)

Supplementary Table 5. Single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with higher risk of type 2 diabetes (45,836 cases 230,358 controls) and of coronary heart disease (63,746 cases 130,681 controls).

SNP	Locus name	Per allele OR type 2 diabetes (95% CI)	p-value	Per allele OR coronary heart disease (95% CI)	p-value
rs2943645	IRS1	1.09 (1.07-1.11)	1.1E-17	1.03 (1.01-1.05)	0.0010
rs6822892	PDGFC	1.04 (1.02-1.07)	2.1E-05	1.02 (1.00-1.04)	0.035
rs459193	ANKRD55	1.08 (1.06-1.11)	8.0E-13	1.02 (1.00-1.04)	0.025
rs4976033	PIK3R1	1.03 (1.01-1.05)	0.0022	1.07 (1.02-1.12)	0.0080
rs9492443	L3MBTL3	1.05 (1.03-1.07)	3.1E-05	1.02 (1.00-1.04)	0.028
rs3861397	LOC645434	1.03 (1.01-1.05)	0.0026	1.02 (1.00-1.04)	0.037
rs972283	KLF14	1.04 (1.02-1.06)	1.2E-05	1.03 (1.01-1.04)	0.0037
rs1011685	LPL	1.07 (1.04-1.10)	3.4E-05	1.09 (1.05-1.13)	8.7E-06
rs7973683	CCDC92 / DNAH10	1.03 (1.01-1.05)	0.0037	1.02 (1.00-1.04)	0.019
rs8101064	INSR	1.08 (1.01-1.16)	0.020	1.13 (1.02-1.25)	0.016
rs731839	PEPD	1.04 (1.02-1.06)	0.00021	1.03 (1.01-1.04)	0.0090

Abbreviations: SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Supplementary Table 6. Association of the genetic scores with alanine aminotransferase and gamma glutamyl transferase in 10,330 participants of the Fenland study.

Exposure	Outcome		SE	P-Value
53-SNP score	Alanine	0.054	0.009	1.37E-09
43-SNP score	aminotransferase	0.049	0.010	1.83E-06
53-SNP score	Gamma glutamyl	0.054	0.009	1.04E-09
43-SNP score	transferase	0.045	0.010	6.39E-06

Abbreviations: SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; SD, standard deviation; SE, standard error. Beta coefficients are in standardised units per SD of genetic score (4.5 alleles).

Supplementary Table 7.	European Genome-Pho	enome Archive S	tudy, Dataset and Sample
IDs for the raw, whole e	exome sequence data f	for 9 FPLD1 ind	ividuals and their family
members.			

Family	Sample	EGA Study ID	EGA Dataset ID	EGA Sample ID
ID				
1	Proband	EGAS00001000130	EGAD00001000419	EGAN00001015630
2	Proband	EGAS00001000025	EGAD00001000380	EGAN00001001252
2	Mother	EGAS00001000025	EGAD00001000380	EGAN00001001832
2	Father	EGAS00001000025	EGAD00001000380	EGAN00001001825
3	Proband	EGAS00001000130	EGAD00001000419	EGAN00001015627
4	Proband	EGAS00001000130	EGAD00001000419	EGAN00001015629
5	Proband	EGAS00001000130	EGAD00001000419	EGAN00001015628
6	Proband	EGAS00001000130	EGAD00001000419	EGAN00001015631
7	Proband	EGAS00001000130	EGAD00001000419	EGAN00001015624
7	Father	EGAS00001000130	EGAD00001000419	EGAN00001015625
8	Proband	EGAS00001000130	EGAD00001000419	EGAN00001015633
8	Father	EGAS00001000130	EGAD00001000419	EGAN00001015651
8	Sister 1	EGAS00001000130	EGAD00001000419	EGAN00001015652
8	Sister 2	EGAS00001000130	EGAD00001000419	EGAN00001015653
8	Sister 3	EGAS00001000130	EGAD00001000419	EGAN00001015654
8	Sister 4	EGAS00001000130	EGAD00001000419	EGAN00001015656
8	Brother	EGAS00001000130	EGAD00001000419	EGAN00001015655
9	Proband	EGAS00001000130	EGAD00001000419	EGAN00001015632

Supplementary Table 8. Characteristics of women with FPLD1 compared with obese women of the Fenland study. The *phenotype comparison* column summarises the results of comparisons between FPLD1 women and obese (BMI  $\geq$ 30) Fenland study women for a given clinical variable (Student's t-test), whereas the *genetic score association pattern* column summarises the association of the 53-SNP genetic score with a given phenotype in our genetic association analyses (see Figure 1A and Supplementary Table 6).

		FPLD1	women	Fenland - obese women			Phenotype comparison,	Pattorn of association of
Variable, units	N	Mean (SD)	Median (range)	Ν	Mean (SD)	Median (range)	FPLD1 vs Fenland obese women, direction of association (p-value) <sup>a</sup>	genetic score, direction of association (p-value) <sup>a</sup>
Age, years	37	49 (12)	48 (22 - 75)	1171	49 (7)	50 (29 - 64)	N/A	N/A
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	35	33 (4)	33 (26 - 47)	1171	35 (5)	33 (30 - 60)	N/A*	↓ (p=4.5E-08)
Waist circumference, cm	27	111 (12)	112 (78 - 143)	1170	103 (10)	102 (79 - 154)	↑ (p=4.6E-05)	↑ (p=0.0028)
Hip circumference, cm	27	108 (12)	108 (84 - 147)	1163	118 (10)	116 (96 - 178)	↓ (p=3.7E-07)	↓ (p=2.3E-34)
Waist-to-hip ratio	27	1.04 (0.09)	1.02 (0.91 - 1.22)	1163	0.87 (0.07)	0.87 (0.63 - 1.17)	↑ (p=3.1E-33)	↑ (p=3.3E-88)
Fasting plasma glucose, mmol/L	33	11 (5)	10.7 (4.9 - 21.9)	1163	5.0 (0.7)	4.9 (3.4 - 12.3)	↑ (p=8.5E-161)	↑ (p=2.9E-10)
HbA1c, %	35	9 (2)	8.4 (4.9 - 13.2)	789	5.6 (0.5)	5.6 (3.9 - 9.7)	↑ (p=6.9E-140)	↑ (p=2.3E-06)
Fasting insulin, pmol/L	29	208 (230)	151 (7.3 - 1210)	987	71.0 (49.4)	60.4 (2.6 - 702.0)	↑ (p=6.0E-30)	<b>^</b> **
Triglycerides, mmol/L	34	2.8 (2.1)	2.6 (0.6 - 12.6)	1168	1.3 (0.7)	1.2 (0.2 - 7.4)	↑ (p=1.5E-27)	<b>↑</b> **
HDL cholesterol, mmol/L	34	1 (0.3)	1 (0.5-2)	1168	1.5 (0.3)	1.4 (0.6 - 3.4)	↓ (p=5.4E-21)	↓**
Alanine aminotransferase, U/L	34	39 (25)	31 (13 - 126)	1168	29 (17)	25 (6 - 236)	↑ (p=0.00090)	↑ (p=1.4E-09)
Gamma glutamyl transferase, U/L	34	67 (51)	50 (12 - 212)	1168	35 (32)	27 (7 - 530)	↑ (p=2.2E-08)	↑ (p=1.0E-09)

a  $\uparrow$  indicates associations (p<0.05) with higher levels of a given phenotype in FPLD1 (compared with obese women from the Fenland study) or for a greater number of risk alleles of the genetic score;  $\downarrow$  indicates an association (p<0.05) with lower levels.

Abbreviations: N, number of participants; SD, standard deviation; FPLD1, familial partial lipodystrophy type 1; N/A not assessed. \*matching variable \*\*not reported, genetic score selection variable

Supplementary Table 9. Associations at the 53 loci with gene expression from eQTL repositories of multiple tissues.

(see Supplementary Table Excel file)

**Supplementary Table 10.** Associations of lead polymorphisms at the 53 loci in subcutaneous adipose tissue eQTL datasets. (see Supplementary Table Excel file)

**Supplementary Table 11. DEPICT annotation of putative effector genes.** *(see Supplementary Table Excel file)* 

**Supplementary Table 12.** Associations at the *PIK3R1* locus. Comparison between phenotypic association patterns of the common single nucleotide polymorphism rs4976033 (effect allele: G; minor allele: G; minor allele frequency: 49.6%) at the *PIK3R1* locus (this study) and of rare loss-of-function mutations in *PIK3R1* (literature).

Phenotype	N of individuals or N of cases / N of controls	Beta in SDs or In(OR) per G allele of rs4976033	P-Value	Association of rare loss of function mutations	Pubmed ID for rare loss-of- function mutation association
Height	358,297	-0.003 (0.0027)	0.32	Reduced	26497935; 23810378; 23810379; 23810382
Body mass index	447,441	-0.006 (0.0026)	0.02	Reduced*	26497935; 23810378; 23810379; 23810382
Body fat percentage	123,206	-0.020 (0.0033)	3.04E-09	Lipoatrophy or lipodistrophy*	26497935; 23810378; 23810379; 23810382
Waist-to-hip circumference	337,859	-0.003 (0.0027)	0.27	N/A	
LDL cholesterol	172,987	-0.001 (0.0040)	0.87	Normal*	23810379
HDL cholesterol	187,060	-0.021 (0.0037)	6.42E-08	Normal°	23810379
Triglycerides	177,755	0.0141 (0.0036)	0.00020	Normal°	26497935
Fasting glucose	133,010	0.002 (0.0023)	0.39	Raised°	23810379
2 hour glucose	42,854	0.008 (0.0120)	0.52	N/A	
Fasting insulin adjusted BMI	108,557	0.009 (0.0023)	0.00013	Insulin resistance*	26497935; 23810378
Type 2 diabetes	45,836 cases 230,358 controls	1.03 (1.01-1.05)	0.0022	High prevalence of early onset type 2 diabetes*	26497935; 23810378; 23810379
Coronary heart disease	8,660 cases 47,121 controls	1.07 (1.02-1.12)	0.0080	N/A	

Height data were from a meta-analysis of UK Biobank and GIANT data.

\*Alignment between phenotypes associated with common and rare variants

°Lack of alignment between phenotypes associated with common and rare variants

Supplementary	Table	13.	Association	estimates	at	loci	selected	for	experimental
validation of put	tative ef	ffect	or genes in ce	llular adip	ogei	nesis	models.		

SNP genomic coordinates	Insulin- raising / other allele	Putative effector gene	Direction of association with expression of the putative effector gene in subcutaneous adipocytes	p-value for expression of putative effector gene in subcutaneous adipocytes	Beta for hip circumference in standardised units (p-value)	OR of type 2 diabetes (p-value)
rs2943645 Chr2:227099180	T / C	IRS1	Lower expression	5.2E-09	-0.014 (9.4E-07)	1.09 (1.1E-17)
rs7973683 Chr12:124449223	C / A	CCDC92	Lower expression	2.1E-29	-0.014 (1.3E-06)	1.03 (3.7E-03)
rs7973683 Chr12:124449223	C / A	DNAH10	Lower expression	1.9E-08	-0.014 (1.3E-06)	1.03 (3.7E-03)
rs9492443 Chr6:130398731	C / T	L3MBTL3	Lower expression	9.1E-17	-0.021 (1.2E-11)	1.05 (3.1E-05)
rs3822072 Chr4:89741269	A/G	FAM13A	Higher expression	7.6E-12	-0.017 (5.5E-10)	1.04 (1.6E-05)

Genomic coordinates refer to build 37 (hg19). All association results are aligned to the insulin-raising (risk) allele. The co-localisation between association signals for gene expression in subcutaneous adipocytes and associations with fasting insulin are discussed in the Supplementary Note. Hip circumference association results are from a meta-analysis of the UK Biobank study and of the GIANT consortium. Type 2 diabetes association results are from a meta-analysis of DIAGRAM, InterAct and UK Biobank.

Supplementary Table 14. Characteristics of the participants with individual-level genotype data included in this study.

Study	Fenland	EPIC- Norfolk	InterAct	UK Biobank	UKHLS
Country	United Kingdom	United Kingdom	Multiple European countries	United Kingdom	United Kingdom
Participants	10,351	9150	15357	111016	5296
Cases / Controls	N/A	N/A	6410 / 8947	4586 / 106430	N/A
Age	48 (7)	58 (9)	53 (9)	57 (8)	53 (16)
Female sex, N (%)	5506 (53)	5015 (55)	9162 (60)	58390 (53)	5296 (100)
Genotyping chip	Affymetrix genome- Wide Human SNP Array 5.0 and Affymetrix UK Biobank Axiom Array	Affymetrix UK Biobank Axiom Array	Illumina 660w quad and Illumina CoreExome chip	Affymetrix UK Biobank Axiom Array	Illumina CoreExome Chip
Imputation panel	1000 Genomes Phase 1v3 and Phase 3	1000 Genomes Phase 3	1000 Genomes Phase 1v3	1000 Genomes Phase 3 plus UK10K	1000 Genomes Phase 3

### References

- 1 Manning, A. K. *et al.* A genome-wide approach accounting for body mass index identifies genetic variants influencing fasting glycemic traits and insulin resistance. *Nature genetics* **44**, 659-669, doi:10.1038/ng.2274 (2012).
- 2 Scott, R. A. *et al.* Large-scale association analyses identify new loci influencing glycemic traits and provide insight into the underlying biological pathways. *Nature genetics* **44**, 991-1005, doi:10.1038/ng.2385 (2012).
- 3 Small, K. S. *et al.* Identification of an imprinted master trans regulator at the KLF14 locus related to multiple metabolic phenotypes. *Nature genetics* **43**, 561-564, doi:10.1038/ng.833 (2011).
- 4 Zhang, X. *et al.* Synthesis of 53 tissue and cell line expression QTL datasets reveals master eQTLs. *BMC genomics* **15**, 532, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-532 (2014).
- 5 Myocardial Infarction Genetics and CARDIoGRAM Exome Consortia Investigators. Coding Variation in ANGPTL4, LPL, and SVEP1 and the Risk of Coronary Disease. *The New England journal of medicine*, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1507652 (2016).