Supplementary Information

Compositional Bias in Naïve and Chemically-modified Phage-Displayed Libraries uncovered by Paired-end Deep Sequencing

Bifang He^{1,2,#}, Katrina F. Tjhung^{1,#,\$}, Nicholas J Bennett¹, Ying Chou¹, Andrea Rau³, Jian Huang^{2,4}, Ratmir Derda^{1,*}

¹ Department of Chemistry and Alberta Glycomics Centre, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB T6G 2G2, Canada

² Key Laboratory for NeuroInformation of Ministry of Education, School of Life Science and Technology, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610054, China

³ GABI, INRA, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, 78350 Jouy-en-Josas, France ⁴ Center for Information in Biology, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610054, China

these authors contributed equally to this publication.

§ present address: The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 N. Torrey Pines Rd., La Jolla, CA 92037, USA and The Salk Institute, 10010 N. Torrey Pines Rd. La Jolla, CA 92037 USA

* Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.D. (Email: [ratmir@ualberta.ca,](mailto:ratmir@ualberta.ca) Tel: +1 780 492 8370)

Table of Contents

Sample	No. of reads (SXCX3C)	No. of reads with "forbidden" codons	No. of reads corrected by Primer ID
Replicate 1	91,216	3327	2
Replicate 2	142,841	5028	
Replicate 3	146,258	5207	
Replicate 4	147,277	5359	
Replicate 5	126,909	5007	4
Replicate 6	141,204	5677	$\overline{2}$

Table S1 Characteristics of sequencing data with Primer ID

cycle numbers. No major changes for individual sequences were observed when number of PCR cycles was changed from 10 to 35.

Description of Primer ID technology used in the synthetic NT-TriNuc library. We performed single-round extension of each individual DNA molecule with a primer that has a distinct Primer ID barcode (Figure S2a) composed of 8 randomized nucleotides, producing a total of 65,536 distinct combinations (4^8) . If subsequent PCR produces point mutations, the errors caused by PCR could be detected as a presence of point mutants with the same Primer ID barcode. As the NT-TriNuc library only contains 19 TriNuc codons, forbidden codons caused by point mutations can be tracked and corrected by Primer ID technology.

To correct reads with forbidden codons, we first identified reads with forbidden codons, reads with allowed codons and their corresponding Primer ID barcodes. For each sequence with FC, we found sequence(s) from the allowed set that contains the same PID and calculated Hamming distance between the allowed and forbidden sequences. If two sequences were within h=1, we recorded these sequences as "corrected" (Figure S2b). Example of the reads and their codons are below:

Read primerID TTCGCTCATCAA GTTCTTCC read with forbidden codon TTCGCTCATAAA GTTCTTCC read that has the same primer ID and no forbidden codon ** CATGATTTCCGT CGTTATCG read with forbidden codon CATGTTTTCCGT CGTTATCG read that has the same primer ID and no forbidden codon ** ATGCACGACTAC CCTCTTTC read with forbidden codon ATGCATGACTAC CCTCTTTC read that has the same primer ID and no forbidden codon

As shown in Table S1, only a few reads with forbidden codons were corrected by Primer ID technology $(<0.1\%)$.

Figure S2. Overview of Primer ID. (**a**) Addition of primer IDs to synthetic oligo and PCR amplification. (**b**) Workflow of correcting reads with FC based on primer ID. (**c**) Hamming distance between the sequences in forbidden codons and the sequences with the same primer ID in the allowed sets.

Identification and analysis of mismatches between F and R sequencing data. Pairedend sequencing always contains a number of reads in which forward and reverse strands differ by one or more mismatches, referred to here as "FR-mismatches" (Figure S3). The version 1 sequencing kit issued by Illumina prior to 2015 yielded up to 80% of reads with at least one FR-mismatch. Many of them occur in very specific locations of the read (Figure S3b, S3c). The number of FR-mismatches decreased significantly when we used the V2 sequencing kit and led to the disappearance of location-specific errors. FRmismatches in the regions remote from the library, such as a constant adapter region, had no effect on the quality of the library region. For example, analysis of sequences prepared using the less optimal V1 sequencing kit showed that the number of "false codons" in sequences that contain no mismatches in adapter regions is indistinguishable from that in reads that always contained mismatches in adapter regions (Figure S3d, blue and green). On the other hand, FR-mismatches in the library region cannot be ignored because they dramatically increased the fraction of "false codons" (Figure S3d, red).

Evaluation of mismatches between F/R sequencing data as a function of sequencing chemistry. When sequencing was performed using the Illumina V1 sequencing kit, reads with FR-mismatches constituted up to 60% of the total read population and were localized to very specific positions in the read (Figure S4b, S4c). The same FR-mismatch positions were observed when different preparations of the same library were sequenced on different instruments (Figures S5-8) or when different length and structures or encoding schemes of libraries were used (Figures S7, S8). These position-specific FRmismatches disappeared once the V1 sequencing kit was upgraded to the Illumina V2

sequencing kit (Figure S9). We noted that a low background of position-independent FRmismatches persisted even with the upgraded kit.

Evaluation of FR-mismatches and their relation to Phred score. The Phred score of the bases involved in FR-mismatch was a poor predictor (Figure S10). Reads with perfect Phred scores at the point of the FR-mismatch were common. Instead, lower quality Phred scores appeared several nucleotides upstream or downstream of the FR-mismatch. If the FR-mismatch happens in a random library region, *a priore* it is difficult to predict which strand should be considered as the "true" strand.

Figure S3 NT-TriNuc library with the sequence of S**X**C**XXX**C. When V1 sequencing kit was used, only ~30% of the reads were matched across the entire read in forward (F) and reverse (R) directions (**a**). (**b**) In ~70% of reads, either F or R read contained one mutation in the adapter region. (**c**) In 2% of the reads, we identified heterozygous error in library regions. (**d**) Distribution of nucleotides in synthetic NT-TriNuc library DNA. All codon counts were normalized to set the most abundant codon TAC to 100. 0.63% of the codons identified by paired-end sequencing were not present in NT-TriNuc library (aka "false"). (**e**) Codon table with TriNuc codons highlighted grey. Point mutations of ATC (red) and TCT (blue) can yield either another TriNuc codon or "forbidden" (white) codons not present in the TriNuc mix. (**f**) Hamming distance (h) plot showing that an error in TriNuc codon with h=1 can yield another TriNuc or an error located within h=1 of another "allowed" codon. For example, codon ACC can result from mutation of ATC or ACT codons. The origin of most errors, thus, cannot be traced. (**g**) Two most common erroneous codons are located within h=1 of 5 or 6 TriNuc codons. (**h**) "False" codons TGA and AGA are located within h=1 to only one "true" codon. >10-fold difference in abundance of these codons show that the number of neighbors in Hamming space is not sufficient to explain the abundance of "false" codons.

Figure S4. (**a-b**) Structure of reads with and without FR-mismatches. (**c**) Abundance of single FR-mismatches (top). In 200,000 reads, some positions have 20,000 FRmismatches, while others have no FR-mismatches. No location is "error-free": in some locations, mismatches appear in reads that contain two FR-mismatches. (**d**) Location of double FR-mismatches. Note that most abundant errors are "benign" because they are located outside of regions of interest (grey area, library). (**e**) FR-mismatches are often specific nucleotide substitutions, often to A or T. Ignoring FR-mismatches is impossible because they constitute up to 90% of reads, yet most of them fall outside of the region of interest (in top 30 errors, which constitute 90% of all the reads, none of the errors reside in the library region, positions 26-40). All data were acquired using V1 sequencing kit.

Figure S5. We observed similar FR-mismatches in the synthetic, ligated, and na $\ddot{\text{v}}$ e NT-TriNuc library. FR-mismatches in adapters were similar in different sequencing runs.

Figure S6. We observed similar FR-mismatches in the synthetic, ligated, and naïve NNK-library. FR-mismatches in adapters were similar in different sequencing runs. Errors in close proximity to the library differed from run to run.

Figure S7. We observed similar FR-mismatches in libraries of different length. In all cases, major FR-mismatches were in the constant adaptor region outside of the library region and in the constant Cys.

Figure S8. Mismatches in libraries with different spelling of constant Gly-Gly-Gly region in the vicinity of the library.

Figure S9. Mismatches decrease dramatically with introduction of a new sequencing kit in June 2015. Note that only major FR-mismatches disappear. The minor FR-mismatches across the read remain largely unchanged (**a-c**). (**d**) With V1 sequencing kit, the same FR-mismatches were observed in sequencing with different instruments (Molecular Biology Service Unit "MBSU" in Edmonton vs. Donnelly Center at the University of Toronto "UofT").

Figure S10. (**a-d**) Phred score is weakly correlated with mismatches, but it cannot be used to identify the mismatch unambiguously. Low scores appear around the mismatch, but they also appear around other areas that do not have a mismatch. (**e**) Phred score is sometimes lower at the mismatch or at the surrounding nucleotides, but in many cases it is not. In 5000 out of 150,000 observations, the score at the position of mismatch is 37, which corresponds to 99.98% base call accuracy and the highest base call accuracy observed in this sequencing run. (**f**) In some cases, both forward and reverse strands contain a perfect Phred score at the point of FR-mismatch. (**g**) An example of such a read where two FR-mismatches side-by-side exhibit perfect or nearly perfect Phred scores on both strands.

Figure S11. Primers for synthetic library DNA. (**a-c**) Design and sequence of primer that amplifies synthetic DNA. Primers that amplify ligated DNA do not recognize synthetic, cut and non-ligated DNA.

Figure S12. Positional abundances of TriNuc codons in synthetic, ligated, and expressed libraries. Top panel describes abundance of codons in all positions (copied from main text Figure4a). Panels below represent abundance of TriNuc codons in every position of the library. There is only a mild variation in the bias in the synthetic (black) and ligated libraries (blue), and much stronger positional dependence in the expressed libraries (red). For example, the bias against R-codon is the strongest at the N terminal position and it decreases towards the C-terminus.

Figure S13. Description of sequence classification. (**a**) Sequences can be clustered into 48 classes based on relations of normalized fractional abundance of this sequence in synthetic (S) , ligated (L) and na we set (N) , and significance of that relationship. For example, sequence in class 11 is significantly enriched in Na ve library when compared to **L**igated (i.e., **N**>**S***, where * represents statistical significance). The abundance of this sequence in **S** is higher than in **L** or **N** but these differences are not significant (i.e., $S \geq L^{n.s.}$ and $S \geq N^{n.s.}$). Other classes, e.g., class 22, can be described similarly: $S \geq L^{n.s.}$, **L**<**N***, **S**>**N***, and so on. (**b**) A list of representative sequences from each class. Numbers represent the copy number of each sequence in four replicates of sequencing of synthetic library $(S1-S4)$, ligated library $(L1-L4)$ and na we library $(N1-N4)$. The last column represents the number of unique sequences in each class. Types of classes not listed in the classification were not found (e.g., COM15, COM41, etc).

We note that several of the classes are not possible (e.g., COM21, COM28, COM34, COM35, COM82, COM87) but they are included in the classification table for continuity reasons.

Figure S14. The 20:20 plot of a sample of 183,000 reads from deep sequencing for (**a**) synthetic, (**b**) ligated and (**c**) naïve NT-SX4 display libraries. The number of reads sampled represents $1 \times$ coverage of theoretical peptide diversity (1.6×10^5) of NT-SX4 library.

Figure S15. The 20:20 plot of a sample of 1 million reads from deep sequencing for (**a**) synthetic and (c) na we NT-SX4 display libraries. We selected a sample of 1.0 million reads to represent $\sim 6 \times$ coverage of peptide diversity (1.6×10^5) of NT-SX4 and allow comparison to 20:20 plots of NT-TriNuc libraries plotted with the same number of reads. (**d**) Synthetic and (**e**) naïve NT-TriNuc libraries. (**b**) Description of a plot showing each peptide in the library as a unique pixel in a specific location. A model 5x5 letter plot with 25x25=625 pixels describes the location of common four-letter words that contain the letters A, B, C, D and E.

Description of the paired-end processing algorithm. We first aligned forward (F) and reverse (R) reads and retained sequences that had at least 15 matches between the forward and reverse reads. Then we inspected those sequences with no FR-mismatches for the presence of F and R adapter sequences, allowing one mismatch within the adapter regions. The MATLAB code is shown below. All functions and files used in the below MATLAB script are provided as a part of Supplementary Information.

Folder: MatLab/Illumina Processing

% This folder contains all scripts and files for processing FASTQ files according to the workflow in **Figure 2**. Scripts are started by **paired_end_processing.m** script. Name(s) of FASTQ files and directories in which they are stored have to be defined in the script: Line 27: indir = $\frac{1}{20170504}$;

Line 40: rname = { 'DERDA-20170504_S1_L001_R1_001.fastq.gz' }; Line 43: fname = { 'DERDA-20170504_S1_L001_R2_001.fastq.gz' };

Once started, the script runs through the remaining 4 scripts in the same folder and 22 scripts in the **MatLab/Illumina Processing/auxiliary** folder and generates text-based status of the processing. Files will be placed in the newly created folders **AllRAWFiles/**, **AllParsedFiles/, AllUniqueFiles/** and **AllTableFiles/** located within the same folder as the script.

```
% This is the top-level script for processing deep-sequencing data from Illumina. 
% It's function is to perform preliminary parsing of paired-end reads.
% Authors: Ratmir Derda, Bifang He. Annotated by J Maxwell Douglas and Bifang He
% Last Modifed: June 13th, 2017
clc;
clear;
addpath (genpath(pwd));
load SXY.mat;
% define the directory of all library types
AllLibraries = './All classes of libraries';
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
& USER SECTION
% Place the excel file and FASTQ files into the ./20170514 folder
% MATLAB then can find the excel and FASTQ files inside of the
% directory
indir = './20170504'; % directory where the excel and FASTQ.GZ files are
xlsname = dir(fullfile(indir, '20170504.xlsx'));
% This is the name of the excel sheet within the excel file.
sheetname = '2017-05-04';
% all aligned files from this run will have this prefix; change it to date
% of sequencing; do not remove the '-*', just modify the date.
files = 120170504 - x.txt;
% List your fastq.gz files below for the rname and fname variables.
% Make sure to match ...R1_001.fastq.gz files to rname and
                        ...R2 001.fastq.gz files to fname.
rname = {'DERDA-20170504 S1 L001 R1 001.fastq.gz'};
```

```
fname = {'}DERDA-20170504S1L001R2001.fastq.qz';}%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% End of User defined section %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
%% Do not change anything below unless you know what you are
doing %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
AllRAWFiles = './AllRAWFiles';
AllUniqueFiles = './AllUniqueFiles';
AllParsedFiles = './AllParsedFiles';
AllTableFiles = './AllTableFiles';
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
%%%%%%%%%% Definition of sequencing barcodes; do not change %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
B.FB={'AAGC'; 'ACTG'; 'AGAA'; 'TAAT'; 'TTCA'; 'TGGG'; 'CACG'; 'CTGT'; 'CCAC'; 'GTAG';
       'GCGA'; 'GGTT'; 'AATA'; 'ATTT'; 'ATGG'; 'ACCA'; 'ACGT'; 'AGTC'; 'AGCT'; 'TACC'};
B.RB={'GCTT';'CAGT';'TTCT';'ATTA';'TGAA';'CCCA';'CGTG';'ACAG';'GTGG';'GTAC';
     'TCGC';'AACC';'TATT';'AAAT';'CCAT';'TGGT';'ACGT';'GACT';'AGCT';'GGTA'};
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
newline = [char(13) char(10)]; % enforse the Windows type newline!
% This part parses the FASTQ file, extracts raw sequences and do alignment
fastq2aligned('indir', indir, 'AllExcelFiles',indir,'fname', fname,...
              'rname', rname, 'outdir', AllRAWFiles, 'chunk', 500000, ...
               'saveNOmatch', 0,'saveNObar', 0,'saveRAW', 0, ...
'barcodes', B, 'XlsName', xlsname, 'SheetName', sheetname, ...
 'delimiter','@','NMismatches',4,'minimumMatch',15, 'newline', newline);
 %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
 %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
 if strcmp(files,'all')
     Nucname = dir(fullfile(AllRAWFiles,' *-R*F*.txt'));else
     Nucname = dir(fullfile(AllRAWFiles, files));end
% This function converts an excel table of sample names to the type of
% library and separates the samples based on this distinction.
[X, Samples] = name2librarytype('AllExcelFiles ', indir, ...
                                'XlsName', xlsname, ...
                                'SheetName', sheetname, ...
                                'sdb_numbers', SDB_ids);
valueSet = {'SDB','MXY'};
keySet = [1, 2];
mapObj = containers.Map(keySet, valueSet);
% For each library type identified, generate UNIQUE and PARSED files.
for i=1:size(X,1)if X(i,1) % extract the name of the library from the XLS name
         NameofLibraryString = mapObj(i);
         % load the structure
        load(fullfile(AllLibraries, NameofLibraryString));
         %since the structures that you load have different names, you want to
         % convert them to one structure that has the same name. this
         % "MyStructure" variable can be passed as structure to the next
         % function. You cannot pass a name of the structure, you have to pass
         % the structure itself.
```

```
eval(['MyStructure = ' NameofLibraryString ]);
       disp(['Processing ' files ' using ' NameofLibraryString ]);
        % Create parsed files with untrimmed adapters and save them to the
        % 'AllParsedFiles' folder. Then trim the adapters and agglomerate
        % counts for each unique sequence in the file and save these new
        % files to the 'AllUniqueFiles' folder.
 aligned2unique('indir', AllRAWFiles,...
'files',files,'samplenames', Samples{i},...
              'unidir', AllUniqueFiles, 'parsedir', AllParsedFiles,...
 'library', MyStructure,...
 'Barcodes', B, 'adaptermatch', 'mutant',... 
 'chunk',500000, 'newline', newline);
    end
end
```
%% %%

% Create a table file based on two character identifier for each individual % with samples on this sequencing run. Tables are built from the UNIQUE % files. Each table file contains all of an individual's samples. Table % files are saved to the 'AllTableFiles' folder.

tags2table('indir', AllUniqueFiles, 'outdir', AllTableFiles, 'files', files)

% File End

Description of all MATLAB scripts Folder: MatLab

This folder contains MATLAB scripts named *MakeFigureX.m*, where X is the number of a specific figure. Each script is self-sufficient. The data used to generate figures are in the folder **MatLab/data/**. Running the script generates the image in a specific figure. All auxiliary scripts are placed in the folder **MatLab/auxiliary/**. All MATLAB scripts are available at<http://www.chem.ualberta.ca/~derda/trinucpaper/> (denoted as **URL** below)

% All scripts were written by Ratmir Derda and Bifang He; any use of these scripts or portions of these scripts should be acknowledged by reference to this paper. Thank you!

Table S3 A list of all main and auxiliary MATLAB scripts

Table S4. Files used to generate images in specific figures. Files can be found online at <http://www.chem.ualberta.ca/~derda/trinucpaper/rawfiles/data/>

Figure S16. Differential enrichment analysis of NT-TriNuc and NT-SX4 libraries. Theoretically, there are $19^{\text{A}} = 130,321$ and $20^{\text{A}} = 160,000$ unique sequences in synthetic, ligated and naïve NT-TriNuc and NT-NNK libraries (sets), respectively. (**a**) Venn Diagram comparison of Na \mathbf{v} e {N} and Synthetic {S} sets and definitions of

common (**COM**) and uniquely present sequences (**UPS**, **UPN**). (**b**) Table of sequences and their copy numbers observed in sequencing highlights that sequences from **COM**, **UPS**, **UPN** subsets can be differentially enriched (**DE**) and **non-DE**. Both synthetic and na we libraries have been sequenced four times, thus, $\{S\}$ and $\{N\}$ sets have four replicates. Abbreviations are as follows:

UPN1: sequence is uniquely present in Na we library but not in Synthetic, however, the difference between the copy number of this sequence in Naïve and Synthetic is not significant (i.e., non-DE)

UPN3: sequence is uniquely present in Naïve library but not in Synthetic and the difference between the copy number of this sequence in Naïve and Synthetic is significant (i.e., DE) at a predefined significance level $(p<0.05)$

COM1: sequence is common in Synthetic and Na we library and the copy number of this sequence in Na *ive* and Synthetic is not significant.

COM2: sequence is common in Synthetic and Na we library but the copy number of this sequence is significantly higher in Synthetic Library

COM3: sequence is common in Synthetic and Na we library but the copy number of this sequence is significantly higher in Na we library

UPS1, UPS2: uniquely present in synthetic library and the difference in copy number between Na ïve and Synthetic library is either insignificant (1) or significant (2) .

NAA1: not available in any sets (neither present in {**S**} nor {**N**} sets) but theoretically possible.

NAA0: not available in any sets (neither present in {**S**} nor {**N**} sets) and theoretically not plausible (contains forbidden codons).

S35

(**c**) Comparison of {**S**}, {**N**} and ligated {**L**} sets, and definition of "uniquely absent" sets UAN, UAS, UAL. Sequence that is uniquely absent from {**N**} set but present in {**L**} and {**S**} sets belongs to UAN set. etc. (**d**) Example of reads, their copy numbers and their classifications; there exist several DE-classes: see Figure S13 for detailed description of all classes. As each sample was sequenced 4 times, there are four replicates of synthetic (S1, S2, S3, S4), ligated (L1, L2, L3 and L4) and naïve (N1, N2, N3 and N4) libraries. (**e**) To-scale representation of the entire NT-TriNuc library, in which the area of the square represents 19^4=130,321 unique peptides and the area of each segment is proportional to the number of unique sequences in each type listed in (**b**). For example, there are 95,373 (**COM1**: 72,078, **COM2**: 11,645, **COM3**: 11,650) unique sequences present both in {**S**} and {**N**} sets. (**f**) Analogous description of NT-NNK library. 46-55% of the library contains sequences that are neither enriched nor depleted between {**S**} and {**N**} sets. Up to 30% of library sequences are uniquely present in {**S**}. (**g**) Analogous to-scale representation of an overlay of {**S**} and {**L**} and {**N**} from NT-TriNuc library shows that of 26% of sequences identified as UPN in (**e**), 22% are present in both {**S**} and {**L**} (i.e., "Uniquely Absent from Naïve" or UAN) and only 3.2% and 0.4% are unique to {**S**} or {**L**}. Note that in the **COM** set in (**g**), DE-information is omitted for clarity. (**h-j**) Volcano plots describing DE-comparison of $\{S\}$, $\{N\}$ and $\{L\}$. $\{S\}$ and $\{L\}$ are most similar to one another whereas $\{N\}$ is different from both $\{S\}$ and $\{L\}$. Each type of sequences listed in (**b**) is mapped on each volcano plot. Abbreviations: **DES** – differentially enriched in synthetic, **DEL** – differentially enriched in ligated, **DEN** – differentially enriched in naïve, $\mathbf{0}S$ – not present in synthetic, $\mathbf{0}L$ – not present in ligated, $\mathbf{0}N$ – not present in naïve. For details and algorithm for DE-analysis see R.zip file in the Supplementary Information for *.RMD R-code.