BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>editorial.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

Effect of a health literacy intervention on knowledge about cardiovascular disease medications among Indigenous peoples in Australia, Canada, and New Zealand

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-018569
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	12-Jul-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Crengle, Sue; University of Otago, Preventive and Social Medicine Luke, Joanne N.; University of Melbourne School of Population and Global Health Lambert, Michelle; University of Otago, Preventive and Social Medicine Smylie, Janet; Saint Michael's Hospital, Centre for Research on Inner City Health; University of Toronto, Dalla Lana School of Public Health Reid, Susan; Health Literacy NZ Harre-Hindmarsh, Jennie; Ngati Porou Hauora Kelaher, Margaret; The University of Melbourne, Centre for Health Policy
Primary Subject Heading :	Health services research
Secondary Subject Heading:	General practice / Family practice
Keywords:	Indigenous, health literacy, cardiovascular disease, cardiovascular disease medication, PRIMARY CARE, intervention trial

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

Page 1 of 19	BMJ Open
	Effect of a health literacy intervention on knowledge about cardiovascular disease medications among Indigenous peoples in Australia, Canada, and New Zealand
1	among mulgenous peoples in Austrana, Canada, and New Zealand
2	Authors: Crengle S, Luke J, Lambert M, Smylie J, Reid S, Harré Hindmarsh J, and Kelaher M
3	Authors: Crengle S, Luke J, Lambert W, Sinyne J, Keiu S, Harre Hinumarsh J, and Keianer M
4	
5	Corresponding author:
6	
7	Associate Professor Sue Crengle
8	Department of Preventive & Social Medicine
9	Dunedin School of Medicine
10	University of Otago
11	PO Box 56
12	Dunedin 9054
13	New Zealand
14	Phone: (64) (3) 479 4258
15	Email: sue.crengle@otago.ac.nz
16	Email. Succretigieu/otago.ac.iiz
17	
18	Other authors:
19	Ms Joanne Luke
20	Melbourne School of Population and Global Health
21	University of Melbourne
22	Melbourne
23	Australia
24	
25	Ms Michelle Lambert
26	Department of Preventive & Social Medicine
27	University of Otago
28	Dunedin
29	
30	New Zealand
31	
32	Associate Professor Janet Smylie
33	Centre for Urban Health Solutions
34	Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's Hospital and
35	Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto
36	Toronto
37	Canada
38	
39	Ms Susan Reid
40	Ms Susan Reid Health Literacy Limited NZ Auckland
41	Auckland
42	Auckianu
43	New Zealand
44	
45	Dr Jennie Harré Hindmarsh
46	Ngati Porou Hauora Charitable Trust
47	Te Puia Springs and Gisborne
48	New Zealand
49	
50	Professor Margaret Kelaher
51	Melbourne School of Population and Global Health
52	University of Melbourne
53	Melbourne
54	Australia
55	либиана
56	
57	
58	
59	Franciscus and a late the start but and the table of the table of the table
60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Word Count (excluding title page, abstract, references, figures and tables) 3139

Key words Indigenous, health literacy, cardiovascular disease, medication, primary care, intervention

to peet teries only

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES: To assess the effect of a customised, structured cardiovascular disease medication health literacy programme on medication knowledge among Indigenous people with, or at high risk, of cardiovascular disease.

Design: Intervention trial with pre and post measures at multiple time points. Trial ID ACTRN12612001309875

SETTING: Indigenous primary care services in Australia, Canada and New Zealand. **PARTICIPANTS:** 171 Indigenous people aged \geq 20 years of age who had at least one clinical diagnosis of a CVD event OR, in Canada and Australia, had a 5-year CVD risk \geq 15%; and were prescribed at least two of the following CVD medication classes: statin, aspirin, ACE inhibitors, beta blockers.

INTERVENTION: An education session delivered on three occasions over one month by registered nurses or health educators who had received training in health literacy and principles of adult education. An interactive tablet application was used during each session and an information booklet and pillcard provided to participants.

PRIMARY OUTCOME MEASURES: Knowledge about the CVD medications assessed before and after each session.

RESULTS: Knowledge at baseline (pre-session 1) was low with the mean percent correct answers highest for statins (34.0% correct answers), 29.4% for aspirin, 26.0% for beta blockers and 22.7% for ACE inhibitors. Adjusted analyses showed highly significant (p<0.001) increases in knowledge scores between pre and post assessments at all three time points for all medication classes. For the four medications, the absolute increases in adjusted percent correct items from pre-session one to post-session three assessments were statins 60.1%, aspirin 76.8%, ACE inhibitor 71.4%, and beta blocker 69.5%.

CONCLUSIONS: The intervention was highly effective in contextually diverse Indigenous primary health care services in Australia, Canada and New Zealand. The findings from this study have important implications for health services working with populations with low health literacy more generally.

Page 4 of 19

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- This is one of the first studies to examine the effect of a cardiovascular disease medication health literacy intervention.
- An early example of co-design of a health literacy intervention, informed by patients, whanau/families in their Indigenous communities
- Studies like the one presented here a cross-country multi-site intervention trial with Indigenous communities that successfully incorporates Indigenous research principles, processes and practices are rare.
- A control group has not been used because of sample size considerations and due to the risk of contamination in small communities.
- Does not assess effect of the intervention on clinical outcomes.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

INTRODUCTION

BMJ Open

In recent decades, cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality and morbidity inequities experienced by Indigenous populations have received increasing attention.[1-3] The prevalence of CVD risk factors and mortality and hospitalisation rates have been well documented for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander populations in Australia, [4] First Nations, Inuit and Metis populations in Canada, [5] and Māori populations in New Zealand (NZ).[6, 7] Prevention and management of CVD for Indigenous populations is of central importance given the described burden of CVD and inequities experienced by these populations. Evidence-based guidelines for primary and secondary prevention of CVD are widely available and emphasise 'lifestyle' and medications management.[8-10] However, CVDs are long term conditions and self-management by patients and their families is essential for good outcomes.[11, 12] Capacity to effectively self-manage long term conditions is influenced by an array of factors including, in the case of CVD, knowledge about risk factors and medications.[13] Available literature describing patient CVD knowledge primarily focuses on risk factors and risk assessment. with a lack of equivalent emphasis on medication knowledge.[14-19] Further investigation with regard to knowledge about medications is needed, as inadequate medication knowledge is associated with intermittent and non-adherence to medications.[20] Intermittent and non-adherence has been reported for Indigenous populations [21, 22] and is associated with poorer health outcomes including increased hospitalisations, morbidity, and mortality, and inadequate control of risk factors for disease.[23, 24] Inadequate knowledge about a broader group of medications has been found among an Indigenous prison population, however at present limited data exists to describe knowledge for CVD medications specifically.[25]

Health literacy is defined as the 'the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process, and understand basic health information and services needed to make appropriate health decisions.[26] Health literacy is integral to patient knowledge and self-management. Low levels of health literacy are associated with a range of adverse health outcomes.[26-32] More recently it has been recognised that the health system, healthcare organisations and health professionals are critical to reducing health literacy demands and developing the heath literacy of patients.[33]

In NZ a higher proportion of the Māori population has low levels of health literacy than the non-Māori population.[34] While rigorous population-based data for Indigenous populations in Australia and Canada are lacking, the needs of these populations are likely to be similar to those in NZ, given the similar inequities in health and education observed between Indigenous and non-Indigenous people in all these countries.

A customised, structured CVD medication health literacy intervention was developed during a

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

development phase that included in-depth interviews with community members who were taking CVD prevention medications. Interview participants described their knowledge about their medications, what they would like to know about these medications, and how they would like to be provided with this information. The intervention was implemented in Indigenous primary health care services in Australia (one urban service), Canada (one service with two urban sites), and NZ (one urban and one rural service). Primary outcomes were patient's knowledge about CVD medications (statins, beta blockers ACE inhibitors and aspirin). Secondary outcomes examined changes in health literacy skills and practices. This paper reports the results of a combined (three country) analysis of the primary outcomes.

METHODS

A detailed trial protocol has been published elsewhere [35]. In brief, the trial used a multi-site prepost design with multiple measurement points. Ethics approvals were obtained from the Health and Disabilities Ethics Committees in NZ (MEC/10/061/EXP), the Human Research Ethics Committee at the University of Melbourne, Australia (HREC 1238349.1) and the Saint Michael's Hospital Research Ethics Board, Toronto, Canada (REB #: 10-324). The study was registered with the Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trials Register on 18 December 2012 (ACTRN12612001309875). Community engagement and research processes were consistent with guidelines for research with Indigenous communities.[36-39]

In NZ and Canada potential participants were identified from the health services' medical records. In Australia eligible participants were referred by their general practitioner, Aboriginal health worker, or pharmacist. Eligibility criteria were that participants were Indigenous people aged \geq 20 years of age; had at least one clinical diagnosis of a CVD event (angina, myocardial infarction, ischaemic stroke or transient ischemic attack) OR, for Canada and Australia, had a 5 year CVD risk \geq 15%; were prescribed at least two of the following CVD medication classes: statin, aspirin, ACE inhibitors, beta blockers; and could provide informed consent to participate.

The intervention consisted of an education session delivered by registered nurses or health educators who had received training in health literacy and adult education principles to support the development of health literacy knowledge and skills. An interactive tablet application was used during each session. The application also produced a customised pill card for each participant. At the first session a booklet containing information about CVD, medication use, the four CVD medication classes, and treatment targets for lipid and blood pressure was given to all participants. Information in the tablet and booklet was standardised across all three countries; however, background graphic design features, images and Indigenous language words and phrases were country specific. The use of the application

BMJ Open

ensured that the nurse/educator covered all the CVD medication information in a structured way and, in the context of a trial, standardised the provision of information across all five sites. The education session was delivered three times over four weeks. (Table 1) The programme was customised for each participant so they only received information about the medication classes they were taking.

Data collection

Table 1 summarises data collection at each time point.

Activity	Time point	Measurement
Enrolment visit	ТО	Consent and enrolment in study. In Canada baseline demographic and clinical information was also collected at this visit.
Session one	T1 - Pre-session one	Baseline demographic and clinical information (NZ, Australia) Medication knowledge and health literacy practices
	T2 - Post-session one	Medication knowledge and health literacy practices
Session two.	T3 - Pre-session two	Medication knowledge and health literacy practices
Seven days after session one.	T4 - Post-session two	Medication knowledge and health literacy practices
Session three.	T5 - Pre-session three	Medication knowledge and health literacy practices
28 days after session one.	T6 - Post-session three	Medication knowledge and health literacy practices

Table 1 Summary of trial contacts and data collection

Baseline data was collected from participants and from the health service's medical records. Outcome measures for statins, ACE inhibitors, aspirin and beta blockers assessed knowledge of the scientific and brand names of the medications, what the medication does, how to take it, important side effects, and lipid and blood pressure treatment targets. The number of items in the outcome questionnaire varied for each medication class. There were 9 items for statins, 11 for beta blockers, 12 for ACE inhibitors and 13 for aspirin (Table 2).

Table 2 Items in outcome measures

	ACE inhibitors	Beta Blockers	Statin	Aspirin
Name of medication (scientific or brand)	Eg of Scientific name Perindopril Eg of Brand name <i>Conversyl</i>	Eg of Scientific name Atenolol Eg of Brand name <i>Noten</i>	Eg of Scientific name Atorvastatin Eg of Brand name <i>Lipitor</i>	Eg of Scientific name Aspirin Eg of Brand name <i>Cardia</i>
Pronounced correctly	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No
Name of medication (class)	ACE inhibitor	Beta Blocker	Statin	Aspirin
Pronounced correctly	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No

		Divid Open		
Function/s	Lowers blood pressure	Lowers blood pressure	Lowers cholesterol	Stops you having blood clots
	Protects heart and kidneys	Protects heart		Take with food or after eating
Instruction/s	Start on low dose and increase	Take at the same time every day	Take with evening meal	Take indigestion medication 2hrs after taking Aspirin
	Blood tests every 6 months	Do not suddenly stop taking	Avoid grapefruit juice	
	Avoid food with too much Potassium			
Serious side effects	Tongue, lips, or face swell up	Dizzy or faint	Muscle pain, tenderness or weakness	Tongue, lips, or face swell up
	Dizzy or faint	Breathing problems or asthma		Dizzy or faint
				Itchy Rash
				Bad stomach pain
				Black or bloody poo
	0,			Vomiting brown liquid
Treatment targets	If no kidney disease SBP<130 and DBP <80mmHg If kidney disease	If no kidney disease SBP<130 and DBP <80mmHg If kidney disease	LDL < 3.4mmol/L	
	SBP<125 and DBP <75mmHg	SBP<125 and DBP <75mmHg		

Patient knowledge was assessed by first inviting the patient to tell the nurse/health educator about that medicine. When the participant had volunteered as much information as they could, the nurse/educator would then provide a prompt about information the participant had not mentioned e.g. 'can you tell me about the serious side effects of...'.

Participants were recruited between 18/2/2013 and 29/11/2013.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are reported using means and standard deviation. Categorical data are expressed as percentages and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI). All categorical data have been calculated using a binomial distribution. Histograms were used to determine whether continuous data were normally distributed. Medication knowledge scores were calculated as the percentage of questions answered correctly in each assessment. In descriptive analyses estimates were determined to vary significantly from each other if the 95%CI did not overlap.

Generalised estimating equations were used to investigate change in the proportion of questions answered correctly across the pre and post assessments for each session. The analysis was based on a linear scale response. It controlled for country and diabetes status. All analyses were performed using SPSS, version 22 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill, USA).

BMJ Open

RESULTS

In total 171 participants were recruited and completed session one. Session two was completed by 166 participants (97.1%) and 160 participants (93.6%) completed session three. Of the 11 participants who did not complete the intervention, one patient did not complete as they were admitted to an aged care residential facility; the remaining ten participants were lost to follow up.

Table 3 provides site specific and aggregated baseline data. Baseline characteristics did not vary by site with regards to gender, time with CVD, prevalence of gout, study medications at baseline, number of medication classes taken at baseline, medication allergy/side effects, blood pressure or lipids. There were significant site differences with regards to type of CVD, number of CVD diagnoses, the prevalence of diabetes, congestive heart failure (CHF) and COPD, as well as the number of co-morbidities (Table 3). Participants at the NZ rural site were older than other sites. Myocardial infarction was more common in the NZ urban site. Prevalence of stroke was significantly higher in the NZ rural site than Canada site B and Canada site A. All NZ participants had at least one CVD diagnosis while participants with high risk only were included in the other sites. Diabetes was a common comorbidity at all sites; however, the prevalence was significantly lower at one NZ site than the other sites. The prevalence of COPD was significantly higher at the two NZ sites than in the Australian site. The prevalence of COPD was significantly lower in the NZ rural site than in the four other sites. The proportion of participants who did not have a co-morbidity was significantly higher at the NZ rural site than Australia and Canada site B; while the proportion who had two co-morbidities was significantly lower at the NZ rural site than Australia and Canada site B; while the proportion who had two co-morbidities was significantly lower at the NZ rural site than Australia and Canada site B; while the proportion who had two co-morbidities was significantly lower at the NZ rural site than at the Australian site.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Table 3 Baseline characteristics of participants by site and total

	Australia	NZ rural	NZ urban	Canada A	Canada B	Total
Number of participants						
Session one, (n, [%])	29 [100.0]	55 [100]	40 [100]	26 [100]	21 [100]	171 [100]
Session two (n, [%])	24 [82.8]	55[100]	40 [100]	26 [100]	21 [100]	166 [97.1]]
Session three (n, [%])	23 [79.3]	54 [98.2]	36 [90.0]	26 [100]	21 [100]	160 [93.6]
Age, years mean [SD]	59 [11]	68 [11]	61 [9]	59 [10]	58 [7]	62 [11]
Male sex n [% male, 95%CI]	18 [62.1, 44.4, 79.7]	21 [38.2, 25.3, 51.0]	17 [42.5, 27.2-57.8]	11 [42.3, 23.3-61.3]	11 [52.4, 31.0-73.7]	78 [45.6, 38.1-53.1]
CVD diagnoses; n [%, 95% CI]		6				
Angina	11 [37.9, 20.3-55.6]	30 [54.5, 41.4-67.7]	27 [67.5, 53.0-82.0]	10[38.5, 19.8-57.2]	10[47.6, 26.3-69.0]	88 [51.5 , 44.0-59.0
MI	14 [48.3, 30.1-66.5]	17 [30.9, 18.7-43.1]	33 [82.5, 70.7-94.3]	8 [30.8,13.0-48.5]	7 [33.3, 13.2-53.5]	79 [46.2, 38.7-53.7
Stroke	6 [20.7, 5.9-35.4]	17 [30.9, 18.7-43.1]	7 [17.5, 5.7-29.3]	1 [3.8, 0.0-11.2]	1 [4.8, 0.0-13.9]	32 [18.7, 12.9-24.6
TIA	2 [6.9, 0.0-16.1]	6 [10.9, 2.7-19.1]	4 [10.0, 0.7-19.3]	4 [15.4, 1.5-29.3]	4 [19.0, 2.3-35.8]	20 [11.7, 6.9-16.5]
CVD risk or number of CVD			6			
diagnosis; n [%, 95% CI]						
High CVD risk only	8 [27.6, 11.3-43.9]	0	0	8 [30.8, 13.0-48.5]	6 [28.6, 9.2-47.9]	22 [12.9, 7.8-17.9]
One	13 [44.8, 26.7-62.9]	40 [72.7, 61.0-84.5]	14 [35.0, 20.2-49.8]	14 [53.8,34.7-73.0]	9 [42.9, 21.7-64.0]	90 [52.6, 45.1-60.1]
Two	5 [17.2, 3.5-31.0]	15 [27.3, 15.5-39.0]	22 [55.0, 39.6-70.4]	3 [11.5, 0.0-23.8]	5 [23.8, 5.6-42.0]	50 [29.2, 22.4-36.1]
Three or more	3 [10.3, 0.0-21.4]	0	4 [7.5, 0.0-15.7]	1 [3.8, 0.0-11.2]	1 [4.8, 0.0-13.9]	7 [4.1, 1.1-7.1]
Time with CVD, years Mean						
[95%CI]	7.2 [4.4-9.9]	7.5 [5.6-9.4]	7.7 [2.6-12.8]	10.4 [7.3-13.5]	7.9[5.3-10.6]	7.9 [6.6-9.2]
Co-morbidity; n [%, 95% CI]						
Diabetes	18 [62.1, 44.4-79.7]	13 [23.6, 12.4-34.9]	22 [55.0, 39.6-70.4]	18 [69.2, 51.5-87.0]	18 [85.7, 70.7-100]	89 [52.0, 44.6-59.5]
CHF	0 [0]	11 [20, 9.4-30.6]	8 [20.0, 7.6-32.4]	1[3.8, 0.0-11.2]	2 [9.5, 0.0-22.1]	22 [12.9, 7.8-17.9]
COPD	14 [48.3, 30.1, 66.5]	5 [9.1, 1.5-16.7]	16 [40.0, 24.8-55.2]	14 [53.8, 34.7-73.0]	8 [38.1, 17.2-58.9]	57 [33.3, 26.3-40.4]
Gout	6 [20.7, 5.9, 35.4]	14 [25.5, 13.9-37.0]	14 [35.0, 20.2-49.8]	2 [7.7, 0.0, 17.9]	4 [19.0, 2.3-35.8]	40 [23.4, 17.0-29.7]
Peptic Ulcer	4 [13.8, 1.2, 26.3]	0 [0]	3 [7.5, 0.0-15.7]	4 [15.4, 1.5-29.3]	3 [14.3, 0.0-29.3]	14 [8.2, 4.1-12.3]
Number of co-morbidities;						
n [%, 95% CI]						
None	3 [10.3, 0.0-21.4]	25 [45.5, 32.3-58.6]	8 [20.0, 7.6-32.4]	5 [19.2, 4.1-34.4]	2 [11.1, 0.0-25.6]	43 [25.6, 18.6-31.6]
One	11 [37.9, 20.3, 55.6]	20 [36.4, 23.7-49.1]	10 [25.0, 11.6-38.4]	8 [30.8, 13.0-48.5]	7 [38.9, 16.4-61.4]	56 [33.3, 26.2-40.5]
Two	14 [48.3, 30.1-66.5]	7 [12.7, 3.9-21.5]	13 [32.5, 18.0-47.0]	10 [38.5, 19.8-57.2]	6 [33.3, 11.6-55.1]	50 [29.8, 22.8-36.7]
Three	1 [3.4, 0.0-10.1]	3 [5.5, 0.0- 11.5]	9 [22.5, 9.6-35.4]	1 [3.8, 0.0- 11.2]	2 [11.1, 0.0-25.6]	16 [9.5, 5.1-14.0]
Four	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	2 [7.7, 0.0- 17.9]	1 [5.6, 0.0-16.1]	3 [1.8, 0.0-3.8]
CVD medications at baseline;						
n [%, 95% CI]						
Statin	29 [100]	51 [92.7, 85.9-99.6]	37 [92.5, 84.3-100]	24 [92.3, 82.1-100]	19 [90.5, 77.9-100]	160 [93.6, 89.9-97.2
ACE inhibitor	19 [65.5, 48.2-82.8]	31 [56.4, 43.3-69.5]	27 [67.5, 53.0-82.0]	17 [65.4, 47.1-83.7]	12 [57,1,36.0-78.3]	106 [62.0, 54.7-69.3
BB	15 [51.7, 33.5-69.9]	40 [72.7, 61.0-84.5]	28 [70.0, 55.8-84.2]	12 [46.2, 27.0-65.3]	9 [42.9, 21.7-64.0]	104 [60.8, 53.5-68.1

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

45 46 47 BMJ Open

1							
2							
3							
4							
5	Aspirin	23 [79.3, 64.6-94.1]	46 [83.6 73.9-93.4]	36 [90.0, 80.7-99.3]	20 [76.9, 60.7-93.1]	15 [66.7, 46.5-86.8]	140 [81.9, 76.1-87.6]
6	Number of CVD medications						
7	classes; n [%, 95% CI]						
8	One	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]
9	Two	11 [37.9, 20.3-55.6]	15 [27.3, 15.5-39.0]	7 [17.5, 5.7-29.3]	10 [38.5, 19.8-57.2]	11 [52.4, 31.0-73.7]	54 [31.6, 24.6-38.5]
, 10	Three	8 [27.6, 11.3-43.9]	22 [40.0, 27.1-52.9]	18 [45.0, 29.6-60.4]	12 [46.2, 27.0-65.3]	7 [33.3, 13.2-53.5]	67 [39.2, 31.9-46.5]
	Four	10 [34.5, 17.2-51.8]	18 [32.7, 20.3-45.1]	15 [37.5, 22.5-52.5]	4 [15.4, 1.5-29.3]	3 [14.3, 0.0-29.3]	50 [29.2, 22.4-36.1]
11	Allergy / side effect; n [%, 95%						
12	CI						
13	Statin	0 [0]	1 [1.8, 0.0-5.3]	1 [2.5, 0.0-7.3]	1 [3.8, 0.0-11.2]	2 [9.5, 0.0-22.1]	5 [2.9, 0.4-5.4]
14	ACE inhibitor	0[0]	2 [3.6, 0.0-8.6]	1 [2.5, 0.0-7.3]	0 [0]	1 [4.8, 0.0-13.9]	4 [2.3, 0.1-4.6]
15	BB	1, [3.4, 0.0-10.1]	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	1 [0.6, 0.0-1.7]
16	Aspirin	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	1 [3.8, 0.0-11.2]	2 [0.0-22.1]	3 [1.8, 0.0-3.7]
17	Systolic BP mmHg; mean,	130.2[124.3-136.0]	131.5[127.8-135.2]	134.7[128.8-140.6]	131.4 [125.4-137.4]	129.5 [123.1-136.0]	131.6 [129.3-133.8]
18	[95%CI]						
19	Systolic BP (range)	87-154	97-161	111-172	111-173	103-166	87-173
20							
20	Diastolic BP; mean, [95%CI]	82.0, [77.8-86.2]	79.0 [76.9-81.1]	81.7 [78.1-85.3]	77.0 [73.4-80.6]	74.2 [69.7-78.7]	79.0 [77.6-80.5]
	Diastolic BP (range)	65-112	57-99	60-103	63-98	52-87	52-112
22	Lipids mmol/L; mean, [95%CI]						
23	LDL	2.32, [2.01-2.63]	2.82 [2.58-3.05]	2.31 [2.04-2.58]	2.34 [1.86-2.81]	2.40 [1.96-2.84]	2.50 [2.36-2.64]
24	LDL (range)	1.05-3.55	1.10-5.05	0.75-3.90	0.73-4.68	0.50-4.23	0.50-5.05
25							
26	HDL	1.10, [1.01-1.20]	1.14 [1.07-1.20]	1.10 [1.00-1.20]	1.08 [0.96-1.20]	1.19 [1.05-1.33]	1.12 [1.08-1.16]
27	HDL (range)	0.60-1.65	0.80-1.85	0.78-1.94	0.50-1.66	1.97-1.19	0.50-1.97
28							
29							

Health literacy knowledge scores: Pre-session one knowledge of all four medications was low with mean percent correct highest for statins (34.0% correct answers), 29.4% for aspirin, 26.0% for beta blockers and 22.7% for ACE inhibitors. For all four medications, the knowledge scores increased significantly in the post-session one assessments. Knowledge scores fell slightly in the interval between the post-session one and pre-session two assessments and rose in the post-session two assessments. A similar pattern was observed in the assessments associated with session three. (Table 4)

	n	Pre-session knowledge Mean [95%CI]	Post-session knowledge Mean [95%CI]	% Difference [95%CI]
Statin				
Session 1	160	34.0[30.1-38.8]	90.6 [88.0-93.3]	56.7 [49.0-64.3]
Session 2	155	85.4 [81.9-88.8]	96.1 [94.1-98.1]	10.7 [5.8-15.5]
Session 3	151	92.3 [89.9-94.7]	98.2[97.2-99.3]	6.0 [2.2-9.7]
Aspirin		2		
Session 1	140	29.4 [27.4-31.4]	92.9 [90.8-95.1]	63.5 [55.5-71.5]
Session 2	134	87.1 [83.7-90.5]	96.3 [94.6-98.0]	9.2 [4.3-14.1]
Session 3	129	91.5 [89.0-94.1]	98.6 [97.6-99.7]	7.1 [2.6-11.6]
ACE inhibitor				
Session 1	106	22.7 [19.7-25.8]	87.0 [83.6-90.5]	64.3 [55.2-73.4]
Session 2	102	83.0 [78.8-87.3]	94.3 [91.9-96.6]	11.3 [5.1-17.4]
Session 3	95	90.2 [87.1-93.3]	96.5 [94.5-98.5]	6.3 [1.4-11.2]
Beta blocker			4	
Session 1	104	26.0 [21.9-30.2]	88.8 [85.7-92.0]	62.8 [53.5-72.1]
Session 2	101	85.8 [81.6-90.0]	96.1 [94.3-98.0]	10.4 [4.4-16.3]
Session 3	97	89.2 [86.0-92.5]	97.7 [96.2-99.1]	8.4 [2.9-14.0]

Table 4 Unadjusted mean percent_correct items in knowledge questionnaire, by medication

Adjusted analyses showed highly significant (p<0.001) increases in knowledge scores between presession and post-session assessments at all three time points for all medication classes. (Table 3) For the four medications, the absolute increases in items answered correctly from pre-session one to postsession three assessments were statins 60.1%, aspirin 76.8%, ACE inhibitor 71.4%, and beta blocker 69.5%. (Table 5)

Table 5 Multivariable analysis for CVD medications change in % items correct in knowledge questionnaire*

n	Pre-knowledge score	Post-knowledge score	B [95%CI]	p value
	Mean [95%CI]	Mean [95%CI]		

BMJ Open

) 37.4 [34.3-40.9] 5 84.0 [80.5-87.7] 1 91.2 [88.8-93.7] 0 30.7 [28.9-32.6]	87.8 [84.9-90.9] 94.9 [92.1-97.8] 97.5 [96.1-98.9] 92.4 [89.9-94.9]	3.50 [3.06-3.01] 1.14 [1.09-1.19] 1.07 [1.04-1.10]	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001
5 84.0 [80.5-87.7] 1 91.2 [88.8-93.7] 0 30.7 [28.9-32.6]	94.9 [92.1-97.8] 97.5 [96.1-98.9]	1.14 [1.09-1.19] 1.07 [1.04-1.10]	< 0.001
1 91.2 [88.8-93.7] 0 30.7 [28.9-32.6]	97.5 [96.1-98.9]	1.07 [1.04-1.10]	
) 30.7 [28.9-32.6]			< 0.001
	92.4 [89.9-94.9]		
	92.4 [89.9-94.9]	2 01 [2 02 2 20]	
	· · · · ·	3.01 [2.83-3.20]	< 0.001
4 86.5 [83.1-90.0]	96.0 [93.9-98.1]	1.11[1.07-1.15]	< 0.001
9 91.3 [88.8,93.9]	98.5 [96.8-100]	1.08 [1.05-1.11]	< 0.001
5 24.5[21.7-27.7]	84.7 [80.6-89.0]	3.50 [3.06- 3.91]	< 0.001
2 81.6 [77.4-86.1]	93.2[90.3-96.2]	1.14 [1.09-1.19]	< 0.001
89.5 [86.6-92.4]	95.9 [94.2-97.8]	1.07 [1.04-1.10]	< 0.001
4 27.9 [24.3-32.0]	84.0 [79.5-88.9]	3.01 [2.60-3.49]	< 0.001
84.6 [80.0-89.4]	94.4 [91.4-97.5]	1.12 [1.07-1.16]	< 0.001
88.8 [85.7-92.1]	97.4 [95.4-99.5]	1.10 [1.06-1.13]	< 0.001
5 2 1	24.5[21.7-27.7] 81.6 [77.4-86.1] 89.5 [86.6-92.4] 27.9 [24.3-32.0] 84.6 [80.0-89.4]	24.5[21.7-27.7] 84.7 [80.6-89.0] 81.6 [77.4-86.1] 93.2[90.3-96.2] 89.5 [86.6-92.4] 95.9 [94.2-97.8] 27.9 [24.3-32.0] 84.0 [79.5-88.9] 84.6 [80.0-89.4] 94.4 [91.4-97.5]	24.5[21.7-27.7] 84.7 [80.6-89.0] 3.50 [3.06-3.91] 81.6 [77.4-86.1] 93.2[90.3-96.2] 1.14 [1.09-1.19] 89.5 [86.6-92.4] 95.9 [94.2-97.8] 1.07 [1.04-1.10] 27.9 [24.3-32.0] 84.0 [79.5-88.9] 3.01 [2.60-3.49] 84.6 [80.0-89.4] 94.4 [91.4-97.5] 1.12 [1.07-1.16]

*Model included site and diabetes comorbidity

DISCUSSION

According to the Ottawa Charter, enabling people to have increased control over their health leads to improved health.[40] Health literacy was initially viewed as a patient factor that could be used as a risk factor or a marker for poor outcomes. In recent years discussions regarding health literacy have broadened to include the role that health systems, services and health professionals play in determining the level of health literacy required to successfully navigate health services, and supporting patients to build their health literacy skills and capabilities so they are better equipped to meet their health needs.[32, 41][42] The intervention used in this trial systematically incorporated several approaches to achieve this including health professional training and interactive resources (electronic tablet application, pill card and booklet). Furthermore, the session was repeated to reinforce and further develop participants' knowledge and skill acquisition. This intervention sought to build health literacy skills such as knowledge and the ability to both access and use health information.

The findings in regards to medication knowledge were observed in all four medication classes. At baseline, knowledge of all four medication classes was low. The intervention resulted in significant increases in knowledge that were largest in the first session but were also observed in subsequent sessions, and were sustained between sessions, suggesting that participants were retaining and spontaneously recalling information.

Kripalani et al (2011) demonstrated that training increased physicians' confidence to counsel patients with low health literacy about medication use.[42] In this study we provided training to the Indigenous health practitioners who delivered the intervention.

There are clear benefits to culturally appropriate and community specific interventions. Culturally appropriate interventions have previously demonstrated an association with improved health knowledge about diabetes and CVD.[43, 44] Counselling that incorporates successful adult education techniques such as reinforcement and feedback, teachback, assessing and confirming patients understandings and patient tailored information all build health literacy.[42, 45] Research involving pill cards for health literacy has tended to focused on pill cards as a management tool for low health literate populations as opposed to assessing how they build health literacy skills and capabilities. These studies have demonstrated effectiveness in improving adherence amongst low health literacy populations when used as a stand alone tool [46] and when used in combination with counselling by a health professional trained in adult education techniques.[47]

Inadequate knowledge about medications is associated with intermittent or non-adherence to medications which, in turn, is associated with worse outcomes including poorer control of risk factors, increased hospitalisations, morbidity and mortality.[20, 23, 48] This study showed that baseline knowledge about cardiovascular medicines was low among Indigenous people in Australia, Canada and New Zealand. This low baseline knowledge is consistent with published information about health literacy levels in Indigenous populations.[34] However, this finding is unlikely to be unique to these populations as poor health literacy also is seen in significant proportions of the non-Indigenous populations.[34] The reported low baseline medication knowledge in this study is also congruent with studies for non-Indigenous populations where low medication knowledge has been reported.[48, 49]

This study has several strengths including very good retention rates across the intervention period. Intervention trials located within Indigenous communities are rare. Brega et al (2013) found that the 'Honouring the Gift of Heart Health' intervention increased knowledge about CVD, symptoms associated with MI and CVA and CVD risk factor control, in both high and low health literacy groups of American Indian and Alaska Native peoples.[43] The current study and that of Brega et al (2013) demonstrate that appropriately designed interventions can be successfully implemented in Indigenous communities. This study is imbued with Indigenous research principles and practices including Indigenous leadership, partnership with Indigenous health services, incorporation of local Indigenous design features in the intervention, embedding of culturally appropriate processes and protocols within the design and conduct of the trial, and the development of the Indigenous health professionals' and services' capacity to undertake research and to respond to health literacy needs within their

BMJ Open

communities.[36-38, 50-52] While Indigenous led, participatory research is increasing, there a few existing examples involving a complex multi-site intervention trial.

Much of the current health literacy literature is descriptive. The intervention described here offers solutions to improving Indigenous health and experiences with the health system. Although CVD is common, this study is one of the first to examine the effect of an intervention to improve CVD medication health literacy in any population group. Many measures of health literacy e.g. the Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults and the Rapid Estimate of Adult Literacy in Medicine are based on generic language and numeracy skills. However, knowledge has been shown to provide a strong indication of health literacy for specific conditions.[31] This study measured health literacy in terms of knowledge about CVD medication and health literacy practices such as using health resources.

There are three potential limitations to this study. First, we have not used a control group. There was a high risk of contamination between intervention and control groups because the small, close-knit nature of the communities meant it would be difficult to prevent sharing of information and project resources. Contamination was also possible if the nurses/educators inadvertently used skills/information acquired during training when providing usual care to the control group. Furthermore, to obtain an appropriate sample size, all eligible participants in the health services had to receive the intervention. Ascertaining whether the observed effects were due to the intervention or to other unmeasured factors is challenging given the lack of a control group. The pattern of change within sessions supports an intervention effect, as does the relatively short time (one month) from sessions one to three. The intervention was delivered at five sites in three countries and the results are remarkably consistent across all sites, providing further support for intervention effect rather than unmeasured factors which are unlikely to be the same in all three countries. Although the findings were similar across all sites in the three countries and between an urban and rural site in NZ, further studies could assess whether the intervention is as effective in Indigenous populations who receive care from non-Indigenous health services and on the effect of the intervention with non-Indigenous population groups. Secondly, follow up data assessing changes in knowledge beyond the immediate duration of the programme has not been collected. The purpose of the project was to assess the effectiveness of a customised, structured medication education programme that incorporated strategies based on adult education principles to support the development of participant's health literacy. Accurate retention of information requires regular reinforcement of knowledge. Future implementation of the programme should occur within long term CVD management in primary care services where patients are seen regularly, providing on-going opportunities for reassessment, reinforcement of existing knowledge and, where indicated, the provision of new information. Thus, the immediate effect of the programme is of more interest than longer-term follow-up for a 'one off'

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

programme. Finally, we have not assessed the effect of improved knowledge on clinical outcomes. Assessment of these outcomes requires a much larger sample size and longer time frame than that used in this study. Furthermore, literature discussing the impact of health literacy interventions on adherence suggests that, although increasing health literacy skills and knowledge contributes to improvements in adherence,[46, 53] other factors such as self-efficacy also play an important role.[54-56] Future research that addresses a wider range of these factors could investigate the effects of health literacy interventions like this on clinical outcomes for patients.

Health professionals and healthcare organisations play a central role in ensuring that the needs of patients with low health literacy are being met. By adapting current systems of care for patients with low health literacy health professionals and healthcare organisations can support the development of Indigenous patients' CVD medication knowledge and health literacy practices. The evidence presented here suggests that systematic approaches operating at the interface of health professional and patient are likely to improve the health literacy of Indigenous people and in turn improve health equity. The findings from this study have important implications for populations with low health literacy more generally.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are extremely grateful to all participants and the health services involved in this project. We would also like to acknowledge Professor Ian Anderson and Dr Matire Harwood for their contributions in the early stages of this project.

AUTHOR STATEMENT

SC led the design of the project and the international and NZ research, contributed to data analysis and wrote the manuscript. JL collected data, undertook data analysis, and contributed to writing the manuscript. ML participated in study design, was responsible for international and NZ coordination of the study, contributed to data analysis and collaborated in drafting the manuscript. SR contributed to study design and implementation and collaborated in drafting manuscript. JH, JS contributed to study design, coordinated study at study sites and collaborated in drafting the manuscript. JS led the Canadian research team. MK participated in design, led the Australian research, undertook data analysis and collaborated in drafting the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING: This work was supported by the Health Research Council of New Zealand (09/640b), National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC/628869), and Canadian Institutes of Health Research (FRN 103985).

COMPETING INTERESTS: None declared

DATA SHARING STATEMENT:

The data are owned and under the control of the Indigenous health services and communities from which it was obtained. Requests to access the data will need to go through the approval processes required by these groups. For further information, please contact the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Bramley, D., et al., A call to action on Maori cardiovascular health. New Zealand Medical Journal, 2004. 117(1197): p. U957.
- 2. Brown, A. and L. Kritharides, *Overview: The 2nd Indigenous Cardiovascular Health Conference of the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand.*. Heart, Lung and Circulation, 2012. **21**(10): p. 615-617.
- Chen, L., et al., Prevalence, awareness, medication, control, and risk factors associated with hypertension in Yi ethnic group aged 50 years and over in rural China: the Yunnan minority eye study. BMC Public Health, 2015. 15: p. 383.
- 4. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, *Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Performance Framework 2012: detailed analyses.* 2013, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare: Canberra.
- 5. Anand, S., et al., *Risk factors, atherosclerosis, and cardiovascular disease among Aboriginal people in Canada: the Study of Health Assessment and Risk Evaluation in Aboriginal Peoples (SHARE-AP).* The Lancet, 2001. **358**(9288): p. 1147-1153.
- 6. Ministry of Health, *Tatau Kahukura: Māori Health Chart Book 2015*. 2015, Ministry of Health: Wellington.
- Curtis, E., M. Harwood, and T. Riddell, Cardiovascular Disease, in Hauora: Māori Standards of Health IV. A study of the years 2000-2005. , B. Robson and R. Harris, Editors. 2007, Te Rōpū Rangahau Hauora a Eru Pōmare.: Wellington.
- 8. New Zealand Guidelines Group, *New Zealand Primary Care Handbook 2012.* 3rd ed. 2012, Wellington: New Zealand Guidelines Group.
- 9. National Stroke Foundation, *Guidelines for the management of absolute cardiovascular disease risk.* . 2012, Australia: National Stroke Foundation.
- 10. Mancini, G., et al., *Canadian Cardiovascular Society guidelines for the diagnosis and management of stable ischemic heart disease.* Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2014. **30**(8): p. 837-49.
- 11. Lorig, K., V. González, and P. Ritter, *Evidence Suggesting That a Chronic Disease Self-Management Program Can Improve Health Status While Reducing Hospitalization: A Randomized Trial.* Medical Care, 1999. **37**(1): p. 5-14.
- 12. Cramm JM1, N.A., *Self-management abilities, physical health and depressive symptoms among patients with cardiovascular diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and diabetes.* Patient Education and Counseling, 2012. **87**(3): p. 411-415.
- 13. Wu, J., et al., *Medication adherence in patients who have heart failure: a review of the literature.* 2008. Nursing Clinics of North America, 2008. **43**: p. 133e53.
- 14. Frijling, B., et al., *Perceptions of cardiovascular risk among patients with hypertension or diabetes.* Patient education and counseling, 2004. **52**(1): p. 47-53.
- 15. Wagner, J., et al., *Knowledge of heart disease risk in a multicultural community sample of people with diabetes*. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 2006. **31**(3): p. 224-230.
- 16. Homko, C.J., et al., *Cardiovascular Disease Knowledge and Risk Perception Among Underserved Individuals at Increased Risk of Cardiovascular Disease.* Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 2008. **23**(4): p. 332-337.

 Mooney, L.A. and A.M. Franks, <i>Evaluation of community health screening participants' knowledge of tradiovascular risk factors</i>. Journal of the American Pharmacists Association, 2009. 49(4): p. 529-37; p following 537. Mukattash, T.L., et al., <i>Public knowledge and awareness of cardiovascular disease and its risk factors: a tross-sectional study of 1000 Jordanians</i>. International Journal of Pharmacy Practice, 2012. 20(6): p. 167-376. Nartak, S.A., et al., <i>Patients' knowledge of risk and protective factors for cardiovascular disease</i>. The American Journal of Cardiology, 2011. 107(10): p. 1480-1488. Hope, C.J., et al., <i>Association of medication adherence, knowledge, and skills with emergency legartment visits by adults 50 years or older with congestive heart failure</i>. American Journal of Health-Eystem Pharmacy, 2004. 61(19): p. 2043-2049. McConnel, F., <i>Compliance, culture, and the health of Indigenous people</i>. Rural and Remote Health, 2003. 3(1). Hamrosi, K., S.J. Taylor, and P. Aslani, <i>Issues with prescribed medications in Aboriginal communities: Noriginal health workers' perspectives</i>. Rural Remote Health, 2006. 6(2): p. 557. Ho, P.M., C.L. Bryson, and J.S. Rumsfeld, <i>Medication adherence its importance in cardiovascular succomes</i>. Circulation. Cardiovascular Quality & Outcomes, 2009. 119(23): p. 3028-3035. Ho, P.M., et al., <i>Impact of medication therapy discontinuation on mortality after myocardial infarction</i>. Archives of Internal Medicine, 2006. 166(17): p. 1842-1847. Carroll, M., S.A. Kinner, and E.B. Heffernan, <i>Medication use and knowledge in a sample of Indigenous ind non-Indigenous prisoners</i>. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2014. 38(2): p. 42-146. Vielsen-Bohlman, L., A.M. Panzer, and D.A. Kindig, <i>Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion</i>. 2004, Washington DC: The National Academies Press.
Mukattash, T.L., et al., <i>Public knowledge and awareness of cardiovascular disease and its risk factors: a</i> <i>tross-sectional study of 1000 Jordanians</i> . International Journal of Pharmacy Practice, 2012. 20 (6): p. 867-376. Nartak, S.A., et al., <i>Patients' knowledge of risk and protective factors for cardiovascular disease</i> . The American Journal of Cardiology, 2011. 107 (10): p. 1480-1488. Hope, C.J., et al., <i>Association of medication adherence, knowledge, and skills with emergency</i> <i>department visits by adults 50 years or older with congestive heart failure</i> . American Journal of Health- tystem Pharmacy, 2004. 61 (19): p. 2043-2049. McConnel, F., <i>Compliance, culture, and the health of Indigenous people</i> . Rural and Remote Health, 2003. 3 (1). Hamrosi, K., S.J. Taylor, and P. Aslani, <i>Issues with prescribed medications in Aboriginal communities:</i> Aboriginal health workers' perspectives. Rural Remote Health, 2006. 6 (2): p. 557. Ho, P.M., C.L. Bryson, and J.S. Rumsfeld, <i>Medication adherence its importance in cardiovascular</i> <i>butcomes</i> . Circulation. Cardiovascular Quality & Outcomes, 2009. 119 (23): p. 3028-3035. Ho, P.M., et al., <i>Impact of medication therapy discontinuation on mortality after myocardial infarction</i> . Archives of Internal Medicine, 2006. 166 (17): p. 1842-1847. Carroll, M., S.A. Kinner, and E.B. Heffernan, <i>Medication use and knowledge in a sample of Indigenous</i> <i>and non-Indigenous prisoners</i> . Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2014. 38 (2): p. 142-146. Nielsen-Bohlman, L., A.M. Panzer, and D.A. Kindig, <i>Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion</i> .
 Nartak, S.A., et al., <i>Patients' knowledge of risk and protective factors for cardiovascular disease</i>. The American Journal of Cardiology, 2011. 107(10): p. 1480-1488. Hope, C.J., et al., <i>Association of medication adherence, knowledge, and skills with emergency</i> <i>Hepatrment visits by adults 50 years or older with congestive heart failure</i>. American Journal of Health- Kystem Pharmacy, 2004. 61(19): p. 2043-2049. McConnel, F., <i>Compliance, culture, and the health of Indigenous people</i>. Rural and Remote Health, 2003. 3(1). Hamrosi, K., S.J. Taylor, and P. Aslani, <i>Issues with prescribed medications in Aboriginal communities</i>: Aboriginal health workers' perspectives. Rural Remote Health, 2006. 6(2): p. 557. Ho, P.M., C.L. Bryson, and J.S. Rumsfeld, <i>Medication adherence its importance in cardiovascular putcomes</i>. Circulation. Cardiovascular Quality & Outcomes, 2009. 119(23): p. 3028-3035. Ho, P.M., et al., <i>Impact of medication therapy discontinuation on mortality after myocardial infarction</i>. Archives of Internal Medicine, 2006. 166(17): p. 1842-1847. Carroll, M., S.A. Kinner, and E.B. Heffernan, <i>Medication use and knowledge in a sample of Indigenous and non-Indigenous prisoners</i>. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2014. 38(2): p. 442-146. Nielsen-Bohlman, L., A.M. Panzer, and D.A. Kindig, <i>Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion</i>.
 Hope, C.J., et al., Association of medication adherence, knowledge, and skills with emergency department visits by adults 50 years or older with congestive heart failure. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy, 2004. 61(19): p. 2043-2049. McConnel, F., Compliance, culture, and the health of Indigenous people. Rural and Remote Health, 2003. 3(1). Hamrosi, K., S.J. Taylor, and P. Aslani, Issues with prescribed medications in Aboriginal communities: Aboriginal health workers' perspectives. Rural Remote Health, 2006. 6(2): p. 557. Ho, P.M., C.L. Bryson, and J.S. Rumsfeld, Medication adherence its importance in cardiovascular butcomes. Circulation. Cardiovascular Quality & Outcomes, 2009. 119(23): p. 3028-3035. Ho, P.M., et al., Impact of medication therapy discontinuation on mortality after myocardial infarction. Carchives of Internal Medicine, 2006. 166(17): p. 1842-1847. Carroll, M., S.A. Kinner, and E.B. Heffernan, Medication use and knowledge in a sample of Indigenous and non-Indigenous prisoners. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2014. 38(2): p. 142-146. Nielsen-Bohlman, L., A.M. Panzer, and D.A. Kindig, Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion.
 McConnel, F., <i>Compliance, culture, and the health of Indigenous people</i>. Rural and Remote Health, 2003. 3(1). Hamrosi, K., S.J. Taylor, and P. Aslani, <i>Issues with prescribed medications in Aboriginal communities:</i> Aboriginal health workers' perspectives. Rural Remote Health, 2006. 6(2): p. 557. Ho, P.M., C.L. Bryson, and J.S. Rumsfeld, <i>Medication adherence its importance in cardiovascular putcomes</i>. Circulation. Cardiovascular Quality & Outcomes, 2009. 119(23): p. 3028-3035. Ho, P.M., et al., <i>Impact of medication therapy discontinuation on mortality after myocardial infarction</i>. Archives of Internal Medicine, 2006. 166(17): p. 1842-1847. Carroll, M., S.A. Kinner, and E.B. Heffernan, <i>Medication use and knowledge in a sample of Indigenous and non-Indigenous prisoners</i>. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2014. 38(2): p. 142-146. Nielsen-Bohlman, L., A.M. Panzer, and D.A. Kindig, <i>Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion</i>.
 Hamrosi, K., S.J. Taylor, and P. Aslani, <i>Issues with prescribed medications in Aboriginal communities:</i> Aboriginal health workers' perspectives. Rural Remote Health, 2006. 6(2): p. 557. Ho, P.M., C.L. Bryson, and J.S. Rumsfeld, <i>Medication adherence its importance in cardiovascular butcomes.</i> Circulation. Cardiovascular Quality & Outcomes, 2009. 119(23): p. 3028-3035. Ho, P.M., et al., <i>Impact of medication therapy discontinuation on mortality after myocardial infarction.</i> Archives of Internal Medicine, 2006. 166(17): p. 1842-1847. Carroll, M., S.A. Kinner, and E.B. Heffernan, <i>Medication use and knowledge in a sample of Indigenous and non-Indigenous prisoners.</i> Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2014. 38(2): p. 142-146. Nielsen-Bohlman, L., A.M. Panzer, and D.A. Kindig, <i>Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion.</i>
butcomes. Circulation. Cardiovascular Quality & Outcomes, 2009. 119 (23): p. 3028-3035. Ho, P.M., et al., <i>Impact of medication therapy discontinuation on mortality after myocardial infarction</i> . Archives of Internal Medicine, 2006. 166 (17): p. 1842-1847. Carroll, M., S.A. Kinner, and E.B. Heffernan, <i>Medication use and knowledge in a sample of Indigenous</i> and non-Indigenous prisoners. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2014. 38 (2): p. 442-146. Nielsen-Bohlman, L., A.M. Panzer, and D.A. Kindig, <i>Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion</i> .
Archives of Internal Medicine, 2006. 166 (17): p. 1842-1847. Carroll, M., S.A. Kinner, and E.B. Heffernan, <i>Medication use and knowledge in a sample of Indigenous</i> and non-Indigenous prisoners. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2014. 38 (2): p. 142-146. Nielsen-Bohlman, L., A.M. Panzer, and D.A. Kindig, <i>Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion</i> .
and non-Indigenous prisoners. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2014. 38 (2): p. 42-146. Nielsen-Bohlman, L., A.M. Panzer, and D.A. Kindig, <i>Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion</i> .
Nielsen-Bohlman, L., A.M. Panzer, and D.A. Kindig, Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion.
Berkman, N.D., et al., <i>Low health literacy and health outcomes: an updated systematic review.</i> Annals of Internal Medicine, 2011. 155 (2): p. 97-107.
Berkman, N.D., et al., <i>Literacy and Health Outcomes. Evidence Report/ Technology Assessment.</i> 2004, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: Washington D.C.
Rootman, I. and D. Gordon-El-Bihbety, A Vision for a Health Literate Canada: Report on the Expert Panel on Health Literacy. 2008, Canadian Public Health Association.
Gazmararian, J.A., et al., <i>Health literacy and knowledge of chronic disease</i> . Patient Education & Counseling, 2003. 51 (3): p. 267-75.
Nilliams, M.V., et al., <i>Relationship of functional health literacy to patients' knowledge of their chronic lisease. A study of patients with hypertension and diabetes.</i> Archives of Internal Medicine, 1998. 158 (2): p. 166-72.
Nutbeam, D., <i>The evolving concept of health literacy</i> . Social Science & Medicine, 2008. 67 (12): p. 2072-2078.
Gafeer, R.S., C.E. Cooke, and J. Keenan, <i>The impact of health literacy on cardiovascular disease.</i> /ascular Health & Risk Management, 2006. 2 (4): p. 457-64.
Anistry of Health, Korero Marama: Health Literacy and Maori. 2010, Ministry of Health: Wellington.
Crengle, S., et al., Cardiovascular disease medication health literacy among Indigenous peoples: design and protocol of an intervention trial in Indigenous primary care services. BMC Public Health, 2014. 14 : 0. 714.
National Health and Medical Research Council, <i>The NHMRC Road Map II: A strategic framework for mproving the health of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people through research</i> . 2010, National Health and Medical Research Council: Canberra.
The Pūtaiora Writing Group, Te Ara Tika Guidelines for Māori research ethics: A framework for researchers and ethics committee members. 2010, Health Research Council of New Zealand: Auckland.
Canadian Institutes of Health Research, CIHR Guidelines for Health Research Involving Aboriginal People. 2007.
Ngāti Porou Hauora Board, <i>Research and Evaluation Policy</i> . 2012, Ngāti Porou Hauora: Te Puia Springs, Gisborne.
Norld Health Organisation, The Ottawa Charter. 1986.
Frosch, D.L. and G. Elwyn, <i>Don't blame patients, engage them: transforming health systems to address nealth literacy.</i> Journal of Health Communication, 2014. 19(sup2) : p. 10-14.

Page	19	of	19
------	----	----	----

9 of 19		BMJ Open
	42.	Kripalani, S., et al., <i>Development and evaluation of a medication counseling workshop for physicians: can we improve on 'take two pills and call me in the morning'</i> ? Medical Education Online, 2011. 16 (7133).
	43.	Brega, A.G., et al., <i>Impact of targeted health promotion on cardiovascular knowledge among American</i> <i>Indians and Alaska Natives</i> . Health Education Research, 2013. 28 (3): p. 437-49.
	44.	Swavely, D., et al., <i>Implementation and evaluation of a low health literacy and culturally sensitive diabetes education program.</i> Journal for Healthcare Quality, 2014. 36 (6): p. 16-23.
	45.	Mullen, P.D., D.A. Mains, and R. Velez, <i>A meta-analysis of controlled trials of cardiac patient education.</i> Patient Education and Counseling, 1992. 19 (2): p. 143-162.
	46.	Zullig, L.L., et al., A health literacy pilot intervention to improve medication adherence using Meducation technology. Patient Education & Counseling, 2014. 95 (2): p. 288-91.
	47.	Blake, S.C., et al., <i>A qualitative evaluation of a health literacy intervention to improve medication adherence for underserved pharmacy patients.</i> Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved, 2010. 21 (2): p. 559-567.
	48.	Okuyan, B., M. Sancar, and F.V. Izzettin, <i>Assessment of medication knowledge and adherence among patients under oral chronic medication treatment in community pharmacy settings.</i> Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety, 2013. 22 (2): p. 209-214.
	49.	Chung, M.K. and J.M. Bartfield, <i>Knowledge of prescription medications among elderly emergency department patients</i> . Annals of Emergency Medicine, 2002. 39 (6): p. 605-608.
	50.	Brewer, K.M., et al., <i>The use of interpretive description within Kaupapa Maori research</i> . Qual Health Res, 2014. 24 (9): p. 1287-97.
	51.	Selak, V., et al., <i>Recruiting equal numbers of indigenous and non-indigenous participants to a 'polypill' randomized trial</i> . International Journal for Equity in Health, 2013. 12 : p. 44.
	52.	Jones, R., S. Crengle, and T. McCreanor, <i>How tikanga guides and protects the research process:</i> Insights from the Hauora Tane project. Social Policy Journal of New Zealand, 2006. 29 : p. 60-77.
	53.	Zhang, N.J., A. Terry, and C.A. McHorney, <i>Impact of health literacy on medication adherence: a systematic review and meta-analysis.</i> Annals of Pharmacotherapy, 2014. 48 (6): p. 741-51.
	54.	Brown, M.T. and J.K. Bussell, <i>Medication adherence: WHO cares?</i> Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 2011. 86 (4): p. 304-14.
	55.	Loke, Y.K., et al., <i>Systematic review of consistency between adherence to cardiovascular or diabetes medication and health literacy in older adults.</i> Annals of Pharmacotherapy, 2012. 46 (6): p. 863-72.
	56.	Ostini, R. and T. Kairuz, <i>Investigating the association between health literacy and non-adherence.</i> International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy, 2014. 36 (1): p. 36-44.
		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

Effect of a health literacy intervention (pre – post, multiple time points) on knowledge about cardiovascular disease medications among Indigenous peoples in Australia, Canada, and New Zealand

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-018569.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-Oct-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Crengle, Sue; University of Otago, Preventive and Social Medicine Luke, Joanne N.; University of Melbourne School of Population and Global Health Lambert, Michelle; University of Otago, Preventive and Social Medicine Smylie, Janet; Saint Michael's Hospital, Centre for Research on Inner City Health; University of Toronto, Dalla Lana School of Public Health Reid, Susan; Health Literacy NZ Harre-Hindmarsh, Jennie; Ngati Porou Hauora Kelaher, Margaret; The University of Melbourne, Centre for Health Policy
Primary Subject Heading :	Health services research
Secondary Subject Heading:	General practice / Family practice
Keywords:	Indigenous, health literacy, cardiovascular disease, cardiovascular disease medication, PRIMARY CARE, intervention trial
	medication, PRIMARY CARE, intervention trial

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

Page 1 of 19

BMJ Open

1 2	Effect of a health literacy intervention (pre – post, multiple time points) on knowledge about cardiovascular disease medications among Indigenous peoples in Australia, Canada, and New Zealand
3	
4	Authors: Crengle S, Luke J, Lambert M, Smylie J, Reid S, Harré Hindmarsh J, and Kelaher M
5	
6	
7	Corresponding author:
8	Associate Professor Sue Crengle
9	Department of Preventive & Social Medicine
10	Dunedin School of Medicine
11	
12	University of Otago
13	PO Box 56
14	Dunedin 9054
15	New Zealand
16	Phone: (64) (3) 479 4258
17	Email: sue.crengle@otago.ac.nz
18	
19	Other authors:
20	Ms Joanne Luke
21	Melbourne School of Population and Global Health
22	University of Melbourne
23	Melbourne
24	Australia
25	
26	Ms Michelle Lambert
27	Department of Preventive & Social Medicine
28	University of Otago
29	Dunedin
30 31	New Zealand
32	
33	Associate Professor Janet Smylie
34	Centre for Urban Health Solutions
35	Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's Hospital and
36	Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto
37	Toronto
38	
39	Canada Ms Susan Reid Health Literacy Limited NZ
40	Ms Susan Reid
41	Ms Susan Keld
42	
43	Auckland
44	New Zealand
45	
46	Dr Jennie Harré Hindmarsh
47	Ngati Porou Hauora Charitable Trust
48	Te Puia Springs and Gisborne
49	New Zealand
50	
51	Professor Margaret Kelaher
52 53	Melbourne School of Population and Global Health
54	University of Melbourne
55	Melbourne
56	Australia
57	
58	
59	
60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Word Count (excluding title page, abstract, references, figures and tables) 3460

Key words Indigenous, health literacy, cardiovascular disease, medication, primary care, intervention

tor peer teriew only

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES: To assess the effect of a customised, structured cardiovascular disease medication health literacy programme on medication knowledge among Indigenous people with, or at high risk, of cardiovascular disease.

Design: Intervention trial with pre and post measures at multiple time points. Trial ID ACTRN12612001309875

SETTING: Indigenous primary care services in Australia, Canada and New Zealand. **PARTICIPANTS:** 171 Indigenous people aged \geq 20 years of age who had at least one clinical diagnosis of a CVD event OR, in Canada and Australia, had a 5-year CVD risk \geq 15%; and were prescribed at least two of the following CVD medication classes: statin, aspirin, ACE inhibitors, beta blockers.

INTERVENTION: An education session delivered on three occasions over one month by registered nurses or health educators who had received training in health literacy and principles of adult education. An interactive tablet application was used during each session and an information booklet and pillcard provided to participants.

PRIMARY OUTCOME MEASURES: Knowledge about the CVD medications assessed before and after each session.

RESULTS: Knowledge at baseline (pre-session 1) was low with the mean percent correct answers highest for statins (34.0% correct answers), 29.4% for aspirin, 26.0% for beta blockers and 22.7% for ACE inhibitors. Adjusted analyses showed highly significant (p<0.001) increases in knowledge scores between pre and post assessments at all three time points for all medication classes. For the four medications, the absolute increases in adjusted percent correct items from pre-session one to post-session three assessments were statins 60.1%, aspirin 76.8%, ACE inhibitor 71.4%, and beta blocker 69.5%.

CONCLUSIONS: The intervention was highly effective in contextually diverse Indigenous primary health care services in Australia, Canada and New Zealand. The findings from this study have important implications for health services working with populations with low health literacy more generally.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- Well designed, cross-country multi-site pre-post intervention trial
- cross-country multi-site intervention trials with Indigenous communities that successfully incorporates Indigenous research principles, processes and practices are rare.
- High retention rates.

- Measures health literacy in terms of medication knowledge only.
- A control group has not been used because of sample size considerations and due to the risk of contamination in small communities.
- Does not assess effect of the intervention on clinical outcomes/medication adherence.

to oeer terien only

BMJ Open

INTRODUCTION

Although Māori (New Zealand), Aboriginal (Australia) and First Nations (Canada) peoples are distinct Indigenous populations, their shared history of colonisation, historically and in its contemporary expressions, has resulted in similar patterns of inequity in health and social outcomes, relative to the non-Indigenous populations in each country. [1, 2] In recent decades, cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality and morbidity inequities experienced by Indigenous populations have received increasing attention.[3-5] The prevalence of CVD risk factors and mortality and hospitalisation rates have been well documented for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander populations in Australia,[6] First Nations, Inuit and Metis populations in Canada.^[7] and Māori populations in New Zealand (NZ).^[8, 9] Prevention and management of CVD for Indigenous populations is of central importance given the described burden of CVD and inequities experienced by these populations. Evidence-based guidelines for primary and secondary prevention of CVD are widely available and emphasise 'lifestyle' and medications management. [10-12] However, CVDs are long term conditions and self-management by patients and their families is essential for good outcomes.[13, 14] Capacity to effectively self-manage long term conditions is influenced by an array of factors including, in the case of CVD, knowledge about risk factors and medications.[15] Available literature describing patient CVD knowledge primarily focuses on risk factors and risk assessment, with a lack of equivalent emphasis on medication knowledge.[16-21] Further investigation with regard to knowledge about medications is needed, as inadequate medication knowledge is associated with intermittent and non-adherence to medications.[22] Intermittent and non-adherence has been reported for Indigenous populations [23, 24] and is associated with poorer health outcomes including increased hospitalisations, morbidity, and mortality, and inadequate control of risk factors for disease. [25, 26] Inadequate knowledge about a broader group of medications has been found among an Indigenous prison population, however at present limited data exists to describe knowledge for CVD medications specifically.[27]

Health literacy is defined as the 'the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process, and understand basic health information and services needed to make appropriate health decisions.[28] Health literacy is integral to patient knowledge and self-management. Low levels of health literacy are associated with a range of adverse health outcomes.[28-34] More recently it has been recognised that the health system, healthcare organisations and health professionals are critical to reducing health literacy demands and developing the heath literacy of patients.[35]

In NZ a higher proportion of the Māori population has low levels of health literacy than the non-Māori population.[36] While rigorous population-based data for Indigenous populations in Australia and Canada are lacking, the needs of these populations are likely to be similar to those in NZ, given the

similar inequities in health and education observed between Indigenous and non-Indigenous people in all these countries.

A customised, structured CVD medication health literacy intervention was developed during a development phase that included in-depth interviews with community members who were taking CVD prevention medications. Interview participants described their knowledge about their medications, what they would like to know about these medications, and how they would like to be provided with this information. Participant's responses in relation to these topics were similar in all three countries. While content was the same across all three countries, all resources were customised for use with the three different Indigenous groups. This included graphics, images, and Indigenous words and phrases used throughout the resources.

The objective of this study was to assess the effect of a customised, structured cardiovascular disease medication health literacy programme on medication knowledge among Indigenous people with, or at high risk, of cardiovascular disease.

METHODS

A detailed trial protocol has been published elsewhere [37]. In brief, the trial used a multi-site prepost design with multiple measurement points. Ethics approvals were obtained from the Health and Disabilities Ethics Committees in NZ (MEC/10/061/EXP), the Human Research Ethics Committee at the University of Melbourne, Australia (HREC 1238349.1) and the Saint Michael's Hospital Research Ethics Board, Toronto, Canada (REB #: 10-324). The study was registered with the Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trials Register on 18 December 2012 (ACTRN12612001309875). Community engagement and research processes were consistent with guidelines for research with Indigenous communities.[38-41]

The intervention was implemented in Indigenous primary health care services in Australia (one urban service), Canada (one service with two urban sites), and NZ (one urban and one rural service). Primary outcomes were patient's knowledge about CVD medications (statins, beta blockers ACE inhibitors and aspirin). Secondary outcomes examined changes in health literacy skills and practices. This paper reports the results of a combined (three country) analysis of the primary outcomes (medication knowledge).

In NZ and Canada potential participants were identified from the health services' medical records. In Australia eligible participants were referred by their general practitioner, Aboriginal health worker, or pharmacist. Eligibility criteria were that participants were Indigenous people aged ≥ 20 years of age; Page 7 of 19

BMJ Open

had at least one clinical diagnosis of a CVD event (angina, myocardial infarction, ischaemic stroke or transient ischemic attack) OR, for Canada and Australia, had a 5 year CVD risk \geq 15%; were prescribed at least two of the following CVD medication classes: statin, aspirin, ACE inhibitors, beta blockers; and could provide informed consent to participate.

The intervention consisted of an education session delivered by registered nurses or health educators who had received training in health literacy and adult education principles to support the development of health literacy knowledge and skills. An interactive tablet application was used during each session. The application also produced a customised pill card for each participant. At the first session a booklet containing information about CVD, medication use, the four CVD medication classes, and treatment targets for lipid and blood pressure was given to all participants. Information in the tablet and booklet was standardised across all three countries; however, background graphic design features, images and Indigenous language words and phrases were country specific. The use of the application ensured that the nurse/educator covered all the CVD medication information in a structured way and, in the context of a trial, standardised the provision of information across all five sites. The education session was delivered three times over four weeks. (Table 1) The programme was customised for each participant so they only received information about the medication classes they were taking.

Data collection

Table 1 summarises data collection at each time point.

Activity	Time point	Measurement
Enrolment visit	ТО	Consent and enrolment in study. In Canada baseline demographic and clinical information was also collected at this visit.
Session one	T1 - Pre-session one	Baseline demographic and clinical information (NZ, Australia) Medication knowledge and health literacy practices
	T2 - Post-session one	Medication knowledge and health literacy practices
Session two.	T3 - Pre-session two	Medication knowledge and health literacy practices
Seven days after session one.	T4 - Post-session two	Medication knowledge and health literacy practices
Session three.	T5 - Pre-session three	Medication knowledge and health literacy practices
28 days after session one.	T6 - Post-session three	Medication knowledge and health literacy practices

Table 1 Summary of trial contacts and data collection

Baseline data was collected from participants and from the health service's medical records.

Outcome measures for statins, ACE inhibitors, aspirin and beta blockers assessed knowledge of the scientific and brand names of the medications, what the medication does, how to take it, important side effects, and lipid and blood pressure treatment targets. The number of items in the outcome questionnaire varied for each medication class. There were 9 items for statins, 11 for beta blockers, 12 for ACE inhibitors and 13 for aspirin (Table 2).

Table 2 Items in outcome measures

	ACE inhibitors	Beta Blockers	Statin	Aspirin
Name of medication (scientific or brand)	Eg of Scientific name Perindopril Eg of Brand name <i>Conversyl</i>	Eg of Scientific name Atenolol Eg of Brand name <i>Noten</i>	Eg of Scientific name Atorvastatin Eg of Brand name <i>Lipitor</i>	Eg of Scientific name Aspirin Eg of Brand name <i>Cardia</i>
Pronounced correctly	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No
Name of medication (class)	ACE inhibitor	Beta Blocker	Statin	Aspirin
Pronounced correctly	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No
Function/s	Lowers blood pressure	Lowers blood pressure	Lowers cholesterol	Stops you having blood clots
	Protects heart and kidneys	Protects heart		Take with food or after eating
Instruction/s	Start on low dose and increase	Take at the same time every day	Take with evening meal	Take indigestion medication 2hrs after taking Aspirin
	Blood tests every 6 months	Do not suddenly stop taking	Avoid grapefruit juice	
	Avoid food with too much Potassium	\sim		
Serious side effects	Tongue, lips, or face swell up	Dizzy or faint	Muscle pain, tenderness or weakness	Tongue, lips, or face swell up
	Dizzy or faint	Breathing problems or asthma		Dizzy or faint
				Itchy Rash
				Bad stomach pain
				Black or bloody poos
			2/	Vomiting brown liquid
Treatment targets	If no kidney disease SBP<130 and DBP <80mmHg If kidney disease SBP<125 and DBP <75mmHg	If no kidney disease SBP<130 and DBP <80mmHg If kidney disease SBP<125 and DBP <75mmHg	LDL < 3.4mmol/L	

Patient knowledge was assessed by first inviting the patient to tell the nurse/health educator about that medicine. When the participant had volunteered as much information as they could, the nurse/educator would then provide a prompt about information the participant had not mentioned e.g. 'can you tell me about the serious side effects of...'.

Participants were recruited between 18/2/2013 and 29/11/2013.

BMJ Open

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are reported using means and standard deviation. Categorical data are expressed as percentages and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI). All categorical data analyses have been calculated using a binomial distribution. Histograms were used to determine whether continuous data were normally distributed. Medication knowledge scores were calculated as the percentage of questions answered correctly in each assessment. In descriptive analyses estimates were determined to vary significantly from each other if the 95%CI did not overlap.

Generalised estimating equations were used to investigate change in the proportion of questions answered correctly across the pre and post assessments for each session. The analysis was based on a linear scale response. It controlled for site and diabetes comorbidity. All analyses were performed using SPSS, version 22 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill, USA).

RESULTS

In total 171 participants were recruited and completed session one. Session two was completed by 166 participants (97.1%) and 160 participants (93.6%) completed session three. Of the 11 participants who did not complete the intervention, one patient did not complete as they were admitted to an aged care residential facility; the remaining ten participants were lost to follow up.

Table 3 provides site specific and aggregated baseline data. Baseline characteristics did not vary by site with regards to age, sex, time with CVD, prevalence of gout, study medications at baseline, number of medication classes taken at baseline, medication allergy/side effects, blood pressure or lipids. There were significant site differences with regards to type of CVD, number of CVD diagnoses, the prevalence of diabetes, congestive heart failure (CHF) and COPD, as well as the number of co-morbidities (Table 3). Participants at the NZ rural site were older than other sites. Myocardial infarction was more common in the NZ urban site. Prevalence of stroke was significantly higher in the NZ rural site than Canada site B and Canada site A. All NZ participants had at least one CVD diagnosis while participants with high risk only were included in the other sites. Diabetes was a common comorbidity at all sites; however, the prevalence was significantly lower at one NZ site than the other sites. The prevalence of COPD was significantly higher at the two NZ sites than in the Australian site. The prevalence of COPD was significantly lower in the NZ rural site than in the four other sites. The proportion of participants who did not have a co-morbidity was significantly higher at the NZ rural site than Australia and Canada site B; while the proportion who had two co-morbidities was significantly lower at the NZ rural site than Australia and Canada site B; while the proportion who had two co-morbidities was significantly lower at the NZ rural site than Australia and Canada site B; while the proportion who had two co-morbidities was significantly lower at the NZ rural site than Australia and Canada site B; while the proportion who had two co-morbidities was significantly lower at the NZ rural site than at the Australian site.

Table 3 Baseline characteristics of participants by site and total

	Australia	NZ rural	NZ urban	Canada A	Canada B	Total
Number of participants						
Session one, (n, [%])	29 [100.0]	55 [100]	40 [100]	26 [100]	21 [100]	171 [100]
Session two (n, [%])	24 [82.8]	55[100]	40 [100]	26 [100]	21 [100]	166 [97.1]]
Session three (n, [%])	23 [79.3]	54 [98.2]	36 [90.0]	26 [100]	21 [100]	160 [93.6]
Age, years mean [SD]	59 [11]	68 [11]	61 [9]	59 [10]	58 [7]	62 [11]
Male sex n [% male, 95%CI]	18 [62.1, 44.4, 79.7]	21 [38.2, 25.3, 51.0]	17 [42.5, 27.2-57.8]	11 [42.3, 23.3-61.3]	11 [52.4, 31.0-73.7]	78 [45.6, 38.1-53.1]
CVD diagnoses; n [%, 95% CI]		6				
Angina	11 [37.9, 20.3-55.6]	30 [54.5, 41.4-67.7]	27 [67.5, 53.0-82.0]	10[38.5, 19.8-57.2]	10[47.6, 26.3-69.0]	88 [51.5 , 44.0-59.0
MI	14 [48.3, 30.1-66.5]	17 [30.9, 18.7-43.1]	33 [82.5, 70.7-94.3]	8 [30.8,13.0-48.5]	7 [33.3, 13.2-53.5]	79 [46.2, 38.7-53.7
Stroke	6 [20.7, 5.9-35.4]	17 [30.9, 18.7-43.1]	7 [17.5, 5.7-29.3]	1 [3.8, 0.0-11.2]	1 [4.8, 0.0-13.9]	32 [18.7, 12.9-24.6
TIA	2 [6.9, 0.0-16.1]	6 [10.9, 2.7-19.1]	4 [10.0, 0.7-19.3]	4 [15.4, 1.5-29.3]	4 [19.0, 2.3-35.8]	20 [11.7, 6.9-16.5]
CVD risk or number of CVD			6			
diagnosis; n [%, 95% CI]						
High CVD risk only	8 [27.6, 11.3-43.9]	0	0	8 [30.8, 13.0-48.5]	6 [28.6, 9.2-47.9]	22 [12.9, 7.8-17.9]
One	13 [44.8, 26.7-62.9]	40 [72.7, 61.0-84.5]	14 [35.0, 20.2-49.8]	14 [53.8,34.7-73.0]	9 [42.9, 21.7-64.0]	90 [52.6, 45.1-60.1]
Two	5 [17.2, 3.5-31.0]	15 [27.3, 15.5-39.0]	22 [55.0, 39.6-70.4]	3 [11.5, 0.0-23.8]	5 [23.8, 5.6-42.0]	50 [29.2, 22.4-36.1]
Three or more	3 [10.3, 0.0-21.4]	0	4 [7.5, 0.0-15.7]	1 [3.8, 0.0-11.2]	1 [4.8, 0.0-13.9]	7 [4.1, 1.1-7.1]
Time with CVD, years Mean						
[95%CI]	7.2 [4.4-9.9]	7.5 [5.6-9.4]	7.7 [2.6-12.8]	10.4 [7.3-13.5]	7.9[5.3-10.6]	7.9 [6.6-9.2]
Co-morbidity; n [%, 95% CI]						
Diabetes	18 [62.1, 44.4-79.7]	13 [23.6, 12.4-34.9]	22 [55.0, 39.6-70.4]	18 [69.2, 51.5-87.0]	18 [85.7, 70.7-100]	89 [52.0, 44.6-59.5]
CHF	0 [0]	11 [20, 9.4-30.6]	8 [20.0, 7.6-32.4]	1[3.8, 0.0-11.2]	2 [9.5, 0.0-22.1]	22 [12.9, 7.8-17.9]
COPD	14 [48.3, 30.1, 66.5]	5 [9.1, 1.5-16.7]	16 [40.0, 24.8-55.2]	14 [53.8, 34.7-73.0]	8 [38.1, 17.2-58.9]	57 [33.3, 26.3-40.4]
Gout	6 [20.7, 5.9, 35.4]	14 [25.5, 13.9-37.0]	14 [35.0, 20.2-49.8]	2 [7.7, 0.0, 17.9]	4 [19.0, 2.3-35.8]	40 [23.4, 17.0-29.7]
Peptic Ulcer	4 [13.8, 1.2, 26.3]	0 [0]	3 [7.5, 0.0-15.7]	4 [15.4, 1.5-29.3]	3 [14.3, 0.0-29.3]	14 [8.2, 4.1-12.3]
Number of co-morbidities;						
n [%, 95% CI]						
None	3 [10.3, 0.0-21.4]	25 [45.5, 32.3-58.6]	8 [20.0, 7.6-32.4]	5 [19.2, 4.1-34.4]	2 [11.1, 0.0-25.6]	43 [25.6, 18.6-31.6]
One	11 [37.9, 20.3, 55.6]	20 [36.4, 23.7-49.1]	10 [25.0, 11.6-38.4]	8 [30.8, 13.0-48.5]	7 [38.9, 16.4-61.4]	56 [33.3, 26.2-40.5]
Two	14 [48.3, 30.1-66.5]	7 [12.7, 3.9-21.5]	13 [32.5, 18.0-47.0]	10 [38.5, 19.8-57.2]	6 [33.3, 11.6-55.1]	50 [29.8, 22.8-36.7]
Three	1 [3.4, 0.0-10.1]	3 [5.5, 0.0- 11.5]	9 [22.5, 9.6-35.4]	1 [3.8, 0.0- 11.2]	2 [11.1, 0.0-25.6]	16 [9.5, 5.1-14.0]
Four	0 [0]	0[0]	0 [0]	2 [7.7, 0.0- 17.9]	1 [5.6, 0.0-16.1]	3 [1.8, 0.0-3.8]
CVD medications at baseline;						
n [%, 95% CI]						
Statin	29 [100]	51 [92.7, 85.9-99.6]	37 [92.5, 84.3-100]	24 [92.3, 82.1-100]	19 [90.5, 77.9-100]	160 [93.6, 89.9-97.2
ACE inhibitor	19 [65.5, 48.2-82.8]	31 [56.4, 43.3-69.5]	27 [67.5, 53.0-82.0]	17 [65.4, 47.1-83.7]	12 [57,1,36.0-78.3]	106 [62.0, 54.7-69.3
BB	15 [51.7, 33.5-69.9]	40 [72.7, 61.0-84.5]	28 [70.0, 55.8-84.2]	12 [46.2, 27.0-65.3]	9 [42.9, 21.7-64.0]	104 [60.8, 53.5-68.1

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

45 46 47 BMJ Open

1							
2							
3							
4							
5	Aspirin	23 [79.3, 64.6-94.1]	46 [83.6 73.9-93.4]	36 [90.0, 80.7-99.3]	20 [76.9, 60.7-93.1]	15 [66.7, 46.5-86.8]	140 [81.9, 76.1-87.6]
6	Number of CVD medications						
7	classes; n [%, 95% CI]						
8	One	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]
9	Two	11 [37.9, 20.3-55.6]	15 [27.3, 15.5-39.0]	7 [17.5, 5.7-29.3]	10 [38.5, 19.8-57.2]	11 [52.4, 31.0-73.7]	54 [31.6, 24.6-38.5]
, 10	Three	8 [27.6, 11.3-43.9]	22 [40.0, 27.1-52.9]	18 [45.0, 29.6-60.4]	12 [46.2, 27.0-65.3]	7 [33.3, 13.2-53.5]	67 [39.2, 31.9-46.5]
	Four	10 [34.5, 17.2-51.8]	18 [32.7, 20.3-45.1]	15 [37.5, 22.5-52.5]	4 [15.4, 1.5-29.3]	3 [14.3, 0.0-29.3]	50 [29.2, 22.4-36.1]
11	Allergy / side effect; n [%, 95%						
12	CI						
13	Statin	0 [0]	1 [1.8, 0.0-5.3]	1 [2.5, 0.0-7.3]	1 [3.8, 0.0-11.2]	2 [9.5, 0.0-22.1]	5 [2.9, 0.4-5.4]
14	ACE inhibitor	0[0]	2 [3.6, 0.0-8.6]	1 [2.5, 0.0-7.3]	0 [0]	1 [4.8, 0.0-13.9]	4 [2.3, 0.1-4.6]
15	BB	1, [3.4, 0.0-10.1]	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	1 [0.6, 0.0-1.7]
16	Aspirin	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	1 [3.8, 0.0-11.2]	2 [0.0-22.1]	3 [1.8, 0.0-3.7]
17	Systolic BP mmHg; mean,	130.2[124.3-136.0]	131.5[127.8-135.2]	134.7[128.8-140.6]	131.4 [125.4-137.4]	129.5 [123.1-136.0]	131.6 [129.3-133.8]
18	[95%CI]						
19	Systolic BP (range)	87-154	97-161	111-172	111-173	103-166	87-173
20							
20	Diastolic BP; mean, [95%CI]	82.0, [77.8-86.2]	79.0 [76.9-81.1]	81.7 [78.1-85.3]	77.0 [73.4-80.6]	74.2 [69.7-78.7]	79.0 [77.6-80.5]
	Diastolic BP (range)	65-112	57-99	60-103	63-98	52-87	52-112
22	Lipids mmol/L; mean, [95%CI]						
23	LDL	2.32, [2.01-2.63]	2.82 [2.58-3.05]	2.31 [2.04-2.58]	2.34 [1.86-2.81]	2.40 [1.96-2.84]	2.50 [2.36-2.64]
24	LDL (range)	1.05-3.55	1.10-5.05	0.75-3.90	0.73-4.68	0.50-4.23	0.50-5.05
25							
26	HDL	1.10, [1.01-1.20]	1.14 [1.07-1.20]	1.10 [1.00-1.20]	1.08 [0.96-1.20]	1.19 [1.05-1.33]	1.12 [1.08-1.16]
27	HDL (range)	0.60-1.65	0.80-1.85	0.78-1.94	0.50-1.66	1.97-1.19	0.50-1.97
28							
29							

Health literacy knowledge scores: Pre-session one knowledge of all four medications was low with mean percent correct highest for statins (34.0% correct answers), 29.4% for aspirin, 26.0% for beta blockers and 22.7% for ACE inhibitors. For all four medications, the knowledge scores increased significantly in the post-session one assessments. Knowledge scores fell slightly in the interval between the post-session one and pre-session two assessments and rose in the post-session two assessments. A similar pattern was observed in the assessments associated with session three. (Table 4)

	n	Pre-session knowledge Mean [95%CI]	Post-session knowledge Mean [95%CI]	% Difference [95%CI]
Statin				
Session 1	160	34.0[30.1-38.8]	90.6 [88.0-93.3]	56.7 [49.0-64.3]
Session 2	155	85.4 [81.9-88.8]	96.1 [94.1-98.1]	10.7 [5.8-15.5]
Session 3	151	92.3 [89.9-94.7]	98.2[97.2-99.3]	6.0 [2.2-9.7]
Aspirin		2		
Session 1	140	29.4 [27.4-31.4]	92.9 [90.8-95.1]	63.5 [55.5-71.5]
Session 2	134	87.1 [83.7-90.5]	96.3 [94.6-98.0]	9.2 [4.3-14.1]
Session 3	129	91.5 [89.0-94.1]	98.6 [97.6-99.7]	7.1 [2.6-11.6]
ACE inhibitor				
Session 1	106	22.7 [19.7-25.8]	87.0 [83.6-90.5]	64.3 [55.2-73.4]
Session 2	102	83.0 [78.8-87.3]	94.3 [91.9-96.6]	11.3 [5.1-17.4]
Session 3	95	90.2 [87.1-93.3]	96.5 [94.5-98.5]	6.3 [1.4-11.2]
Beta blocker			4	
Session 1	104	26.0 [21.9-30.2]	88.8 [85.7-92.0]	62.8 [53.5-72.1]
Session 2	101	85.8 [81.6-90.0]	96.1 [94.3-98.0]	10.4 [4.4-16.3]
Session 3	97	89.2 [86.0-92.5]	97.7 [96.2-99.1]	8.4 [2.9-14.0]

Table 4 Unadjusted mean percent_correct items in knowledge questionnaire, by medication

Adjusted analyses showed highly significant (p<0.001) increases in knowledge scores between presession and post-session assessments at all three time points for all medication classes. (Table 5) For the four medications, the absolute increases in items answered correctly from pre-session one to postsession three assessments were statins 60.1%, aspirin 76.8%, ACE inhibitor 71.4%, and beta blocker 69.5%. (Table 5)

Table 5 Multivariable analysis for CVD medications change in % items correct in knowledge questionnaire*

n	Pre-knowledge score	Post-knowledge score	B [95%CI]	p value
	Mean [95%CI]	Mean [95%CI]		

BMJ Open

160 155 151	37.4 [34.3-40.9] 84.0 [80.5-87.7] 91.2 [88.8-93.7]	87.8 [84.9-90.9] 94.9 [92.1-97.8]	3.50 [3.06-3.01] 1.14 [1.09-1.19]	<0.001 <0.001
155	84.0 [80.5-87.7]	94.9 [92.1-97.8]		
			1.14 [1.09-1.19]	< 0.001
151	91.2 [88.8-93.7]	07 5 [0(1 09 0]		1
		97.5 [96.1-98.9]	1.07 [1.04-1.10]	< 0.001
140	30.7 [28.9-32.6]	92.4 [89.9-94.9]	3.01 [2.83-3.20]	< 0.001
134	86.5 [83.1-90.0]	96.0 [93.9-98.1]	1.11[1.07-1.15]	< 0.001
129	91.3 [88.8,93.9]	98.5 [96.8-100]	1.08 [1.05-1.11]	< 0.001
106	24.5[21.7-27.7]	84.7 [80.6-89.0]	3.50 [3.06- 3.91]	< 0.001
102	81.6 [77.4-86.1]	93.2[90.3-96.2]	1.14 [1.09-1.19]	< 0.001
95	89.5 [86.6-92.4]	95.9 [94.2-97.8]	1.07 [1.04-1.10]	< 0.001
104	27.9 [24.3-32.0]	84.0 [79.5-88.9]	3.01 [2.60-3.49]	< 0.001
01	84.6 [80.0-89.4]	94.4 [91.4-97.5]	1.12 [1.07-1.16]	< 0.001
97	88.8 [85.7-92.1]	97.4 [95.4-99.5]	1.10 [1.06-1.13]	< 0.001
	34 29 06 02 95 04 01	34 86.5 [83.1-90.0] 29 91.3 [88.8,93.9] 06 24.5[21.7-27.7] 02 81.6 [77.4-86.1] 05 89.5 [86.6-92.4] 04 27.9 [24.3-32.0] 01 84.6 [80.0-89.4] 07 88.8 [85.7-92.1]	34 86.5 [83.1-90.0] 96.0 [93.9-98.1] 29 91.3 [88.8,93.9] 98.5 [96.8-100] 06 24.5[21.7-27.7] 84.7 [80.6-89.0] 02 81.6 [77.4-86.1] 93.2[90.3-96.2] 05 89.5 [86.6-92.4] 95.9 [94.2-97.8] 04 27.9 [24.3-32.0] 84.0 [79.5-88.9] 01 84.6 [80.0-89.4] 94.4 [91.4-97.5]	34 86.5 [83.1-90.0] 96.0 [93.9-98.1] 1.11[1.07-1.15] 29 91.3 [88.8,93.9] 98.5 [96.8-100] 1.08 [1.05-1.11] 06 24.5[21.7-27.7] 84.7 [80.6-89.0] 3.50 [3.06- 3.91] 02 81.6 [77.4-86.1] 93.2[90.3-96.2] 1.14 [1.09-1.19] 05 89.5 [86.6-92.4] 95.9 [94.2-97.8] 1.07 [1.04-1.10] 04 27.9 [24.3-32.0] 84.0 [79.5-88.9] 3.01 [2.60-3.49] 01 84.6 [80.0-89.4] 94.4 [91.4-97.5] 1.12 [1.07-1.16] 07 88.8 [85.7-92.1] 97.4 [95.4-99.5] 1.10 [1.06-1.13]

*Model included site and diabetes comorbidity

DISCUSSION

According to the Ottawa Charter, enabling people to have increased control over their health leads to improved health.[42] Health literacy was initially viewed as a patient factor that could be used as a risk factor or a marker for poor outcomes. In recent years discussions regarding health literacy have broadened to include the role that health systems, services and health professionals play in determining the level of health literacy required to successfully navigate health services, and supporting patients to build their health literacy skills and capabilities so they are better equipped to meet their health needs.[34, 43][44] The intervention used in this trial systematically incorporated several approaches to achieve this including health professional training and interactive resources (electronic tablet application, pill card and booklet). Furthermore, the session was repeated to reinforce and further develop participants' knowledge and skill acquisition. This intervention sought to build health literacy skills such as knowledge and the ability to both access and use health information; however, only data about the primary outcome (medication knowledge) are presented in this paper.

The findings in regards to medication knowledge were observed in all four medication classes. At baseline, knowledge of all four medication classes was low. The intervention resulted in significant increases in knowledge that were largest in the first session but were also observed in subsequent sessions, and were sustained between sessions, suggesting that participants were retaining and spontaneously recalling information. Our findings are consistent with previous research which has

demonstrated that there are clear benefits to culturally appropriate and community specific interventions. Culturally appropriate interventions have previously demonstrated an association with improved health knowledge about diabetes and CVD.[45, 46] Counselling that incorporates successful adult education techniques such as reinforcement and feedback, teachback, assessing and confirming patients understandings and patient tailored information all build health literacy.[44, 47] Research involving pill cards for health literacy has tended to focused on pill cards as a management tool for low health literate populations as opposed to assessing how they build health literacy skills and capabilities. These studies have demonstrated effectiveness in improving adherence amongst low health literacy populations when used as a stand alone tool [48] and when used in combination with counselling by a health professional trained in adult education techniques.[49]

Kripalani et al (2011) demonstrated that training increased physicians' confidence to counsel patients with low health literacy about medication use.[44] In this study we provided training to the Indigenous health practitioners who delivered the intervention.

Inadequate knowledge about medications is associated with intermittent or non-adherence to medications which, in turn, is associated with worse outcomes including poorer control of risk factors, increased hospitalisations, morbidity and mortality.[22, 25, 50] This study showed that baseline knowledge about cardiovascular medicines was low among Indigenous people in Australia, Canada and New Zealand. This low baseline knowledge is consistent with published information about health literacy levels in Indigenous populations.[36] However, this finding is unlikely to be unique to these populations as poor health literacy also is seen in significant proportions of the non-Indigenous populations.[36] The reported low baseline medication knowledge in this study is also congruent with studies for non-Indigenous populations where low medication knowledge has been reported.[50, 51]

This study has several strengths including very good retention rates across the intervention period. Intervention trials located within Indigenous communities are rare. Brega et al (2013) found that the 'Honouring the Gift of Heart Health' intervention increased knowledge about CVD, symptoms associated with MI and CVA and CVD risk factor control, in both high and low health literacy groups of American Indian and Alaska Native peoples.[45] The current study and that of Brega et al (2013) demonstrate that appropriately designed interventions can be successfully implemented in Indigenous communities. This study is imbued with Indigenous research principles and practices including Indigenous leadership, partnership with Indigenous health services, incorporation of local Indigenous design features in the intervention, embedding of culturally appropriate processes and protocols within the design and conduct of the trial, and the development of the Indigenous health professionals' and services' capacity to undertake research and to respond to health literacy needs within their

BMJ Open

communities.[38-40, 52-54] While Indigenous led, participatory research is increasing, there a few existing examples involving a complex multi-site intervention trial. Furthermore, there has been a strong shift in Indigenous-led research towards strength based approaches rather than focusing on disparities and deprivation experienced by Indigenous people, accordingly the latter are not a focus of the research presented here. Communities in each country were engaged throughout the research process and their experiences, culture and values incorporated in the design of the intervention. Heterogeneity between the communities was accounted for by enabling communities to design an approach that was tailored to them.

Much of the current health literacy literature is descriptive. The intervention described here offers solutions to improving Indigenous health and experiences with the health system. Although CVD is common, this study is one of the first to examine the effect of an intervention to improve CVD medication health literacy in any population group. Many measures of health literacy e.g. the Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults and the Rapid Estimate of Adult Literacy in Medicine are based on generic language and numeracy skills. However, knowledge has been shown to provide a strong indication of health literacy for specific conditions.[33] This study measured health literacy in terms of knowledge about CVD medication. Other measures of health literacy, e.g. use of different types of health information resources, were collected but are not reported in this paper.

There are three other potential limitations to this study. First, we have not used a control group. There was a high risk of contamination between intervention and control groups because the small, close-knit nature of the communities meant it would be difficult to prevent sharing of information and project resources. Contamination was also possible if the nurses/educators inadvertently used skills/information acquired during training when providing usual care to the control group. Furthermore, to obtain an appropriate sample size, all eligible participants in the health services had to receive the intervention. Ascertaining whether the observed effects were due to the intervention or to other unmeasured factors is challenging given the lack of a control group. The pattern of change within sessions supports an intervention effect, as does the relatively short time (one month) from sessions one to three. The intervention was delivered at five sites in three countries and the results are remarkably consistent across all sites, providing further support for intervention effect rather than unmeasured factors which are unlikely to be the same in all three countries. Although the findings were similar across all sites in the three countries and between an urban and rural site in NZ, further studies could assess whether the intervention is as effective in Indigenous populations who receive care from non-Indigenous health services and on the effect of the intervention with non-Indigenous population groups. Secondly, follow up data assessing changes in knowledge beyond the immediate duration of the programme has not been collected. The purpose of the project was to assess the

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

effectiveness of a customised, structured medication education programme that incorporated strategies based on adult education principles to support the development of participant's health literacy. Accurate retention of information requires regular reinforcement of knowledge. Future implementation of the programme should occur within long term CVD management in primary care services where patients are seen regularly, providing on-going opportunities for reassessment, reinforcement of existing knowledge and, where indicated, the provision of new information. Thus, the immediate effect of the programme is of more interest than longer-term follow-up for a 'one off' programme. Finally, we have not assessed the effect of improved knowledge on clinical outcomes or behavioural measures such as medication adherence. Assessment of these outcomes requires a much larger sample size and/or longer time frame than that used in this study. Furthermore, literature discussing the impact of health literacy interventions on adherence suggests that, although increasing health literacy skills and knowledge contributes to improvements in adherence, [48, 55] other factors such as self-efficacy also play an important role.[56-58] Future research that addresses a wider range of these factors could investigate the effects of health literacy interventions like this on clinical outcomes for patients.

Health professionals and healthcare organisations play a central role in ensuring that the needs of patients with low health literacy are being met. By adapting current systems of care for patients with low health literacy health professionals and healthcare organisations can support the development of Indigenous patients' CVD medication knowledge and health literacy practices. The evidence presented here suggests that systematic approaches operating at the interface of health professional and patient are likely to improve the health literacy of Indigenous people and in turn improve health equity. The findings from this study have important implications for populations with low health literacy more generally.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are extremely grateful to all participants and the health services involved in this project. We would also like to acknowledge Professor Ian Anderson and Dr Matire Harwood for their contributions in the early stages of this project.

AUTHOR STATEMENT

SC led the design of the project and the international and NZ research, contributed to data analysis and wrote the manuscript. JL collected data, undertook data analysis, and contributed to writing the manuscript. ML participated in study design, was responsible for international and NZ coordination of the study, contributed to data analysis and collaborated in drafting the manuscript. SR contributed to study design and implementation and collaborated in drafting manuscript. JH, JS contributed to study design, coordinated study at study sites and collaborated in drafting the manuscript. JS led the

BMJ Open

Canadian research team. MK participated in design, led the Australian research, undertook data analysis and collaborated in drafting the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING: This work was supported by the Health Research Council of New Zealand (09/640b), National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC/628869), and Canadian Institutes of Health Research (FRN 103985).

COMPETING INTERESTS: None declared

DATA SHARING STATEMENT:

The data are owned and under the control of the Indigenous health services and communities from which it was obtained. Requests to access the data will need to go through the approval processes required by these groups. For further information, please contact the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- 1. Anderson, I., et al., *Indigenous health in Australia, New Zealand, and the Pacific.* Lancet, 2006. **367**(9524): p. 1775-85.
- Smylie, J., et al., *Indigenous children's health report health assessment in action*. 2009, Centre for Research on Inner City Health, The Keenan Research Centre in the Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto]: [Toronto, Ont. p. 1 electronic document (130 p.
- 3. Bramley, D., et al., *A call to action on Maori cardiovascular health.* New Zealand Medical Journal, 2004. **117**(1197): p. U957.
- 4. Brown, A. and L. Kritharides, *Overview: The 2nd Indigenous Cardiovascular Health Conference of the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand.* . Heart, Lung and Circulation, 2012. **21**(10): p. 615-617.
- 5. Chen, L., et al., *Prevalence, awareness, medication, control, and risk factors associated with hypertension in Yi ethnic group aged 50 years and over in rural China: the Yunnan minority eye study.* BMC Public Health, 2015. **15**: p. 383.
- 6. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, *Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Performance Framework 2012: detailed analyses.* 2013, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare: Canberra.
- Anand, S., et al., Risk factors, atherosclerosis, and cardiovascular disease among Aboriginal people in Canada: the Study of Health Assessment and Risk Evaluation in Aboriginal Peoples (SHARE-AP). The Lancet, 2001. 358(9288): p. 1147-1153.
- 8. Ministry of Health, *Tatau Kahukura: Māori Health Chart Book 2015*. 2015, Ministry of Health: Wellington.
- 9. Curtis, E., M. Harwood, and T. Riddell, *Cardiovascular Disease*, in *Hauora: Māori Standards of Health IV. A study of the years 2000-2005.*, B. Robson and R. Harris, Editors. 2007, Te Rōpū Rangahau Hauora a Eru Pōmare.: Wellington.
- 10. New Zealand Guidelines Group, *New Zealand Primary Care Handbook 2012.* 3rd ed. 2012, Wellington: New Zealand Guidelines Group.
- 11. National Stroke Foundation, *Guidelines for the management of absolute cardiovascular disease risk.* . 2012, Australia: National Stroke Foundation.

12.	Mancini, G., et al., <i>Canadian Cardiovascular Society guidelines for the diagnosis and management of stable ischemic heart disease.</i> Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2014. 30 (8): p. 837-49.
13.	Lorig, K., V. González, and P. Ritter, <i>Evidence Suggesting That a Chronic Disease Self-Management</i> <i>Program Can Improve Health Status While Reducing Hospitalization: A Randomized Trial.</i> Medical Care, 1999. 37 (1): p. 5-14.
14.	Cramm JM1, N.A., Self-management abilities, physical health and depressive symptoms among patients with cardiovascular diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and diabetes. Patient
	Education and Counseling, 2012. 87 (3): p. 411-415.
15.	Wu, J., et al., <i>Medication adherence in patients who have heart failure: a review of the literature.</i> 2008. Nursing Clinics of North America, 2008. 43 : p. 133e53.
16.	Frijling, B., et al., <i>Perceptions of cardiovascular risk among patients with hypertension or diabetes.</i> Patient education and counseling, 2004. 52 (1): p. 47-53.
7.	Wagner, J., et al., <i>Knowledge of heart disease risk in a multicultural community sample of people with diabetes.</i> Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 2006. 31 (3): p. 224-230.
18.	Homko, C.J., et al., <i>Cardiovascular Disease Knowledge and Risk Perception Among Underserved</i> <i>Individuals at Increased Risk of Cardiovascular Disease</i> . Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 2008. 23 (4): p. 332-337.
19.	Mooney, L.A. and A.M. Franks, Evaluation of community health screening participants' knowledge of
	<i>cardiovascular risk factors.</i> Journal of the American Pharmacists Association, 2009. 49 (4): p. 529-37; 4p following 537.
20.	Mukattash, T.L., et al., <i>Public knowledge and awareness of cardiovascular disease and its risk factors: a cross-sectional study of 1000 Jordanians.</i> International Journal of Pharmacy Practice, 2012. 20 (6): p. 367-376.
21.	Wartak, S.A., et al., <i>Patients' knowledge of risk and protective factors for cardiovascular disease</i> . The American Journal of Cardiology, 2011. 107 (10): p. 1480-1488.
22.	Hope, C.J., et al., Association of medication adherence, knowledge, and skills with emergency department visits by adults 50 years or older with congestive heart failure. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy, 2004. 61 (19): p. 2043-2049.
23.	McConnel, F., <i>Compliance, culture, and the health of Indigenous people.</i> Rural and Remote Health, 2003. 3 (1).
24.	Hamrosi, K., S.J. Taylor, and P. Aslani, <i>Issues with prescribed medications in Aboriginal communities:</i> Aboriginal health workers' perspectives. Rural Remote Health, 2006. 6 (2): p. 557.
25.	Ho, P.M., C.L. Bryson, and J.S. Rumsfeld, <i>Medication adherence its importance in cardiovascular outcomes</i> . Circulation. Cardiovascular Quality & Outcomes, 2009. 119 (23): p. 3028-3035.
26.	Ho, P.M., et al., <i>Impact of medication therapy discontinuation on mortality after myocardial infarction.</i> Archives of Internal Medicine, 2006. 166 (17): p. 1842-1847.
27.	Carroll, M., S.A. Kinner, and E.B. Heffernan, <i>Medication use and knowledge in a sample of Indigenous and non-Indigenous prisoners</i> . Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2014. 38 (2): p. 142-146.
28.	Nielsen-Bohlman, L., A.M. Panzer, and D.A. Kindig, <i>Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion</i> . 2004, Washington DC: The National Academies Press.
29.	Berkman, N.D., et al., <i>Low health literacy and health outcomes: an updated systematic review.</i> Annals of Internal Medicine, 2011. 155 (2): p. 97-107.
30.	Berkman, N.D., et al., <i>Literacy and Health Outcomes. Evidence Report/ Technology Assessment</i> . 2004, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: Washington D.C.
31.	Rootman, I. and D. Gordon-El-Bihbety, A Vision for a Health Literate Canada: Report on the Expert Panel on Health Literacy. 2008, Canadian Public Health Association.
32.	Gazmararian, J.A., et al., <i>Health literacy and knowledge of chronic disease.</i> Patient Education & Counseling, 2003. 51 (3): p. 267-75.
33.	Williams, M.V., et al., <i>Relationship of functional health literacy to patients' knowledge of their chronic disease. A study of patients with hypertension and diabetes.</i> Archives of Internal Medicine, 1998. 158 (2): p. 166-72.
34.	Nutbeam, D., <i>The evolving concept of health literacy</i> . Social Science & Medicine, 2008. 67 (12): p. 2072-2078.

Page	19	of	19	

19 of 19	BMJ Open
35.	Safeer, R.S., C.E. Cooke, and J. Keenan, <i>The impact of health literacy on cardiovascular disease.</i> Vascular Health & Risk Management, 2006. 2 (4): p. 457-64.
36.	Ministry of Health, <i>Kōrero Mārama: Health Literacy and Māori.</i> 2010, Ministry of Health: Wellington.
37.	Crengle, S., et al., Cardiovascular disease medication health literacy among Indigenous peoples: design and protocol of an intervention trial in Indigenous primary care services. BMC Public Health, 2014. 14 : p. 714.
38.	National Health and Medical Research Council, <i>The NHMRC Road Map II: A strategic framework for improving the health of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people through research</i> . 2010, National Health and Medical Research Council: Canberra.
39.	The Pūtaiora Writing Group, <i>Te Ara Tika Guidelines for Māori research ethics: A framework for</i> <i>researchers and ethics committee members.</i> 2010, Health Research Council of New Zealand: Auckland.
40.	Canadian Institutes of Health Research, CIHR Guidelines for Health Research Involving Aboriginal People. 2007.
41.	Ngāti Porou Hauora Board, Research and Evaluation Policy. 2012, Ngāti Porou Hauora: Gisbourne.
42.	World Health Organisation, The Ottawa Charter. 1986.
43.	Frosch, D.L. and G. Elwyn, <i>Don't blame patients, engage them: transforming health systems to address health literacy.</i> Journal of Health Communication, 2014. 19(sup2) : p. 10-14.
44.	Kripalani, S., et al., <i>Development and evaluation of a medication counseling workshop for physicians: can we improve on 'take two pills and call me in the morning'?</i> Medical Education Online, 2011. 16 (7133).
45.	Brega, A.G., et al., <i>Impact of targeted health promotion on cardiovascular knowledge among American</i> Indians and Alaska Natives. Health Education Research, 2013. 28 (3): p. 437-49.
46.	Swavely, D., et al., <i>Implementation and evaluation of a low health literacy and culturally sensitive diabetes education program.</i> Journal for Healthcare Quality, 2014. 36 (6): p. 16-23.
47.	Mullen, P.D., D.A. Mains, and R. Velez, <i>A meta-analysis of controlled trials of cardiac patient education.</i> Patient Education and Counseling, 1992. 19 (2): p. 143-162.
48.	Zullig, L.L., et al., A health literacy pilot intervention to improve medication adherence using Meducation technology. Patient Education & Counseling, 2014. 95 (2): p. 288-91.
49.	Blake, S.C., et al., <i>A qualitative evaluation of a health literacy intervention to improve medication adherence for underserved pharmacy patients</i> . Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved, 2010. 21 (2): p. 559-567.
50.	Okuyan, B., M. Sancar, and F.V. Izzettin, Assessment of medication knowledge and adherence among patients under oral chronic medication treatment in community pharmacy settings. Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety, 2013. 22 (2): p. 209-214.
51.	Chung, M.K. and J.M. Bartfield, <i>Knowledge of prescription medications among elderly emergency department patients</i> . Annals of Emergency Medicine, 2002. 39 (6): p. 605-608.
52.	Brewer, K.M., et al., <i>The use of interpretive description within Kaupapa Maori research</i> . Qual Health Res, 2014. 24 (9): p. 1287-97.
53.	Selak, V., et al., <i>Recruiting equal numbers of indigenous and non-indigenous participants to a 'polypill' randomized trial.</i> International Journal for Equity in Health, 2013. 12 : p. 44.
54.	Jones, R., S. Crengle, and T. McCreanor, <i>How tikanga guides and protects the research process:</i> Insights from the Hauora Tane project. Social Policy Journal of New Zealand, 2006. 29 : p. 60-77.
55.	Zhang, N.J., A. Terry, and C.A. McHorney, <i>Impact of health literacy on medication adherence: a systematic review and meta-analysis.</i> Annals of Pharmacotherapy, 2014. 48 (6): p. 741-51.
56.	Brown, M.T. and J.K. Bussell, <i>Medication adherence: WHO cares?</i> Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 2011. 86 (4): p. 304-14.
57.	Loke, Y.K., et al., <i>Systematic review of consistency between adherence to cardiovascular or diabetes medication and health literacy in older adults</i> . Annals of Pharmacotherapy, 2012. 46 (6): p. 863-72.
58.	Ostini, R. and T. Kairuz, <i>Investigating the association between health literacy and non-adherence.</i> International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy, 2014. 36 (1): p. 36-44.
	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

Effect of a health literacy intervention trial on knowledge about cardiovascular disease medications among Indigenous peoples in Australia, Canada, and New Zealand

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-018569.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	14-Nov-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Crengle, Sue; University of Otago, Preventive and Social Medicine Luke, Joanne N.; University of Melbourne School of Population and Global Health Lambert, Michelle; University of Otago, Preventive and Social Medicine Smylie, Janet; Saint Michael's Hospital, Centre for Research on Inner City Health; University of Toronto, Dalla Lana School of Public Health Reid, Susan; Health Literacy NZ Harre-Hindmarsh, Jennie; Ngati Porou Hauora Kelaher, Margaret; The University of Melbourne, Centre for Health Policy
Primary Subject Heading :	Health services research
Secondary Subject Heading:	General practice / Family practice, Cardiovascular medicine
Keywords:	Indigenous, health literacy, cardiovascular disease, cardiovascular disease medication, PRIMARY CARE, intervention trial

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

	Effect of a health literacy intervention trial on knowledge about cardiovascular disease
1	medications among Indigenous peoples in Australia, Canada, and New Zealand
2	
3	Authors: Crengle S, Luke J, Lambert M, Smylie J, Reid S, Harré Hindmarsh J, and Kelaher M
4	
5	Connorman ding outhous
6	Corresponding author:
7	Associate Professor Sue Crengle
8	Department of Preventive & Social Medicine
9	Dunedin School of Medicine
10	University of Otago
11	PO Box 56
12	Dunedin 9054
13	New Zealand
14	Phone: (64) (3) 479 4258
15	Email: sue.crengle@otago.ac.nz
16	Ellian. succeengre@otago.ac.nz
17	Other authors:
18	Ms Joanne Luke
19	
20	Melbourne School of Population and Global Health
21	University of Melbourne
22 23	Melbourne
23	Australia
25	
26	Ms Michelle Lambert
27	Department of Preventive & Social Medicine
28	University of Otago
29	Dunedin
30	New Zealand
31	
32	Associate Professor Janet Smylie
33	Centre for Urban Health Solutions
34	Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's Hospital and
35	Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto
36	Toronto
37	Canada
38	
39	Ms Susan Reid Health Literacy Limited NZ Auckland
40	Health Literacy Limited NZ
41	Auckland
42	New Zealand
43	
44	Dr Jennie Harré Hindmarsh
45	Ngati Porou Hauora Charitable Trust
46	•
47 48	Te Puia Springs and Gisborne
40	New Zealand
50	
50	Professor Margaret Kelaher
52	Melbourne School of Population and Global Health
53	University of Melbourne
54	Melbourne
55	Australia
56	
57	
58	
59	

Word Count (excluding title page, abstract, references, figures and tables) 3450

Key words Indigenous, health literacy, cardiovascular disease, medication, primary care, intervention

to beet terien only

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES: To assess the effect of a customised, structured cardiovascular disease medication health literacy programme on medication knowledge among Indigenous people with, or at high risk, of cardiovascular disease.

Design: Intervention trial with pre and post measures at multiple time points. Trial ID ACTRN12612001309875

SETTING: Indigenous primary care services in Australia, Canada and New Zealand. **PARTICIPANTS:** 171 Indigenous people aged \geq 20 years of age who had at least one clinical diagnosis of a CVD event OR, in Canada and Australia, had a 5-year CVD risk \geq 15%; and were prescribed at least two of the following CVD medication classes: statin, aspirin, ACE inhibitors, beta blockers.

INTERVENTION: An education session delivered on three occasions over one month by registered nurses or health educators who had received training in health literacy and principles of adult education. An interactive tablet application was used during each session and an information booklet and pillcard provided to participants.

PRIMARY OUTCOME MEASURES: Knowledge about the CVD medications assessed before and after each session.

RESULTS: Knowledge at baseline (pre-session 1) was low with the mean percent correct answers highest for statins (34.0% correct answers), 29.4% for aspirin, 26.0% for beta blockers and 22.7% for ACE inhibitors. Adjusted analyses showed highly significant (p<0.001) increases in knowledge scores between pre and post assessments at all three time points for all medication classes. For the four medications, the absolute increases in adjusted percent correct items from pre-session one to post-session three assessments were statins 60.1%, aspirin 76.8%, ACE inhibitor 71.4%, and beta blocker 69.5%.

CONCLUSIONS: The intervention was highly effective in contextually diverse Indigenous primary health care services in Australia, Canada and New Zealand. The findings from this study have important implications for health services working with populations with low health literacy more generally.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- Well designed, cross-country multi-site pre-post intervention trial
- cross-country multi-site intervention trials with Indigenous communities that successfully incorporates Indigenous research principles, processes and practices are rare.
- High retention rates.

- Measures health literacy in terms of medication knowledge only.
- A control group has not been used because of sample size considerations and due to the risk of contamination in small communities.
- Does not assess effect of the intervention on clinical outcomes/medication adherence.

to oeer terien only

BMJ Open

INTRODUCTION

Although Māori (New Zealand), Aboriginal (Australia) and First Nations (Canada) peoples are distinct Indigenous populations, their shared history of colonisation, historically and in its contemporary expressions, has resulted in similar patterns of inequity in health and social outcomes, relative to the non-Indigenous populations in each country. [1, 2] In recent decades, cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality and morbidity inequities experienced by Indigenous populations have received increasing attention.[3-5] The prevalence of CVD risk factors and mortality and hospitalisation rates have been well documented for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander populations in Australia,[6] First Nations, Inuit and Metis populations in Canada.^[7] and Māori populations in New Zealand (NZ).^[8, 9] Prevention and management of CVD for Indigenous populations is of central importance given the described burden of CVD and inequities experienced by these populations. Evidence-based guidelines for primary and secondary prevention of CVD are widely available and emphasise 'lifestyle' and medications management. [10-12] However, CVDs are long term conditions and self-management by patients and their families is essential for good outcomes.[13, 14] Capacity to effectively self-manage long term conditions is influenced by an array of factors including, in the case of CVD, knowledge about risk factors and medications.[15] Available literature describing patient CVD knowledge primarily focuses on risk factors and risk assessment, with a lack of equivalent emphasis on medication knowledge.[16-21] Further investigation with regard to knowledge about medications is needed, as inadequate medication knowledge is associated with intermittent and non-adherence to medications.[22] Intermittent and non-adherence has been reported for Indigenous populations [23, 24] and is associated with poorer health outcomes including increased hospitalisations, morbidity, and mortality, and inadequate control of risk factors for disease. [25, 26] Inadequate knowledge about a broader group of medications has been found among an Indigenous prison population, however at present limited data exists to describe knowledge for CVD medications specifically.[27]

Health literacy is defined as the 'the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process, and understand basic health information and services needed to make appropriate health decisions.[28] Health literacy is integral to patient knowledge and self-management. Low levels of health literacy are associated with a range of adverse health outcomes.[28-34] More recently it has been recognised that the health system, healthcare organisations and health professionals are critical to reducing health literacy demands and developing the heath literacy of patients.[35]

In NZ a higher proportion of the Māori population has low levels of health literacy than the non-Māori population.[36] While rigorous population-based data for Indigenous populations in Australia and Canada are lacking, the needs of these populations are likely to be similar to those in NZ, given the

similar inequities in health and education observed between Indigenous and non-Indigenous people in all these countries.

A customised, structured CVD medication health literacy intervention was developed during a development phase that included in-depth interviews with community members who were taking CVD prevention medications. Interview participants described their knowledge about their medications, what they would like to know about these medications, and how they would like to be provided with this information. Participant's responses in relation to these topics were similar in all three countries. While content was the same across all three countries, all resources were customised for use with the three different Indigenous groups. This included graphics, images, and Indigenous words and phrases used throughout the resources.

The objective of this study was to assess the effect of a customised, structured cardiovascular disease medication health literacy programme on medication knowledge among Indigenous people with, or at high risk, of cardiovascular disease.

METHODS

A detailed trial protocol has been published elsewhere [37]. In brief, the trial used a multi-site prepost design with multiple measurement points. Ethics approvals were obtained from the Health and Disabilities Ethics Committees in NZ (MEC/10/061/EXP), the Human Research Ethics Committee at the University of Melbourne, Australia (HREC 1238349.1) and the Saint Michael's Hospital Research Ethics Board, Toronto, Canada (REB #: 10-324). The study was registered with the Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trials Register on 18 December 2012 (ACTRN12612001309875). Community engagement and research processes were consistent with guidelines for research with Indigenous communities.[38-41]

The intervention was implemented in Indigenous primary health care services in Australia (one urban service), Canada (one service with two urban sites), and NZ (one urban and one rural service). Primary outcomes were patient's knowledge about CVD medications (statins, beta blockers ACE inhibitors and aspirin). Secondary outcomes examined changes in health literacy skills and practices. This paper reports the results of a combined (three country) analysis of the primary outcomes (medication knowledge).

In NZ and Canada potential participants were identified from the health services' medical records. In Australia eligible participants were referred by their general practitioner, Aboriginal health worker, or pharmacist. Eligibility criteria were that participants were Indigenous people aged ≥ 20 years of age; Page 7 of 19

BMJ Open

had at least one clinical diagnosis of a CVD event (angina, myocardial infarction, ischaemic stroke or transient ischemic attack) OR, for Canada and Australia, had a 5 year CVD risk \geq 15%; were prescribed at least two of the following CVD medication classes: statin, aspirin, ACE inhibitors, beta blockers; and could provide informed consent to participate.

The intervention consisted of an education session delivered by registered nurses or health educators who had received training in health literacy and adult education principles to support the development of health literacy knowledge and skills. An interactive tablet application was used during each session. The application also produced a customised pill card for each participant. At the first session a booklet containing information about CVD, medication use, the four CVD medication classes, and treatment targets for lipid and blood pressure was given to all participants. Information in the tablet and booklet was standardised across all three countries; however, background graphic design features, images and Indigenous language words and phrases were country specific. The use of the application ensured that the nurse/educator covered all the CVD medication information in a structured way and, in the context of a trial, standardised the provision of information across all five sites. The education session was delivered three times over four weeks. (Table 1) The programme was customised for each participant so they only received information about the medication classes they were taking.

Data collection

Table 1 summarises data collection at each time point.

Activity	Time point	Measurement
Enrolment visit	ТО	Consent and enrolment in study. In Canada baseline demographic and clinical information was also collected at this visit.
Session one	T1 - Pre-session one	Baseline demographic and clinical information (NZ, Australia) Medication knowledge and health literacy practices
	T2 - Post-session one	Medication knowledge and health literacy practices
Session two.	T3 - Pre-session two	Medication knowledge and health literacy practices
Seven days after session one.	T4 - Post-session two	Medication knowledge and health literacy practices
Session three.	T5 - Pre-session three	Medication knowledge and health literacy practices
28 days after session one.	T6 - Post-session three	Medication knowledge and health literacy practices

Table 1 Summary of trial contacts and data collection

Baseline data was collected from participants and from the health service's medical records.

Outcome measures for statins, ACE inhibitors, aspirin and beta blockers assessed knowledge of the scientific and brand names of the medications, what the medication does, how to take it, important side effects, and lipid and blood pressure treatment targets. The number of items in the outcome questionnaire varied for each medication class. There were 9 items for statins, 11 for beta blockers, 12 for ACE inhibitors and 13 for aspirin (Table 2).

Table 2 Items in outcome measures

	ACE inhibitors	Beta Blockers	Statin	Aspirin
Name of medication (scientific or brand)	Eg of Scientific name Perindopril Eg of Brand name <i>Conversyl</i>	Eg of Scientific name Atenolol Eg of Brand name <i>Noten</i>	Eg of Scientific name Atorvastatin Eg of Brand name <i>Lipitor</i>	Eg of Scientific name Aspirin Eg of Brand name <i>Cardia</i>
Pronounced correctly	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No
Name of medication (class)	ACE inhibitor	Beta Blocker	Statin	Aspirin
Pronounced correctly	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No
Function/s	Lowers blood pressure	Lowers blood pressure	Lowers cholesterol	Stops you having blood clots
	Protects heart and kidneys	Protects heart		Take with food or after eating
Instruction/s	Start on low dose and increase	Take at the same time every day	Take with evening meal	Take indigestion medication 2hrs after taking Aspirin
	Blood tests every 6 months	Do not suddenly stop taking	Avoid grapefruit juice	
	Avoid food with too much Potassium	\sim		
Serious side effects	Tongue, lips, or face swell up	Dizzy or faint	Muscle pain, tenderness or weakness	Tongue, lips, or face swell up
	Dizzy or faint	Breathing problems or asthma		Dizzy or faint
				Itchy Rash
				Bad stomach pain
			0,	Black or bloody poos
			2/	Vomiting brown liquid
Treatment targets	If no kidney disease SBP<130 and DBP <80mmHg If kidney disease SBP<125 and DBP <75mmHg	If no kidney disease SBP<130 and DBP <80mmHg If kidney disease SBP<125 and DBP <75mmHg	LDL < 3.4mmol/L	

Patient knowledge was assessed by first inviting the patient to tell the nurse/health educator about that medicine. When the participant had volunteered as much information as they could, the nurse/educator would then provide a prompt about information the participant had not mentioned e.g. 'can you tell me about the serious side effects of...'.

Participants were recruited between 18/2/2013 and 29/11/2013.

BMJ Open

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are reported using means and standard deviation. Categorical data are expressed as percentages and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI). All categorical data analyses have been calculated using a binomial distribution. Histograms were used to determine whether continuous data were normally distributed. Medication knowledge scores were calculated as the percentage of questions answered correctly in each assessment. In descriptive analyses estimates were determined to vary significantly from each other if the 95%CI did not overlap.

Generalised estimating equations were used to investigate change in the proportion of questions answered correctly across the pre and post assessments for each session. The analysis was based on a linear scale response. It controlled for site and diabetes comorbidity. All analyses were performed using SPSS, version 22 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill, USA).

RESULTS

In total 171 participants were recruited and completed session one. Session two was completed by 166 participants (97.1%) and 160 participants (93.6%) completed session three. Of the 11 participants who did not complete the intervention, one patient did not complete as they were admitted to an aged care residential facility; the remaining ten participants were lost to follow up.

Table 3 provides site specific and aggregated baseline data. Baseline characteristics did not vary significantly by site with regards to age, sex, time with CVD, prevalence of gout, study medications at baseline, number of medication classes taken at baseline, medication allergy/side effects, blood pressure or lipids. There were significant site differences with regards to type of CVD, number of CVD diagnoses, the prevalence of diabetes, congestive heart failure (CHF) and COPD, as well as the number of co-morbidities (Table 3). Myocardial infarction was more common in the NZ urban site. Prevalence of stroke was significantly higher in the NZ rural site than Canada site B and Canada site A. All NZ participants had at least one CVD diagnosis while participants with high risk only were included in the other sites. Diabetes was a common comorbidity at all sites; however, the prevalence was significantly lower at one NZ site than the other sites. The prevalence of COPD was significantly higher at the two NZ sites than in the Australian site. The prevalence of COPD was significantly lower in the NZ rural site than in the four other sites. The proportion of participants who did not have a co-morbidity was significantly higher at the NZ rural site than Australia and Canada site B; while the proportion who had two co-morbidities was significantly lower at the NZ rural site than at the Australian site.

Table 3 Baseline characteristics of participants by site and total

	Australia	NZ rural	NZ urban	Canada A	Canada B	Total
Number of participants						
Session one, (n, [%])	29 [100.0]	55 [100]	40 [100]	26 [100]	21 [100]	171 [100]
Session two (n, [%])	24 [82.8]	55[100]	40 [100]	26 [100]	21 [100]	166 [97.1]]
Session three (n, [%])	23 [79.3]	54 [98.2]	36 [90.0]	26 [100]	21 [100]	160 [93.6]
Age, years mean [SD]	59 [11]	68 [11]	61 [9]	59 [10]	58 [7]	62 [11]
Male sex n [% male, 95%CI]	18 [62.1, 44.4, 79.7]	21 [38.2, 25.3, 51.0]	17 [42.5, 27.2-57.8]	11 [42.3, 23.3-61.3]	11 [52.4, 31.0-73.7]	78 [45.6, 38.1-53.1]
CVD diagnoses; n [%, 95% CI]		6				
Angina	11 [37.9, 20.3-55.6]	30 [54.5, 41.4-67.7]	27 [67.5, 53.0-82.0]	10[38.5, 19.8-57.2]	10[47.6, 26.3-69.0]	88 [51.5 , 44.0-59.0
MI	14 [48.3, 30.1-66.5]	17 [30.9, 18.7-43.1]	33 [82.5, 70.7-94.3]	8 [30.8,13.0-48.5]	7 [33.3, 13.2-53.5]	79 [46.2, 38.7-53.7
Stroke	6 [20.7, 5.9-35.4]	17 [30.9, 18.7-43.1]	7 [17.5, 5.7-29.3]	1 [3.8, 0.0-11.2]	1 [4.8, 0.0-13.9]	32 [18.7, 12.9-24.6
TIA	2 [6.9, 0.0-16.1]	6 [10.9, 2.7-19.1]	4 [10.0, 0.7-19.3]	4 [15.4, 1.5-29.3]	4 [19.0, 2.3-35.8]	20 [11.7, 6.9-16.5]
CVD risk or number of CVD			6			
diagnosis; n [%, 95% CI]						
High CVD risk only	8 [27.6, 11.3-43.9]	0	0	8 [30.8, 13.0-48.5]	6 [28.6, 9.2-47.9]	22 [12.9, 7.8-17.9]
One	13 [44.8, 26.7-62.9]	40 [72.7, 61.0-84.5]	14 [35.0, 20.2-49.8]	14 [53.8,34.7-73.0]	9 [42.9, 21.7-64.0]	90 [52.6, 45.1-60.1]
Two	5 [17.2, 3.5-31.0]	15 [27.3, 15.5-39.0]	22 [55.0, 39.6-70.4]	3 [11.5, 0.0-23.8]	5 [23.8, 5.6-42.0]	50 [29.2, 22.4-36.1]
Three or more	3 [10.3, 0.0-21.4]	0	4 [7.5, 0.0-15.7]	1 [3.8, 0.0-11.2]	1 [4.8, 0.0-13.9]	7 [4.1, 1.1-7.1]
Time with CVD, years Mean						
[95%CI]	7.2 [4.4-9.9]	7.5 [5.6-9.4]	7.7 [2.6-12.8]	10.4 [7.3-13.5]	7.9[5.3-10.6]	7.9 [6.6-9.2]
Co-morbidity; n [%, 95% CI]						
Diabetes	18 [62.1, 44.4-79.7]	13 [23.6, 12.4-34.9]	22 [55.0, 39.6-70.4]	18 [69.2, 51.5-87.0]	18 [85.7, 70.7-100]	89 [52.0, 44.6-59.5]
CHF	0 [0]	11 [20, 9.4-30.6]	8 [20.0, 7.6-32.4]	1[3.8, 0.0-11.2]	2 [9.5, 0.0-22.1]	22 [12.9, 7.8-17.9]
COPD	14 [48.3, 30.1, 66.5]	5 [9.1, 1.5-16.7]	16 [40.0, 24.8-55.2]	14 [53.8, 34.7-73.0]	8 [38.1, 17.2-58.9]	57 [33.3, 26.3-40.4]
Gout	6 [20.7, 5.9, 35.4]	14 [25.5, 13.9-37.0]	14 [35.0, 20.2-49.8]	2 [7.7, 0.0, 17.9]	4 [19.0, 2.3-35.8]	40 [23.4, 17.0-29.7]
Peptic Ulcer	4 [13.8, 1.2, 26.3]	0 [0]	3 [7.5, 0.0-15.7]	4 [15.4, 1.5-29.3]	3 [14.3, 0.0-29.3]	14 [8.2, 4.1-12.3]
Number of co-morbidities;						
n [%, 95% CI]						
None	3 [10.3, 0.0-21.4]	25 [45.5, 32.3-58.6]	8 [20.0, 7.6-32.4]	5 [19.2, 4.1-34.4]	2 [11.1, 0.0-25.6]	43 [25.6, 18.6-31.6]
One	11 [37.9, 20.3, 55.6]	20 [36.4, 23.7-49.1]	10 [25.0, 11.6-38.4]	8 [30.8, 13.0-48.5]	7 [38.9, 16.4-61.4]	56 [33.3, 26.2-40.5]
Two	14 [48.3, 30.1-66.5]	7 [12.7, 3.9-21.5]	13 [32.5, 18.0-47.0]	10 [38.5, 19.8-57.2]	6 [33.3, 11.6-55.1]	50 [29.8, 22.8-36.7]
Three	1 [3.4, 0.0-10.1]	3 [5.5, 0.0- 11.5]	9 [22.5, 9.6-35.4]	1 [3.8, 0.0- 11.2]	2 [11.1, 0.0-25.6]	16 [9.5, 5.1-14.0]
Four	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	2 [7.7, 0.0- 17.9]	1 [5.6, 0.0-16.1]	3 [1.8, 0.0-3.8]
CVD medications at baseline;						
n [%, 95% CI]						
Statin	29 [100]	51 [92.7, 85.9-99.6]	37 [92.5, 84.3-100]	24 [92.3, 82.1-100]	19 [90.5, 77.9-100]	160 [93.6, 89.9-97.2
ACE inhibitor	19 [65.5, 48.2-82.8]	31 [56.4, 43.3-69.5]	27 [67.5, 53.0-82.0]	17 [65.4, 47.1-83.7]	12 [57,1,36.0-78.3]	106 [62.0, 54.7-69.3
BB	15 [51.7, 33.5-69.9]	40 [72.7, 61.0-84.5]	28 [70.0, 55.8-84.2]	12 [46.2, 27.0-65.3]	9 [42.9, 21.7-64.0]	104 [60.8, 53.5-68.1

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

45 46 47 BMJ Open

1							
2							
3							
4							
5	Aspirin	23 [79.3, 64.6-94.1]	46 [83.6 73.9-93.4]	36 [90.0, 80.7-99.3]	20 [76.9, 60.7-93.1]	15 [66.7, 46.5-86.8]	140 [81.9, 76.1-87.6]
6	Number of CVD medications						
7	classes; n [%, 95% CI]						
8	One	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]
9	Two	11 [37.9, 20.3-55.6]	15 [27.3, 15.5-39.0]	7 [17.5, 5.7-29.3]	10 [38.5, 19.8-57.2]	11 [52.4, 31.0-73.7]	54 [31.6, 24.6-38.5]
, 10	Three	8 [27.6, 11.3-43.9]	22 [40.0, 27.1-52.9]	18 [45.0, 29.6-60.4]	12 [46.2, 27.0-65.3]	7 [33.3, 13.2-53.5]	67 [39.2, 31.9-46.5]
	Four	10 [34.5, 17.2-51.8]	18 [32.7, 20.3-45.1]	15 [37.5, 22.5-52.5]	4 [15.4, 1.5-29.3]	3 [14.3, 0.0-29.3]	50 [29.2, 22.4-36.1]
11	Allergy / side effect; n [%, 95%						
12	CI						
13	Statin	0 [0]	1 [1.8, 0.0-5.3]	1 [2.5, 0.0-7.3]	1 [3.8, 0.0-11.2]	2 [9.5, 0.0-22.1]	5 [2.9, 0.4-5.4]
14	ACE inhibitor	0[0]	2 [3.6, 0.0-8.6]	1 [2.5, 0.0-7.3]	0 [0]	1 [4.8, 0.0-13.9]	4 [2.3, 0.1-4.6]
15	BB	1, [3.4, 0.0-10.1]	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	1 [0.6, 0.0-1.7]
16	Aspirin	0 [0]	0 [0]	0 [0]	1 [3.8, 0.0-11.2]	2 [0.0-22.1]	3 [1.8, 0.0-3.7]
17	Systolic BP mmHg; mean,	130.2[124.3-136.0]	131.5[127.8-135.2]	134.7[128.8-140.6]	131.4 [125.4-137.4]	129.5 [123.1-136.0]	131.6 [129.3-133.8]
18	[95%CI]						
19	Systolic BP (range)	87-154	97-161	111-172	111-173	103-166	87-173
20							
20	Diastolic BP; mean, [95%CI]	82.0, [77.8-86.2]	79.0 [76.9-81.1]	81.7 [78.1-85.3]	77.0 [73.4-80.6]	74.2 [69.7-78.7]	79.0 [77.6-80.5]
	Diastolic BP (range)	65-112	57-99	60-103	63-98	52-87	52-112
22	Lipids mmol/L; mean, [95%CI]						
23	LDL	2.32, [2.01-2.63]	2.82 [2.58-3.05]	2.31 [2.04-2.58]	2.34 [1.86-2.81]	2.40 [1.96-2.84]	2.50 [2.36-2.64]
24	LDL (range)	1.05-3.55	1.10-5.05	0.75-3.90	0.73-4.68	0.50-4.23	0.50-5.05
25							
26	HDL	1.10, [1.01-1.20]	1.14 [1.07-1.20]	1.10 [1.00-1.20]	1.08 [0.96-1.20]	1.19 [1.05-1.33]	1.12 [1.08-1.16]
27	HDL (range)	0.60-1.65	0.80-1.85	0.78-1.94	0.50-1.66	1.97-1.19	0.50-1.97
28							
29							

Health literacy knowledge scores: Pre-session one knowledge of all four medications was low with mean percent correct highest for statins (34.0% correct answers), 29.4% for aspirin, 26.0% for beta blockers and 22.7% for ACE inhibitors. For all four medications, the knowledge scores increased significantly in the post-session one assessments. Knowledge scores fell slightly in the interval between the post-session one and pre-session two assessments and rose in the post-session two assessments. A similar pattern was observed in the assessments associated with session three. (Table 4)

	n	Pre-session knowledge Mean [95%CI]	Post-session knowledge Mean [95%CI]	% Difference [95%CI]
Statin				
Session 1	160	34.0[30.1-38.8]	90.6 [88.0-93.3]	56.7 [49.0-64.3]
Session 2	155	85.4 [81.9-88.8]	96.1 [94.1-98.1]	10.7 [5.8-15.5]
Session 3	151	92.3 [89.9-94.7]	98.2[97.2-99.3]	6.0 [2.2-9.7]
Aspirin		2		
Session 1	140	29.4 [27.4-31.4]	92.9 [90.8-95.1]	63.5 [55.5-71.5]
Session 2	134	87.1 [83.7-90.5]	96.3 [94.6-98.0]	9.2 [4.3-14.1]
Session 3	129	91.5 [89.0-94.1]	98.6 [97.6-99.7]	7.1 [2.6-11.6]
ACE inhibitor				
Session 1	106	22.7 [19.7-25.8]	87.0 [83.6-90.5]	64.3 [55.2-73.4]
Session 2	102	83.0 [78.8-87.3]	94.3 [91.9-96.6]	11.3 [5.1-17.4]
Session 3	95	90.2 [87.1-93.3]	96.5 [94.5-98.5]	6.3 [1.4-11.2]
Beta blocker			4	
Session 1	104	26.0 [21.9-30.2]	88.8 [85.7-92.0]	62.8 [53.5-72.1]
Session 2	101	85.8 [81.6-90.0]	96.1 [94.3-98.0]	10.4 [4.4-16.3]
Session 3	97	89.2 [86.0-92.5]	97.7 [96.2-99.1]	8.4 [2.9-14.0]

Table 4 Unadjusted mean percent_correct items in knowledge questionnaire, by medication

Adjusted analyses showed highly significant (p<0.001) increases in knowledge scores between presession and post-session assessments at all three time points for all medication classes. (Table 5) For the four medications, the absolute increases in items answered correctly from pre-session one to postsession three assessments were statins 60.1%, aspirin 76.8%, ACE inhibitor 71.4%, and beta blocker 69.5%. (Table 5)

Table 5 Multivariable analysis for CVD medications change in % items correct in knowledge questionnaire*

n	Pre-knowledge score	Post-knowledge score	B [95%CI]	p value
	Mean [95%CI]	Mean [95%CI]		

BMJ Open

160 155 151	37.4 [34.3-40.9] 84.0 [80.5-87.7] 91.2 [88.8-93.7]	87.8 [84.9-90.9] 94.9 [92.1-97.8]	3.50 [3.06-3.01] 1.14 [1.09-1.19]	<0.001
155	84.0 [80.5-87.7]	94.9 [92.1-97.8]		
			1.14 [1.09-1.19]	< 0.001
151	91.2 [88.8-93.7]	07 5 506 1 00 03		
		97.5 [96.1-98.9]	1.07 [1.04-1.10]	< 0.001
140	30.7 [28.9-32.6]	92.4 [89.9-94.9]	3.01 [2.83-3.20]	< 0.001
134	86.5 [83.1-90.0]	96.0 [93.9-98.1]	1.11[1.07-1.15]	< 0.001
129	91.3 [88.8,93.9]	98.5 [96.8-100]	1.08 [1.05-1.11]	< 0.001
106	24.5[21.7-27.7]	84.7 [80.6-89.0]	3.50 [3.06- 3.91]	< 0.001
102	81.6 [77.4-86.1]	93.2[90.3-96.2]	1.14 [1.09-1.19]	< 0.001
95	89.5 [86.6-92.4]	95.9 [94.2-97.8]	1.07 [1.04-1.10]	< 0.001
104	27.9 [24.3-32.0]	84.0 [79.5-88.9]	3.01 [2.60-3.49]	< 0.001
01	84.6 [80.0-89.4]	94.4 [91.4-97.5]	1.12 [1.07-1.16]	< 0.001
97	88.8 [85.7-92.1]	97.4 [95.4-99.5]	1.10 [1.06-1.13]	< 0.001
	34 29 06 02 95 04 01	34 86.5 [83.1-90.0] 29 91.3 [88.8,93.9] 06 24.5[21.7-27.7] 02 81.6 [77.4-86.1] 05 89.5 [86.6-92.4] 04 27.9 [24.3-32.0] 01 84.6 [80.0-89.4] 07 88.8 [85.7-92.1]	34 86.5 [83.1-90.0] 96.0 [93.9-98.1] 29 91.3 [88.8,93.9] 98.5 [96.8-100] 06 24.5[21.7-27.7] 84.7 [80.6-89.0] 02 81.6 [77.4-86.1] 93.2[90.3-96.2] 05 89.5 [86.6-92.4] 95.9 [94.2-97.8] 04 27.9 [24.3-32.0] 84.0 [79.5-88.9] 01 84.6 [80.0-89.4] 94.4 [91.4-97.5]	34 86.5 [83.1-90.0] 96.0 [93.9-98.1] 1.11[1.07-1.15] 29 91.3 [88.8,93.9] 98.5 [96.8-100] 1.08 [1.05-1.11] 06 24.5[21.7-27.7] 84.7 [80.6-89.0] 3.50 [3.06- 3.91] 02 81.6 [77.4-86.1] 93.2[90.3-96.2] 1.14 [1.09-1.19] 05 89.5 [86.6-92.4] 95.9 [94.2-97.8] 1.07 [1.04-1.10] 04 27.9 [24.3-32.0] 84.0 [79.5-88.9] 3.01 [2.60-3.49] 01 84.6 [80.0-89.4] 94.4 [91.4-97.5] 1.12 [1.07-1.16] 07 88.8 [85.7-92.1] 97.4 [95.4-99.5] 1.10 [1.06-1.13]

*Model included site and diabetes comorbidity

DISCUSSION

According to the Ottawa Charter, enabling people to have increased control over their health leads to improved health.[42] Health literacy was initially viewed as a patient factor that could be used as a risk factor or a marker for poor outcomes. In recent years discussions regarding health literacy have broadened to include the role that health systems, services and health professionals play in determining the level of health literacy required to successfully navigate health services, and supporting patients to build their health literacy skills and capabilities so they are better equipped to meet their health needs.[34, 43][44] The intervention used in this trial systematically incorporated several approaches to achieve this including health professional training and interactive resources (electronic tablet application, pill card and booklet). Furthermore, the session was repeated to reinforce and further develop participants' knowledge and skill acquisition. This intervention sought to build health literacy skills such as knowledge and the ability to both access and use health information; however, only data about the primary outcome (medication knowledge) are presented in this paper.

The findings in regards to medication knowledge were observed in all four medication classes. At baseline, knowledge of all four medication classes was low. The intervention resulted in significant increases in knowledge that were largest in the first session but were also observed in subsequent sessions, and were sustained between sessions, suggesting that participants were retaining and spontaneously recalling information. Our findings are consistent with previous research which has

demonstrated that there are clear benefits to culturally appropriate and community specific interventions. Culturally appropriate interventions have previously demonstrated an association with improved health knowledge about diabetes and CVD.[45, 46] Counselling that incorporates successful adult education techniques such as reinforcement and feedback, teachback, assessing and confirming patients understandings and patient tailored information all build health literacy.[44, 47] Research involving pill cards for health literacy has tended to focused on pill cards as a management tool for low health literate populations as opposed to assessing how they build health literacy skills and capabilities. These studies have demonstrated effectiveness in improving adherence amongst low health literacy populations when used as a stand alone tool [48] and when used in combination with counselling by a health professional trained in adult education techniques.[49]

Kripalani et al (2011) demonstrated that training increased physicians' confidence to counsel patients with low health literacy about medication use.[44] In this study we provided training to the Indigenous health practitioners who delivered the intervention.

Inadequate knowledge about medications is associated with intermittent or non-adherence to medications which, in turn, is associated with worse outcomes including poorer control of risk factors, increased hospitalisations, morbidity and mortality.[22, 25, 50] This study showed that baseline knowledge about cardiovascular medicines was low among Indigenous people in Australia, Canada and New Zealand. This low baseline knowledge is consistent with published information about health literacy levels in Indigenous populations.[36] However, this finding is unlikely to be unique to these populations as poor health literacy also is seen in significant proportions of the non-Indigenous populations.[36] The reported low baseline medication knowledge in this study is also congruent with studies for non-Indigenous populations where low medication knowledge has been reported.[50, 51]

This study has several strengths including very good retention rates across the intervention period. Intervention trials located within Indigenous communities are rare. Brega et al (2013) found that the 'Honouring the Gift of Heart Health' intervention increased knowledge about CVD, symptoms associated with MI and CVA and CVD risk factor control, in both high and low health literacy groups of American Indian and Alaska Native peoples.[45] The current study and that of Brega et al (2013) demonstrate that appropriately designed interventions can be successfully implemented in Indigenous communities. This study is imbued with Indigenous research principles and practices including Indigenous leadership, partnership with Indigenous health services, incorporation of local Indigenous design features in the intervention, embedding of culturally appropriate processes and protocols within the design and conduct of the trial, and the development of the Indigenous health professionals' and services' capacity to undertake research and to respond to health literacy needs within their

BMJ Open

communities.[38-40, 52-54] While Indigenous led, participatory research is increasing, there a few existing examples involving a complex multi-site intervention trial. Furthermore, there has been a strong shift in Indigenous-led research towards strength based approaches rather than focusing on disparities and deprivation experienced by Indigenous people, accordingly the latter are not a focus of the research presented here. Communities in each country were engaged throughout the research process and their experiences, culture and values incorporated in the design of the intervention. Heterogeneity between the communities was accounted for by enabling communities to design an approach that was tailored to them.

Much of the current health literacy literature is descriptive. The intervention described here offers solutions to improving Indigenous health and experiences with the health system. Although CVD is common, this study is one of the first to examine the effect of an intervention to improve CVD medication health literacy in any population group. Many measures of health literacy e.g. the Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults and the Rapid Estimate of Adult Literacy in Medicine are based on generic language and numeracy skills. However, knowledge has been shown to provide a strong indication of health literacy for specific conditions.[33] This study measured health literacy in terms of knowledge about CVD medication. Other measures of health literacy, e.g. use of different types of health information resources, were collected but are not reported in this paper.

There are three other potential limitations to this study. First, we have not used a control group. There was a high risk of contamination between intervention and control groups because the small, close-knit nature of the communities meant it would be difficult to prevent sharing of information and project resources. Contamination was also possible if the nurses/educators inadvertently used skills/information acquired during training when providing usual care to the control group. Furthermore, to obtain an appropriate sample size, all eligible participants in the health services had to receive the intervention. Ascertaining whether the observed effects were due to the intervention or to other unmeasured factors is challenging given the lack of a control group. The pattern of change within sessions supports an intervention effect, as does the relatively short time (one month) from sessions one to three. The intervention was delivered at five sites in three countries and the results are remarkably consistent across all sites, providing further support for intervention effect rather than unmeasured factors which are unlikely to be the same in all three countries. Although the findings were similar across all sites in the three countries and between an urban and rural site in NZ, further studies could assess whether the intervention is as effective in Indigenous populations who receive care from non-Indigenous health services and on the effect of the intervention with non-Indigenous population groups. Secondly, follow up data assessing changes in knowledge beyond the immediate duration of the programme has not been collected. The purpose of the project was to assess the

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

effectiveness of a customised, structured medication education programme that incorporated strategies based on adult education principles to support the development of participant's health literacy. Accurate retention of information requires regular reinforcement of knowledge. Future implementation of the programme should occur within long term CVD management in primary care services where patients are seen regularly, providing on-going opportunities for reassessment, reinforcement of existing knowledge and, where indicated, the provision of new information. Thus, the immediate effect of the programme is of more interest than longer-term follow-up for a 'one off' programme. Finally, we have not assessed the effect of improved knowledge on clinical outcomes or behavioural measures such as medication adherence. Assessment of these outcomes requires a much larger sample size and/or longer time frame than that used in this study. Furthermore, literature discussing the impact of health literacy interventions on adherence suggests that, although increasing health literacy skills and knowledge contributes to improvements in adherence, [48, 55] other factors such as self-efficacy also play an important role.[56-58] Future research that addresses a wider range of these factors could investigate the effects of health literacy interventions like this on clinical outcomes for patients.

Health professionals and healthcare organisations play a central role in ensuring that the needs of patients with low health literacy are being met. By adapting current systems of care for patients with low health literacy health professionals and healthcare organisations can support the development of Indigenous patients' CVD medication knowledge and health literacy practices. The evidence presented here suggests that systematic approaches operating at the interface of health professional and patient are likely to improve the health literacy of Indigenous people and in turn improve health equity. The findings from this study have important implications for populations with low health literacy more generally.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are extremely grateful to all participants and the health services involved in this project. We would also like to acknowledge Professor Ian Anderson and Dr Matire Harwood for their contributions in the early stages of this project.

AUTHOR STATEMENT

SC led the design of the project and the international and NZ research, contributed to data analysis and wrote the manuscript. JL collected data, undertook data analysis, and contributed to writing the manuscript. ML participated in study design, was responsible for international and NZ coordination of the study, contributed to data analysis and collaborated in drafting the manuscript. SR contributed to study design and implementation and collaborated in drafting manuscript. JH, JS contributed to study design, coordinated study at study sites and collaborated in drafting the manuscript. JS led the

BMJ Open

Canadian research team. MK participated in design, led the Australian research, undertook data analysis and collaborated in drafting the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING: This work was supported by the Health Research Council of New Zealand (09/640b), National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC/628869), and Canadian Institutes of Health Research (FRN 103985).

COMPETING INTERESTS: None declared

DATA SHARING STATEMENT:

The data are owned and under the control of the Indigenous health services and communities from which it was obtained. Requests to access the data will need to go through the approval processes required by these groups. For further information, please contact the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- 1. Anderson, I., et al., *Indigenous health in Australia, New Zealand, and the Pacific.* Lancet, 2006. **367**(9524): p. 1775-85.
- Smylie, J., et al., *Indigenous children's health report health assessment in action*. 2009, Centre for Research on Inner City Health, The Keenan Research Centre in the Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto]: [Toronto, Ont. p. 1 electronic document (130 p.
- 3. Bramley, D., et al., *A call to action on Maori cardiovascular health.* New Zealand Medical Journal, 2004. **117**(1197): p. U957.
- 4. Brown, A. and L. Kritharides, *Overview: The 2nd Indigenous Cardiovascular Health Conference of the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand.* . Heart, Lung and Circulation, 2012. **21**(10): p. 615-617.
- 5. Chen, L., et al., *Prevalence, awareness, medication, control, and risk factors associated with hypertension in Yi ethnic group aged 50 years and over in rural China: the Yunnan minority eye study.* BMC Public Health, 2015. **15**: p. 383.
- 6. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, *Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Performance Framework 2012: detailed analyses.* 2013, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare: Canberra.
- Anand, S., et al., Risk factors, atherosclerosis, and cardiovascular disease among Aboriginal people in Canada: the Study of Health Assessment and Risk Evaluation in Aboriginal Peoples (SHARE-AP). The Lancet, 2001. 358(9288): p. 1147-1153.
- 8. Ministry of Health, *Tatau Kahukura: Māori Health Chart Book 2015*. 2015, Ministry of Health: Wellington.
- 9. Curtis, E., M. Harwood, and T. Riddell, *Cardiovascular Disease*, in *Hauora: Māori Standards of Health IV. A study of the years 2000-2005.*, B. Robson and R. Harris, Editors. 2007, Te Rōpū Rangahau Hauora a Eru Pōmare.: Wellington.
- 10. New Zealand Guidelines Group, *New Zealand Primary Care Handbook 2012.* 3rd ed. 2012, Wellington: New Zealand Guidelines Group.
- 11. National Stroke Foundation, *Guidelines for the management of absolute cardiovascular disease risk.* . 2012, Australia: National Stroke Foundation.

12.	Mancini, G., et al., <i>Canadian Cardiovascular Society guidelines for the diagnosis and management of stable ischemic heart disease.</i> Canadian Journal of Cardiology, 2014. 30 (8): p. 837-49.
13.	Lorig, K., V. González, and P. Ritter, <i>Evidence Suggesting That a Chronic Disease Self-Management</i> <i>Program Can Improve Health Status While Reducing Hospitalization: A Randomized Trial.</i> Medical Care, 1999. 37 (1): p. 5-14.
14.	Cramm JM1, N.A., Self-management abilities, physical health and depressive symptoms among patients with cardiovascular diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and diabetes. Patient
	Education and Counseling, 2012. 87 (3): p. 411-415.
15.	Wu, J., et al., <i>Medication adherence in patients who have heart failure: a review of the literature.</i> 2008. Nursing Clinics of North America, 2008. 43 : p. 133e53.
16.	Frijling, B., et al., <i>Perceptions of cardiovascular risk among patients with hypertension or diabetes.</i> Patient education and counseling, 2004. 52 (1): p. 47-53.
7.	Wagner, J., et al., <i>Knowledge of heart disease risk in a multicultural community sample of people with diabetes.</i> Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 2006. 31 (3): p. 224-230.
18.	Homko, C.J., et al., <i>Cardiovascular Disease Knowledge and Risk Perception Among Underserved</i> <i>Individuals at Increased Risk of Cardiovascular Disease</i> . Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 2008. 23 (4): p. 332-337.
19.	Mooney, L.A. and A.M. Franks, Evaluation of community health screening participants' knowledge of
	<i>cardiovascular risk factors.</i> Journal of the American Pharmacists Association, 2009. 49 (4): p. 529-37; 4p following 537.
20.	Mukattash, T.L., et al., <i>Public knowledge and awareness of cardiovascular disease and its risk factors: a cross-sectional study of 1000 Jordanians.</i> International Journal of Pharmacy Practice, 2012. 20 (6): p. 367-376.
21.	Wartak, S.A., et al., <i>Patients' knowledge of risk and protective factors for cardiovascular disease</i> . The American Journal of Cardiology, 2011. 107 (10): p. 1480-1488.
22.	Hope, C.J., et al., Association of medication adherence, knowledge, and skills with emergency department visits by adults 50 years or older with congestive heart failure. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy, 2004. 61 (19): p. 2043-2049.
23.	McConnel, F., <i>Compliance, culture, and the health of Indigenous people.</i> Rural and Remote Health, 2003. 3 (1).
24.	Hamrosi, K., S.J. Taylor, and P. Aslani, <i>Issues with prescribed medications in Aboriginal communities:</i> Aboriginal health workers' perspectives. Rural Remote Health, 2006. 6 (2): p. 557.
25.	Ho, P.M., C.L. Bryson, and J.S. Rumsfeld, <i>Medication adherence its importance in cardiovascular outcomes</i> . Circulation. Cardiovascular Quality & Outcomes, 2009. 119 (23): p. 3028-3035.
26.	Ho, P.M., et al., <i>Impact of medication therapy discontinuation on mortality after myocardial infarction.</i> Archives of Internal Medicine, 2006. 166 (17): p. 1842-1847.
27.	Carroll, M., S.A. Kinner, and E.B. Heffernan, <i>Medication use and knowledge in a sample of Indigenous and non-Indigenous prisoners</i> . Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 2014. 38 (2): p. 142-146.
28.	Nielsen-Bohlman, L., A.M. Panzer, and D.A. Kindig, <i>Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion</i> . 2004, Washington DC: The National Academies Press.
29.	Berkman, N.D., et al., <i>Low health literacy and health outcomes: an updated systematic review.</i> Annals of Internal Medicine, 2011. 155 (2): p. 97-107.
30.	Berkman, N.D., et al., <i>Literacy and Health Outcomes. Evidence Report/ Technology Assessment</i> . 2004, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: Washington D.C.
31.	Rootman, I. and D. Gordon-El-Bihbety, A Vision for a Health Literate Canada: Report on the Expert Panel on Health Literacy. 2008, Canadian Public Health Association.
32.	Gazmararian, J.A., et al., <i>Health literacy and knowledge of chronic disease.</i> Patient Education & Counseling, 2003. 51 (3): p. 267-75.
33.	Williams, M.V., et al., <i>Relationship of functional health literacy to patients' knowledge of their chronic disease. A study of patients with hypertension and diabetes.</i> Archives of Internal Medicine, 1998. 158 (2): p. 166-72.
34.	Nutbeam, D., <i>The evolving concept of health literacy</i> . Social Science & Medicine, 2008. 67 (12): p. 2072-2078.

Page	19	of	19	

19 of 19	BMJ Open
3	 Safeer, R.S., C.E. Cooke, and J. Keenan, <i>The impact of health literacy on cardiovascular disease</i>. Vascular Health & Risk Management, 2006. 2(4): p. 457-64.
	36. Ministry of Health, <i>Kōrero Mārama: Health Literacy and Māori.</i> 2010, Ministry of Health: Wellington.
	37. Crengle, S., et al., Cardiovascular disease medication health literacy among Indigenous peoples: design and protocol of an intervention trial in Indigenous primary care services. BMC Public Health, 2014. 14 : p. 714.
3	 National Health and Medical Research Council, The NHMRC Road Map II: A strategic framework for improving the health of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people through research. 2010, National Health and Medical Research Council: Canberra.
3	39. The Pūtaiora Writing Group, <i>Te Ara Tika Guidelines for Māori research ethics: A framework for researchers and ethics committee members.</i> 2010, Health Research Council of New Zealand: Auckland.
	40. Canadian Institutes of Health Research, CIHR Guidelines for Health Research Involving Aboriginal People. 2007.
	41. Ngāti Porou Hauora Board, <i>Research and Evaluation Policy</i> . 2012, Ngāti Porou Hauora: Gisbourne.
	42. World Health Organisation, <i>The Ottawa Charter</i> . 1986.
	43. Frosch, D.L. and G. Elwyn, Don't blame patients, engage them: transforming health systems to address health literacy. Journal of Health Communication, 2014. 19(sup2): p. 10-14.
2	 Kripalani, S., et al., Development and evaluation of a medication counseling workshop for physicians: can we improve on 'take two pills and call me in the morning'? Medical Education Online, 2011. 16(7133).
2	45. Brega, A.G., et al., <i>Impact of targeted health promotion on cardiovascular knowledge among American</i> <i>Indians and Alaska Natives.</i> Health Education Research, 2013. 28 (3): p. 437-49.
2	46. Swavely, D., et al., <i>Implementation and evaluation of a low health literacy and culturally sensitive diabetes education program.</i> Journal for Healthcare Quality, 2014. 36 (6): p. 16-23.
2	 Mullen, P.D., D.A. Mains, and R. Velez, A meta-analysis of controlled trials of cardiac patient education. Patient Education and Counseling, 1992. 19(2): p. 143-162.
4	 Zullig, L.L., et al., A health literacy pilot intervention to improve medication adherence using Meducation technology. Patient Education & Counseling, 2014. 95(2): p. 288-91.
2	49. Blake, S.C., et al., A qualitative evaluation of a health literacy intervention to improve medication adherence for underserved pharmacy patients. Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved, 2010. 21 (2): p. 559-567.
!	50. Okuyan, B., M. Sancar, and F.V. Izzettin, Assessment of medication knowledge and adherence among patients under oral chronic medication treatment in community pharmacy settings. Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety, 2013. 22(2): p. 209-214.
!	 Chung, M.K. and J.M. Bartfield, <i>Knowledge of prescription medications among elderly emergency department patients.</i> Annals of Emergency Medicine, 2002. 39(6): p. 605-608.
!	52. Brewer, K.M., et al., <i>The use of interpretive description within Kaupapa Maori research</i> . Qual Health Res, 2014. 24 (9): p. 1287-97.
Į	53. Selak, V., et al., <i>Recruiting equal numbers of indigenous and non-indigenous participants to a 'polypill'</i> <i>randomized trial</i> . International Journal for Equity in Health, 2013. 12 : p. 44.
Į	54. Jones, R., S. Crengle, and T. McCreanor, <i>How tikanga guides and protects the research process:</i> <i>Insights from the Hauora Tane project.</i> Social Policy Journal of New Zealand, 2006. 29 : p. 60-77.
Į	55. Zhang, N.J., A. Terry, and C.A. McHorney, <i>Impact of health literacy on medication adherence: a systematic review and meta-analysis.</i> Annals of Pharmacotherapy, 2014. 48 (6): p. 741-51.
!	 Brown, M.T. and J.K. Bussell, <i>Medication adherence: WHO cares</i>? Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 2011. 86(4): p. 304-14.
!	57. Loke, Y.K., et al., Systematic review of consistency between adherence to cardiovascular or diabetes medication and health literacy in older adults. Annals of Pharmacotherapy, 2012. 46 (6): p. 863-72.
Į	58. Ostini, R. and T. Kairuz, <i>Investigating the association between health literacy and non-adherence</i> . International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy, 2014. 36 (1): p. 36-44.
	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml