S1: Criteria and scoring for quality assessment

| Criterion | Assessment item description | Number of studies scoring minimum of 1 on assessment item |
|--------------------------|--|---|
| METHODS | 1. Is the hypothesis/aim/objective of study clearly defined? | 23 |
| | 2. Are all the exposure variables clearly described? | 22 |
| | 3. Are the main outcomes clearly described? | 23 |
| | 4. Is the study design clearly described? | 22 |
| | 5. Is the source of the subject population (including sampling frame) clearly described? | 20 |
| | 6. Are the eligibility criteria for subject selection clearly described? | 21 |
| POPULATION BACKGROUND | 7. Are the participation rates reported? Are ascertainment of record availability described? | 9 |
| | 8. Are the characteristics of study participants described? | 23 |
| | 9. Have characteristics of subjects lost after entry or not participating from eligible population been described? | 13 |
| ANALYSIS | 10. Is there adequate adjustment for covariates and confounders in analysis? | 18 |
| | 11. Are important covariates and confounders described? | 19 |
| | 12. Are statistical methods clearly described? | 23 |
| ארוטודע & ארוטודע | 13. Are the exposure variables reliable? | 9 |
| | 14. Are the exposure variables valid? | 8 |
| | 15. Are outcome measures reliable? | 19 |
| RELIABILI | 16. Are outcome measures valid? | 19 |
| RESULTS | 17. Are main findings clearly described? | 23 |
| | 18. Does the study provide estimates of random variability for outcomes or exposures (CI, SD)? | 19 |
| | 19. Does the study provide estimates of statistical parameters (regression coefficients, odds ratios)? | 20 |
| | 20. Can study results be applied to the eligible population? | 18 |