S31 Figure: A random effects meta-analysis of having a small for gestational age baby in pregnancy after cesarean delivery compared to pregnancy after vaginal delivery

Odds Ratio		Odds	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Rando	M–H, Random, 95% CI		
Daltveit 2008	1.27 [1.24, 1.31]		+		
Huang 2011	0.92 [0.91, 0.92]				
Kennare 2007	1.04 [0.95, 1.14]	-	-		
Salihu 2011	0.87 [0.85, 0.89]	•			
Taylor 2005	0.97 [0.92, 1.02]	-	<u> </u>		
Total (95% CI)	1.01 [0.89, 1.14]	<	-		
Total events					
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.02$; $Chi^2 = 460.11$, $df = 4$ (P < 0.00001); $I^2 = 99\%$		9% 0.5 0.7 1	15		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.08$ (P = 0.93)		0.5 0.7 1 Favors cesarean delivery	Favors vaginal delive	ery	