1	
2	Microbial life on a sand grain: from bulk sediment to single grains
3	
4	Probandt, D ¹ ., Eickhorst, T. ^{1,2} , Ellrott, A. ¹ , Amann, R. ¹ , and Knittel, K. ^{1*} ,
5	
6	
7	
8	¹ Max Planck Institute for Marine Microbiology, 28359 Bremen, Germany
9	² University of Bremen, Faculty 2 (Biology/Chemistry), 28359 Bremen,
10	Germany
11	
12	
13	Supplementary Information
1 /	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	*corresponding author
22	Katrin Knittel, Max Planck Institute for Marine Microbiology, Dept. Molecular
23	Ecology, Celsiusstraße 1, 28359 Bremen, Germany
24	email: <u>kknittel@mpi-bremen.de</u>
25	Phone: +49 421 2028935

26 Supplementary Methods

27

28 Micro-computed tomography (µCT)

29 For micro-computed tomography, we subsampled the centre of undisturbed sediment 30 from large push cores (diameter 40 mm) with a polyethylene (PE) cylinder (14 mm 31 diameter \times 30 mm height). Cylinders were gently pushed through an alignment adapter 32 allowing a centered and straight generation of subsamples. The filled PE cylinders were 33 placed on a permeable bandage and fixed on a custom-made rack in a sealed plastic 34 container to prevent tipping over and allow liquid exchange from the bottom of the 35 samples. The cylinders were filled with glass beads from the top to minimize 36 resuspension effects of the sediment surface. Samples in PE cylinders were dehydrated 37 in a graded series of acetone (70%, 80%, 90%, $2 \times 100\%$ acetone). All solutions were 38 added to the bottom of the container ensuring the entire replacement of the pore space. 39 Samples in PE cylinders were resin impregnated in a desiccator under vacuum 40 (220 mbar) using a polyester resin as previously described (Eickhorst and Tippkötter, 41 2008). After polymerization (28 days) samples were removed from the PE cylinder and 42 the base was polished orthogonal to the sampling axis. X-ray μ -CT visualization was 43 performed using scanning facilities at the Department of Geosciences, University of 44 Bremen, Germany (CT-ALPHA, ProCon, Germany). Scan settings were optimized for 45 the visualization of the resin-filled pore space and the inorganic sediment matrix at a 46 resolution of 6.216 µm per voxel. Radiographs were reconstructed into a three-47 dimensional volume using VOLEX (Fraunhofer IIS, Germany) and volume rendering 48 and image extraction was done using Avizo 9.0.1 (FEI, USA). Horizontally orientated 49 µCT 2D images were subjected to local means 2D filter (Sigma 5) using FIJI. Stack 50 dataset was analyzed using AMIRA (v. 6.3, FEI, The Netherlands) after manual

thresholding and segmentation into clearly separable pore space and solid phase. Solid phase surface was calculated and related to one cm³. For analysis of sediment porosity, grey-scale image stacks were segmented into binary images and processed with the fully automated adaptive window indicated Kriging algorithm as described (Houston et al., 2013).

56

57 Calculations of cell density, colonized surface area and cells per sand grain

The footprint of an average microbial cell was 0.43 μ m². The calculation is based on an estimated community composition of 50% small and 5% large cocci (diameter, on average 0.5 μ m and 1 μ m, respectively) and 30% small and 15% large rod-like cells (0.5 μ m × 1 μ m and 0.5 μ m × 2 μ m, respectively). The footprint is used together with the colonization density (cells cm⁻²) to determine the colonized fraction of the grain surface. Cell numbers per sand grains were calculated according to equation I:

64 Eq. I cells per sand grain = grain surface area $[cm^2] \times colonization density <math>[cm^{-2}]$,

where grain surface area is 1.3×10^{-3} cm² and 13×10^{-3} cm², respectively, when assuming a perfect sphere with a diameter of 202 µm and 635 µm (size range for 80% of sand grains, n= 199)

- 68 or to equation II and III
- **69 Eq. II** cells per sand grain = $\frac{\text{cells per cm}^{\circ} \text{sediment}}{\text{no.grains per cm}^{\circ} \text{ sediment}}$
- 70 Eq. III no. grains per cm^s = $\frac{\text{volume of sediment } [cm^3] \times (1-\text{porosity})}{\text{volume of sand grain } [cm^3]}$,

where porosity is 0.4 and grain volume is 0.43×10^{-5} cm³ (202 µm diameter) and 13 × 10^{-5} cm³ (635 µm diameter).

73

74

75 Cell-cell distance measurements

Image z-stacks of three different sand grains stained with SYBR green I were obtained via confocal laser scanning microscopy (LSM 780, Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Germany) and visualized using a 3D maximum intensity projection (Imaris x64, Bitplane AG, Switzerland). We defined two types of areas on the sand grains based on their surface topology: i) exposed areas characterized by mainly convex and smooth surfaces with some microtopography and ii) protected areas with micro- and macrotopography (Supplementary Figure S4).

In total, seven regions of interest (ROI) of exposed areas and eleven ROI of protected areas from three different sand grains were analyzed with the software ACMEtool 3 (July 2014; M. Zeder, Technobiology GmbH, Switzerland). The coordinates and area of each valid object was used to calculate a distance matrix for all identified valid objects per ROI (Supplementary Figure S3). Assuming all cells being spheres, the cell radius was estimated from the object area according to equation IV

89 Eq. IV
$$radius = \sqrt{\frac{area}{\pi}}$$

90 The object's central point was calculated from the bounding boxes' vertices' x- and y-91 coordinates according to equation Va and Vb.

92 Eq. Va
$$center_x = left + \frac{right - left}{2}$$

93 and

94 Eq. Vb
$$center_y = top + \frac{bottom - top}{2}$$

95 And the cell-cell distance according to Eq. VI

96
$$distance_{I,2} = \sqrt{|center_{x2} - center_{x1}|^2 + |center_{y2} - center_{y1}|^2} - radius_1 - radius_2$$

97 Only the closest neighbor was considered for calculations. Furthermore, only non-98 touching cells were included into the analysis thus the obtained values are 99 overestimating the actual mean cell-cell distances. Applying this model on cell 100 morphologies different from cocci/spheres, cell-cell distances would be under- or 101 overestimated depending on their x/y/z-orientation to any other cell.

102

103 CARD-FISH on sand grains

104 Although SYBR green I staining of total cells showed bright signals, it was 105 necessary for CARD-FISH to replace SYBR green I by DAPI. The green-emitting dyes 106 were needed for tyramide labeling due to their high sensitivity. Up to four different 107 HRP-labeled probes could successfully be applied in consecutive hybridizations without 108 noticeable loss of signal intensity. Monolabeled and tetralabeled probes (except for 109 Planctomycetia) did not work on sand grains due to too low brightness. Used tyramides 110 were labeled with the standard dyes Alexa488, Alexa594 or Alexa647. For visualization 111 of a fourth target group, we mixed Alexa488- and Alexa594-tyramides in an equimolar 112 ratio for amplification, resulting in mixed-color signals. All targeted cells showed a 113 comparably bright signal with both dyes, therefore, false positive cells showing only 114 either of the colors can be excluded.

115

116 Quality trimming and sequence processing

Paired-end reads were quality trimmed (>q21, both ends) and merged (strict, overlap
20) using software package BBmap (v. 36.92) at high confidence settings. A subset of
100.000 sequences per sample was further processed according to the MiSeq SOP
(Kozich et al., 2013) with mothur v.1.39.5 (Schloss et al., 2009; Westcott and Schloss,
2017). For removal of potential artificial diversity, a pre-clustering at 99% sequence

5

122 identity and *de novo*-based chimera removal using UCHIME (Edgar et al., 2011) was 123 performed. Afterwards, sequences were classified using the SILVA database SSU Ref 124 NR, release 123 (Quast et al., 2013). All sequences that were classified as Archaea, 125 chloroplast 16S rRNA or non-16S rRNA were removed from the dataset because they 126 resulted from an unspecific PCR amplification and therefore do not represent the real 127 diversity of Archaea and Eukarya on a sand grain or in bulk sediments. Remaining 128 sequences were globally clustered in operational taxonomic units (OTU) at 97% 129 similarity using the OptiClust algorithm. Finally, rare $OTU_{0.97}$ that were represented by \leq 2 sequences in the whole dataset, i.e. single sequence OTU absolute (SSO_{abs}), and 130 131 double sequence OTU absolute (DSO_{abs}), were removed prior to diversity analysis. The 132 removal of SSO_{abs} and DSO_{abs} has been done to reduce artificial diversity/noise as 133 previously suggested for Illumina 16S rRNA gene fragment data (e.g. Allen et al., 134 2016). Removed SSO_{abs} and DSO_{abs} contributed each at maximum only 1 sequence of 100.000 sequences in the entire data set. To test whether the removal of SSO_{abs} and 135 136 DSO_{abs} from the whole dataset affected further statistical tests, we performed Procrustes 137 analysis (Gower, 1975) based on three-dimensional non-metric correlation 138 multidimensional scaling (NMDS) of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity coefficient (Bray and 139 Curtis, 1957) of two data sets. One data set containing all OTU_{0.97} and one data set 140 without SSO_{abs} and DSO_{abs}. The correlation of the two ordinations was highly 141 significant (Procrustes correlation coefficient = 0.95, p=0.001, 999 permutations), 142 indicating that the removal of SSO_{abs} and DSO_{abs} did not affect the overall trend. In the 143 subsampled data set (44,901 sequences per sample) used for alpha diversity analysis, relative single sequence OTU and double sequence OTU (SSO_{rel} and DSO_{rel}) still 144 145 remained in the database and thus are considered in the Chao1 estimator of total 146 richness (Chao 1984).

147 Diversity analysis

For analysis of the alpha and beta diversity, the data sets were subsampled to lowestnumber of sequences in the total data set (N=44,901).

150 Alpha diversity analysis was performed based on phylotype- (OTU) and 151 phylogenetic-based methods. Inverse Simpson (Simpson, 1949) and Chao1 (Chao, 152 1984) were independently calculated 25 times using the R-package vegan (v.2.4, 153 Oksanen et al., 2013) and customized R-scripts with $OTU_{0.97}$ and are displayed as a 154 mean value. For calculation of Faith's phylogenetic diversity (Faith, 1992), a 155 phylogenetic tree of $OTU_{0.97}$ representative sequences of the subsampled data set 156 (N=44,901) was reconstructed using FastTree2 (Price et al., 2010) implemented in the 157 ARB software package (Ludwig et al., 2004). Based on the phylogenetic tree, Faith's 158 PD was calculcated using the R-packages ape (v.4.1, Paradis et al., 2004) and picante 159 (v. 1.6, Kembel et al., 2010).

Beta diversity analysis was performed based on phylotypes ($OTU_{0.97}$) and their phylogenetic affiliation. To assess the (phylo)genetic distance between single sand grain's and replicate bulk sediment samples' bacterial communities, unweighted and weighted UniFrac distance matrices (Lozupone and Knight, 2005) were calculated based on the reconstructed phylogenetic tree using the R-package GUniFrac (v.1.0, Chen, 2012).

For quantification of the nestedness of the bacterial diversity from individual sand grains in the bulk sediments, occurrences of observed bulk sediment $OTU_{0.97}$ on sand grains were calculated. Therefor bulk_1 to bulk_3 data were pooled and compared to each single sand grain.

170

171

172 Supplementary Results

173

174 Relative abundance and phylogeny of nitrifying *Bacteria & Archaea* and 175 chloroplast 16S rRNA gene tag sequences

176 10.000 quality-trimmed and merged sequences from each sample (17 sand grains, 3 177 bulk sediments) were submitted to the SILVAngs pipeline (Quast et al., 2013; database 178 release 128). As output, SILVAngs provides aligned sequences which can be readily 179 imported into the arb software package (Ludwig et al., 2004; Pruesse et al., 2007). In 180 total, $2 \pm 2\%$ retrieved from the 17 sand grains and $2 \pm 0.7\%$ of total sequences retrieved 181 from bulk sediments were assigned to ammonia- and nitrite-oxidizing bacteria. Most 182 abundant were sequences affiliated with betaproteobacterial Nitrosomonadales 183 $(0.6 \pm 0.7\%$ of total bacterial sequences retrieved from single sand grains and $0.2 \pm 0.1\%$ 184 from bulk sediments) and Nitrospirae $(2 \pm 1\%)$ and $2 \pm 0.1\%$). Only of minor relative 185 abundance were *Nitrospina* spp. $(0.1 \pm 0.1\%$ and $0.1 \pm 0.02\%$). Gammaproteobacterial 186 Nitrosococcus and alphaproteobacterial Nitrobacter were found only sporadically on 187 one sand grain in sequence abundances <0.01%. The fraction of archaeal sequences 188 were $0.4 \pm 0.4\%$ and $0.3 \pm 0.1\%$ of total sequences retrieved from single sand grains and 189 bulk sediments, respectively. Archaeal sequences were not further analyzed because 190 their amplification during PCR resulted from unspecific primer binding thus not 191 covering the archaeal diversity. More than 99.9% of retrieved archaeal sequences were 192 affiliated with Thaumarchaeota and Woesearchaeota DHVEG-6.

For phylogenetic analysis, sequences were added to the tree provided in SILVA database release 128 under parsimony criteria without allowing changes in the overall tree topology. In total, sequences affiliated with the order *Nitrosomonadales* formed 314 OTU_{0.97}. The tree was optimized using Parsimony interactive using the heuristic optimizer (global optimization). All sequences were only distantly related to ammoniaoxiding *Nitrosomonas* with 82.0-92% sequence similarity but closely related to *Nitrosopira multiformis / Nitrosovibrio tenuis* with 92.0-98.3% sequence similarity
suggesting a common genus if not species (Yarza et al., 2014).

Sequences affiliated with *Nitrospirae* clustered into 1064 $OTU_{0.97}$. More than 99% affiliated with *Nitrospira marina* (93-96% sequence similarity) suggesting that they derived from organisms of the same genus. They were only distantly related to "*Candidatus* Nitrospira nitrificans" and "*Candidatus* Nitrospira nitrosa" (83-89%) that have been described to be able of complete nitrification of ammonia to nitrate (comammox; Daims et al., 2015; van Kessel et al., 2015).

207 Plastid 16S rRNA gene sequences from chloroplasts made up $3\pm3\%$ of total 208 sequences retrieved from the sand grains and $9\pm2\%$ retrieved from bulk sediments. All 209 of them affiliated with sequences from *Bacillariophyceae* indicating that marine 210 diatoms were the dominant microalgae on sand grains. A more specific taxonomic 211 assignment was not possible based on their plastid 16S rRNA gene sequences.



Figure S1. Sublittoral surface sediment at site Helgoland Roads.

A, Geographic location of sampling site Helgoland Roads in the southern North Sea. The close up shows the location of Helgoland Roads between the islands of Helgoland and Helgoland-Düne. B, sediment push core. C, reconstruction of sediment vertical section using µCT images. Pore space (black), solid phase composed of sand grains (dark to light grey) or shell debris (light grey, sharp edges). Shown section corresponds to a sediment depth of 0.5 cm to 1.5 cm below seafloor. D, binocular photograph of dried sand.



Figure S2: Schematic drawing of customized glass slide for visualization of microbial cells on sand grains using inverse confocal laser scanning microscopy.

A, slide preparation. A hole was drilled carefully using a standard household diamond drill. The sand grain was spotted through the hole on the cover slip and allowed to dry. Since the experimental set-up is not a closed system (air-contact to the top), heat-induced motion during long image acquisition was minimized. B, sample visualization using the inverse microscope.



Figure S3. Schematic diagram on cell-cell distance calculation.

Step 1: Cell detection using ACMEtool (white box). Step 2: Export of relevant cell features for further analysis (cell A shown in yellow, cell B shown in green). Step 3: Calculation of center point and radius for each cell (results shown in red color). Step 4: Cell-cell distance calculation (blue color). The calculation uses a pixel-based coordinate system. The scale bar corresponds to 7 µm.

Figure S4



Figure S4, continued



Figure S4: Cell-cell distances in exposed and protected areas on sand grains.

A, three representative sand grains stained with SYBR green I were analyzed for cell-cell distances in selected regions. B, schematic cross section of (left) an exposed area characterized by mainly convex and smooth surfaces with some microtopography and (right) protected areas with micro- and macrotopography. Green dots symbolize cells colonizing the sand grain's surface. C, exemplary for grain 1, regions of interest (ROI) of exposed (ROI 3, 4, 6) and protected (ROI 1, 2, 5, 7) areas and corresponding cell-cell distance measurements are shown. Left, micro-graph of original ROI; middle, cell detection by ACMEtool; right, minimum cell-cell distance distribution as shown in distance classes. See key for distances in µm. Scale bar, 5 µm.



Figure S5: Bacterial community composition on single sand grains and in bulk sediment as shown by Illumina partial 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

Depicted are the 10 most sequence-abundant family-level clades found on the individual sand grains or in bulk sediment datasets. Remaining family level clades are summarized as "other". Clades described as "uncultured (uncult)" could not be further classified and might contain numerous $OTU_{0.97}$ of respective taxon level. Depicted community composition is based on subsampled datasets (N=44,901). * Sequences classified as *Planctomycetaceae* and *Phycisphaeraceae* rather comprise several unclassified families within the class *Planctomycetia* and *Phycisphaeraceae*, respectively.

Probe name	Target	Sequence (5' - 3')	FA [%] ¹	Target	Reference
EUB338 I		GCT GCC TCC CGT AGG AGT	35	16S rRNA	Amann et al., 1990
EUB338 II	Most Bacteria	GCA GCC ACC CGT AGG TGT	35	16S rRNA	Daims et al., 1999
EUB338 II		GCT GCC ACC CGT AGG TGT	35	16S rRNA	Daims et al., 1999
ARCH915a	Archaea	GTG CTC CCC CGC CAA TTC CT	35	16S rRNA	Stahl and Amann, 1991
CREN537	Marine Group I Thaumarcheota	TGA CCA CTT GAG GTG CTG	20	16S rRNA	Teira et al, 2004
EUK516	Eukarya	ACC AGA CTT GCC CTCC	0	18S rRNA	Amann et al., 1990
NON338	nonsense probe	ACT CCT ACG GGA GGC AGC	35	16S rRNA	Wallner et al., 1993
GAM42a ²	Gammaproteobacteria	GCC TTC CCA CAT CGT TT	35	23S rRNA	Manz et al., 1992
GAM42a_T1038_G1031 ²	Xanthomonadaceae	GCC TTT CCA CAT GGT TT	35	23S rRNA	Siyambalapitiya and Blackall, 2005
GAM42a_T1038 ²	Xanthomonadaceae	GCC TTT CCA CAT CGT TT	35	23S rRNA	Siyambalapitiya and Blackall, 2005
BET42a ²	Betaproteobacteria	GCC TTC CCA CTT CGT TT	35	23S rRNA	Manz et al., 1992
JTB1270	Woeseiaceae/JTB255	GAG CTT TAA GGG ATT AGC GCA CCA	40	16S rRNA	Dyksma et al., 2016a
hJTB1270	Unlabeled helper oligo, used with JTB1270	TTG CTG GTT GGC AAC CCT CTG TAT	40	16S rRNA	Dyksma et al., 2016a
CF968	Bacteroidetes	GGT AAG GTT CCT CGC GTA	30	16S rRNA	Acinas et al., 2015
NTSPA712	Nitrospirae	CGC CTT CGC CAC CGG CCT TCC	50	16S rRNA	Daims et al., 2001
cNTSPA712	Unlabeled competitor used with NTSPA712	CGC CTT CGC CAC CGG TGT TCC		16S rRNA	Daims et al., 2001
NM645 ³	Nitrosospira, Nitrosovibrio, some Nitrosomonas, uncultured Nitrosomonadaceae	GCC ACA CTC TAG YCT TGT	20-30	16S rRNA	This study
c1NM645	Unlabeled competitor used with NM645	GCC ACA CTC TAG CCT TGC		16S rRNA	This study
c2NM645	Unlabeled competitor used with NM645	GCC ACA CTC CAG CCT TGC		16S rRNA	This study
NM478 ³	Nitrosospira, Nitrosovibrio, uncultured Nitrosomonadaceae, some Acidobacteria	TCT TCC GGT ACC GTC AGT A	20-30	16S rRNA	This study
cNM478	Unlabeled competitor used with NM478	TCT TCC GGT ACC GTC AGM A		16S rRNA	This study
PLA46 ⁴	Planctomycetes except Phycisphaerae	GAC TTG CAT GCC TAA TCC	30	16S rRNA	Neef et al., 1998
PHYC309	Phycisphaerae	AGT GTC TCA GTC CCG ATG CGG CG	35	16S rRNA	Probandt et al., 2017

Table S1. Oligonucleotide probes used for CARD-FISH and FISH.

formamide concentration in the hybridization buffer.
 Probes GAM42a, GAM42a_T1038_G1031 and GAM42a_T1038 were used as "GAM42a-mix" at a molar ratio of 1:1:1 together with Bet42a as competitor.
 Probe NM645 is recommended to use. It gives brighter signals than NM478 and has no not-target hits.
 Probe Pla46 was used HRP-labeled or directly labeled with four Alexa594 dye molecules using CLICK chemistry

Table S2: Alpha diversity parameters for single sand grains and bulk sediments based on 16S rRNA gene Illumina tag sequencing. Depicted diversity values show the mean of 25 independent calculations. $OTU_{0.97}$ only represented by one or two sequences in the total dataset (SSO_{abs} and DSO_{abs}; ~0.000001% relative sequence abundance) were excluded from analysis. SSO_{abs}, absolute single sequence $OTU_{0.97}$; DSO_{abs}, absolute double sequence $OTU_{0.97}$ Relative single sequence $OTU_{0.97}$ (SSO_{rel}) are $OTU_{0.97}$ that occur only once in the respective sample but are more sequence-abundant in other samples of the entire data set.

	Quality reads		Subsa	mpled to 44,901 reads e	ach sample			
sample	[No.]	observed OTU _{0.97}	Chao1	inverse Simpson	SSOabs [%]	SSOrel [%]	DSOabs [%]	Faith's PD
SSG01	45,980	5,446	9,231	69	1.5	48.1	8.1	295
SSG02	46,555	5,032	7,977	87	1.8	41.6	7.5	284
SSG03	53,143	5,235	8,673	85	2.3	44.7	7.6	297
SSG04	55,507	3,426	5,260	68	2.2	37.7	9.0	222
SSG05	58,205	5,007	8,764	114	2.1	47.2	7.5	280
SSG06	53,230	4,373	7,070	112	2.1	43.3	8.7	253
SSG07	56,972	4,369	7,327	103	1.8	44.8	6.4	253
SSG08	55,758	4,088	7,716	49	1.9	52.3	7.7	236
SSG09	52,930	5,470	9,742	128	1.9	48.2	7.9	292
SSG10	47,116	5,198	8,888	63	1.3	48.5	7.9	277
SSG11	50,657	4,407	7,180	92	2.2	42.6	8.2	253
SSG12	58,769	4,126	7,293	44	1.9	49.8	8.4	227
SSG13	46,215	5,359	9,787	82	1.8	49.9	7.0	288
SSG14	44,901	5,160	9,008	136	1.8	46.2	7.4	290
SSG15	47,901	4,866	8,783	78	1.8	50.4	7.6	265
SSG16	46,828	5,955	9,949	106	1.9	44.0	7.6	326
SSG17	45,131	6,031	10,692	58	1.8	50.8	9.1	317
bulk1	75,134	6,759	13,059	230	3.9	51.2	10.0	348
bulk2	129,394	6,797	13,119	215	4.0	51.4	10.8	354
bulk3	137,585	6,924	14,155	226	4.2	52.3	9.8	358

Table S3: Beta-diversity.

Genetic similarity between single sand grain and bulk sediment communities measured by UniFrac and expressed as shared phylogenetic branch length. Color code corresponds to proportion of shared branch length: low (red) to high proportion (green). Panel A. Unweighted UniFrac, B. Weighted UniFrac. Calculcations performed on $OTU_{0.97}$ representative sequences of subsampled data sets (N=44.901).

Α

	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	Bulk	Bulk
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	1	2
SSG2	46														0004		Dull to bull		h
SSG3	47	49												Min	SSG to	0 SSG 9	Bulk to bul 54	< SSG to 39	bulk
SSG4	42	44	44											Max	5	0	55	46	
SSG5	47	46	48	43										Wear	4	.0	54	44	
SSG6	44	41	44	42	47														
SSG7	46	46	49	45	47	46													
SSG8	42	40	43	40	42	42	45												
55G9	42	40	43	/3	42	44	43	12											
SSG10	40	46	40	42	46	13	48	46	45										
SSG10	45	44	47	42	40	44	40	42	47	45									
SS011	43	44	47	43	47	44	40	42	47	43	12								
SSU12	43	43	44	41	42	42	43	44	44	43	43	12							
55015	40	48	48	43	48	45	49	45	49	48	40	43	10						
55014	49	40	48	42	44	42	47	43	40	40	44	43	40	45					
SSGIS	44	44	46	41	46	44	4/	48	46	48	44	43	49	45	40				
SSG16	50	49	49	42	46	41	46	39	47	45	44	40	46	49	43	=0			
SSG17	46	47	47	41	44	41	44	40	45	46	42	40	45	48	43	50			
Bulk1	44	45	46	40	44	41	44	40	45	44	43	40	46	43	43	46	45	-	
Bulk2	44	45	46	41	44	41	44	40	46	44	42	41	45	43	43	46	46	54	
Bulk3	45	45	46	40	45	41	44	39	45	44	42	40	45	44	43	46	45	54	55
B																			
1	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	SSG	Bulk	Bulk
	SSG 1	SSG 2	SSG 3	SSG 4	SSG 5	SSG 6	SSG 7	SSG 8	SSG 9	SSG 10	SSG 11	SSG 12	SSG 13	SSG 14	SSG 15	SSG 16	SSG 17	Bulk 1	Bulk 2
SSG2	SSG 1 70	SSG 2	SSG 3	SSG 4	SSG 5	SSG 6	SSG 7	SSG 8	SSG 9	SSG 10	SSG 11	SSG 12	SSG 13	SSG 14	SSG 15 SSG to	SSG 16 SSG	SSG 17 Bulk to bull	Bulk 1	Bulk 2
SSG2 SSG3	SSG 1 70 76	SSG 2 78	SSG 3	SSG 4	SSG 5	SSG 6	SSG 7	SSG 8	SSG 9	SSG 10	SSG 11	SSG 12	SSG 13	SSG 14	SSG 15 SSG to 50	SSG 16 ssg	SSG 17 Bulk to bull ⁹³	Bulk 1 ssg to 54	Bulk 2 bulk
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4	SSG 1 70 76 68	SSG 2 78 69	SSG 3 72	SSG 4	SSG 5	SSG 6	SSG 7	SSG 8	SSG 9	SSG 10	SSG 11	SSG 12	SSG 13	SSG 14 Min Max Mean	SSG 15 SSG to 50 85 71	SSG 16 ssg	SSG 17 Bulk to bulk 93 96 94	Bulk 1 SSG to 54 76 70	Bulk 2 bulk
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5	SSG 1 70 76 68 78	SSG 2 78 69 71	SSG 3 72 82	SSG 4 68	SSG 5	SSG 6	SSG 7	SSG 8	SSG 9	SSG 10	SSG 11	SSG 12	SSG 13	SSG 14 Min Max Mean	SSG 15 SSG to 50 85 71	SSG 16 ssg	SSG 17 Bulk to bulk 93 96 94	Bulk 1 SSG to 54 76 70	Bulk 2 bulk
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5 SSG6	SSG 1 70 76 68 78 75	SSG 2 78 69 71 71	SSG 3 72 82 78	SSG 4 68 62	SSG 5 82	SSG 6	SSG 7	SSG 8	SSG 9	SSG 10	SSG 11	SSG 12	SSG 13	SSG 14 Min Max Mean	SSG 15 SSG to 50 85 71	SSG 16 SSG	SSG 17 Bulk to bull 93 96 94	Bulk 1 ssg to 54 76 70	Bulk 2 bulk
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5 SSG6 SSG7	SSG 1 70 76 68 78 75 71	SSG 2 78 69 71 71 71 75	SSG 3 72 82 78 81	SSG 4 68 62 63	SSG 5 82 77	SSG 6 77	SSG 7	SSG 8	SSG 9	SSG 10	SSG 11	SSG 12	SSG 13	SSG 14 Min Max Mean	SSG 15 ssc to 50 85 71	SSG 16 SSG	SSG 17 Bulk to bull 93 96 94	Bulk 1 ssg to 54 76 70	Bulk 2 bulk
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5 SSG6 SSG7 SSG8	SSG 1 70 76 68 78 75 71 55	SSG 2 78 69 71 71 71 75 62	SSG 3 72 82 78 81 64	SSG 4 68 62 63 50	SSG 5 82 77 60	SSG 6 777 63	SSG 7 72	SSG 8	SSG 9	SSG 10	SSG 11	SSG 12	SSG 13	SSG 14 Min Max Mean	SSG 15 SSG to 50 85 71	SSG 16 ssg	SSG 17 Bulk to bull 93 96 94	Bulk 1 54 76 70	Bulk 2 bulk
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5 SSG6 SSG7 SSG8 SSG9	SSG 1 70 76 68 78 75 71 55 80	SSG 2 78 69 71 71 71 75 62 75	SSG 3 72 82 78 81 64 82	SSG 4 68 62 63 50 69	SSG 5 82 77 60 81	SSG 6 77 63 76	SSG 7 72 72 79	SSG 8 61	SSG 9	SSG 10	SSG 11	SSG 12	SSG 13	SSG 14 Min Max Mean	SSG 15 SSG to 50 85 71	SSG 16 SSG	SSG 17 Bulk to bull 93 96 94	Bulk 1 54 76 70	Bulk 2 bulk
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5 SSG6 SSG7 SSG8 SSG9 SSG10	SSG 1 70 76 68 78 75 71 55 80 71	SSG 2 78 69 71 71 71 75 62 75 72	SSG 3 72 82 78 81 64 82 84	SSG 4 68 62 63 50 69 66	SSG 5 82 77 60 81 81	SSG 6 77 63 76 78	SSG 7 72 72 79 82	SSG 8	SSG 9 79	SSG 10	SSG 11	SSG 12	SSG 13	SSG 14 Min Max Mean	SSG 15 SSG to 50 85 71	SSG 16 ssg	SSG 17 Bulk to bull 93 96 94	Bulk 1 ssg to 54 76 70	Bulk 2 bulk
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5 SSG6 SSG7 SSG8 SSG9 SSG10 SSG11	SSG 1 70 68 78 75 71 55 80 71 69	SSG 2 78 69 71 71 75 62 75 72 71	SSG 3 72 82 78 81 64 82 84 75	SSG 4 68 62 63 50 69 66 58	SSG 5 82 77 60 81 81 81 71	SSG 6 77 63 76 78 71	SSG 7 72 79 82 75	SSG 8 61 66 65	SSG 9 79 76	SSG 10	SSG 11	SSG 12	SSG 13	SSG 14 Min Max Mean	SSG 15 SSG to 50 85 71	SSG 16 SSG	SSG 17 Bulk to bull 93 96 94	Bulk 1 SSG to 54 76 70	Bulk 2 bulk
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5 SSG6 SSG7 SSG8 SSG9 SSG10 SSG11 SSG12	SSG 1 70 68 78 75 71 55 80 71 69 54	SSG 2 78 69 71 71 71 75 62 75 72 72 71 61	SSG 3 72 82 78 81 64 82 84 75 61	SSG 4 68 62 63 50 69 66 58 50	SSG 5 82 777 60 81 81 81 71 56	SSG 6 777 63 76 78 71 59	SSG 7 72 79 82 75 63	SSG 8 61 66 65 80	SSG 9 79 79 76 58	SSG 10 71 60	SSG 11 63	SSG 12	SSG 13	SSG 14 Min Max Mean	SSG 15 SSG to 50 85 71	SSG 16 SSG	SSG 17 Bulk to bull 93 96 94	Bulk 1 SSG to 54 76 70	Bulk 2 bulk
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5 SSG6 SSG7 SSG8 SSG9 SSG10 SSG11 SSG12 SSG13	SSG 1 70 76 68 78 75 71 55 80 71 69 54 70	SSG 2 78 69 71 71 75 62 75 72 71 61 61 72	SSG 3 72 82 78 81 64 82 84 75 61 75	SSG 4 68 62 63 50 69 66 58 50 50 60	SSG 5 82 77 60 81 81 81 71 56 75	SSG 6 777 63 76 78 71 59 74	SSG 7 72 79 82 75 63 81	SSG 8 61 66 65 80 75	SSG 9 79 76 58 78	SSG 10 71 60 78	SSG 11 63 76	SSG 12 69	SSG 13	SSG 14 Min Max Mean	SSG 15 SSG to 50 85 71	SSG 16 ssg	SSG 17 Bulk to bull 93 96 94	Bulk 1 SSG to 54 76 70	Bulk 2 bulk
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5 SSG6 SSG7 SSG8 SSG9 SSG10 SSG11 SSG12 SSG13 SSG14	SSG 1 70 76 68 78 75 71 55 80 71 69 54 70 70 70	SSG 2 78 69 71 71 75 62 75 72 71 61 61 72 76	SSG 3 72 82 78 81 64 82 84 75 61 75 75	SSG 4 68 62 63 50 69 66 58 50 60 60 60	SSG 5 82 77 60 81 81 81 71 56 75 70	SSG 6 77 63 76 78 71 59 74 71	SSG 7 72 79 82 75 63 81 85	SSG 8 61 66 65 80 75 72	SSG 9 79 76 58 78 76	SSG 10 71 60 78 75	SSG 11 63 76 77	SSG 12 69 65	SSG 13	SSG 14 Min Max Mean	SSG 15 SSG to 500 85 71	SSG 16 ssg	SSG 17 Bulk to bull 93 96 94	Bulk 1 SSG to 54 76 70	Bulk 2 bulk
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5 SSG6 SSG7 SSG8 SSG9 SSG10 SSG11 SSG12 SSG13 SSG14 SSG15	SSG 1 70 68 78 75 71 55 80 71 69 54 70 70 67	SSG 2 78 69 71 71 75 62 75 72 71 61 72 71 61 72 76 70	SSG 3 72 82 78 81 64 82 84 75 61 75 75 76	SSG 4 68 62 63 50 69 66 58 50 60 60 60 58	SSG 5 82 77 60 81 81 71 56 75 70 73	SSG 6 77 63 76 78 71 59 74 71 71 76	SSG 7 72 79 82 75 63 81 85 83	SSG 8 61 66 65 80 75 72 74	SSG 9 79 76 58 78 78 76 75	SSG 10 71 60 78 75 79	SSG 11 63 76 77 72	SSG 12 69 65 64	SSG 13 79 81	SSG 14 Min Max Mean	SSG 15 SSG to 500 855 71	SSG 16 ssg	SSG 17 Bulk to bull 93 96 94	Bulk 1 SSG to 54 76 70	Bulk 2
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5 SSG6 SSG7 SSG8 SSG9 SSG10 SSG11 SSG12 SSG13 SSG14 SSG15 SSG16	SSG 1 70 76 68 78 75 71 55 80 71 69 54 70 70 67 75	SSG 2 78 69 71 71 75 62 75 72 71 61 72 71 61 72 76 70 78	SSG 3 72 82 78 81 64 82 84 75 61 75 61 75 75 76 78	SSG 4 68 62 63 50 69 66 58 50 60 60 60 58 68	SSG 5 82 77 60 81 81 81 71 56 75 70 73 74	SSG 6 77 63 76 78 71 59 74 71 70 72	SSG 7 72 79 82 75 63 81 85 83 75	SSG 8 61 66 65 80 75 72 74 60	SSG 9 79 76 58 78 78 76 75 80	SSG 10 71 60 78 75 79 73	SSG 11 63 76 77 72 78	SSG 12 69 65 64 59	SSG 13 79 81 74	SSG 14 Min Max Mean 79 79	SSG 15 SSG to 500 85 71 70	SSG 16 SSG	SSG 17 Bulk to bull 93 96 94	Bulk 1 SSG to 54 76 70	Bulk 2
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5 SSG6 SSG7 SSG8 SSG10 SSG11 SSG12 SSG13 SSG14 SSG15 SSG16 SSG17	SSG 1 70 68 78 75 71 55 80 71 69 54 70 70 67 75 66	SSG 2 78 69 71 71 75 62 75 72 71 61 72 71 61 72 76 70 78 67	SSG 3 72 82 78 81 64 82 84 75 61 75 61 75 75 76 78 74	SSG 4 68 62 63 50 69 66 58 50 60 60 60 58 60 58 68	SSG 5 82 77 60 81 81 71 56 75 70 73 74 74	SSG 6 77 63 76 78 71 59 74 71 70 72 70	SSG 7 72 79 82 75 63 81 85 83 75 71	SSG 8 61 66 65 80 75 72 74 60 59	SSG 9 79 76 58 78 78 76 75 80 69	SSG 10 71 60 78 75 79 73 77	SSG 11 63 76 77 72 78 61	SSG 12 69 65 64 59 53	SSG 13 79 81 74 66	SSG 14 Min Max Mean 79 79 67	SSG 15 SSG to 500 855 71 70 69	SSG 16	SSG 17 Bulk to bull 93 96 94	Bulk 1 SSG to 54 76 70	Bulk 2
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5 SSG6 SSG7 SSG8 SSG10 SSG11 SSG12 SSG13 SSG14 SSG15 SSG16 SSG17	SSG 1 70 68 78 75 71 55 80 71 69 54 70 70 67 70 67 75 66	SSG 2 78 69 71 71 75 62 75 72 71 61 72 71 61 72 76 70 78 67	SSG 3 72 82 78 81 64 82 84 75 61 75 61 75 75 76 78 74	SSG 4 68 62 63 50 69 66 58 50 60 60 60 58 60 58 68 66	SSG 5 82 77 60 81 81 81 71 56 75 70 73 74 74 74	SSG 6 77 63 76 78 71 59 74 71 70 70	SSG 7 7 72 79 82 75 63 81 85 83 81 85 83 75 71	SSG 8 61 66 65 80 75 72 74 60 59	SSG 9 79 76 58 78 78 78 76 75 80 69	SSG 10 71 60 78 75 79 73 77	SSG 11 63 76 77 72 78 61	SSG 12 69 65 64 59 53	SSG 13 79 81 74 66	SSG 14 Min Max Mean 79 79 67	SSG 15 SSG to 85 71 71 70 69	SSG 16 555 68	SSG 17 Bulk to bull 93 96 94	Bulk 1 SSG to 54 76 70	Bulk 2
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5 SSG6 SSG7 SSG8 SSG9 SSG10 SSG11 SSG12 SSG14 SSG15 SSG16 SSG17 Bulk1	SSG 1 70 76 68 78 75 71 55 80 71 69 54 70 70 67 75 66	SSG 2 78 69 71 71 75 62 75 72 71 61 72 71 61 72 76 70 78 67	SSG 3 72 82 78 81 64 82 84 75 61 75 75 76 75 76 78 74	SSG 4 68 62 63 50 69 66 58 50 60 60 60 58 60 58 60 59	SSG 5 82 77 60 81 81 81 71 56 75 70 73 74 74 74	SSG 6 77 63 76 78 71 59 74 71 70 72 70	SSG 7 72 79 82 75 63 81 85 83 75 71	SSG 8 61 66 65 80 75 72 74 60 59	SSG 9 79 76 58 78 78 75 80 69	SSG 10 71 60 78 75 79 73 77 73	SSG 11 63 76 77 72 78 61	SSG 12 69 65 64 59 53	SSG 13 79 81 74 66 70	SSG 14 Min Max Mean 79 79 67	SSG 15 SSG to 85 71 71 70 69 71	SSG 16 5 5 68 74	SSG 17 Bulk to bull 93 96 94	Bulk 1 SSG to 54 76 70	Bulk 2
SSG2 SSG3 SSG4 SSG5 SSG6 SSG7 SSG8 SSG9 SSG10 SSG11 SSG12 SSG13 SSG14 SSG15 SSG16 SSG17 Bulk1 Bulk2	SSG 1 70 76 68 78 75 71 55 80 71 69 54 70 70 67 70 67 75 66 71 71 71	SSG 2 78 69 71 71 75 62 75 72 75 72 71 61 72 71 61 72 76 70 78 67 8 67	SSG 3 72 82 78 81 64 82 84 75 61 75 75 76 75 76 78 74 71 73	SSG 4 68 62 63 50 69 66 58 50 60 60 58 60 58 60 59 60	SSG 5 82 77 60 81 81 81 71 56 75 70 73 74 74 74 72 72	SSG 6 77 63 76 78 71 59 74 71 70 72 70	SSG 7 72 79 82 75 63 81 85 83 75 71 71 74 76	SSG 8 61 66 65 80 75 72 74 60 59 61	SSG 9 79 76 58 78 78 75 80 69 73 74	SSG 10 71 60 78 75 79 73 77 73 77	SSG 11 63 76 77 72 78 61 61 70 73	SSG 12 69 65 64 59 53 54 56	SSG 13 79 81 74 66 70 72	SSG 14 Min Max Mean 79 79 67 71 71	SSG 15 SSG to 85 71 71 70 69 71 72	SSG 16 SSG 68 74 76	SSG 17 Bulk to bull 93 96 94 94 94	Bulk 1 SSG to 54 76 70	Bulk 2

Table S4: Fraction of bulk sediment $OTU_{0.97}$ **present on a single sand grain.** The calculations were performed with different subsets of $OTU_{0.97}$: i) all $OTU_{0.97}$, ii) $OTU_{0.97}$ contributing > 0.01% to total sequences (excluding rare biosphere according to Galand and colleagues (2009), and iii) $OTU_{0.97}$ contributing > 0.1% to total sequences (excluding rare biosphere according to Pedrós-Alió (2012). Data from bulk sediment samples (bulk_1 to bulk_3) were pooled prior to analysis.

	OTU _{0.97}	SSG																
	no.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
OTU _{0.97} *	22,505	37	37	39	27	36	31	34	28	39	36	33	30	38	35	35	42	40
OTU _{0.97} (>0.1‰) ^{**}	5,173	63	64	66	48	61	53	61	48	67	61	57	52	65	60	58	69	63
OTU _{0.97} (>1‰)***	803	87	85	85	73	86	80	84	77	89	84	82	77	88	83	82	87	85

*: Each sample subsampled to 44,901 reads; **: Each sample subsampled to 40,449 reads; ***: Each sample subsampled to 29,378 reads

212 Supplementary References

- Acinas SG, Ferrera I, Sarmento H, Díez-Vives C, Forn I, Ruiz-González C, et al.
 (2015). Validation of a new catalysed reporter deposition-fluorescence in situ
 hybridization probe for the accurate quantification of marine *Bacteroidetes*populations. Environ Microbiol 17: 3557-3569.
- Allen HK, Bayles DO, Looft T, Trachsel J, Bass BE, Alt DP, et al. (2016). Pipeline for
 amplifying and analyzing amplicons of the V1–V3 region of the 16S rRNA gene.
 BMC Research Notes 9: 380.
- Amann RI, Binder BJ, Olson RJ, Chisholm SW, Devereux R, Stahl DA. (1990).
 Combination of 16S rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes with flow cytometry for analyzing mixed microbial populations. Appl Environ Microbiol 56: 1919-1925.
- Bray JR, and Curtis JT. (1957). An ordination of the upland forest communities of southern Wisconsin. Ecol Monogr 27: 326-349.
- Chao A. (1984). Nonparametric estimation of the number of classes in a population.
 Scand J Stat 11: 265-270.
- 227 Chen J. (2012). GUniFrac: Generalized UniFrac distances. R-package version 1.0.
- Daims H, Brühl A, Amann R, Schleifer KH, Wagner M. (1999). The domain-specific
 probe EUB338 is insufficient for the detection of all *Bacteria*: Development and
 evaluation of a more comprehensive probe set. Syst Appl Microbiol 22: 434-444.
- Daims H, Nielsen JL, Nielsen PH, Schleifer KH, Wagner M. (2001). *In situ* characterization of *Nitrospira*-like nitrite-oxidizing bacteria active in wastewater
 treatment plants. Appl Environ Microbiol 67: 5273-5284.
- Daims H, Lebedeva EV, Pjevac P, Han P, Herbold C, Albertsen M, et al. (2015).
 Complete nitrification by *Nitrospira* bacteria. Nature 528: 504-509.
- Dyksma S, Bischof K, Fuchs BM, Hoffmann K, Meier D, Meyerdierks A, et al. (2016).
 Ubiquitous *Gammaproteobacteria* dominate dark carbon fixation in coastal sediments. ISME J 10: 1939-1953.
- Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R. (2011). UCHIME improves
 sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 27: 2194-2200.
- Eickhorst T, Tippkötter R. (2008). Detection of microorganisms in undisturbed soil by
 combining fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and micropedological methods.
 Soil Biol Biochem 40: 1284-1293.
- Faith DP. (1992). Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. Biol Conserv 61:
 1-10.
- Galand PE, Casamayor EO, Kirchman DL, and Lovejoy C. (2009). Ecology of the rare
 microbial biosphere of the Arctic Ocean. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106: 2242722432.
- 249 Gower JC (1975). Generalized Procrustes analysis. Psychometrika 40:33-51
- Houston AN, Otten W, Baveye PC, Hapca S. (2013). Adaptive-window indicator
 kriging: A thresholding method for computed tomography images of porous media.
 Comput Geosci-Uk 54: 239-248.

- Kembel SW, Cowan PD, Helmus MR, Cornwell WK, Morlon H, Ackerly DD, et al.
 (2010). Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. Bioinformatics 26: 1463-1464.
- Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander, SK, Schloss PD. (2013). Development
 of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon
 sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. Appl Environ Microbiol
 79: 5112-5120.
- Lozupone C, and Knight R. (2005). UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing
 microbial communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 71: 8228-8235.
- Ludwig W, Strunk O, Westram R, Richter L, Meier H, Yadhukumar, et al. (2004).
 ARB: a software environment for sequence data. Nucleic Acids Res 32: 1363–1371.
- Manz W, Amann R, Ludwig W, Wagner M, Schleifer K-H. (1992). Phylogenetic
 oligodeoxynucleotide probes for the major subclasses of *Proteobacteria*: problems
 and solutions. Syst Appl Microbiol 15: 593-600.
- 267 Neef A, Amann R, Schlesner H, Schleifer K-H. (1998). Monitoring a widespread
 268 bacterial group: in situ detection of *Planctomycetes* with 16S rRNA-targeted probes.
 269 Microbiol 144: 3257-3266.
- Oksanen J, Guillaume Blanchet F, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, et al.
 (2013). vegan: Community ecology package. R package version 2.0-10.
- Paradis E, Claude J, and Strimmer K. (2004). APE: analyses of phylogenetics and
 evolution in R language. Bioinformatics 20: 289-290.
- 274 Pedrós-Alió C. (2012). The rare bacterial biosphere. Annu Rev Mar Sci 4: 449-466.
- Price MN, Dehal PS, and Arkin AP. (2010). FastTree 2 approximately maximumlikelihood trees for large alignments. PloS One 5: e9490.
- Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs BM, Ludwig WG, Peplies J, and Glöckner FO.
 (2007). SILVA: a comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned
 ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. Nucleic Acids Res 35: 71887196.
- Probandt D, Knittel K, Tegetmeyer HE, Ahmerkamp S, Holtappels M, Amann R.
 (2017). Permeability shapes bacterial communities in sublittoral surface sediments. Environ Microbiol 19: 1584-1599.
- Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs, BM, Ludwig W, and Peplies J. (2007). SILVA: a
 comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA
 sequence data compatible with ARB. Nucleic Acids Res 35: 7188–7196.
- Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. (2013). The SILVA
 ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based
 tools. Nucleic Acids Res 41: D590-D596.
- Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB. et al. (2009).
 Introducing mothur: open-Source, platform-independent, community-supported
 software for describing and comparing microbial communities. Appl Environ
 Microbiol 75: 7537-7541.
- Simpson EH. (1949). Measurement of diversity. Nature 163: 688-688.

- Siyambalapitiya N, Blackall LL. (2005). Discrepancies in the widely applied GAM42a
 fluorescence in situ hybridisation probe for *Gammaproteobacteria*. FEMS Microbiol
 Lett 242: 367-373.
- Stahl DA, Amann R. (1991). Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics. in:
 Stackebrandt. E., Goodfellow. M. (Eds.). John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester.
 England. pp. 205-248.
- Teira E, Reinthaler T, Pernthaler A, Pernthaler J, Herndl GJ. (2004). Combining
 catalyzed reporter deposition-fluorescence in situ hybridization and
 microautoradiography to detect substrate utilization by bacteria and archaea in the
 deep ocean. Appl Environ Microbiol 70: 4411-4414.
- van Kessel MAHJ, Speth DR, Albertsen M, Nielsen PH, Op den Camp HJM, Kartal B,
 et al. (2015). Complete nitrification by a single microorganism. Nature 528: 555-559.
- Wallner G, Amann R, Beisker W. (1993). Optimizing fluorescent in situ hybridization
 with rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes for flow cytometric identification of
 microorganisms. Cytometry 14: 136-143.
- Westcott SL, Schloss PD. (2017). OptiClust, an improved method for assigning
 amplicon-based sequence data to operational taxonomic units. mSphere 2:
 10.1128/mSphereDirect.00073-00017.
- 313 Yarza P, Yilmaz P, Pruesse E, Glöckner FO, Ludwig W, Schleifer K-H, et al. (2014).
 314 Uniting the classification of cultured and uncultured bacteria and archaea using 16S
 215 rDNA some assurances. Nat Pau Mierabiol 12: (25, (45))
- rRNA gene sequences. Nat Rev Microbiol 12: 635-645.