Multimedia Appendix 4. Summary of results^a

Study type, Author, Year	Family/carer outcomes	Patient outcomes	Health service outcomes
Randomized controlled trials (RCTs)			
Bergmo et al. [10], 2009	No differences between group	OS .	
Grover et al. [19], 2011	78.8% of carers requested email support as part of the package and 21.2% preferred telephone support. No other parent/carer outcome specifically related to the digital communication tool	No outcomes specifically recommunication tool	elated to the digital
Gund et al. [20], 2013	A majority of positive opinion (75% to 100%) regarding the use of the web-based system or skype calls with to some extent the possibility to reduce the need of home visits (33% with Web-based system and 75% with Skype)	None	
Hanberger et al. [21], 2013	No differences between group	os	None
Looman et al. [22], 2015	None		Personal doctor rating and adequacy of care coordination rated higher in both intervention groups (telephone and video) as compared to control group (P=.001 and P=.026, respectively)
Petranovich et al. [23], 2015	No outcomes related to the co	ommunication component of	f the program
Scharer et al. [24], 2009	Mothers in the chat room intervention group had to be active in seeking support (e.g. by signing into the chat room to access the nurse). In the telephone intervention group both active and passive mothers received consistent support. No quantitative outcomes	None	
Van Os-Medendorp et al. [25], 2012	None	No differences between groups on the severity of atopic dermatitis and Quality of Life	Total costs (direct + indirect) at 12 months were €3378 for patients using individualised e- health and €3972 for patients

W			with usual care (face-to-face care), cost difference=-€594 (95%CI -€2545 to €1227)
Wade et al. [26], 2014	Participants who completed 5 or more CAPS sessions reported a greater reduction in depression that participants in the IRC intervention group (P =.03)	None	
Wade et al. [27], 2012	No results provided regarding the helpfulness of skype videoconferences	None	
Controlled trial (non- randomised)			
Becker et al. [28], 2012	No differences between group	OS	None
Bradford et al.[11], 2012	No differences between groups	None	
Pre/post uncontrolled study			
Binford Hopf et al. [29], 2013,	Improvement on the Eating Disorder Symptom Impact Scale total score (p=0.02), on the stigma (P=.02), and dependency (P=.05) subscales of the experience of Caregiving Inventory. No differences on the difficult behaviours (P=.30), negative symptoms (P=.44), problems with services (P=.33), effects on family (P=.06), need to backup (P=.59), loss (P=0.55), positive personal experience (P=.58) or good aspects of relationship (P=.48) subscales	None	
Epstein et al. [30], 2015	No difference on parents' understanding survey scores / baseline	None	
Gulmans et al. [31], 2012	70% of parents responded that the system considerably contributed to sufficient contact and 65% responded it considerably contributed to timely information exchange; 65% considered that the system considerably contributed to better accessibility for consultation	None	

11	NI- :	NI	
Haney et al. [32], 2012	No improvement of the Pediatric Quality of Life subscales family impact and healthcare satisfaction / baseline	None	
Vismara et al. [33], 2013	Increase of the Maternal Behaviour Rating Scale score / baseline	Increase of vocalizations, joint attention initiations, vocabulary production and comprehension / baseline	None
Vismara et al. [34], 2012	Analysis of parent fidelity over time during treatment revealed significant increases from baseline to 12 weeks on the P-ESDM Fidelity Tool (0 no competent teaching to a score of 5 or extremely competent teaching). There were also increases on the Maternal Behaviour Rating Scale subscales of parent responsivity, parent affect, achievement oriented behaviours, and directive behaviour	Child Social Communication Behaviours (spontaneous or prompted verbalizations etc.), and the attention and initiation subscales of the Child Behaviour Rating Scale improved after the intervention	None
Economic model			
Bradford et al. [36] , 2014	None		The costs per consultation for the home telehealth program, the outpatient consultation, and the home visit were \$294, \$748, and \$1214, respectively
Cross-sectional & observational			
Braverman et al [37], 2011	Using a 1- 5 scale, where 1 represents 'definitely yes,' the majority of participants responded '1' when asked about whether the consultation was useful 78% (46/59) and if they trusted the consultation 54.2% (32/59)	None	The three main types of help provided by email consultation was giving information about common diagnoses and treatment (89%), giving general information (59%), and giving recommendations about daily routine (30%). Half of the users engaged in short (one message) correspondence (35/70), 43% of the users (30/70) engaged in longer correspondence, 10% of the users (7/70) returned to the physician 1–7 months after the initial correspondence
Byczkowski et al. [38], 2014	62% of parents felt the communication with the	None	58% of parents sometimes used the portal instead of calling

	health professional was improved, 43% felt the quality of relationship with the health professional was improved. 81% of parents agreed the email function was very useful, 74% declared they received the information they needed, 71% reported receiving answers in a timely manner, 18% were sometimes concerned that emails/questions were sent to unintended receiving		their healthcare provider; 39% of parents used the portal to send emails to their health care professional
de Graaf et al. [39],	to unintended recipients 89.9% of patients followed	None	
2013	the advice of dermatologists and 98.4% would recommend the eHealth intervention to other parents 91.3% of parents perceived the eHealth intervention as useful and 92.2% found the eHealth intervention easy to use	None	
Hanlon-Dearman et	81% of participants reported	None	
al. [40], 2014	being happy with their experience. All participants would recommend telehealth to another family		
Hopper et al. [41], 2011	100% reported satisfaction with the telegenetic concept for clinics, agreed that they had enough opportunity to ask questions. 50% found telemedicine was effective to see a geneticist. 25% felt that a face-to-face appointment was important for a first appointment with the clinical geneticist. 25% speculated that the effectiveness of telemedicine 'depends on how a child deals with TV intrusion', and a follow-up appointment with the geneticist in person was important. 88% agreed that telegenetics was an effective way of seeing a geneticist	None	

	password to access the		
	·		
Wade et al. [45], 2009	portal 57.1% mothers (4/7) and 80% fathers (4/5) considered the videoconferencing easy to use overall. 71.4% mothers (5/7) and 60% fathers (3/5) considered the video conferencing helpful overall and 42.9% mothers (3/7) and 60% fathers (3/5) considered the videoconferencing helpful compared to face to face meetings	62.5% of teens (5/8) considered the videoconferencing easy to use overall. 87.5% of teens (7/8) considered the video conferencing helpful overall and 62.5% teens considered (5/8) the videoconferencing helpful compared to face to face meetings	None
Interview studies			
Barlott et al. [46], 2015	Key themes identified were the strength provided by a social support network, the potential benefit of community participation and the possibility that their experience of disability could change	None	
Britto et al. [47], 2013	Key themes related to benefits of using the portal including removing barriers to communication, providing a sense of control and providing reassurance	None	

^aHealth care professional outcomes are not reported in the table given the very limited studies with this outcome type.