

Supplementary Figure 1: The regulatory elements used in the library appear together in human transcripts. Venndiagram showing the number of human mRNA transcripts that contain either a miRNA recognition element (MRE), PRE or ARE; and all combinations of those elements. Panels **b**-**g** show histograms of the distance between various mRNA regulatory elements. Distances are between the start of an ARE or PRE, or the end of an MRE. The locations of AREs were obtained from the ARE Database¹. The locations of MREs were obtained from TargetScan². We identified the locations of all PREs by a search for the consensus PRE: UGUAHAUA, where H is A, U or C, in all human 3'UTRs. Panels **b**, **d** and **f** show a broad view, +/- 4000 nt (bin-width of 100 nt) which is ~3*SD of the mean 3'UTR length in humans. Panels **c**, **e**, and **g** show a narrow view of +/- 150 nt (bin-width of 1 nt) which more closely resembles the size of the synthetic 3'UTRs used in the library. For MRE:ARE, 14.6% of all pairs are within 150 nt of each other. For PRE:ARE, 15.4% of all pairs are within 150 nt of each other. For MRE:PRE, 13.7% of all pairs are within 150 nt of each other.

Supplementary Figure 2: The 40s fraction is enriched for the 18s rRNA of the small ribosomal subunit. **a** Representative polysome profiling trace. **b** qPCR was used to detect the abundance of the 18s and 28s rRNA in either the 40s fraction, the pooled polysome fractions or total RNA. Shown is the ratio of 18s/28s rRNA. Replicate to replicate comparisons of 40s association, **c**, relative RNA expression, **d**, and TE, **g**. The inset tables describe the Pearson correlation for each replicate to replicate comparison. Scatterplots of 40S association, RNA or TE, **e**, **f** and **h**.

Supplementary Figure 3: Summary statistics for PTRE-seq analysis of RNA expression and TE. Histograms show the coefficient of variance (CV) across all barcode and replicates for each reporter within the library. Panel **a** shows the CV for RNA expression while panel **b** shows the CV for RNA expression with all outliers removed. Panel **c** shows the CV for TE while panel **d** shows the CV for TE with all outliers removed. Other summary statistics for RNA expression and TE measurements are shown in the table. Outliers were defined as any value more than 1.5 *IQR above Q1 or below Q3. Panels **e**-**j** show histograms of barcode counts (**e**, **f**, **g**) or reporter counts (sum of all barcode counts for each reporter; **h**, **i**, **j**) from one replicate of plasmid, RNA and polysome associated RNA sequencing.

Supplementary Figure 4: Validation of PTRE-seq results by qPCR, fluorescence measurements and western blot analysis. We selected eleven reporters from our PTRE-seq library and transfected them individually into HeLa cells along with a plasmid for the expression of mCherry as a control, cells were harvested 40 hours later. Panel **a** shows the effect of the regulatory elements within these reporters as determined by PTRE-seq measurement of RNA expression and TE. Panel **b** shows a comparison between PTRE-seq measurement of RNA expression and qPCR of EGFP. For qPCR measurements of RNA expression, EGFP expression was normalized to mCherry. There is a strong correlation between PTRE-seq measurement of relative RNA expression and qRT-PCR of individual reporters, **c**. Panel **d** shows western blot analysis of EGFP and β-Actin. Panel **e** shows a comparison between qPCR measurements of RNA expression and fluorescent measurement of EGFP protein expression. For fluorescent measurements of EGFP expression the transfected cells were split into a 96-well plate the day after transfection. 40 hours after transfection the EGFP and mCherry fluorescence was measured using a plate reader. EGFP fluorescence was normalized to mCherry. For panels **a**, **b** and **d** relative RNA expression, TE or fluorescence was set relative to that of the control reporter, 4x Blank.

Supplementary Figure 5: **a** Fit of linear-regression model for RNA expression. Predicted relative RNA expression is plotted on the y-axis and measured relative RNA expression is plotted on the x-axis. The Pearson correlation coefficient shows a strong correlation between the predicted and measured RNA expression. **b-f** Five-fold cross validation of the model linear-regression model for RNA expression**.**

Supplementary Figure 6: a Fit of linear-regression model for TE. Predicted relative TE expression is plotted on the y-axis and measured relative TE expression is plotted on the x-axis. The Pearson correlation coefficient shows a strong correlation between the predicted and measured TE expression. **b-f** Five-fold cross validation of the model linearregression model for TE**.**

Supplementary Figure 7: Validation of the linear regression models for RNA and TE. The linear regression model described in Figure 1 was used to predict the relative expression and TE of reporters containing only Let-7-target site, PRE, ARE, SRE and some combinations of those sites. The model predicted well the RNA expression, **a**, and TE, **b**, of reporters containing Let-7 binding sites. The correlations between predicted and measured RNA expression and TE for other 3'UTR elements and their combinations are shown in the table.

Supplementary Figure 8: Thermodynamic model of contribution of PRE, *let-7* and SRE to TE. **a** A model with six parameters explained the observed data well (R^2 = 0.86). Red lines represent interactions with destabilizing effect on RNA. Black lines represent interactions with stabilizing effect on RNA. Solid lines represent statistically significant interactions and dashed lines represent non-significant interactions. Parameters values are in Supplementary Table 1. **b** Scatter plot shows the observed (x-axis) versus predicted (y-axis) normalized RNA counts from the model shown in panel A. **c** A table describing the parameters used in the model and their effects on TE.

Supplementary Figure 9: Thermodynamic model of contribution of PRE, *let-7* and SRE to RNA stability. **a** A model with nine parameters explained the observed data well (R^2 = 0.883). Red lines represent interactions with destabilizing effect on RNA. Black lines represent interactions with stabilizing effect on RNA. Solid lines represent statistically significant interactions and dashed lines represent non-significant interactions. Parameters values are in Supplementary Table 1. **b** Scatter plot shows the observed (x-axis) versus predicted (y-axis) normalized RNA counts from the model shown in panel A. **c** A table describing the parameters used in the model and their effects on RNA stability.

Supplementary Figure 10: Detailed results of *let-7* binding site containing reporters. Minimal position dependent effect of *let-7* binding site, **a**, or PRE, **b**, on RNA expression or TE, **c** and **d**. Fold repression of *let-7*, **e**, or PRE, **f**, containing reporters shown on a linear scale to highlight saturation of the effect on repression.

Supplementary Figure 11: The effect of seed pairing on repression of *let-7* targeted reporters containing one, **a**, two, **b**, or four, **c**, *let-7* binding sites.

Supplementary Figure 12: The position of the *let-7* binding site or PRE has no effect on repression of RNA, **a**, or TE, **b**, when both elements are present in the 3'UTR. Panels **c** and **d** represent the same data plotted in Figure 4a and b as points.

Supplementary Figure 14: a AREs modulate repression by miRNAs in a position dependent manner. **b** AREs modulate repression by PREs in a position dependent manner. * = Blank, A =ARE, L = *let-7* and p =PRE.

Supplementary Figure 15: The effect of seed sequence is on RNA expression is shown for each cell line tested, HDF, **a**, N2A, **b**, HEK, **c**, and HeLa, **d**. Fold change of RNA and TE for reporters containing SREs, **e**.

Supplementary Figure 16: Structure of the 3'UTR of reporters containing a single ARE in position one, **a**, two, **b**, three, **c**, or four, **d**. Structures were drawn using mfold³.

Supplementary Table 1: Primers and Oligonucleotides

Supplementary Table 2: Binding Sites for RBP and miRNA

Supplementary References

- 1. Bakheet, T., Hitti, E. & Khabar, K.S.A. ARED-Plus: an updated and expanded database of AU-rich elementcontaining mRNAs and pre-mRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2017).
- 2. Agarwal, V., Bell, G.W., Nam, J.W. & Bartel, D.P. Predicting effective microRNA target sites in mammalian mRNAs. *Elife* **4** (2015).
- 3. Zuker, M. Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. *Nucleic Acids Res* **31**, 3406- 3415 (2003).
- 4. Iwasaki, S., Kawamata, T. & Tomari, Y. Drosophila argonaute1 and argonaute2 employ distinct mechanisms for translational repression. *Mol Cell* **34**, 58-67 (2009).
- 5. Meisner, N.C. et al. mRNA openers and closers: modulating AU-rich element-controlled mRNA stability by a molecular switch in mRNA secondary structure. *Chembiochem* **5**, 1432-1447 (2004).
- 6. Campbell, Z.T., Valley, C.T. & Wickens, M. A protein-RNA specificity code enables targeted activation of an endogenous human transcript. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **21**, 732-738 (2014).
- 7. Smibert, C.A., Lie, Y.S., Shillinglaw, W., Henzel, W.J. & Macdonald, P.M. Smaug, a novel and conserved protein, contributes to repression of nanos mRNA translation in vitro. *RNA* **5**, 1535-1547 (1999).