BMJ Open

Incidence of diabetes-related complications in ethnic Chinese with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes, 1999-2013

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-015117
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	09-Nov-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Ou, Huang-tz; National Cheng Kung University College of Medicine, Institute of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences; National Cheng Kung University College of Medicine, Pharmacy Lee, Tsung-Ying; National Cheng Kung University College of Medicine, Institute of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Li, Chung-Yi; National Cheng Kung University College of Medicine, Public Health; China Medical University, Public Health Wu, Jin Shang; National Cheng Kung University College of Medicine, Family Medicine; National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Family Medicine Sun, Zih Jie; National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Family Medicine; National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Family Medicine
Primary Subject Heading :	Diabetes and endocrinology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Health services research
Keywords:	DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, EPIDEMIOLOGY, Diabetic neuropathy < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

1	
2	
3	Incidence of diabetes-related complications in ethnic Chinese with newly diagnosed type
4	1 diabetes, 1999-2013
6	
7	
8	Huang-Tz Ou PhD ^{1,2,*} , Tsung-Ying Lee MS ¹ , Chung-Yi Li PhD ^{3,4} , Jin-Shang Wu MD ^{5,6} ,
9	7ih Jie Sun MD ^{6,7}
10	
11	
12	¹ Institute of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Medicine, National
13 14	Cheng Kung University Tainan Taiwan
15	
16	² Department of Pharmacy, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Tainan,
17	Taiwan
18	³ Department of Public Health College of Madicine, National Chang Kung University Trings
19	Department of Public Heatin, Conege of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan,
20	Taiwan
21	⁴ Department of Public Health China Medical University Taichung Taiwan
23	
24	Department of Family Medicine, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University,
25	Tainan, Taiwan
26 27	⁶ Department of Family Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Tainan, Taiwan
28	⁷ Department of Family Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Dou-Liou
29	Department of Family Wedenie, National Cherg Kung Oniversity Hospital, Dou-Llou
30	Branch, Dou-Liou, Taiwan
31	
32	
33 24	
35	
36	*Corresponding author
37	Huang-Tz Ou PhD
38 39	Institute of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Medicine, National
40	Chong Kung University
41 42	
43	I University Road, Tainan 7010, Taiwan
44	Phone: +886-6-2353535 ext.5685
45	Fax: +886-6-2373149
40	Email: huangtz@mail.ncku.edu.tw
48	Email. <u>Inauffiziamun.neku.eau.tw</u>
49	
50	
51	

Abstract

Objective: To estimate the incidence densities and cumulative incidence of diabetes-related complications in patients with type 1 diabetes for a maximum of 15-year follow-up. The estimations were further stratified by gender and age at diagnosis (i.e., early-onset: 0-12 years,

late-onset: ≤ 13 years).

Design: A population-based longitudinal cohort study.

Setting: Taiwan's National Health Insurance medical claims.

Participants: 4,007 patients newly-diagnosed with type 1 diabetes were identified during 1999-2012.

Outcome measures: Acute complications included diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) and hypoglycemia. Chronic complications were cardiovascular diseases (CVD), retinopathy, neuropathy, and nephropathy.

Results: The incidence density of retinopathy was greatest (97.74 per 1,000 person-years),

followed by those of nephropathy (31.36), neuropathy (23.93), and CVD (4.39). Among

acute complications, the incidence density of DKA was greatest (121.11 per 1,000

person-years). The cumulative incidences of acute complications after 12 years following

diagnosis were estimated to be 52.1%, 36.1%, and 4.1% for DKA, mild hypoglycemia, and

Page 3 of 46

BMJ Open

hospitalized hypoglycemia, respectively. For chronic complications, the cumulative incidence of retinopathy after 12 years following diagnosis was greatest (65.2%), followed by those of nephropathy (30.2%), neuropathy (23.7%), and CVD (4.1%). Females with late-onset diabetes were greatly affected by advanced retinopathy (i.e., sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy) and hospitalized hypoglycemia, whereas those with early-onset diabetes were more vulnerable to DKA. Chronic complications were more commonly seen in late-onset diabetes, whereas early-onset diabetes were most affected by acute complications. Conclusions: Ethnically Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes were greatly affected by DKA and retinopathy. Significant age-sex disparities in the incidence of diabetes-related complications affect such individuals.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the largest longitudinal cohort study of ethnically Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes followed for a maximum of 15 years to provide up-to-date incidence estimates of acute and chronic complications.
- ✓ The analyses stratified by gender and age at diabetes-onset indicated significant age-gender disparities in the epidemiological data of diabetes-related complications in type 1 diabetes, which highlight importance for clinical attention and developing preventive strategies.
- The study limitations resulting from the use of medical reimbursement claims data, including potential misclassifications of diabetes-related complications and lack of clinical biomarkers such as blood glucose, may underestimate rather than overestimate the incidence rates of diabetes-related complications.
- ✓ The incidence estimates of diabetes-related complications may only be generalizable to ethnically Chinese population with type 1 diabetes.

BMJ Open

Introduction

It has been estimated that the incidence of type 1 diabetes increases by about 3-5% per year worldwide.¹⁻³ The annual incidence rate of childhood (< 15 years) type 1 diabetes in Taiwan was 5.3 per 100,000 children in the period 2003-2008.⁴ Type 1 diabetes accounts for only 5-10% of the diabetic population, but it remains a devastating chronic disorder with acute complications, including diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) and hypoglycemia, and chronic complications, which can be divided into microvascular (i.e., retinopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy) and macrovascular complications (i.e., cardiovascular diseases; CVD). Although treatment and care for type 1 diabetes have improved,⁵⁻⁷ diabetes-related complications are major obstacles to glycemic control for many patients and contribute to most of the increased morbidity and premature mortality in such individuals.⁸ The toxicity effect of prolonged chronic hyperglycemia is a leading cause of microvascular and macrovascular diseases among type 1 diabetes patients, with hypertension and dyslipidemia being exacerbating factors.9

Assessing the epidemiology of diabetes-related complications is essential for developing preventive strategies and planning treatment protocols to minimize the impact of the complications. However, there is very little longitudinal data (e.g., Pittsburgh Epidemiology

of Childhood-Onset Diabetes Complications (EDC) Study,¹⁰ EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study¹¹) on the incidence of complications for type 1 diabetes, and previous estimates widely vary by country and follow-up period (e.g., 7 years, ¹² 12 years, ¹³ 18 years, ¹⁴ 30 years¹⁰). In addition, a limited number of diabetes-related complications have been investigated (e.g., microalbuminuria^{12, 14} and cardiovascular diseases; CVD¹³), with no previous study targeting an ethnic Chinese population with type 1 diabetes. Ethnic variations in diabetes-related complications have been recognized; Caucasian patients are greatly affected by CVD,^{15, 16} while the prevalence of end-stage renal failure (ESRD)¹⁷ and the odds of microalbuminuria and macroaluminuria¹⁸ in Asian populations are much higher compared to those for Caucasian patients. Given the significance of rising life expectancy in recent years among ethnic Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes,¹⁹ it is important to provide precise up-to-date estimates of incidence of its complications and compare them to those for other countries. We therefore utilized a longitudinal population-based cohort of newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes patients who were followed during the period 1999-2013 to evaluate the incidence densities and cumulative incidences of acute and chronic complications to provide contemporary estimates for an ethnic Chinese population. Efforts were also made to examine whether there were age and sex differences in the incidences of type-1-diabetes-related

complications.

Materials and Methods

The Institutional Review Board of National Cheng Kung University Hospital approved the study before commencement (A-ER-103-298).

Data source:

We utilized the Longitudinal Cohort of Diabetes Patients (LHDB) 1996-2013 data from the National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD). Taiwan's NHIRD is population-based and derived from the claims data of the National Health Insurance (NHI) program, a mandatory-enrollment, single-payment system that covers over 99% of Taiwan's population.²⁰ The LHDB is a valid national dataset that consists of a random sample of 120,000 de-identified diabetes incident cases from each calendar year, who were tracked back to 1996 and followed up to 2013 to establish a longitudinal cohort. The LHDB is representative of Taiwan's population with diabetes and provides research opportunity to evaluate long-term health outcomes of patients.

Cohort:

From the LHDB, we selected 4,677 patients with a diagnosis of type 1 diabetes

(International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification, ICD-9-CM = 250.x1 or 250.x3) from outpatient files of the LHDB and having received a Catastrophic Illness Card (CIC) for type 1 diabetes (Figure 1) in the period 1999-2012. Because patients with a CIC are eligible for exemption from insurance premiums and co-payments, the approval of such a status is subject to evaluation and review by the Bureau of NHI of Taiwan. The CIC patient data are accurate and reliable with a positive predictive value of 98.3% for type 1 diabetes.¹⁹ We further excluded 670 potential type 2 diabetes cases who consumed any oral antihypoglycemic agents (OHAs) after CIC was issued, including sulfonylureas, meglitinides, acarbose, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors, and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists, and however, those who used metformin alone, thiazolidinediones alone, or both were retained. Patients who were prescribed metformin, thiazolidinediones, or both were retained because these OHAs are insulin-sensitizers that can be combined with insulin treatments for cases with insulin resistance,^{21, 22} which is also seen in patients with type 1 diabetes in Taiwan based on our expert opinions. To estimate the incidence rates of diabetes-related complications, we further selected cases without a history of microvascular or macrovascular diseases before type 1 diabetes diagnosis (Table 1). Study patients were stratified by gender and age at first type 1 diabetes diagnosis (i.e., early-onset: 0-12 years,

BMJ Open

late-onset: ≤ 13 years).

Diabetes-related complications:

The complications of interest included acute complications, namely DKA (confirmed by hospital admission or emergency room visit for DKA), mild hypoglycemia (confirmed by outpatient visit for hypoglycemia), and hospitalized hypoglycemia, and chronic complications, namely CVD, nephropathy, retinopathy, and neuropathy. A list of diabetes-related complications and the corresponding ICD-9-CM codes are provided in Supplementary Table 1; this list was confirmed by the expert panel before being applied. Statistics:

The incidence density of diabetes-related complications was calculated by dividing the number of incident cases with individual complication events by the total person-years observed over 15 years of follow-up (1999-2013). The 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated assuming a Poisson distribution of cases.²³ Because a cohort of newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes patients was utilized, we were able to provide visual illustrations about the cumulative incidences of diabetes-related complications by diabetes duration since diabetes onset. The cumulative incidence of diabetes-related complications was estimated by using the life table method (using the SAS LIFETEST procedure). Significant differences in incidence

density between age-sex subgroups were indicated by a 95% CI for the difference in incidence density between subgroups.²⁴ SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) was used for the aforementioned analyses.

Results

The overall and age-sex specific incidence densities of diabetes-related complications are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The incidence rate of retinopathy (97.74 per 1,000 person-years) was greatest, followed by those of nephropathy (31.36), neuropathy (23.93), and CVD (4.39). Among acute complications, the incidence density of DKA was greatest (121.11 per 1,000 person-years). As shown in Table 2, the incidence densities of retinopathy, DKA, and hospitalized hypoglycemia in females were significantly higher than those in males. The incidence densities of DKA and mild hypoglycemia in the early-onset group (0-12 years) were significantly higher than those noted in the late-onset group (≤ 13 years), while those of advanced retinopathy (i.e., sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy; STDR), neuropathy, nephropathy, CVD, and hospitalized hypoglycemia in the late-onset group were significantly higher. Figures 2 and 3 show cumulative incidences for acute and chronic complications, respectively, along with diabetes duration. The cumulative incidences

BMJ Open

at the 12th year after diagnosis were 52.1%, 36.1%, and 4.1% for DKA, mild hypoglycemia, and hospitalized hypoglycemia, respectively. For chronic complications, the 12-year cumulative incidence of retinopathy was greatest (65.2%), followed by those of nephropathy (30.2%), neuropathy (23.7%), and CVD (5.2%). At 5 years after diabetes diagnosis, around 45% of patients experienced hypoglycemia, while at 10 years after diagnosis, about half of patients had hypoglycemia. Age-sex specific cumulative incidences of diabetes-related complications are illustrated in Supplementary Figure 1.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest cohort study of ethnically Chinese patients with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes. We provided up-to-date estimates of the incidence of acute and chronic complications in type 1 diabetes patients followed for a maximum of 15 years. We observed age-gender disparities in the incidence of diabetes-related complications in type 1 diabetes. Although comparisons of the epidemiology of diabetes-related complications between studies are difficult, as potential determinants of the complications (e.g., age, gender, diabetes duration) differ, the estimates from different studies may reveal some racial or ethnic differences. In the following, we compare our results

for ethnically Chinese patients with those reported for other countries or ethnicities.

Acute diabetes-related complications in type 1 diabetes patients

Diabetic ketoacidosis

Among acute complications, hyperglycemic events, including DKA and hyperglycemic hyperosmolar syndrome (HHS), are leading causes of morbidity and mortality among individuals with diabetes,²⁵ and utilize significant healthcare resources.²⁶ DKA was the most common acute complication among the Taiwanese population with type 1 diabetes; the incidence density followed for 15 years was 121.11 per 1,000 person-years, and half of the study population (~52%) experienced DKA at 12 years after diabetes diagnosis. Consistent with previous studies from the United States,²⁷ Australia,²⁸ and Canada,²⁹ we found that the incidence of DKA in female patients, especially those with early-onset diabetes (i.e., 0-12 years), was higher than that in male patients. A cohort of 1,234 children with type 1 diabetes in the United States showed that female patients were greatly affected by DKA. A female preponderance of DKA was observed in a longitudinal study of childhood type 1 diabetes in Australia.²⁸ Similarly, a Canadian study of childhood type 1 diabetes showed that female sex was a significant predictor of DKA.²⁹ In fact, insulin omission or intentional insulin under-treatment due to fear of weight gain³⁰ and high prevalence of eating disorders³¹ and

BMJ Open

psychiatric disorders²⁷ among female type 1 diabetes patients have been recognized as precipitating causes of DKA. Hence, effective interventions such as health education and communication for type 1 diabetes females are needed to reduce the incidence of DKA.

Hypoglycemia

Increased hypoglycemic events have been recognized as a result of the undesired effects of intensive insulin therapy with strict glycemic control.³² The present study showed that the incidence rates of hospitalized and mild hypoglycemia in the Taiwanese population with type 1 diabetes were 3.89 and 39.93 per 1,000 person-years, respectively, which are much lower than that reported in type 1 diabetes children (0-19 years) in the United States (incidence of severe hypoglycemia: 190 per 1,000 person-years).²⁷ Such discrepancies in international data may be explained by different definitions and assessment approaches for hypoglycemic events. We targeted hospital admissions for severe hypoglycemia based on ICD-9 CM codes, whereas the United States study used patients' reported survey data and classified severe hypoglycemia as acute episodes requiring the assistance of another person for treatment reported in the preceding 3 months.³³

Moreover, we observed that early-onset patients were greatly affected by acute complications (i.e., DKA, hypoglycemia). It has been documented that among young children

2
3
4
5
6
7
/
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
10
י רבי
∠∪ ⊃1
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
21
21
2∠ 22
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
42
7-J ///
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
55
50
5/
58
59
60

1

with type 1 diabetes, inconsistent eating patterns and lesser ability to recognize and report acute symptoms make it difficult to achieve glycemic control, leading to glycemic fluctuations that cause multiple episodes of hyperglycemia (i.e., DKA) and hypoglycemia.³⁴ Frequent exposures to hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia in early-onset type 1 diabetes patients could lead to a range of neurocognitive dysfunctions and brain changes.³⁵ Also, structural brain changes in type 1 diabetes children may occur due to recurrent hypoglycemia.³⁶ Hence, given the high rates of acute complications and associated serious consequences, effective management protocols and identification and treatment of precipitating causes are needed.³⁷ In particular, regular glycemic monitoring and identification of risk factors in young type 1 diabetes patients are needed to reduce the frequency and severity of DKA and hypoglycemia.

Chronic diabetes-related complications in type 1 diabetes

Diabetic retinopathy

Diabetic retinopathy is the main cause of blindness in the adult population.³⁸ Almost all type 1 diabetes patients develop evident retinopathy in the first 20 years of diagnosis.³⁹ The present study showed that more than half (~69%) of type 1 diabetes patients experienced some form of diabetic retinopathy at 12 years after diagnosis. We observed that the incidence

Page 15 of 46

1

BMJ Open

2
2
1
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
10
יק רי
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
20
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
26
20
3/
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
- 1-1 15
40
40
47
48
49
50
51
52
52
55
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
~ ~

density of diabetes retinopathy is greatest among chronic complications in Taiwanese type 1
diabetes patients (4.53 per 100 person-years over a period of 15 years of follow-up). As
compared to the incident density of proliferative retinopathy (19.5 per 1,000 person-years) in
the Pittsburgh EDC Study of type 1 diabetes patients with a mean age of 28 years and
diabetes duration of 19 years at baseline examination, ¹⁰ our estimate (5.87 per 1,000
person-year) based on a cohort of newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes patients is lower. Such a
difference between studies may be explained by diabetes duration and age at baseline of
study examination. Moreover, comparing the prevalence of STDR in type 1 diabetes patients
in this study (2.00 % for women and 1.66 % for men) with that previously observed in
Taiwanese type 2 diabetes patients (2.75% for women and 2.87% for men) ⁴⁰ reveals a slightly
lower advanced diabetic retinopathy (i.e., STDR) in the type 1 diabetes versus type 2 diabetes
patients. However, the lower rate of STDR in our study may be due to the other study's
inclusion of prevalent type 2 diabetes cases with longer diabetes duration ⁴⁰ as compared to
incident type 1 diabetes targeted in this study.

Consistent with previous studies,^{41, 42} the present study demonstrated a female preponderance in diabetic retinopathy. A large cohort of 8,114 type 1 diabetes patients and families assembled over 25 years from the United States showed that females had 1.7 fold

higher retinopathy risk (p < 0.001) as compared to that of males.⁴¹ Also, a cross-sectional study of 247 Italian type 1 diabetes patients showed a significant relationship between diabetic retinopathy and female gender (p = 0.01).⁴² Although exact hormone, genetic, lifestyle, or environmental factors are unclear, a differential effect of sex steroid hormones has been proposed to explain this gender discrepancy.⁴³ Also, age at diabetes onset has been shown to be associated with the development of diabetic retinopathy.^{42, 44} An early age at onset (5-14 years) appears to modify the long-term risk of proliferative retinopathy.⁴⁴ Consistent with other studies, we observed lower incidence of diabetic retinopathy in early-onset patients as compared to that in late-onset patients. Nevertheless, given a high rate of diabetes retinopathy observed among Taiwanese type 1 diabetes patients, early detection using routine eye examination, control for risk factors of diabetic retinopathy (e.g., hypertension, hyperglycemia, hyperlipidemia),⁹ as well as development of tailored intervention strategies for age-sex subgroups are important.

Diabetic nephropathy

Our results show that diabetic nephropathy is the second most common microvascular complication among the Taiwanese population with type 1 diabetes. Without interventions, diabetes patients with microalbuminuria typically progress to proteinuria and overt diabetic

BMJ Open

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
20	
20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
<u>4</u> 7	
/ /0	
40 40	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
59 59	
50	
27	
60	

nephropathy. ⁴⁵ Diabetic nephropathy is a leading cause of ESRD among patients with
diabetes. ⁴⁵ As estimated, individuals with type 1 diabetes face a 20-50% chance of
developing ESDR that requires dialysis or renal transplantation. ⁴⁶ The Pittsburgh EDC Study
reported that the incidence density of renal failure (based on self-reported renal
transplantation and dialysis) was 6.3 per 1,000 person-years over 12 years of follow-up, ¹⁰
while the present study based on ICD-9 codes of renal failure found that the incidence of
renal failure was 1.31 per 1,000 person-years over 15 years of follow-up. Of note, the EDC
study enrolled more advanced type 1 diabetes cases (i.e., mean age of 28 years and diabetes
duration of 19 years at baseline examination ¹⁰) than those in our study (i.e., newly diagnosed
type 1 diabetes cases in 2000-2012), which may explain the higher rate of renal failure in the
EDC study. A large inception cohort study of Danish patients newly diagnosed with type 1
diabetes followed for a median of 18 years reported that the cumulative incidences of
persistent microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria were 33.6% and 14.6%, respectively,
while the present study found that overall cumulative incidence of any form of diabetic
nephropathy was 30.2% at 12 years after diabetes diagnosis. Moreover, early-onset diabetes
appears to be protective for developing diabetic nephropathy ^{12, 47-49} and may delay the time
until microalbuminuria. ⁴⁹ Consistently, we found that late-onset diabetes patients were more

affected by diabetic nephropathy than were early-onset patients. Nevertheless, given the fact that Taiwan has the highest number of patients undergoing renal dialysis in the world, where diabetes contributes to about 40 % of end-stage renal failure cases,⁵⁰ it is critical for routine annual screening of clinical signs of diabetic nephropathy (i.e., proteinuria,

microalbuminuria), optimal control of glycemia and risk factors (e.g., retinopathy smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension^{14, 51, 52}), and early intervening medications for prevention (e.g., angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor blocker for those with comorbid hypertension).⁹

Diabetic neuropathy

Diabetic neuropathy refers to the presence of symptoms, signs, or both of peripheral nerve dysfunction in people with diabetes after the exclusion of other causes.⁵³ Peripheral neuropathy in diabetes may manifest in several different forms, including sensory, focal/multifocal, and autonomic neuropathies.⁵⁴ The epidemiological data of diabetic neuropathy is very limited. A study of 467 Italian type 1 diabetes patients showed that the prevalence rates of asymptomatic and symptomatic neuropathy were 7.2% and 21.3%, respectively.⁵⁵ The present study is the first study to provide epidemiology data on diabetic neuropathy among ethnically Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes from Asia. We found that

Page 19 of 46

1

BMJ Open

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
20
∠ ı วว
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
30
27
5Z
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
Δ1
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
52
55
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

the incidence rate was 23.93 per 1,000 person-years over 15 years of follow-up, and that the cumulative incidence was 23.7% at 12 years after diabetes diagnosis. We also observed that the incidence of diabetic neuropathy in late-onset patients were much higher than that in early-onset patients. Similarly, the Italian study of type 1 diabetes showed that the prevalence of diabetic neuropathy was higher in patients at older ages.⁵⁵ Since diabetic neuropathy contributes to considerable disabilities and mortality, it is critical for clinicians to understand its manifestations, prevention, and treatment.⁹ Early prevention strategies that control hypertension and hyperglycemia and identify patients with peripheral neuropathy or peripheral vascular disease and annual screening for these conditions are strongly ieg recommended.9

Cardiovascular diseases

CVD is a leading cause of mortality in patients with type 1 diabetes^{56, 57} and accounts for the greatest proportion of healthcare spending for patients with diabetes.^{57, 58} As compared to patients without diabetes, type 1 diabetes increases the risk of CVD by ten fold,^{56, 59} which contributes to two-thirds of mortality in patients with type 1 diabetes.^{60, 61} The Pittsburgh EDC Study showed an incidence density of 3.6 per 1,000 person-years for coronary heart diseases (defined as coronary-artery-disease-related death, a history of myocardial infarction,

angiographic stenosis \geq 50% including revascularization) over a period of 12 years,¹⁰ while the present study found that the incidence density for a broader category of CVD (including myocardial infarction, ischemic heart diseases, heart failure, stroke, and arrhythmia, as shown in Supplementary Table 1) in the Taiwanese population with type 1 diabetes within 15 years of follow-up was 4.39 per 1,000 person-years. The cumulative incidences of CVD (including only stroke and coronary heart disease) at 12 years after diabetes was diagnosed was 1-2% among Finnish type 1 diabetes patients,¹³ which is lower than that for the Taiwanese type 1 diabetes patients in the present study (~5.2%). Moreover, we found that late-onset patients were greatly affected by CVD. In fact, old age is recognized as a predictor of vascular diseases,⁵⁶ which may be explained by the calcification of extremity arteries and hypertension in older age patients, which are risk factors of macrovascular diseases.⁶²

Methodological concerns

Some limitations of this study should be acknowledged. The classification of diabetes-related complications based on the ICD-9 CM codes in claims data may underestimate the occurrence of the complications. For example, patients experiencing clinical symptoms/signs of diabetes-related complications (e.g., hypoglycemia) may not see doctors if they can tolerate them. Also, the claims data do not capture clinical/minor Page 21 of 46

BMJ Open

symptoms or signs of diabetes-related complications such as minor microalbuminuria. The glycemic biomarkers such as blood glucose were not available from the claims data so the identification of hyperglycemia or hypoglycemia was only based on the ICD-9 CM diagnosis codes. However, the claims records capture defined diabetes-related complications that are required for medical assistance or treatments, which lead to more conservative estimates and reveal important manifestations of diabetes-related complications for clinical attention. Lastly, the generalizability of our study results may be limited to ethnically Chinese populations. In addition, our results may represent only ethnically Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes in (elie Taiwan.

Conclusions

Utilizing an incident cohort of type 1 diabetes patients diagnosed during the period 1999-2012 with a maximum of 15 years of follow-up, we found that most type 1 diabetes patients were affected by DKA and retinopathy, which highlight the critical need to identify precipitating causes and modifiable factors for developing preventive strategies and intervening treatment protocols to minimize the impact of these complications. Age and sex discrepancies appear in epidemiological data of diabetes-related complications; late-onset diabetes females were greatly affected by advanced retinopathy (i.e., STDR) and hospitalized

hypoglycemia, while early-onset females had a high incidence of DKA. Chronic diabetes-related complications were more common in late-onset type 1 diabetes patients, while early-onset individuals were most affected by acute complications. More attention should be given to identify potential risk factors and contributors to such age-sex differences in diabetes-related complications. Population-based data on the incidence of diabetes-related complications from this study are important for clinicians to recognize the need for diagnostic awareness and for policy-markers to develop effective treatments for patients with type 1 diabetes.

BMJ Open

Acknowledgments: We gratefully thank National Cheng Kung University and its affiliated

hospital for all their support.

Funding: This research was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan,

grant MOST 104-2320-B-006-008-MY3.

Competing interests: No declared.

Authors' contribution: H.T.O. contributed substantially to the study concept and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data. T.Y.L. contributed to data collection and the analysis. C.Y.L., J.S.W., and Z.J.S. provided statistical and clinical interpretation of the results. H.T.O. wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and T.Y.L., C.Y.L., J.S.W., and Z.J.S. very critically revised the manuscript. All authors gave approval for the publication of the final version.

References

1. Onkamo P, Väänänen S, Karvonen M, Tuomilehto J. Worldwide increase in incidence of Type I diabetes–the analysis of the data on published incidence trends. *Diabetologia* 1999;42(12):1395-1403.

 Karvonen M, Viik-Kajander M, Moltchanova E, Libman I, LaPorte R, Tuomilehto J. Incidence of childhood type 1 diabetes worldwide. Diabetes Mondiale (DiaMond) Project Group. *Diabetes care* 2000;23(10):1516-1526.

3. Soltesz G, Patterson CC, Dahlquist G. Worldwide childhood type 1 diabetes incidence–what can we learn from epidemiology? *Pediatric diabetes* 2007;8(s6):6-14.

4. Lu CL, Shen HN, Chen HF, Li CY. Epidemiology of childhood Type 1 diabetes in Taiwan, 2003 to 2008. *Diabetic Medicine* 2014;31(6):666-673.

5. Goldstein DE, Walker B, Rawlings SS, Hess RL, England JD, Peth SB, Hewett JE. Hemoglobin A1c levels in children and adolescents with diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 1980;3(4):503-507.

6. Ziegler R, Heidtmann B, Hilgard D, Hofer S, Rosenbauer J, Holl R. Frequency of SMBG correlates with HbA1c and acute complications in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *Pediatric diabetes* 2011;12(1):11-17.

7. Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Bain RP, Rohde RD. The effect of angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibition on diabetic nephropathy. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1993;329(20):1456-1462.

8. Secrest AM, Becker DJ, Kelsey SF, LaPorte RE, Orchard TJ. All-Cause Mortality Trends in a Large Population-Based Cohort With Long-Standing Childhood-Onset Type 1 Diabetes The Allegheny County Type 1 Diabetes Registry. *Diabetes care* 2010;33(12):2573-2579.

9. Bate KL, Jerums G. 3: Preventing complications of diabetes. *Medical journal of Australia* 2003;179(9):498-505.

10. Pambianco G, Costacou T, Ellis D, Becker DJ, Klein R, Orchard TJ. The 30-Year Natural History of Type 1 Diabetes Complications The Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study Experience. *Diabetes* 2006;55(5):1463-1469.

 Stephenson J, Fuller JH, Group EICS. Microvascular and acute complications in IDDM patients: the EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study. *Diabetologia* 1994;37(3):278-285.

12. Chaturvedi N, Bandinelli S, Mangili R, Penno G, Rottiers RE, Fuller JH. Microalbuminuria in type 1 diabetes: rates, risk factors and glycemic threshold.

BMJ Open

Kidney international 2001.60(1).219-227	
13 Tuomilehto I Borch-Johnsen K Molarius A Forsen T Rastenvte D Sarti C	
Reunanen A. Incidence of cardiovascular disease in Type 1 (insulin-dependent)	
diabetic subjects with and without diabetic penhropathy in Finland <i>Diabetologia</i>	
1998·41(7)·784-790	
14 Hovind P Tarnow L. Rossing P Graae M Torn L Binder C. Parving H-H	
Predictors for the development of microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria in patient	nts
with type 1 diabetes: incention cohort study <i>Bmi</i> 2004:328(7448):1105	105
15 Malik MO Govan I. Petrie IR. Ghouri N. Leese G. Eischbacher C. Colhoun H	r
Philin S. Wild S. McCrimmon R. Ethnicity and risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD	ι,
A super follow up of patients with type 2 disbates living in Spotland. Disbatelogia	9.
2015-58(4)-716 725	
16 Spanakis EK Colden SH Pace/athnic difference in diabetes and diabetic	
applications, Current diabates reports 2012:12(6):814 822	
17 U.S. Popel Data System USPDS 2003 Appuel Data Papert: Atlas of End Stag	0
17. U.S. Kenai Data System, USKDS 2005 Annual Data Report. Atlas of End-Stag	e af
Diskates and Diseastive and Kidney Diseases Dathards MD 2002	51
Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Betnesda, MD, 2005.	
18. Young BA, Katon WJ, Von Korri M, Simon GE, Lin EHB, Clechanowski PS,	
Bush 1, Oliver M, Ludman EJ, Boyko EJ. Racial and ethnic differences in	. (
microalbuminuria prevalence in a diabetes population, the pathways study. <i>Journal</i> $(l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{n}) = 2005 16(1) 210 220$	оJ
the American Society of Nephrology 2005;16(1):219-228.	
19. Lin w-H, wang M-C, wang w-M, Yang D-C, Lam C-F, Roan J-N, Li C-Y.	0
Incidence of and Mortality from Type I Diabetes in Taiwan From 1999 through 2010):
A Nationwide Cohort Study. <i>PloS one</i> 2014;9(1):e86172.	
20. Cheng T-M. Taiwan's new national health insurance program: genesis and	
experience so far. <i>Health Affairs</i> 2003;22(3):61-76.	
21. Vella S, Buetow L, Royle P, Livingstone S, Colhoun H, Petrie J. The use of	
metformin in type 1 diabetes: a systematic review of efficacy. <i>Diabetologia</i>	
2010;53(5):809-820.	
22. Strowig SM, Raskin P. The effect of rosiglitazone on overweight subjects with	
type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2005;28(7):1562-1567.	
23. Gail MH, Benichou J. <i>Encyclopedia of epidemiologic methods</i> : John Wiley &	
Sons; 2000.	
24. Sahai H, Khurshid A. Statistics in epidemiology: methods, techniques and	
applications: CRC press; 1995.	25
	20

25. Graves EJ, Gillum BS. Detailed diagnoses and procedures, National Hospital Discharge Survey, 1995. *Vital Health Stat 13* 1997(130):1-146.

26. Javor KA, Kotsanos JG, McDonald RC, Baron AD, Kesterson JG, Tierney WM. Diabetic ketoacidosis charges relative to medical charges of adult patients with type I diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 1997;20(3):349-354.

27. Rewers A, Chase HP, Mackenzie T, Walravens P, Roback M, Rewers M,
Hamman RF, Klingensmith G. Predictors of acute complications in children with type 1 diabetes. *Jama* 2002;287(19):2511-2518.

28. Neu A, Willasch A, Ehehalt S, Hub R, Ranke MB. Ketoacidosis at onset of type 1 diabetes mellitus in children–frequency and clinical presentation. *Pediatric diabetes* 2003;4(2):77-81.

29. Alaghehbandan R, Collins KD, Newhook LA, MacDonald D. Childhood type 1 diabetes mellitus in Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada. *Diabetes research and clinical practice* 2006;74(1):82-89.

30. Meltzer LJ, Johnson SB, Prine JM, Banks RA, Desrosiers PM, Silverstein JH. Disordered eating, body mass, and glycemic control in adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes care* 2001;24(4):678-682.

31. Rodin GM, Daneman D. Eating disorders and IDDM: a problematic association. *Diabetes Care* 1992;15(10):1402-1412.

 Pramming S, Thorsteinsson B, Bendtson I, Binder C. Symptomatic hypoglycaemia in 411 type 1 diabetic patients. *Diabetic Medicine* 1991;8(3):217-222.
 Craig ME, Jones TW, Silink M, Ping YJ, International Diabetes Federation Western Pacific Region Steering C. Diabetes care, glycemic control, and complications in children with type 1 diabetes from Asia and the Western Pacific Region. *Journal of Diabetes and its Complications* 2007;21(5):280-287.

34. Aye T, Reiss AL, Kesler S, Hoang S, Drobny J, Park Y, Schleifer K, Baumgartner H, Wilson DM, Buckingham BA. The feasibility of detecting neuropsychologic and neuroanatomic effects of type 1 diabetes in young children. *Diabetes care* 2011;34(7):1458-1462.

35. Northam EA, Anderson PJ, Jacobs R, Hughes M, Warne GL, Werther GA. Neuropsychological profiles of children with type 1 diabetes 6 years after disease onset. *Diabetes care* 2001;24(9):1541-1546.

36. Ho MS, Weller NJ, Ives FJ, Carne CL, Murray K, vanden Driesen RI, Nguyen TP, Robins PD, Bulsara M, Davis EA. Prevalence of structural central nervous system abnormalities in early-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus. *The Journal of pediatrics*

BMJ Open

27 of 46	BMJ Open								
	2008;153(3):385-390.								
	37. Umpierrez GE, Murphy MB, Kitabchi AE. Diabetic ketoacidosis and								
	hyperglycemic hyperosmolar syndrome. Diabetes Spectrum 2002;15(1):28-36.								
	38. VanNewkirk MR, Weih L, McCarty CA, Taylor HR. Cause-specific prevalence								
	of bilateral visual impairment in Victoria, Australia: the Visual Impairment Project. <i>Ophthalmology</i> 2001;108(5):960-967.								
	39. Fong DS, Aiello L, Gardner TW, King GL, Blankenship G, Cavallerano JD,								
	Ferris FL, 3rd, Klein R, American Diabetes A. Diabetic retinopathy. Diabetes Care								
	2003;26 Suppl 1:S99-S102.								
	40. Lin J-C, Shau W-Y, Lai M-S. Sex-and age-specific prevalence and incidence								
	rates of sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy in Taiwan. JAMA ophthalmology								
	2014;132(8):922-928.								
	41. Monti MC, Lonsdale JT, Montomoli C, Montross R, Schlag E, Greenberg DA.								
	Familial risk factors for microvascular complications and differential male-female								
	risk in a large cohort of American families with type 1 diabetes. The Journal of								
	Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 2007;92(12):4650-4655.								
	42. Minuto N, Emmanuele V, Vannati M, Russo C, Rebora C, Panarello S, Pistorio A,								
	Lorini R, d'Annunzio G. Retinopathy screening in patients with type 1 diabetes								
	diagnosed in young age using a non-mydriatic digital stereoscopic retinal imaging.								
	Journal of endocrinological investigation 2012;35(4):389-394.								
	43. Holl R, Lang G, Grabert M, Heinze E, Lang G, Debatin K. Diabetic retinopathy								
	in pediatric patients with type-1 diabetes: effect of diabetes duration, prepubertal and								
	pubertal onset of diabetes, and metabolic control. The Journal of pediatrics								
	1998;132(5):790-794.								
	44. Hletala K, Harjutsalo V, Forsblom C, Groop P-H, Group fs. Age at onset and the								
	risk of proliferative retinopathy in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes care								
	2010;33(6):1315-1319.								
	45. Marshall SM. Clinical features and management of diabetic nephropathy.								
	Textbook of diabetes Boston: Blackwell Publishing 2003;2003.								
	46. Nordwall M, Bojestig M, Arnqvist HJ, Ludvigsson J. Declining incidence of								
	severe retinopathy and persisting decrease of nephropathy in an unselected population								
	of Type 1 diabetes-the Linköping Diabetes Complications Study. Diabetologia								
	2004;47(7):1266-1272.								
	47. Donaghue KC, Fairchild JM, Craig ME, Chan AK, Hing S, Cutler LR, Howard								
	NJ, Silink M. Do all prepubertal years of diabetes duration contribute equally to 27								

diabetes complications? Diabetes care 2003;26(4):1224-1229. 48. Svensson M, Nyström L, Schön S, Dahlquist G. Age at Onset of Childhood-Onset Type 1 Diabetes and the Development of End-Stage Renal Disease A nationwide population-based study. *Diabetes Care* 2006;29(3):538-542. 49. Raile K, Galler A, Hofer S, Herbst A, Dunstheimer D, Busch P, Holl RW. Diabetic Nephropathy in 27,805 Children, Adolescents, and Adults With Type 1 Diabetes Effect of diabetes duration, A1C, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes onset, and sex. Diabetes care 2007;30(10):2523-2528. 50. International Comparisons. American Journal of Kidney Diseases;57(1):e383-e396. 51. Jenkins AJ, Lyons TJ, Zheng D, Otvos JD, Lackland DT, McGee D, Garvey WT, Klein RL, dcct/edic Research G. Lipoproteins in the DCCT/EDIC cohort: associations with diabetic nephropathy. *Kidney international* 2003;64(3):817-828. 52. Rossing P, Hougaard P, Parving H-H. Risk Factors for Development of Incipient and Overt Diabetic Nephropathy in Type 1 Diabetic Patients A 10-year prospective observational study. Diabetes care 2002;25(5):859-864. 53. American Diabetes A. Standards of medical care for patients with diabetes mellitus. Puerto Rico Health Sciences Journal 2013;20(2). 54. Boulton AJM, Vinik AI, Arezzo JC, Bril V, Feldman EL, Freeman R, Malik RA, Maser RE, Sosenko JM, Ziegler D. Diabetic neuropathies a statement by the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes care 2005;28(4):956-962. 55. Veglio M, Sivieri R. Prevalence of neuropathy in IDDM patients in Piemonte, Italy. Diabetes care 1993;16(2):456-461. 56. Laing SP, Swerdlow AJ, Slater SD, Burden AC, Morris A, Waugh NR, Gatling W, Bingley PJ, Patterson CC. Mortality from heart disease in a cohort of 23,000 patients with insulin-treated diabetes. Diabetologia 2003;46(6):760-765. 57. Paterson AD, Rutledge BN, Cleary PA, Lachin JM, Crow RS. The Effect of Intensive Diabetes Treatment on Resting Heart Rate in Type 1 Diabetes The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Study. *Diabetes care* 2007;30(8):2107-2112. 58. Hogan P, Dall T, Nikolov P. Economic costs of diabetes in the US in 2002. Diabetes care 2003;26(3):917. 59. Dorman JS, Laporte RE, Kuller LH, Cruickshanks KJ, Orchard TJ, Wagener DK, Becker DJ, Cavender DE, Drash AL. The Pittsburgh insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM) morbidity and mortality study: mortality results. Diabetes

BMJ Open

r	
Z	
3	
4	
E	
Э	
6	
7	
0	
0	
9	
10	
11	
11	
12	
13	
11	
14	
15	
16	
17	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
22	
20	
24	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28	
29	
20	
30	
31	
32	
22	
22	
34	
35	
26	
50	
37	
38	
20	
29	
40	
41	
Δ٦	
42	
43	
44	
45	
-1-5	
46	
47	
<u>4</u> 8	
40	
49	
50	
51	
51	
52	
53	
51	
54	
55	
56	
57	
57	
58	

59

60

1984;33(3):271-276.

60. Borch-Johnsen K, Nissen H, Henriksen E, Kreiner S, Salling N, Deckert T,

Nerup J. The Natural History of Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus in Denmark: 1.

Long-term survival with and without late diabetic complications. Diabetic Medicine

1987;4(3):201-210.

61. Krolewski AS, Warram JH, Christlieb AR, Busick EJ, Kahn CR. The changing natural history of nephropathy in type I diabetes. *The American journal of medicine* 1985;78(5):785-794.

62. Maser RE, Wolfson S, Ellis D, Stein EA, Drash AL, Becker DJ, Dorman JS, Orchard TJ. Cardiovascular disease and arterial calcification in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: interrelations and risk factor profiles. Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study-V. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology* 1991;11(4):958-965.

Retinopathy Proliferative STDR Neuropathy Nephropathy Renal CVD DKA Mild Hospitalized failure hypoglycemia retinopathy hypoglycemia No. of cases* 3.359 3.970 3,983 3.742 3,634 4.003 3,916 3,934 3,987 2,205 No. of cases with event 1,532 157 90 558 688 36 117 996 913 105 10 Follow-up time 11 15,675 26,733 27,139 23,320 21,936 27,491 26,664 8,224 22,865 26,968 (person-years)† 12 13 Incidence density 97.74 5.87 3.32 23.93 31.36 4.39 39.93 1.31 121.11 3.89 14 (1,000 person-years) 15 (2.7-4.1)(92.9-102.8)(5.0-6.9)(22.0-26.0)(29.1 - 33.8)(0.9-1.8)(3.6-5.3)(113.7-128.9)(37.4-42.6)(3.2-4.7)(95% CI) 16 17 * No. of cases refers to the number of patients who had no complication of interest in the baseline year (one year before diagnosis year). 18 † Cumulative follow-up time (person-years) was calculated as the sum of follow-up years during observation period. 19 20 Note: Patients with type 1 diabetes were retrieved from incidence cases from 2000 to 2012. Follow-up time started from the first diagnosis date to the time the event occurred, death, discontinued enrollment from 21 Taiwan's National Health Insurance Program, or the end of 2013, whichever came first. 22 23 Abbreviations: STDR: sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy, CVD: cardiovascular disease, DKA: diabetic ketoacidosis, CI: confidence interval. 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45

Table 1: Overall incident number and incidence density of diabetes-related complications in patients with type 1 diabetes, 1999-2013

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

Page 31 of 46

1

BMJ Open

	Retinopathy	Proliferative	STDR	Neuropathy	Nephropathy	Renal	CVD	DKA	Mild	Hospitalized
		retinopathy				failure			hypoglycemia	hypoglycemi
Male										
No. of cases*										
All male	1,618	1,886	1,892	1,778	1,695	1,901	1859	1,073	1,872	1,90
Early-onset (0-12 years)	654	719	719	714	700	719	712	396	718	71
Late-onset (≥13 years)	964	1,167	1,173	1,064	995	1,182	1,147	677	1,154	1,18
No. of cases with event										
All male	693	64	34	261	332	15	60	447	452	2
Early onset	305	14	1	37	86	1	7	239	202	1
Late onset	388	50	33	224	246	14	53	208	250	1
Follow-up time (person-years)†										
All male	7,813	12,908	13,102	11,345	10,403	13,248	12,798	4,322	11,025	13,11
Early-onset	3,333	5,290	5,368	5,180	4,817	5,358	5,280	1,317	4,277	5,29
Late-onset	4,480	7,618	7,734	6,165	5,586	7,891	7,518	3,005	6,749	7,81
Incidence density										
(1,000 person-years)										
(95% CI)										
All male	88.70	4.96	2.60	23.01	31.91	1.13	4.69	103.43	41.00	2.1
	(82.2-95.6)	(3.8-6.3)	(1.8-3.6)	(20.3-26.0)	(28.6-35.5)	(0.6-1.9)	(3.6-6.0)	(94.1-113.5)	(37.3-45.0)	(1.4-3.1
Early-onset	91.52	2.65	0.19	7.14	17.85	0.19	1.33	181.53	47.23	2.0
	(81.5-102.4)	(1.4-4.4)	(0.0-1.0)	(5.0-9.8)	(14.3-22.0)	(0.0-1.0)	(0.5-2.7)	(159.2-206.1)	(40.9-54.2)	(1.0-3.7
Late-onset	86.60	6.56	4.27	36.34	44.04	1.77	7.05	69.22	37.05	2.1
	(78.2-95.7)	(4.9-8.7)	(2.9-6.0)	(31.7-41.4)	(38.7-49.9)	(1.0-3.0)	(5.3-9.2)	(60.1-79.3)	(32.6-41.9)	(1.3-3.5
		For peer re	eview only - h	ttp://bmjopen.b	mj.com/site/abo	ut/guidelines	s.xhtml			

2	95% CI of incidence density										
3 4	difference for male, early vs.	-8.5 to 18.3	-6.4 to -1.4‡	-5.9 to -2.3‡	-34.8 to -23.6‡	-33.1 to -19.3‡	-2.8 to -0.4‡	-8.1 to -3.3‡	91.5 to 133.1‡	2.4 to 17.9‡	-1.7 to 1.5
5	late-onset										
6 7	Female										
8 9	No. of cases*										
10 11	All female	1,741	2,084	2,091	1,964	1,939	2,102	2,057	1,132	2,062	2,085
12 13	Early-onset	721	777	777	772	764	777	773	413	774	774
14	Late-onset	1,020	1,307	1,314	1,192	1,175	1,325	1,284	719	1,288	1,311
15 16	No. of cases with event										
17 18	All female	839	93	56	297	356	21	57	549	461	77
19 20	Early-onset	358	18	6	50	109	1	11	277	229	21
21	Late-onset	481	75	50	247	247	20	46	272	232	56
22 23	Follow-up time (person-years)†										
24 25	All female	7,862	13,825	14,037	11,976	11,533	14,243	13,866	3,902	11,840	13,853
26 27	Early-onset	3,616	5,848	5,910	5,610	5,283	5,927	5,871	1,183	4,642	5,777
28 29	Late-onset	4,246	7,977	8,127	6,365	6,250	8,317	7,995	2,719	7,199	8,076
30	Incidence density										
31 32	(1,000 person-years)										
33	(95% CI)										
34	All female	106.72	6.73	3.99	24.80	30.87	1.47	4.11	140.69	38.94	5.56
35		(99.6-114.2)	(5.4-8.2)	(3.0-5.2)	(22.1-27.8)	(27.7-34.2)	(0.9-2.3)	(3.1-5.3)	(129.2-153.0)	(35.5-42.7)	(4.4-6.9)
30 37	Early-onset	99.01	3.08	1.02	8.91	20.63	0.17	1.87	234.05	49.34	3.63
38		(89.0-109.8)	(1.8-4.9)	(0.4-2.2)	(6.6-11.8)	(16.9-24.9)	(0.0-0.9)	(0.9-3.4)	(207.3-263.3)	(43.1-56.2)	(2.3-5.6)
39 40	Late-onset	113.29	9.40	6.15	38.80	39.52	2.40	5.75	100.05	32.23	6.93
41		(103.4-123.9)	(7.4-11.8)	(4.6-8.1)	(34.1-44.0)	(34.7-44.8)	(1.5-3.7)	(4.2-7.7)	(88.5-112.7)	(28.2-36.7)	(5.2-9.0)
42											
43 44											
44 45			For peer r	review only - h	ttp://bmjopen.b	mj.com/site/ab	out/guideline	es.xhtml			
46											

BMJ Open

difference for famale early ve	28.8 to 0.2	01 to 36+	73 to 30+	355 to 212+	253 to 125+	35 to 10+	60 to 17*	108 <i>4</i> to 150 6*	0 8 to 24 4*	5 8 to 0 8*	
late-onset	-28.8 10 0.2	-9.1 10 -5.04	-7.5 to -5.04	-55.5 10 -24.24	-23.5 to -12.54	-3.3 t0 -1.04	-0.0 t0 -1.7*	100.4 10 137.04	7.0 tu 24.4 [‡]	-3.8 10 -0.84	
95% CI of incidence density											
difference for male vs. female	-27.8 to -8.2‡	-3.6 to 0.1	-2.8 to -0.02‡	-5.8 to 2.2	-3.6 to 5.7	-1.2 to 0.5	-1.0 to 2.2	-52.3 to -22.2‡	-3.1 to 7.2	-4.9 to -1.9‡	
* No. of cases refers to the number	r of patients who ha	ad no complication	n of interest in the	baseline year (one	year before diagnos	is year).					
† Cumulative follow-up time (person-years) was calculated as the sum of follow-up years during observation period.											
Note: Patients with type 1 diabetes were retrieved from incidence cases from 2000 to 2012. Follow-up time started from the first diagnosis date to the time the event occurred, death, discontinued enrollment from											
Taiwan's National Health Insurance Program, or the end of 2013, whichever came first.											
Abbreviations: STDR: sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy, CVD: cardiovascular disease, DKA: diabetic ketoacidosis, CI: confidence interval. $\pm p < 0.05$											
	-										
		For peer	review onlv - h	ittp://bmiopen.h	mi.com/site/ab	out/auidelin	es.xhtml				
			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		,	s sa garacini					

Patients who had a diagnosis of type 1 diabetes (250.x1, 250.x3) from outpatient file of National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD) in 1999-2013, and had received a Catastrophic Illness Card (CIC) for type 1 diabetes (n = 4,677)



 BMJ Open



Figure 2: Cumulative incidences of diabetic ketoacidosis, mild hypoglycemia according to the duration of diabetes in patients with type 1 diabetes Note: Shadow area indicates 95 % confidence interval.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml


Figure 3: Cumulative incidences of retinopathy, sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy, renal failure, and cardiovascular diseases according to the duration of diabetes in patients with type 1 diabetes.

Note: Shadow area indicates 95 % confidence interval.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Supplementary '	Table 1:	Diabetes-related	acute and	chronic	complications
-----------------	----------	-------------------------	-----------	---------	---------------

complications	ICD-9-CM	ICD-9-CM	NHI
	disease codes	procedure codes	procedure codes
CVD (cardiovascular disease and cerebrovascular o	disease) ^{a,b}		
Acute myocardial	410, 412*		
infarction			
Ischemic heart disease	411, 413, 414, V45.81, V45.82	00.66, 36.0, 36.1, 36.2, 36.3, 36.9, 88.9	
Heart failure	428		
Stroke	430-437, 438*, V12.54	00.61, 00.63, 38.11, 38.12	
Cardiogenic shock	785.51		
Sudden cardiac arrest	V12.53		
Arteriosclerotic cardiovascular disease	429.2		
Arrhythmia	426, 427		
Microvascular complications ^{a,c}			
Nephropathy	250.4, 403, 404, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586,	38.95, 39.27, 39.42, 39.95, 54.98, 55.4, 55.5,	
	587, 588, 593, 791.0, V13.03, V42.0, V45.1, V56	55.6	
Renal failure (dialysis or transplantation) ^d	V45.1, V56	39.95, 54.98	
		55.6	
Retinopathy	250.5, 361, 362, 364, 365, 366, 368, 369, 377, 379.2	12.41, 12.73, 14.23, 14.24, 14.25, 14.33, 14.34,	86206B, 86207B, 60001C, 60002C
		14.35, 14.53, 14.54, 14.55, 16.92, 16.99	60003C, 60004C*
Proliferative retinopathy ^d	362.02		60001C, 60002C*, 60003C, 60004
Sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy ^e			86206B, 86207B, 60001C, 60002C
			60003C, 60004C*
Neuropathy	250.6, 302.72, 337.1, 354, 355, 357.2, 358.1, 607.84,		
	713.5, 729.2		
Metabolic complications			
	250.1		
Diabetic ketoacidosis ^a			

*For identifying prevalent cases only. a: Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2014;24(1):10-7. b: Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2009;18(6):497-503. c: Diabetes Care. 2008;31(3):596-615. d: Diabetes. 2006;55(5):1463-9. e: JAMA Ophthalmol. 2014;132(8):922-928. f: BMC Endocr Disord. 2008;8:4. Abbreviations: ICD-9-CM: International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification, NHI: Taiwan National Health Insurance.

Page 39 of 46

BMJ Open





Page 41 of 46

44

45 46 47 BMJ Open





For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 43 of 46

45 46 47 BMJ Open



	Item No	Recommendation	Page number
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the	1, 2
		abstract	
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was	2, 3
		done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being	5
		reported	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	6
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	7
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of	7, 8
-		recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods	7, 8
-		of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	
		Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and	
		methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for	
		the choice of cases and controls	
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria and the sources and	
		methods of selection of participants	
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies give matching criteria and number of	Not
		exposed and unexposed	applicable
		<i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies give matching criteria and the	upphicuble
		number of controls per case	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes exposures predictors potential confounders	9
variables	,	and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	,
Data sources/	Q*	For each variable of interact, give sources of data and datails of mathods of	8.0
masurament	0	assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if	0,)
measurement		there is more than one group	
Diag	0	Describe any efforts to address notantial sources of higs	0
Study size	7	Exercise any errors to address potential sources of olds	y Not
Study Size	10	Explain now the study size was arrived at	not
0	11		applicable
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	9
a		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	9
		confounding	
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	9, 10
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	9, 10
		(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	9
		Case-control study-If applicable, explain how matching of cases and	
		controls was addressed	
		Cross-sectional study-If applicable, describe analytical methods taking	
		account of sampling strategy	
		(a) Describe any consistivity analyzes	0.10

Continued on next page

tor per terier only

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
, Q	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
1/	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
20	
∠4 25	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
27	
5Z	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
30	
40	
4U	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
⊿7	
4/ 40	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
22	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study-eg numbers potentially	10
		eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing	
		follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Not
			applicable
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	8
Descriptive	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and	10
data		information on exposures and potential confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	8
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	10
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	10
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures	
		of exposure	
		Cross-sectional study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and	10, 11
		their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were	
		adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	10, 11
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a	Not
		meaningful time period	relevant
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity	11
		analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	11
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or	20
		imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations,	21
		multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	21
Other informat	ion		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if	23
		applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Incidence of diabetes-related complications in ethnic Chinese with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes: A claimbased cohort of diabetes from 1999 to 2013

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-015117.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	10-Mar-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Ou, Huang-tz; National Cheng Kung University College of Medicine, Institute of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences; National Cheng Kung University College of Medicine, Pharmacy Lee, Tsung-Ying; National Cheng Kung University College of Medicine, Institute of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Li, Chung-Yi; National Cheng Kung University College of Medicine, Public Health; China Medical University, Public Health Wu, Jin Shang; National Cheng Kung University College of Medicine, Family Medicine; National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Family Medicine Sun, Zih Jie; National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Family Medicine; National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Family Medicine;
Primary Subject Heading :	Diabetes and endocrinology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Health services research
Keywords:	DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, EPIDEMIOLOGY, Diabetic neuropathy < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

2	
3	Incidence of diabetes-related complications in ethnic Chinese with newly diagnosed type
4	incluence of anabetes related complications in chine connese with newly anglosed type
5	1 diabetes: A claim-based cohort of diabetes from 1999 to 2013
6	
7	$U_{1} = T_{1} O_{1} D_{1} D_{2}^{*} T_{2} V_{1} U_{1} D_{1} D_{2}^{1} O_{1} V_{1} U_{1} D_{1} D_{2}^{3} U_{1} O_{1} V_{1} D_{2}^{5} O_{1}^{5}$
8	Huang-Tz Ou PhD ^{***} , Isung-Ying Lee MS [*] , Chung-Yi Li PhD ^{***} , Jin-Shang Wu MD ^{***} ,
9	Zih-Jie Sun MD ^{6,7}
10	
17	
13	¹ Institute of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Medicine, National
14	Cheng Kung University Tainan Taiwan
15	
16	² Department of Pharmacy, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Tainan,
17	Taiwan
18	³ Department of Dublic Health College of Medicine, National Chang Kung University Trings
19	Department of Public Health, Conege of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan,
20	Taiwan
21	⁴ Department of Public Health China Medical University Taichung Taiwan
22	bepartment of rubite freature, ennia triedical oniversity, fatenang, fatwan
24	Department of Family Medicine, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University,
25	Tainan, Taiwan
26	⁶ Department of Family Medicine, National Chang Kyng University Hegnitel Tringer Triver
27	Department of Family Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Tainan, Taiwan
28	⁷ Department of Family Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Dou-Liou
29	Branch Dou-Liou Taiwan
30	Diulion, Dou Diou, Iulium
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	*Corresponding author
37	Huang-Tz Ou PhD
38	
39 40	Institute of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Medicine, National
41	Cheng Kung University,
42	1 University Road Tainan 7010 Taiwan
43	T Oniversity Road, Tanian 7010, Taiwan
44	Phone: +886-6-2353535 ext.5685
45	Fax: +886-6-2373149
46	
4/ 10	Email: <u>huangtz(a)mail.ncku.edu.tw</u>
40 49	
50	
51	

Abstract

 Objective: To estimate the incidence densities and cumulative incidence of diabetes-related complications in patients with type 1 diabetes for a maximum of 15-year follow-up. The estimations were further stratified by gender and age at diagnosis (i.e., early-onset: 0-12 years,

late-onset: ≥ 13 years).

Design: A population-based longitudinal cohort study.

Setting: Taiwan's National Health Insurance medical claims.

Participants: 4,007 patients newly-diagnosed with type 1 diabetes were identified during 1999-2012.

Outcome measures: Acute complications included diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) and hypoglycemia. Chronic complications were cardiovascular diseases (CVD), retinopathy, neuropathy, and nephropathy.

Results: The incidence density of retinopathy was greatest (97.74 per 1,000 person-years),

followed by those of nephropathy (31.36), neuropathy (23.93), and CVD (4.39). Among

acute complications, the incidence density of DKA was greatest (121.11 per 1,000

person-years). The cumulative incidences of acute complications after 12 years following

diagnosis were estimated to be 52.1%, 36.1%, and 4.1% for DKA, outpatient hypoglycemia,

Page 3 of 53

BMJ Open

and hospitalized hypoglycemia, respectively. For chronic complications, the cumulative incidence of retinopathy after 12 years following diagnosis was greatest (65.2%), followed by those of nephropathy (30.2%), neuropathy (23.7%), and CVD (4.1%). Females with late-onset diabetes were greatly affected by advanced retinopathy (i.e., sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy) and hospitalized hypoglycemia, whereas those with early-onset diabetes were more vulnerable to DKA. Chronic complications were more commonly seen in late-onset diabetes, whereas early-onset diabetes were most affected by acute complications. **Conclusions:** Ethnically Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes were greatly affected by DKA and retinopathy. The incidence of diabetes-related complications after diagnosis differed by age and sex.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the largest longitudinal cohort study of ethnically Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes followed for a maximum of 15 years to provide up-to-date incidence estimates of acute and chronic complications.
- ✓ The analyses stratified by gender and age at diabetes-onset indicated significant age-gender disparities in the epidemiological data of diabetes-related complications in type 1 diabetes, which highlight importance for clinical attention and developing preventive strategies.
- The study limitations resulting from the use of medical reimbursement claims data, including potential misclassifications of diabetes-related complications and lack of clinical biomarkers such as blood glucose, may underestimate rather than overestimate the incidence rates of diabetes-related complications.
- ✓ The incidence estimates of diabetes-related complications may only be generalizable to ethnically Chinese population with type 1 diabetes.

BMJ Open

Introduction

It has been estimated that the incidence of type 1 diabetes increases by about 3-5% per year worldwide.¹⁻³ The annual incidence rate of childhood (< 15 years) type 1 diabetes in Taiwan was 5.3 per 100,000 children in the period 2003-2008.⁴ Type 1 diabetes accounts for only 5-10% of the diabetic population, but it remains a devastating chronic disorder with acute complications, including diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) and hypoglycemia, and chronic complications, which can be divided into microvascular (i.e., retinopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy) and macrovascular complications (i.e., cardiovascular diseases; CVD). Although treatment and care for type 1 diabetes have improved,⁵⁻⁷ diabetes-related complications are major obstacles to glycemic control for many patients and contribute to most of the increased morbidity and premature mortality in such individuals.⁸ The toxicity effect of prolonged chronic hyperglycemia is a leading cause of microvascular and macrovascular diseases among type 1 diabetes patients, with hypertension and dyslipidemia being exacerbating factors.9

Assessing the epidemiology of diabetes-related complications is essential for developing preventive strategies and planning treatment protocols to minimize the impact of the complications. However, there is very little longitudinal data (e.g., Pittsburgh Epidemiology

Page 6 of 53

of Childhood-Onset Diabetes Complications (EDC) Study,¹⁰ EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study¹¹) on the incidence of complications for type 1 diabetes, and previous estimates widely varied with countries (e.g., European countries,¹² Finland,¹³ Denmarks,¹⁴ United States¹⁰) and entailed different follow-up periods (e.g., 7 years, ¹² 12 years, ¹³ 18 years,¹⁴ and 30 years¹⁰). In addition, a limited number of diabetes-related complications have been investigated (e.g., microalbuminuria^{12, 14} and cardiovascular diseases; CVD¹³), with no previous study targeting an ethnic Chinese population with type 1 diabetes. Ethnic variations in diabetes-related complications have been recognized; Caucasian patients are greatly affected by CVD,^{15,16} while the prevalence of end-stage renal failure (ESRD)¹⁷ and the odds of microalbuminuria and macroaluminuria¹⁸ in Asian populations are much higher compared to those for Caucasian patients. Given the significance of rising life expectancy in recent years among ethnic Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes,¹⁹ it is important to provide precise up-to-date estimates of incidence of its complications and compare them to those for other countries. We therefore utilized a longitudinal population-based cohort of newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes patients who were followed during the period 1999-2013 to evaluate the incidence densities and cumulative incidences of acute and chronic complications to provide contemporary estimates for an ethnic Chinese population. Efforts were also made to examine

BMJ Open

whether there were age and sex differences in the incidences of type-1-diabetes-related

complications.

Materials and Methods

The Institutional Review Board of National Cheng Kung University Hospital approved the study before commencement (A-ER-103-298).

Data source:

We utilized the Longitudinal Cohort of Diabetes Patients (LHDB) 1996-2013 data from the National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD). Taiwan's NHIRD is population-based and derived from the claims data of the National Health Insurance (NHI) program, a mandatory-enrollment, single-payment system that covers over 99% of Taiwan's population.²⁰ The LHDB is a valid national dataset that consists of a random sample of 120,000 de-identified diabetes incident cases from each calendar year, who were tracked back to 1996 and followed up to 2013 to establish a longitudinal cohort. The LHDB is representative of Taiwan's population with diabetes and provides research opportunity to evaluate long-term health outcomes of patients.²¹⁻²⁶

Cohort:

From the LHDB, we selected 4,677 patients with a diagnosis of type 1 diabetes (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification, ICD-9-CM = 250.x1 or 250.x3) from outpatient files of the LHDB and having received a Catastrophic Illness Card (CIC) for type 1 diabetes (Figure 1) in the period 1999-2012. Because patients with a CIC are eligible for exemption from co-payments, the approval of such a status is subject to evaluation and review by the Bureau of NHI of Taiwan. The CIC patient data are accurate and reliable with a positive predictive value of 98.3% for type 1 diabetes.¹⁹ We further excluded 670 potential type 2 diabetes cases who consumed any oral antihypoglycemic agents (OHAs) after CIC was issued, including sulfonylureas, meglitinides, acarbose, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors, and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists, and however, those who used metformin alone, thiazolidinediones alone, or both were retained. Patients who were prescribed metformin, thiazolidinediones, or both were retained because these OHAs are insulin-sensitizers that can be combined with insulin treatments for cases with insulin resistance,^{27, 28} which is also seen in patients with type 1 diabetes in Taiwan based on our expert opinions. To estimate the incidence rates of diabetes-related complications, we further selected cases without a history of microvascular or macrovascular diseases before type 1 diabetes diagnosis (Table 1). Study patients were stratified by gender

BMJ Open

2	
3	
4	
5	
c	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
 22	
23 24	
24	
25	
26	
27	
27	
28	
29	
30	
21	
51	
32	
33	
34	
25	
33	
36	
37	
38	
30	
10	
40	
41	
42	
43	
11	
44	
45	
46	
47	
10	
40	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
55	
54	
55	
56	
57	
5/	
58	
59	

and age at first type 1 diabetes diagnosis (i.e., early-onset: 0-12 years, late-onset: ≥13 years). The 25th, 50th (median) and 75th percentiles of age in early-onset group were 5, 8, and 10, respectively, with the mean age of 7.69 (standard deviation: 3.22). And, for late-onset group, the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles of age were 17, 24, and 33, respectively, with the mean age of 26.47 (standard deviation: 11.60). <u>Diabetes-related complications:</u> The complications of interest included acute complications, namely DKA (confirmed by hospital admission or emergency room visit for DKA), hypoglycemia (confirmed by defined

hospital admission or emergency room visit for DKA), hypoglycemia (confirmed by defined hypoglycemic events required for outpatient visits or hospitalization for medical assistance or interventions), and chronic complications, namely CVD, nephropathy, retinopathy, and neuropathy. A list of diabetes-related complications and the corresponding ICD-9-CM codes are provided in Supplementary Table 1; this list was confirmed by the expert panel before being applied.

Statistics:

The incidence density of diabetes-related complications was calculated by dividing the number of incident cases with individual complication events by the total person-years observed over 15 years of follow-up (1999-2013). The 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were

calculated assuming a Poisson distribution of cases.²⁹ Significant differences in incidence density between age-sex subgroups were indicated by a 95% CI for the difference in incidence density between subgroups.³⁰ Moreover, because a cohort of newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes patients was utilized, we were able to provide visual illustrations about the cumulative incidences of diabetes-related complications by diabetes duration since diabetes onset. The cumulative incidence of diabetes-related complications was estimated by using the life table method (using the SAS LIFETEST procedure) and significant difference in cumulative incidence between subgroups were examined according to K-sample tests.³¹ SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) was used for the aforementioned analyses.

Results

The overall and age-sex specific incidence densities of diabetes-related complications are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The incidence rate of retinopathy (97.74 per 1,000 person-years) was greatest, followed by those of nephropathy (31.36), neuropathy (23.93), and CVD (4.39). Among acute complications, the incidence density of DKA was greatest (121.11 per 1,000 person-years). As shown in Table 2, the incidence densities of retinopathy, DKA, and hospitalized hypoglycemia in females were significantly higher than

ie4

Page 11 of 53

BMJ Open

those in males. The incidence densities of DKA and outpatient hypoglycemia in the

early-onset group (0-12 years) were significantly higher than those noted in the late-onset group (\geq 13 years), while those of advanced retinopathy (i.e., sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy; STDR), neuropathy, nephropathy, CVD, and hospitalized hypoglycemia in the late-onset group were significantly higher. Figures 2 and 3 show cumulative incidences for acute and chronic complications, respectively, along with diabetes duration. The cumulative incidences at the 12th year after diagnosis were 52.1%, 36.1%, and 4.1% for DKA, outpatient hypoglycemia, and hospitalized hypoglycemia, respectively. For chronic complications, the 12-year cumulative incidence of retinopathy was greatest (65.2%), followed by those of nephropathy (30.2%), neuropathy (23.7%), and CVD (5.2%). Age-sex specific cumulative incidences of diabetes-related complications are illustrated in Supplementary Figure 1.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest cohort study of ethnically Chinese patients with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes. We provided up-to-date estimates of the incidence of acute and chronic complications in type 1 diabetes patients followed for a maximum of 15 years. We observed age-gender disparities in the incidence of

diabetes-related complications in type 1 diabetes. Although comparisons of the epidemiology of diabetes-related complications between studies are difficult, as potential determinants of the complications (e.g., age, gender, diabetes duration) differ, the estimates from different studies may reveal some racial or ethnic differences. In the following, we compare our results for ethnically Chinese patients with those reported for other countries or ethnicities.

Acute diabetes-related complications in type 1 diabetes patients

Diabetic ketoacidosis

Among acute complications, hyperglycemic events, including DKA and hyperglycemic hyperosmolar syndrome (HHS), are leading causes of morbidity and mortality among individuals with diabetes,³² and utilize significant healthcare resources.³³ DKA was the most common acute complication among the Taiwanese population with type 1 diabetes; the incidence density followed for 15 years was 121.11 per 1,000 person-years, and half of the study population (~52%) experienced DKA at 12 years after diabetes diagnosis. Consistent with previous studies from the United States,³⁴ Australia,³⁵ and Canada,³⁶ we found that the incidence of DKA in female patients, especially those with early-onset diabetes (i.e., 0-12 years), was higher than that in male patients. A cohort of 1,234 children with type 1 diabetes in the United States showed that female patients were greatly affected by DKA. A female

BMJ Open

preponderance of DKA was observed in a longitudinal study of childhood type 1 diabetes in Australia.³⁵ Similarly, a Canadian study of childhood type 1 diabetes showed that female sex was a significant predictor of DKA.³⁶ In fact, insulin omission or intentional insulin under-treatment due to fear of weight gain³⁷ and high prevalence of eating disorders³⁸ and psychiatric disorders³⁴ among female type 1 diabetes patients have been recognized as precipitating causes of DKA. Hence, effective interventions such as health education and communication for type 1 diabetes females are needed to reduce the incidence of DKA.

Hypoglycemia

Increased hypoglycemic events have been recognized as a result of the undesired effects of intensive insulin therapy with strict glycemic control.³⁹ The present study showed that the incidence rates of hospitalized and outpatient hypoglycemia in the Taiwanese population with type 1 diabetes were 3.89 and 39.93 per 1,000 person-years, respectively, which are much lower than that reported in type 1 diabetes children (0-19 years) in the United States (incidence of severe hypoglycemia: 190 per 1,000 person-years).³⁴ Such discrepancies in international data may be explained by different definitions and assessment approaches for hypoglycemic events. We targeted hospital admissions for hypoglycemia based on ICD-9 CM codes, whereas the United States study used patients' reported survey data and classified

severe hypoglycemia as acute episodes requiring the assistance of another person for treatment reported in the preceding 3 months.⁴⁰

Moreover, we observed that early-onset patients were greatly affected by acute complications (i.e., DKA, hypoglycemia). It has been documented that among young children with type 1 diabetes, inconsistent eating patterns and lesser ability to recognize and report acute symptoms make it difficult to achieve glycemic control, leading to glycemic fluctuations that cause multiple episodes of hyperglycemia (i.e., DKA) and hypoglycemia.⁴¹ Frequent exposures to hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia in early-onset type 1 diabetes patients could lead to a range of neurocognitive dysfunctions and brain changes.⁴² Also, structural brain changes in type 1 diabetes children may occur due to recurrent hypoglycemia.⁴³ Hence, given the high rates of acute complications and associated serious consequences, effective management protocols and identification and treatment of precipitating causes are needed.⁴⁴ In particular, regular glycemic monitoring and identification of risk factors in young type 1 diabetes patients are needed to reduce the frequency and severity of DKA and hypoglycemia.

Chronic diabetes-related complications in type 1 diabetes

Diabetic retinopathy

Page 15 of 53

1 2

BMJ Open

_ ז	
1	
-	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
11	
15	
10	
10	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
25	
20	
2/	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
25	
33	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
15	
45	
40	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

Diabetic retinopathy is the main cause of blindness in the adult population.⁴⁵ Almost all type 1 diabetes patients develop evident retinopathy in the first 20 years of diagnosis.⁴⁶ The present study showed that more than half (~69%) of type 1 diabetes patients experienced some form of diabetic retinopathy at 12 years after diagnosis. We observed that the incidence density of diabetes retinopathy is greatest among chronic complications in Taiwanese type 1 diabetes patients (4.53 per 100 person-years over a period of 15 years of follow-up). As compared to the incident density of proliferative retinopathy (19.5 per 1,000 person-years) in the Pittsburgh EDC Study of type 1 diabetes patients with a mean age of 28 years and diabetes duration of 19 years at baseline examination,¹⁰ our estimate (5.87 per 1,000 person-year) based on a cohort of newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes patients is lower. Such a difference between studies may be explained by diabetes duration and age at baseline of study examination. Moreover, comparing the prevalence of STDR in type 1 diabetes patients in this study (2.00 % for women and 1.66 % for men) with that previously observed in Taiwanese type 2 diabetes patients (2.75%) for women and 2.87\% for men)⁴⁷ reveals a slightly lower advanced diabetic retinopathy (i.e., STDR) in the type 1 diabetes versus type 2 diabetes patients. However, the lower rate of STDR in our study may be due to the other study's inclusion of prevalent type 2 diabetes cases with longer diabetes duration⁴⁷ as compared to

incident type 1 diabetes targeted in this study.

Consistent with previous studies,^{48,49} the present study demonstrated a female preponderance in diabetic retinopathy. A large cohort of 8,114 type 1 diabetes patients and families assembled over 25 years from the United States showed that females had 1.7 fold higher retinopathy risk (p < 0.001) as compared to that of males.⁴⁸ Also, a cross-sectional study of 247 Italian type 1 diabetes patients showed a significant relationship between diabetic retinopathy and female gender (p = 0.01).⁴⁹ Although exact hormone, genetic, lifestyle, or environmental factors are unclear, a differential effect of sex steroid hormones has been proposed to explain this gender discrepancy.⁵⁰ Also, age at diabetes onset has been shown to be associated with the development of diabetic retinopathy.^{49, 51} An early age at onset (5-14 years) appears to modify the long-term risk of proliferative retinopathy.⁵¹ Consistent with other studies, we observed lower incidence of diabetic retinopathy in early-onset patients as compared to that in late-onset patients. Nevertheless, given a high rate of diabetes retinopathy observed among Taiwanese type 1 diabetes patients, early detection using routine eye examination, control for risk factors of diabetic retinopathy (e.g., hypertension, hyperglycemia, hyperlipidemia),⁹ as well as development of tailored intervention strategies for age-sex subgroups are important.

BMJ Open

Diabetic nephropathy

Our results show that diabetic nephropathy is the second most common microvascular complication among the Taiwanese population with type 1 diabetes. Without interventions, diabetes patients with microalbuminuria typically progress to proteinuria and overt diabetic nephropathy.⁵² Diabetic nephropathy is a leading cause of ESRD among patients with diabetes.⁵² As estimated, individuals with type 1 diabetes face a 20-50% chance of developing ESDR that requires dialysis or renal transplantation.⁵³ The Pittsburgh EDC Study reported that the incidence density of renal failure (based on self-reported renal transplantation and dialysis) was 6.3 per 1,000 person-years over 12 years of follow-up,¹⁰ while the present study based on ICD-9 codes of renal failure found that the incidence of renal failure was 1.31 per 1,000 person-years over 15 years of follow-up. Of note, the EDC study enrolled more advanced type 1 diabetes cases (i.e., mean age of 28 years and diabetes duration of 19 years at baseline examination¹⁰) than those in our study (i.e., newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes cases in 2000-2012), which may explain the higher rate of renal failure in the EDC study. A large inception cohort study of Danish patients newly diagnosed with type 1 diabetes followed for a median of 18 years reported that the cumulative incidences of persistent microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria were 33.6% and 14.6%, respectively,

while the present study found that overall cumulative incidence of any form of diabetic nephropathy was 30.2% at 12 years after diabetes diagnosis. Moreover, early-onset diabetes appears to be protective for developing diabetic nephropathy^{12, 54-56} and may delay the time until microalbuminuria.⁵⁶ Consistently, we found that late-onset diabetes patients were more affected by diabetic nephropathy than were early-onset patients. Nevertheless, given the fact that Taiwan has the highest number of patients undergoing renal dialysis in the world, where diabetes contributes to about 40 % of end-stage renal failure cases,⁵⁷ it is critical for routine annual screening of clinical signs of diabetic nephropathy (i.e., proteinuria, microalbuminuria), optimal control of glycemia and risk factors (e.g., retinopathy smoking, dyslipidemia, hypertension^{14, 58, 59}), and early intervening medications for prevention (e.g., angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor blocker for those with comorbid hypertension).9 Diabetic neuropathy

Diabetic neuropathy refers to the presence of symptoms, signs, or both of peripheral nerve dysfunction in people with diabetes after the exclusion of other causes.⁶⁰ Peripheral neuropathy in diabetes may manifest in several different forms, including sensory, focal/multifocal, and autonomic neuropathies.⁶¹ The epidemiological data of diabetic

Page 19 of 53

1

BMJ Open

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
15	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
∠∪ ว1	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
24	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
⊿1	
40	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
⊿0	
77	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
50	
5/ 52	
58	
59	
60	

neuropathy is very limited. A study of 467 Italian type 1 diabetes patients showed that the
prevalence rates of asymptomatic and symptomatic neuropathy were 7.2% and 21.3%,
respectively. ⁶² The present study is the first study to provide epidemiology data on diabetic
neuropathy among ethnically Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes from Asia. We found that
the incidence rate was 23.93 per 1,000 person-years over 15 years of follow-up, and that the
cumulative incidence was 23.7% at 12 years after diabetes diagnosis. We also observed that
the incidence of diabetic neuropathy in late-onset patients were much higher than that in
early-onset patients. Similarly, the Italian study of type 1 diabetes showed that the prevalence
of diabetic neuropathy was higher in patients at older ages. ⁶² Since diabetic neuropathy
contributes to considerable disabilities and mortality, it is critical for clinicians to understand
its manifestations, prevention, and treatment.9 Early prevention strategies that control
hypertension and hyperglycemia and identify patients with peripheral neuropathy or
peripheral vascular disease and annual screening for these conditions are strongly
recommended.9

Cardiovascular diseases

CVD is a leading cause of mortality in patients with type 1 diabetes^{63, 64} and accounts for the greatest proportion of healthcare spending for patients with diabetes.^{64, 65} As compared to

patients without diabetes, type 1 diabetes increases the risk of CVD by ten fold,^{63, 66} which contributes to two-thirds of mortality in patients with type 1 diabetes.^{67, 68} The Pittsburgh EDC Study showed an incidence density of 3.6 per 1,000 person-years for coronary heart diseases (defined as coronary-artery-disease-related death, a history of myocardial infarction, angiographic stenosis \geq 50% including revascularization) over a period of 12 years.¹⁰ while the present study found that the incidence density for a broader category of CVD (including myocardial infarction, ischemic heart diseases, heart failure, stroke, and arrhythmia, as shown in Supplementary Table 1) in the Taiwanese population with type 1 diabetes within 15 years of follow-up was 4.39 per 1,000 person-years. The cumulative incidences of CVD (including only stroke and coronary heart disease) at 12 years after diabetes was diagnosed was 1-2% among Finnish type 1 diabetes patients,¹³ which is lower than that for the Taiwanese type 1 diabetes patients in the present study (~5.2%). Moreover, we found that late-onset patients were greatly affected by CVD. In fact, old age is recognized as a predictor of vascular diseases,⁶³ which may be explained by the calcification of extremity arteries and hypertension in older age patients, which are risk factors of macrovascular diseases.⁶⁹

Methodological concerns

Some limitations of this study should be acknowledged. The classification of

Page 21 of 53

BMJ Open

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/ 0	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
32	
34	
25	
36	
30 27	
2/	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
~ ~	

diabetes-related complications based on the ICD-9 CM codes in claims data may		
underestimate the occurrence of the complications. For example, patients experiencing		
clinical symptoms/signs of diabetes-related complications (e.g., hypoglycemia) may not see		
doctors if they can tolerate them. Also, the claims data do not capture clinical/minor		
symptoms or signs of diabetes-related complications such as minor microalbuminuria. The		
glycemic biomarkers such as blood glucose were not available from the claims data so the		
identification of hyperglycemia or hypoglycemia was only based on the ICD-9 CM diagnosis		
codes. So, we might under-estimate the incidence of hypoglycemic events and may not be		
able to disentangle the severity of hypoglycemia. However, the claims records capture		
defined diabetes-related complications that are required for medical assistance or treatments,		
which lead to more conservative estimates and reveal important manifestations of		
diabetes-related complications for clinical attention. Moreover, based on our operational		
definition for hospitalized hypoglycemia (i.e., any one of diagnosis codes with hypoglycemia		
from the five diagnosis codes in the inpatient files of the NHIRD), two types of		
hypoglycemic events could be included: (1) hospital admission for hypoglycemia, and (2)		
other reasons for hospital admission (e.g., DKA), and then hypoglycemia happened during		
hospitalization. It is difficult to differentiate these two types of hypoglycemic events based on		

the retrospective claims data we utilized. However, in the clinical practice in Taiwan, the first code from the five diagnosis codes in hospitalization is typically to be the main/primary reason for hospital admission. With this regard, we re-run the analyses for hospitalized hypoglycemia which was identified from the first diagnosis code in hospitalization. The results were provided in the Supplementary Table 2, and Supplementary Figures 2 and 3. These re-analytical results may also ease the concern that patients who came to hospital primarily for reasons that may induce hypoglycemia during hospitalization. Lastly, the generalizability of our study results may be limited to ethnically Chinese populations. In addition, our results may represent only ethnically Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes in ich Taiwan.

Conclusions

Utilizing an incident cohort of type 1 diabetes patients diagnosed during the period 1999-2012 with a maximum of 15 years of follow-up, we found that most type 1 diabetes patients were affected by DKA and retinopathy, which highlight the critical need to identify precipitating causes and modifiable factors for developing preventive strategies and intervening treatment protocols to minimize the impact of these complications. Age and sex discrepancies appear in epidemiological data of diabetes-related complications; late-onset

BMJ Open

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33 24	
54 25	
22	
50 27	
27 20	
20	
40	
40 41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	

60

diabetes females were greatly affected by advanced retinopathy (i.e., STDR) and hospitalized hypoglycemia, while early-onset females had a high incidence of DKA. Chronic diabetes-related complications were more common in late-onset type 1 diabetes patients, while early-onset individuals were most affected by acute complications. More attention should be given to identify potential risk factors and contributors to such age-sex differences in diabetes-related complications. Population-based data on the incidence of diabetes-related complications from this study are important for clinicians to recognize the need for diagnostic awareness and for policy-markers to develop effective treatments for patients with type 1 diabetes.

Acknowledgments: We gratefully thank National Cheng Kung University and its affiliated

hospital for all their support.

Funding: This research was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan,

grant MOST 104-2320-B-006-008-MY3.

Competing interests: No declared.

Authors' contribution: H.T.O. contributed substantially to the study concept and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data. T.Y.L. contributed to data collection and the analysis. C.Y.L., J.S.W., and Z.J.S. provided statistical and clinical interpretation of the results. H.T.O. wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and T.Y.L., C.Y.L., J.S.W., and Z.J.S. very critically revised the manuscript. All authors gave approval for the publication of the final version.

Data sharing statement: There are no additional data available in relation to this manuscript.
BMJ Open

References

1. Onkamo P, Väänänen S, Karvonen M, Tuomilehto J. Worldwide increase in incidence of Type I diabetes–the analysis of the data on published incidence trends. *Diabetologia* 1999;42(12):1395-1403.

 Karvonen M, Viik-Kajander M, Moltchanova E, Libman I, LaPorte R, Tuomilehto J. Incidence of childhood type 1 diabetes worldwide. Diabetes Mondiale (DiaMond) Project Group. *Diabetes care* 2000;23(10):1516-1526.

3. Soltesz G, Patterson CC, Dahlquist G. Worldwide childhood type 1 diabetes incidence–what can we learn from epidemiology? *Pediatric diabetes* 2007;8(s6):6-14.

4. Lu CL, Shen HN, Chen HF, Li CY. Epidemiology of childhood Type 1 diabetes in Taiwan, 2003 to 2008. *Diabetic Medicine* 2014;31(6):666-673.

 Goldstein DE, Walker B, Rawlings SS, Hess RL, England JD, Peth SB, Hewett JE. Hemoglobin A1c levels in children and adolescents with diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 1980;3(4):503-507.

6. Ziegler R, Heidtmann B, Hilgard D, Hofer S, Rosenbauer J, Holl R. Frequency of SMBG correlates with HbA1c and acute complications in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *Pediatric diabetes* 2011;12(1):11-17.

7. Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Bain RP, Rohde RD. The effect of

angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibition on diabetic nephropathy. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1993;329(20):1456-1462.

 Secrest AM, Becker DJ, Kelsey SF, LaPorte RE, Orchard TJ. All-Cause Mortality Trends in a Large Population-Based Cohort With Long-Standing Childhood-Onset Type 1 Diabetes The Allegheny County Type 1 Diabetes Registry. *Diabetes care* 2010;33(12):2573-2579.

9. Bate KL, Jerums G. 3: Preventing complications of diabetes. *Medical journal of Australia* 2003;179(9):498-505.

10. Pambianco G, Costacou T, Ellis D, Becker DJ, Klein R, Orchard TJ. The 30-Year Natural History of Type 1 Diabetes Complications The Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study Experience. *Diabetes* 2006;55(5):1463-1469.

 Stephenson J, Fuller JH, Group EICS. Microvascular and acute complications in IDDM patients: the EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study. *Diabetologia* 1994;37(3):278-285.

12. Chaturvedi N, Bandinelli S, Mangili R, Penno G, Rottiers RE, Fuller JH. Microalbuminuria in type 1 diabetes: rates, risk factors and glycemic threshold.

Kidney international 2001;60(1):219-227.

13. Tuomilehto J, Borch-Johnsen K, Molarius A, Forsen T, Rastenyte D, Sarti C, Reunanen A. Incidence of cardiovascular disease in Type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetic subjects with and without diabetic nephropathy in Finland. *Diabetologia* 1998;41(7):784-790.

14. Hovind P, Tarnow L, Rossing P, Graae M, Torp I, Binder C, Parving H-H. Predictors for the development of microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria in patients with type 1 diabetes: inception cohort study. *Bmj* 2004;328(7448):1105.

 Malik MO, Govan L, Petrie JR, Ghouri N, Leese G, Fischbacher C, Colhoun H, Philip S, Wild S, McCrimmon R. Ethnicity and risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD):
4.8 year follow-up of patients with type 2 diabetes living in Scotland. *Diabetologia* 2015;58(4):716-725.

16. Spanakis EK, Golden SH. Race/ethnic difference in diabetes and diabetic complications. *Current diabetes reports* 2013;13(6):814-823.

 U.S. Renal Data System, USRDS 2003 Annual Data Report: Atlas of End-Stage Renal Disease in the United States, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda, MD, 2003.

18. Young BA, Katon WJ, Von Korff M, Simon GE, Lin EHB, Ciechanowski PS,

Bush T, Oliver M, Ludman EJ, Boyko EJ. Racial and ethnic differences in

microalbuminuria prevalence in a diabetes population: the pathways study. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2005;16(1):219-228.

19. Lin W-H, Wang M-C, Wang W-M, Yang D-C, Lam C-F, Roan J-N, Li C-Y. Incidence of and Mortality from Type I Diabetes in Taiwan From 1999 through 2010:

A Nationwide Cohort Study. *PloS one* 2014;9(1):e86172.

20. Cheng T-M. Taiwan's new national health insurance program: genesis and experience so far. *Health Affairs* 2003;22(3):61-76.

21. Ou H-T, Chang K-C, Li C-Y, Wu J-S. Risks of cardiovascular diseases associated with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors and other antidiabetic drugs in patients with type 2 diabetes: a nation-wide longitudinal study. *Cardiovascular diabetology* 2016;15(1):41.

22. Ou HT, Chang KC, Liu YM, Wu JS. Recent trends in the use of antidiabetic medications from 2008 to 2013: A nation-wide population-based study from Taiwan. *Journal of diabetes* 2016.

23. Hou W-H, Chang K-C, Li C-Y, Ou H-T. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor use is not associated with elevated risk of severe joint pain in patients with type 2 diabetes: a

BMJ Open

2	
3	population-based cohort study. Pain 2016;157(9):1954-1959.
4 5	24. Ou H-T, Yang C-Y, Wang J-D, Hwang J-S, Wu J-S. Life Expectancy and
6	Lifetime Health Care Expenditures for Type 1 Diabetes: A Nationwide Longitudinal
7 8	Cohort of Incident Cases Followed for 14 Years Value in Health 2016 19(8) 976-984
9	25 Ou HT Chang KC Li CV Wu IS Comparative cardiovascular risks of
10	25. Ou H1, Chang KC, LI C1, wu J5. Comparative cardiovascular fisks of
11 12	dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors with other 2nd and 3rd line antidiabetic drugs in
13	patients with type 2 diabetes. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology 2017.
14	26. Ou H-T, Chen Y-T, Liu Y-M, Wu J-S. Comparative cost-effectiveness of
15 16	metformin-based dual therapies associated with risk of cardiovascular diseases among
17	Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes: Evidence from a population-based national
18	eshort in Toiwan Disk stor Desamely and Clinical Duration 2016;116:14-25
19 20	conort in Talwan. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 2016,116:14-25.
21	27. Vella S, Buetow L, Royle P, Livingstone S, Colhoun H, Petrie J. The use of
22	metformin in type 1 diabetes: a systematic review of efficacy. Diabetologia
23	2010;53(5):809-820.
24	28. Strowig SM. Raskin P. The effect of rosiglitazone on overweight subjects with
26	type 1 diabetes Diabetes Care $2005 \cdot 28(7) \cdot 1562$ 1567
27	$\frac{1}{200} = \frac{1}{200} $
29	29. Gall MH, Benichou J. Encyclopedia of epidemiologic methods: John Wiley &
30	Sons; 2000.
31 32	30. Sahai H, Khurshid A. Statistics in epidemiology: methods, techniques and
33	applications: CRC press; 1995.
34	31 Grav RJ A class of K-sample tests for comparing the cumulative incidence of a
35 36	competing risk. The Annals of statistics 1988:11/1 1154
37	
38	32. Graves EJ, Gillum BS. Detailed diagnoses and procedures, National Hospital
39 40	Discharge Survey, 1995. Vital Health Stat 13 1997(130):1-146.
41	33. Javor KA, Kotsanos JG, McDonald RC, Baron AD, Kesterson JG, Tierney WM.
42	Diabetic ketoacidosis charges relative to medical charges of adult patients with type I
43	diabetes Diabetes Care 1997:20(3):349-354
45	24 Deward A. Chase IID Meekenzie T. Welreveng D. Deheek M. Deward M.
46	54. Kewels A, Chase HP, Mackenzle I, Walravens P, Koback M, Kewels M,
47	Hamman RF, Klingensmith G. Predictors of acute complications in children with type
49	1 diabetes. Jama 2002;287(19):2511-2518.
50	35. Neu A, Willasch A, Ehehalt S, Hub R, Ranke MB. Ketoacidosis at onset of type
51	1 diabetes mellitus in children-frequency and clinical presentation. <i>Pediatric diabetes</i>
53	2003-4(2)-77-81
54	2003, +(2), 7, -01.
55 56	50. Alagnenbandan K, Collins KD, Newnook LA, MacDonald D. Childhood type I
57	diabetes mellitus in Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada. <i>Diabetes research and</i>
58	۷۲
59 60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmi.com/site/about/quidelines.xhtml
~ ~	

clinical practice 2006;74(1):82-89.

37. Meltzer LJ, Johnson SB, Prine JM, Banks RA, Desrosiers PM, Silverstein JH. Disordered eating, body mass, and glycemic control in adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes care* 2001;24(4):678-682.

38. Rodin GM, Daneman D. Eating disorders and IDDM: a problematic association. *Diabetes Care* 1992;15(10):1402-1412.

 Pramming S, Thorsteinsson B, Bendtson I, Binder C. Symptomatic hypoglycaemia in 411 type 1 diabetic patients. *Diabetic Medicine* 1991;8(3):217-222.
Craig ME, Jones TW, Silink M, Ping YJ, International Diabetes Federation Western Pacific Region Steering C. Diabetes care, glycemic control, and complications in children with type 1 diabetes from Asia and the Western Pacific Region. *Journal of Diabetes and its Complications* 2007;21(5):280-287.

41. Aye T, Reiss AL, Kesler S, Hoang S, Drobny J, Park Y, Schleifer K, Baumgartner H, Wilson DM, Buckingham BA. The feasibility of detecting neuropsychologic and neuroanatomic effects of type 1 diabetes in young children. *Diabetes care* 2011;34(7):1458-1462.

42. Northam EA, Anderson PJ, Jacobs R, Hughes M, Warne GL, Werther GA. Neuropsychological profiles of children with type 1 diabetes 6 years after disease onset. *Diabetes care* 2001;24(9):1541-1546.

43. Ho MS, Weller NJ, Ives FJ, Carne CL, Murray K, vanden Driesen RI, Nguyen TP, Robins PD, Bulsara M, Davis EA. Prevalence of structural central nervous system abnormalities in early-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus. *The Journal of pediatrics* 2008;153(3):385-390.

44. Umpierrez GE, Murphy MB, Kitabchi AE. Diabetic ketoacidosis and hyperglycemic hyperosmolar syndrome. *Diabetes Spectrum* 2002;15(1):28-36.

45. VanNewkirk MR, Weih L, McCarty CA, Taylor HR. Cause-specific prevalence of bilateral visual impairment in Victoria, Australia: the Visual Impairment Project. *Ophthalmology* 2001;108(5):960-967.

46. Fong DS, Aiello L, Gardner TW, King GL, Blankenship G, Cavallerano JD, Ferris FL, 3rd, Klein R, American Diabetes A. Diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes Care* 2003;26 Suppl 1:S99-S102.

47. Lin J-C, Shau W-Y, Lai M-S. Sex-and age-specific prevalence and incidence rates of sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy in Taiwan. *JAMA ophthalmology* 2014;132(8):922-928.

48. Monti MC, Lonsdale JT, Montomoli C, Montross R, Schlag E, Greenberg DA.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
10	
12	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34 25	
30	
30	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52 52	
55 57	
54	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

Familial risk factors for microvascular complications and differential male-female risk in a large cohort of American families with type 1 diabetes. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 2007;92(12):4650-4655. 49. Minuto N, Emmanuele V, Vannati M, Russo C, Rebora C, Panarello S, Pistorio A, Lorini R, d'Annunzio G. Retinopathy screening in patients with type 1 diabetes diagnosed in young age using a non-mydriatic digital stereoscopic retinal imaging. *Journal of endocrinological investigation* 2012;35(4):389-394. 50. Holl R, Lang G, Grabert M, Heinze E, Lang G, Debatin K. Diabetic retinopathy in pediatric patients with type-1 diabetes: effect of diabetes duration, prepubertal and pubertal onset of diabetes, and metabolic control. The Journal of pediatrics 1998;132(5):790-794. 51. Hletala K, Harjutsalo V, Forsblom C, Groop P-H, Group fs. Age at onset and the risk of proliferative retinopathy in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes care* 2010;33(6):1315-1319. 52. Marshall SM. Clinical features and management of diabetic nephropathy. Textbook of diabetes Boston: Blackwell Publishing 2003;2003. 53. Nordwall M, Bojestig M, Arnqvist HJ, Ludvigsson J. Declining incidence of severe retinopathy and persisting decrease of nephropathy in an unselected population of Type 1 diabetes-the Linköping Diabetes Complications Study. Diabetologia 2004;47(7):1266-1272. 54. Donaghue KC, Fairchild JM, Craig ME, Chan AK, Hing S, Cutler LR, Howard NJ, Silink M. Do all prepubertal years of diabetes duration contribute equally to diabetes complications? *Diabetes care* 2003;26(4):1224-1229. 55. Svensson M, Nyström L, Schön S, Dahlquist G. Age at Onset of Childhood-Onset Type 1 Diabetes and the Development of End-Stage Renal Disease A nationwide population-based study. *Diabetes Care* 2006;29(3):538-542. 56. Raile K, Galler A, Hofer S, Herbst A, Dunstheimer D, Busch P, Holl RW. Diabetic Nephropathy in 27,805 Children, Adolescents, and Adults With Type 1 Diabetes Effect of diabetes duration, A1C, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes onset, and sex. Diabetes care 2007;30(10):2523-2528. 57. International Comparisons. American Journal of Kidney Diseases;57(1):e383-e396. 58. Jenkins AJ, Lyons TJ, Zheng D, Otvos JD, Lackland DT, McGee D, Garvey WT, Klein RL, dcct/edic Research G. Lipoproteins in the DCCT/EDIC cohort: associations with diabetic nephropathy. *Kidney international* 2003;64(3):817-828.

59. Rossing P, Hougaard P, Parving H-H. Risk Factors for Development of Incipient and Overt Diabetic Nephropathy in Type 1 Diabetic Patients A 10-year prospective observational study. *Diabetes care* 2002;25(5):859-864.

60. American Diabetes A. Standards of medical care for patients with diabetes mellitus. *Puerto Rico Health Sciences Journal* 2013;20(2).

61. Boulton AJM, Vinik AI, Arezzo JC, Bril V, Feldman EL, Freeman R, Malik RA, Maser RE, Sosenko JM, Ziegler D. Diabetic neuropathies a statement by the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes care* 2005;28(4):956-962.

62. Veglio M, Sivieri R. Prevalence of neuropathy in IDDM patients in Piemonte, Italy. *Diabetes care* 1993;16(2):456-461.

63. Laing SP, Swerdlow AJ, Slater SD, Burden AC, Morris A, Waugh NR, Gatling W, Bingley PJ, Patterson CC. Mortality from heart disease in a cohort of 23,000 patients with insulin-treated diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2003;46(6):760-765.

64. Paterson AD, Rutledge BN, Cleary PA, Lachin JM, Crow RS. The Effect of Intensive Diabetes Treatment on Resting Heart Rate in Type 1 Diabetes The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Study. *Diabetes care* 2007;30(8):2107-2112.

65. Hogan P, Dall T, Nikolov P. Economic costs of diabetes in the US in 2002. *Diabetes care* 2003;26(3):917.

66. Dorman JS, Laporte RE, Kuller LH, Cruickshanks KJ, Orchard TJ, Wagener DK, Becker DJ, Cavender DE, Drash AL. The Pittsburgh insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM) morbidity and mortality study: mortality results. *Diabetes* 1984;33(3):271-276.

67. Borch-Johnsen K, Nissen H, Henriksen E, Kreiner S, Salling N, Deckert T, Nerup J. The Natural History of Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus in Denmark: 1. Long-term survival with and without late diabetic complications. *Diabetic Medicine* 1987;4(3):201-210.

68. Krolewski AS, Warram JH, Christlieb AR, Busick EJ, Kahn CR. The changing natural history of nephropathy in type I diabetes. *The American journal of medicine* 1985;78(5):785-794.

69. Maser RE, Wolfson S, Ellis D, Stein EA, Drash AL, Becker DJ, Dorman JS, Orchard TJ. Cardiovascular disease and arterial calcification in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: interrelations and risk factor profiles. Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study-V. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology* 1991;11(4):958-965.

1 ว	
∠ 3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
1/	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34 25	
35 36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
45 44	
45	
46	

Table 1: Overall incidence density of diabetes-related complications among patients with type 1 diabetes between 1999 and 2013 STDR Renal CVD DKA Outpatient Hospitalized Retinopathy Proliferative Neuropathy Nephropathy retinopathy failure hypoglycemia hypoglycemia No. of cases* 3.359 3,970 3,983 3,742 3,634 4,003 3,916 2,205 3,934 3,987 No. of cases with event** 1,532 157 90 558 688 36 117 996 913 105 Follow-up time 15,675 26,733 27,139 23,320 21,936 27,491 26,664 8,224 22,865 26,968 (person-years)† Incidence density 97.74 5.87 3.32 23.93 31.36 1.31 4.39 121.11 39.93 3.89 (1,000 person-years) (92.9-102.8)(5.0-6.9)(2.7-4.1)(22.0-26.0)(29.1 - 33.8)(0.9-1.8)(3.6-5.3)(113.7-128.9)(37.4-42.6) (3.2-4.7)(95% CI) * No. of cases refers to the number of patients who had no complication of interest in the baseline year (one year before diagnosis date).

** No. of cases with event refers to the number of patients who had incident events after type 1 diabetes was confirmed.

21 † Cumulative follow-up time (person-years) was calculated as the sum of follow-up years during observation period. 22

Note: Patients with type 1 diabetes were retrieved from incidence cases from 2000 to 2012. Follow-up time started from the first diagnosis date to the time the event occurred, death, discontinued enrollment from 24

25 Taiwan's National Health Insurance Program, or the end of 2013, whichever came first.

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

23

45 46 47

26 Abbreviations: STDR: sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy, CVD: cardiovascular disease, DKA: diabetic ketoacidosis, CI: confidence interval. 27

Page 33 of 53

1

BMJ Open

triny faire proglemin of the prog		Retinopathy	Proliferative	STDR	Neuropathy	Nephropathy	Renal	CVD	DKA	Outpatient	Hospitalized
Mat No. of cases* All male 1,618 1,886 1,892 1,778 1,695 1,901 1859 1,073 1,822 Early-onset (ol-12 years) 654 719 719 714 700 719 712 396 718 Late-onset (c213 years) 664 719 1,173 1,064 995 1,182 1,174 677 1,154 All male 693 64 34 261 332 15 60 447 452 Early onset 305 14 1 37 86 1 7 239 202 Late onset 388 50 33 224 246 14 53 208 209 Follow-up time (person-years)* 333 5200 33 224 246 14 53 208 310 4279 432 1102 439 430 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 2			retinopathy				failure			hypoglycemia	hypoglycemia
No. of cases*All male1,6181,8861,8921,7781,6951,90118591,0731,872Early-onset (\geq 13 years)654719719714700719712396718Late-onset (\geq 13 years)9641,1671,1731,0649951,1221,1476771,154No. of cases with event**7007196044745265471920211778617239202Late onset3051413786172392022042041453208250Follow-up time (person-years)*3850332242461453208250261Follow-up time (person-years)*3335,2905,3685,1804,8175,3585,2801,3174,277Late-onset3,3335,2905,3685,1804,8175,3585,2801,3174,277Late-onset4,8007,6187,7346,1655,5867,8977,5183,0056,749Incidence density113,24811,3514,9914,314,69103,4341,90(000 person-years)11111113491,3441,90(000 person-years)111111113491,3441,90(00	Aale										
All male1,6181,8861,8921,7781,6951,90118591,0731,872Early-onset (\geq 13 years)664719719714700719712396718No. of cases with event**	No. of cases*										
Early-onset (0-12 years) 664 719 719 714 700 719 712 396 718 Late-onset (≥ 13 years) 964 $1,167$ $1,173$ $1,064$ 995 $1,182$ $1,147$ 677 $1,154$ No. of cases with event** $$	All male	1,618	1,886	1,892	1,778	1,695	1,901	1859	1,073	1,872	1,902
Late-onset (≥ 13 years)9641,1671,1731,0649951,1821,1476771,154No. of cases with event** $$	Early-onset (0-12 years)	654	719	719	714	700	719	712	396	718	719
No. of cases with event**All male 693 64 34 261 332 15 60 447 452 Early onset 305 14 1 37 86 1 7 239 202 Late onset 388 50 33 224 246 14 53 208 250 Follow-up time (person-years)* 333 5290 5368 $5,180$ $4,817$ $5,358$ $5,280$ $1,317$ $4,277$ All male $7,813$ $12,908$ $13,102$ $11,345$ $10,403$ $13,248$ $12,798$ $4,322$ $11,025$ Early-onset $3,333$ $5,290$ $5,368$ $5,180$ $4,817$ $5,358$ $5,280$ $1,317$ $4,277$ Late-onset $4,480$ $7,618$ $7,734$ $6,165$ $5,586$ $7,891$ $7,518$ $3,005$ $6,749$ Incidence density $(1,000 person-years)$ $(1,000 p$	Late-onset (≥13 years)	964	1,167	1,173	1,064	995	1,182	1,147	677	1,154	1,183
All nale 693 64 34 261 332 15 60 447 452 Early onset 305 14 1 37 86 1 7 239 202 Late onset 388 50 33 224 246 14 53 208 250 Follow-up time (person-years)* $7,813$ $12,908$ $13,102$ $11,345$ $10,403$ $13,248$ $12,798$ $4,322$ $11,025$ All nale $7,813$ $5,290$ $5,368$ $5,180$ $4,817$ $5,358$ $5,280$ $1,317$ $4,277$ Late-onset $4,480$ $7,618$ $7,734$ $6,165$ $5,586$ $7,891$ $7,518$ $3,005$ $6,749$ Incidence density $1,000$ person-years) $1,131$ 4.69 $103,43$ 41.00 (95% CT) 88.70 4.96 2.60 23.01 31.91 1.13 4.69 $103,43$ 41.00 ($82.2-95.6)$ $(3.8-63)$ $(1.8-3.6)$ $(20.3-26.0)$ $(28.6-35.5)$ $(0.61.9)$ $(3.6-6.0)$ $(94.1-11.5)$ $(3.7.4-5.0)$ Early-onset 91.52 2.65 0.9 7.14 17.85 0.19 1.33 181.53 47.23	No. of cases with event**										
Early onset 305 14 1 37 86 1 7 239 202 Late onset 388 50 33 224 246 14 53 208 250 Follow-up time (person-years)?All male $7,813$ $12,908$ $13,102$ $11,345$ $10,403$ $13,248$ $12,798$ $4,322$ $11,025$ Early-onset $3,333$ $5,290$ $5,368$ $5,180$ $4,817$ $5,358$ $5,280$ $1,317$ $4,277$ Late-onset $4,480$ $7,618$ $7,734$ $6,165$ $5,586$ $7,891$ $7,518$ $3,005$ $6,749$ Incidence density(1,000 person-years)(95% CT)All male 88.70 4.96 2.60 23.01 31.91 1.13 4.69 103.43 41.00 (82.295.6) $(3.8-6.3)$ $(1.8-3.6)$ $(20.3-26.0)$ $(28.6-35.5)$ $(0.61.9)$ $(3.6-6.0)$ $(94.1-113.5)$ $(37.3-45.0)$ Early-onset $91,52$ $2,65$ $0,19$ $7,14$ 17.85 $0,19$ 1.33 $181,53$ 47.23	All male	693	64	34	261	332	15	60	447	452	28
Late onset 388 50 33 224 246 14 53 208 250 Follow-up time (person-years)* All male 7,813 12,908 13,102 11,345 10,403 13,248 12,798 4,322 11,025 Early-onset 3,333 5,290 5,368 5,180 4,817 5,358 5,280 1,317 4,277 Late-onset 4,480 7,618 7,734 6,165 5,586 7,891 7,518 3,005 6,749 Incidence density 10,00 person-years) 5,586 7,891 7,518 3,005 6,749 (1,000 person-years) 5,586 7,891 7,518 3,005 6,749 (95% CT) 11 11.13 4.69 103.43 41.00 (82,2-95.6) (3.8-6.3) (18.3.6) (20.3-26.0) (28.6-35.5) (0.6-19) (3.6-6.0) (94.1-113.5) (37.3-45.0) Early-onset 91,52 2.65 0.19 7.14 17.85 0.19 1.33 181.53 47.23	Early onset	305	14	1	37	86	1	7	239	202	1
Follow-up time (person-years)† All male 7,813 12,908 13,102 11,345 10,403 13,248 12,798 4,322 11,025 Early-onset 3,333 5,290 5,368 5,180 4,817 5,358 5,280 1,317 4,277 Late-onset 4,480 7,618 7,734 6,165 5,586 7,891 7,518 3,005 6,749 Incidence density <	Late onset	388	50	33	224	246	14	53	208	250	17
All male7,81312,90813,10211,34510,40313,24812,7984,32211,025Early-onset3,3335,2905,3685,1804,8175,3585,2801,3174,277Late-onset4,4807,6187,7346,1655,5867,8917,5183,0056,749Incidence density(1,000 person-years)(95% CI)All male88.704.962.6023.0131.911.134.69103.4341.00(82.2-95.6)(3.8-6.3)(1.8-3.6)(20.3-26.0)(28.6-35.5)(0.6-1.9)(3.6-6.0)(94.1-113.5)(37.3-45.0)Early-onset91.522.650.197.1417.850.191.33181.5347.23	follow-up time (person-years)†										
Early-onset3,3335,2905,3685,1804,8175,3585,2801,3174,277Late-onset4,4807,6187,7346,1655,5867,8917,5183,0056,749Incidence density (1,000 person-years)(95% CI)All male88.704.962.6023.0131.911.134.69103.4341.00(82.2-95.6)(3.8-6.3)(1.8-3.6)(20.3-26.0)(28.6-35.5)(0.6-1.9)(3.6-6.0)(94.1-113.5)(37.3-45.0)Early-onset91.522.650.197.1417.850.191.33181.5347.23	All male	7,813	12,908	13,102	11,345	10,403	13,248	12,798	4,322	11,025	13,115
Late-onset 4,480 7,618 7,734 6,165 5,586 7,891 7,518 3,005 6,749 Incidence density (1,000 person-years) 6,749 (1,000 person-years) <td>Early-onset</td> <td>3,333</td> <td>5,290</td> <td>5,368</td> <td>5,180</td> <td>4,817</td> <td>5,358</td> <td>5,280</td> <td>1,317</td> <td>4,277</td> <td>5,29</td>	Early-onset	3,333	5,290	5,368	5,180	4,817	5,358	5,280	1,317	4,277	5,29
Incidence density (1,000 person-years) (05% CI) All male 88.70 4.96 2.60 23.01 31.91 1.13 4.69 103.43 41.00 (82.2-95.6) (3.8-6.3) (1.8-3.6) (20.3-26.0) (28.6-35.5) (0.6-1.9) (3.6-6.0) (94.1-113.5) (37.3-45.0) Early-onset 91.52 2.65 0.19 7.14 17.85 0.19 1.33 181.53 47.23	Late-onset	4,480	7,618	7,734	6,165	5,586	7,891	7,518	3,005	6,749	7,818
(1,000 person-years) (95% CI) All male 88.70 4.96 2.60 23.01 31.91 1.13 4.69 103.43 41.00 (82.2-95.6) (3.8-6.3) (1.8-3.6) (20.3-26.0) (28.6-35.5) (0.6-1.9) (3.6-6.0) (94.1-113.5) (37.3-45.0) Early-onset 91.52 2.65 0.19 7.14 17.85 0.19 1.33 181.53 47.23	ncidence density										
(95% CI) All male 88.70 4.96 2.60 23.01 31.91 1.13 4.69 103.43 41.00 (82.2-95.6) (3.8-6.3) (1.8-3.6) (20.3-26.0) (28.6-35.5) (0.6-1.9) (3.6-6.0) (94.1-113.5) (37.3-45.0) Early-onset 91.52 2.65 0.19 7.14 17.85 0.19 1.33 181.53 47.23	1,000 person-years)										
All male 88.70 4.96 2.60 23.01 31.91 1.13 4.69 103.43 41.00 (82.2-95.6) (3.8-6.3) (1.8-3.6) (20.3-26.0) (28.6-35.5) (0.6-1.9) (3.6-6.0) (94.1-113.5) (37.3-45.0) Early-onset 91.52 2.65 0.19 7.14 17.85 0.19 1.33 181.53 47.23	95% CI)										
(82.2-95.6)(3.8-6.3)(1.8-3.6)(20.3-26.0)(28.6-35.5)(0.6-1.9)(3.6-6.0)(94.1-113.5)(37.3-45.0)Early-onset91.522.650.197.1417.850.191.33181.5347.23	All male	88.70	4.96	2.60	23.01	31.91	1.13	4.69	103.43	41.00	2.13
Early-onset 91.52 2.65 0.19 7.14 17.85 0.19 1.33 181.53 47.23		(82.2-95.6)	(3.8-6.3)	(1.8-3.6)	(20.3-26.0)	(28.6-35.5)	(0.6-1.9)	(3.6-6.0)	(94.1-113.5)	(37.3-45.0)	(1.4-3.1
	Early-onset	91.52	2.65	0.19	7.14	17.85	0.19	1.33	181.53	47.23	2.08
											33

	(81 5-102 4)	(1 4-4 4)	(0.0-1.0)	(5.0-9.8)	(14 3-22 0)	(0.0-1.0)	(0.5-2.7)	(159 2-206 1)	(40,9-54,2)	(1.0-3.7)
Late-onset	86.60	6.56	4.27	36.34	44.04	1.77	7.05	69.22	37.05	2.17
	(78.2-95.7)	(4.9-8.7)	(2.9-6.0)	(31.7-41.4)	(38.7-49.9)	(1.0-3.0)	(5.3-9.2)	(60.1-79.3)	(32.6-41.9)	(1.3-3.5)
95% CI of incidence density										
difference for male, early vs.	-8.5 to 18.3	-6.4 to -1.4‡	-5.9 to -2.3‡	-34.8 to -23.6‡	-33.1 to -19.3‡	-2.8 to -0.4‡	-8.1 to -3.3‡	91.5 to 133.1‡	2.4 to 17.9‡	-1.7 to 1.5
late-onset										
Female										
No. of cases*										
All female	1,741	2,084	2,091	1,964	1,939	2,102	2,057	1,132	2,062	2,085
Early-onset	721	777	777	772	764	777	773	413	774	774
Late-onset	1,020	1,307	1,314	1,192	1,175	1,325	1,284	719	1,288	1,311
No. of cases with event**										
All female	839	93	56	297	356	21	57	549	461	77
Early-onset	358	18	6	50	109	1	11	277	229	21
Late-onset	481	75	50	247	247	20	46	272	232	
Follow-up time (person-years)*	-01	15	50	24)	277	20	-10	212	252	50
All famals										
All female	7,862	13,825	14,037	11,976	11,533	14,243	13,866	3,902	11,840	13,853
Early-onset	3,616	5,848	5,910	5,610	5,283	5,927	5,871	1,183	4,642	5,777
Late-onset	4,246	7,977	8,127	6,365	6,250	8,317	7,995	2,719	7,199	8,076
Incidence density										
(1,000 person-years)										
(95% CI)										
										34
		For peer	review only - h	ttp://bmjopen.b	mj.com/site/abo	out/guideline	es.xhtml			

All female	106.72	6.73	3.99	24.80	30.87	1.47	4.11	140.69	38.94	5.56
	(99.6-114.2)	(5.4-8.2)	(3.0-5.2)	(22.1-27.8)	(27.7-34.2)	(0.9-2.3)	(3.1-5.3)	(129.2-153.0)	(35.5-42.7)	(4.4-6.9)
Early-onset	99.01	3.08	1.02	8.91	20.63	0.17	1.87	234.05	49.34	3.63
	(89.0-109.8)	(1.8-4.9)	(0.4-2.2)	(6.6-11.8)	(16.9-24.9)	(0.0-0.9)	(0.9-3.4)	(207.3-263.3)	(43.1-56.2)	(2.3-5.6)
Late-onset	113.29	9.40	6.15	38.80	39.52	2.40	5.75	100.05	32.23	6.93
	(103.4-123.9)	(7.4-11.8)	(4.6-8.1)	(34.1-44.0)	(34.7-44.8)	(1.5-3.7)	(4.2-7.7)	(88.5-112.7)	(28.2-36.7)	(5.2-9.0)
95% CI of incidence density										
difference for female, early vs.	-28.8 to 0.2	-9.1 to -3.6‡	-7.3 to -3.0‡	-35.5 to -24.2‡	-25.3 to -12.5‡	-3.5 to -1.0‡	-6.0 to -1.7‡	108.4 to 159.6‡	9.8 to 24.4‡	-5.8 to -0.8‡
late-onset										
95% CI of incidence density	27.04-024	264-01	2.0.4. 0.024	594-22	264.57	124-05	104-22	52 2 4- 22 24	214-72	404-104
difference for male vs. female	-2/.8 to -8.2‡	-3.6 to 0.1	-2.8 to -0.02‡	-5.8 to 2.2	-3.6 to 5.7	-1.2 to 0.5	-1.0 to 2.2	-52.5 to -22.2‡	-3.1 to /.2	-4.9 to -1.9‡
* No. of cases refers to the number	r of patients who ha	d no complicatio	n of interest in the	baseline year (one	year before diagnos	is date).				
** No. of cases with event refers to	o the number of pat	ients who had inc	eident events after	type 1 diabetes was	confirmed.					
† Cumulative follow-up time (pers	on-years) was calcu	ulated as the sum	of follow-up years	s during observation	n period.					
Note: Patients with type 1 diabetes	were retrieved from	n incidence cases	s from 2000 to 201	2. Follow-up time s	started from the firs	t diagnosis date	to the time the e	event occurred, death	n, discontinued enr	ollment from
Taiwan's National Health Insuranc	e Program, or the e	nd of 2013, whic	hever came first.							
Abbreviations: STDR: sight-threat	ening diabetic retin	opathy, CVD: car	rdiovascular disea	se, DKA: diabetic k	etoacidosis, CI: cor	fidence interva	l. ‡ <i>p</i> < 0.05			
										25
										35
		For peer	review only - h	ttp://bmjopen.b	mj.com/site/ab	out/guidelin	es.xhtml			

Figure 1. Flowchart of study cohort selection

Figure 2: Cumulative incidences of diabetic ketoacidosis, mild hypoglycemia according to the duration of diabetes in patients with type 1 diabetes (shadow area indicates 95 % confidence interval)

Figure 3: Cumulative incidences of retinopathy, sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy, renal failure, and cardiovascular diseases according to the duration of diabetes in patients with type 1 diabetes (shadow area indicates 95 % confidence interval)

tor peet texter only

BMJ Open

Patients who had a diagnosis of type 1 diabetes (250.x1, 250.x3) from outpatient file of National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD) in
1999-2013, and had received a Catastrophic Illness Card (CIC) for type 1 diabetes (n = 4,677)
Exclusion of possible type 2 diabetes (n = 670) \checkmark Patients who had at least one prescription of oral hypophycemic
agents (not including metformin and thiazolidinediones) in
outpatient file of NHIRD after CIC was issued.
Study cohort (n = 4,007)
Exclusion of nations to the second se
vear prior to type 1 diabetes diagnosis
year provide the provide and t
Type 1 diabetes patients for estimating incidence densities and cumulative incidence rates of diabetes related complications
Type i suaceus parents tot estimating incluence densities and cumulative incluence rates of diabetes-related complications
Figure 1. Flowchart of study cohort selection
209x148mm (300 x 300 DPI)
For peer review only - http://bmiopen.bmi.com/site/about/quidelines.ybtm

Outpatient hypoglycemia (n=3,934)

5.0 7.5

Figure 2: Cumulative incidences of diabetic ketoacidosis, mild hypoglycemia according to the duration of diabetes in patients with type 1 diabetes

209x148mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Duration of diabetes (years)

50

40

30

20

10

0.0 2.5

Cumulative Incidence (%)

10.0 12.5 15.0

Duration of diabetes (years)

(shadow area indicates 95 % confidence interval)

Hospitalized hypoglycemia (n=3,987)

6

4

2 -

2.5 5.0

0.0

7.5 10.0 12.5 15.

Duration of diabetes (years)

Cumulative Incidence (%)

10.0 12.5 15.0

Diabetic ketoacidosis (n=2,205)

60

40

20

(

0.0

2.5 5.0 7.5

Cumulative Incidence (%)







Figure 3: Cumulative incidences of retinopathy, sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy, renal failure, and cardiovascular diseases according to the duration of diabetes in patients with type 1 diabetes (shadow area indicates 95 % confidence interval)

297x420mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Complications	ICD-9-CM	ICD-9-CM	NHI
	disease codes	procedure codes	procedure codes
CVD (cardiovascular disease and cerebrovascular d	isease) ^{a,b}		
Acute myocardial	410, 412*		
infarction			
Ischemic heart disease	411, 413, 414, V45.81, V45.82	00.66, 36.0, 36.1, 36.2, 36.3, 36.9, 88.5	
Heart failure	428		
Stroke	430-437, 438*, V12.54	00.61, 00.63, 38.11, 38.12	
Cardiogenic shock	785.51		
Sudden cardiac arrest	V12.53		
Arteriosclerotic cardiovascular disease	429.2		
Arrhythmia	426, 427		
Microvascular complications ^{a,c}			
Nephropathy	250.4, 403, 404, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586,	38.95, 39.27, 39.42, 39.95, 54.98, 55.4, 55.5,	
	587, 588, 593, 791.0, V13.03, V42.0, V45.1, V56	55.6	
Renal failure (dialysis or transplantation) ^d	V45.1, V56	39.95, 54.98	
		55.6	
Retinopathy	250.5, 361, 362, 364, 365, 366, 368, 369, 377, 379.2	12.41, 12.73, 14.23, 14.24, 14.25, 14.33, 14.34,	86206B, 86207B, 60001C, 60002C*,
		14.35, 14.53, 14.54, 14.55, 16.92, 16.99	60003C, 60004C*
Proliferative retinopathy ^d	362.02		60001C, 60002C*, 60003C, 60004C*
Sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy ^e			86206B, 86207B, 60001C, 60002C*,
			60003C, 60004C*
Neuropathy	250.6, 302.72, 337.1, 354, 355, 357.2, 358.1, 607.84,		
	713.5, 729.2		
Metabolic complications			
Diabetic ketoacidosis ^a	250.1		
Hypoglycemia ^{a,f}	251.0, 251.1, 251.2, 270.3, 775.0, 775.6		

Page 41 of 53

BMJ Open

1	*For identifying prevalent cases only. a: Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2014;24(1):10-7. b: Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2009;18(6):497-503. c: Diabetes Care. 2008;31(3):596-615. d: Diabetes. 2006;55(5):1463-9.
2	e. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2014,152(6).922-928. I. BMC Endoci Disord. 2008,8.4. Abbreviations. ICD-9-CM. International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Chinear Modification, Nrti. Tarwan National
5 4	Health Insurance.
- 5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
14	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
30 20	
39 40	
41	
42	
43	For near review only - http://bmionen.bmi.com/site/about/quidelines.yhtml
44	Tor peer review only - nttp://binjopen.binj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
45	
46	

Supplementary Table 2: Incidence density of hospitalized hypoglycemia among patients with incident type 1 diabetes diagnosed between 1999 and 2013 (using primary diagnosis to define

hospitalization for hypoglycemia)

	Overall		Male subgroup	0		Female subgroup	p
	patients	Overall	Male	Male	Overall	Female	Female
		male [‡]	early-onset (0-12 years) [‡]	late-onset (≥13 years)	female	early-onset $(0-12 \text{ years})^{\ddagger}$	late-onset (≥13 years)
No. of cases*	4,001	1,903	719	1,184	2,098	777	1,321
No. of cases with event	36	12	4	8	24	6	18
Follow-up time (person-years)†	27,374	13,205	5,327	7,878	14,169	5,890	8,280
Incidence density (1,000 person-years)	1.32	0.91	0.75	1.02	1.69	1.02	2.17
(95% CI)	(0.9-1.8)	(0.5-1.6)	(0.2-1.9)	(0.4-2.0)	(1.1-2.5)	(0.4-2.2)	(1.3-3.4)

* No. of cases refers to the number of patients who had no complication event of interest before type 1 diabetes was confirmed.

† Cumulative follow-up time (person-years) was calculated as the sum of follow-up years during observation period.

Note: Patients with type 1 diabetes were retrieved from incidence cases from 2000 to 2012. Follow-up time started from the first diagnosis date to the time the event occurred, death, discontinued enrollment from

Taiwan's National Health Insurance Program, or the end of 2013, whichever came first.

* 95% CI of incidence density difference for male vs. female was [-1.6 to 0.1]; in male subgroup, early vs. late-onset was [-1.3 to 0.8]; and in female subgroup, early vs. late-onset was [-2.5 to 0.2].

Abbreviations: STDR: sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy, CVD: cardiovascular disease, DKA: diabetic ketoacidosis, CI: confidence interval.

Page 43 of 53

1

BMJ Open



Supplementary Figure 1: Age-sex-specific cumulative incidences of diabetes complications according to duration of diabetes in patients with type 1 diabetes (early-onset: 0-12 years, late-onset: 2-13



Page 45 of 53

 BMJ Open





Page 47 of 53

BMJ Open



1	<i>p</i> value for comparison of cumulative incidence of sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy for male vs. female was 0.0405, for male, early vs. late-onset was
2	<.0001, for female, early vs. late-onset was <.0001.
3 4	<i>p</i> value for comparison of cumulative incidence of neuropathy for male vs. female was 0.4050, for male, early vs. late-onset was <.0001, for female, early vs.
5	late-onset was <.0001.
6 7	<i>p</i> value for comparison of cumulative incidence of nephropathy for male vs. female was 0.6191, for male, early vs. late-onset was <.0001, for female, early vs.
8	late-onset was <.0001.
9 10	p value for comparison of cumulative incidence of renal failure for male vs. female was 0.4222, for male, early vs. late-onset was 0.0078, for female, early vs.
11	late-onset was 0.0003.
12 13	p value for comparison of cumulative incidence of cardiovascular disease for male vs. female was 0.4655, for male, early vs. late-onset was <.0001, for female,
14	early vs. late-onset was 0.0004.
15 16	<i>p</i> value for comparison of cumulative incidence of diabetic ketoacidosis for male vs. female was 0.0015, for male, early vs. late-onset was <.0001, for female,
17	early vs. late-onset was <.0001.
18 19	p value for comparison of cumulative incidence of outpatient hypoglycemia for male vs. female was 0.4095 for male, early vs. late-onset was 0.0097, for
20	female, early vs. late-onset was <.0001.
21 22	<i>p</i> value for comparison of cumulative incidence of hospitalized hypoglycemia for male vs. female was <.0001, for male, early vs. late-onset was 0.9600, for
23	female, early vs. late-onset was 0.0113.
24 25	
25 26	
27 28	
28 29	
30 21	
32	
33	
34 35	
36	
37 38	
39	
40 41	
42	
43 44	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
45	







Note:

p value for comparison of cumulative incidence for male vs. female was 0.0782, for male, early vs. late-onset was 0.6272, for female, early vs. late-onset was 0.1326.

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation	Page number
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1, 2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was	2,3
		done and what was found	,
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being	5
		reported	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	6
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	7
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of	7, 8
-		recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods	7, 8
		of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	
		<i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and	
		methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for	
		the choice of cases and controls	
		Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria and the sources and	
		methods of selection of participants	
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies give matching criteria and number of	Not
		exposed and unexposed	applicable
		Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the	upplicable
		number of controls per case	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes exposures predictors potential confounders	9
v arrables	,	and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria if applicable	,
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of	8 9
massurement	0	assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if	0,)
measurement		there is more than one group	
Diag	0	Describe any efforts to address notantial sources of higs	0
Dias Study size	9	Explain how the study size was arrived at	9 Not
Study Size	10	Explain now the study size was arrived at	annliashla
Overtitetive verichles	11	Evenlain have growtitative vanishing ware handlad in the analyses. If	
Quantitative variables	11	Explain now quantitative variables were nancied in the analyses. If	9
	10	applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	0
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	9
			0.10
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	9, 10
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	9, 10
		(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	9
		Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and	
		controls was addressed	
		Cross-sectional study-If applicable, describe analytical methods taking	
		account of sampling strategy	
			0 10

Continued on next page

tor beer texies only

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	
27	
20	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
3/	
38	
29 40	
40 41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52 52	
55 51	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study-eg numbers potentially	10
		eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing	
		follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Not
			applicable
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	8
Descriptive	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and	10
data		information on exposures and potential confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	8
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	10
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	10
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures	
		of exposure	
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and	10, 11
		their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were	
		adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	10, 11
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a	Not
		meaningful time period	relevant
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity	11
		analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	11
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or	20
		imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations,	21
		multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	21
Other information	on		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if	23
		applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	
		-	

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Incidence of diabetes-related complications in Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes: A population-based longitudinal cohort study in Taiwan

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-015117.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Apr-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Ou, Huang-tz; National Cheng Kung University College of Medicine, Institute of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences ; National Cheng Kung University College of Medicine, Pharmacy Lee, Tsung-Ying ; National Cheng Kung University College of Medicine, Institute of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Li, Chung-Yi; National Cheng Kung University College of Medicine, Public Health; China Medical University, Public Health Wu, Jin Shang ; National Cheng Kung University College of Medicine, Family Medicine; National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Family Medicine Sun, Zih Jie ; National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Family Medicine; National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Family Medicine
Primary Subject Heading :	Diabetes and endocrinology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology, Health services research
Keywords:	DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, EPIDEMIOLOGY, Diabetic neuropathy < DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

2	
3	Incidence of diabetes-related complications in Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes: A
4	nonulation based longitudinal cohort study in Taiwan
5	population-based longitudinal conort study in Tarwan
0 7	
8	Huang-Tz Ou PhD ^{1,2,*} , Tsung-Ying Lee MS ¹ , Chung-Yi Li PhD ^{3,4} , Jin-Shang Wu MD ^{5,6} ,
9	71110 - 10007
10	Zin-Jie Sun MD ^{e,}
11	
12	¹ Institute of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Medicine, National
13	institute of enfined finantiaey and finantiaeedatear selences, conege of medicine, futional
14	Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan
15 16	² Department of Pharmacy, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Tainan,
17	
18	Taiwan
19	³ Department of Public Health, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan,
20	Taiwan
21	
22	⁴ Department of Public Health, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan
23	⁵ Department of Family Medicine, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University,
24 25	Tainan Taiwan
26	Talilali, Talwali
27	^o Department of Family Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Tainan, Taiwan
28	⁷ Department of Family Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Dou-Liou
29	Derech Der Lier Trieren
30	Branch, Dou-Liou, Taiwan
31	
32	
34	
35	
36	*Corresponding author
37	
38	Iluang-12 Ou, 1 liD
39	Institute of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Medicine, National
40 41	Cheng Kung University,
42	1 University Road, Tainan 7010, Taiwan
43	Dhama: $1996.6.2252525$ and 5695
44	Phone. +880-0-2555555 ext. 5085
45 46	Fax: +886-6-2373149
47	Email: huangtz@mail.ncku.edu.tw
48	Linun. <u>huungkawinun noku.ouu.on</u>
49	
50	
51	

Abstract

Objective: To estimate the incidence densities and cumulative incidence of diabetes-related complications in patients with type 1 diabetes for a maximum of 15-year follow-up. The estimations were further stratified by gender and age at diagnosis (i.e., early-onset: 0-12 years,

late-onset: ≥ 13 years).

Design: A population-based retrospective longitudinal cohort study.

Setting: Taiwan's National Health Insurance medical claims.

Participants: 4,007 patients newly-diagnosed with type 1 diabetes were identified during 1999-2012.

Outcome measures: Acute complications included diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) and hypoglycemia. Chronic complications were cardiovascular diseases (CVD), retinopathy, neuropathy, and nephropathy.

Results: The incidence density of retinopathy was greatest (97.74 per 1,000 person-years),

followed by those of nephropathy (31.36), neuropathy (23.93), and CVD (4.39). Among

acute complications, the incidence density of DKA was greatest (121.11 per 1,000

person-years). The cumulative incidences of acute complications after 12 years following

diagnosis were estimated to be 52.1%, 36.1%, and 4.1% for DKA, outpatient hypoglycemia,

Page 3 of 53

BMJ Open

and hospitalized hypoglycemia, respectively. For chronic complications, the cumulative incidence of retinopathy after 12 years following diagnosis was greatest (65.2%), followed by those of nephropathy (30.2%), neuropathy (23.7%), and CVD (4.1%). Females with late-onset diabetes were greatly affected by advanced retinopathy (i.e., sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy) and hospitalized hypoglycemia, whereas those with early-onset diabetes were more vulnerable to DKA. Chronic complications were more commonly seen in late-onset diabetes, whereas early-onset diabetes were most affected by acute complications. **Conclusions:** Ethnically Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes were greatly affected by DKA and retinopathy. The incidence of diabetes-related complications after diagnosis differed by age and sex.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the largest longitudinal cohort study of ethnically Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes followed for a maximum of 15 years to provide up-to-date incidence estimates of acute and chronic complications.
- ✓ The analyses stratified by gender and age at diabetes-onset indicated significant age-gender disparities in the epidemiological data of diabetes-related complications in type 1 diabetes, which highlight importance for clinical attention and developing preventive strategies.
- The study limitations resulting from the use of medical reimbursement claims data, including potential misclassifications of diabetes-related complications and lack of clinical biomarkers such as blood glucose, may underestimate rather than overestimate the incidence rates of diabetes-related complications.
- ✓ The incidence estimates of diabetes-related complications may only be generalizable to ethnically Chinese population with type 1 diabetes.

BMJ Open

2	
3	
1	
т г	
S	
6	
7	
8	
a	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
21	
51	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
20	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
ר ⊢ ⊿ר	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
т/ 40	
4ð	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
55	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
50	
59	
60	

1 Introduction

2	It has been estimated that the incidence of type 1 diabetes increases by about 3-5% per
3	year worldwide. ¹⁻³ The annual incidence rate of childhood (< 15 years) type 1 diabetes in
4	Taiwan was 5.3 per 100,000 children in the period 2003-2008. ⁴ Type 1 diabetes accounts for
5	only 5-10% of the diabetic population, but it remains a devastating chronic disorder with
6	acute complications, including diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) and hypoglycemia, and chronic
7	complications, which can be divided into microvascular (i.e., retinopathy, neuropathy,
8	nephropathy) and macrovascular complications (i.e., cardiovascular diseases; CVD).
9	Although treatment and care for type 1 diabetes have improved, ⁵⁻⁷ diabetes-related
10	complications are major obstacles to glycemic control for many patients and contribute to
11	most of the increased morbidity and premature mortality in such individuals. ⁸ The toxicity
12	effect of prolonged chronic hyperglycemia is a leading cause of microvascular and
13	macrovascular diseases among type 1 diabetes patients, with hypertension and dyslipidemia
14	being exacerbating factors. ⁹
15	Assessing the epidemiology of diabetes-related complications is essential for developing
16	preventive strategies and planning treatment protocols to minimize the impact of the
17	complications. However, there is very little longitudinal data (e.g., Pittsburgh Epidemiology
	5
	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 6 of 53

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
10	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
29	
50	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
<u>⊿</u> ว	
-⊤∠ ⁄\?	
45	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
55	
50	
5/	
58	
59	
60	

1

1	of Childhood-Onset Diabetes Complications (EDC) Study, ¹⁰ EURODIAB IDDM	
2	Complications Study ¹¹) on the incidence of complications for type 1 diabetes, and previous	
3	estimates widely varied with countries (e.g., European countries, ¹² Finland, ¹³ Denmarks, ¹⁴	
4	United States ¹⁰) and entailed different follow-up periods (e.g., 7 years, ¹² 12 years, ¹³ 18	
5	years, ¹⁴ and 30 years ¹⁰). In addition, a limited number of diabetes-related complications have	
6	been investigated (e.g., microalbuminuria ^{12, 14} and cardiovascular diseases; CVD ¹³), with no	
7	previous study targeting an ethnic Chinese population with type 1 diabetes. Ethnic variations	
8	in diabetes-related complications have been recognized; Caucasian patients are greatly	
9	affected by CVD, ^{15, 16} while the prevalence of end-stage renal failure (ESRD) ¹⁷ and the odds	
10	of microalbuminuria and macroaluminuria ¹⁸ in Asian populations are much higher compared	
11	to those for Caucasian patients. Given the significance of rising life expectancy in recent	
12	years among ethnic Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes, ¹⁹ it is important to provide precise	
13	up-to-date estimates of incidence of its complications and compare them to those for other	
14	countries. We therefore utilized a longitudinal population-based cohort of newly diagnosed	
15	type 1 diabetes patients who were followed during the period 1999-2013 to evaluate the	
16	incidence densities and cumulative incidences of acute and chronic complications to provide	
17	contemporary estimates for an ethnic Chinese population. Efforts were also made to examine	
	1	whether there were age and sex differences in the incidences of type-1-diabetes-related
---	----	--
	2	complications.
	3	
	4	Materials and Methods
	5	The Institutional Review Board of National Cheng Kung University Hospital approved
	6	the study before commencement (A-ER-103-298).
	7	Data source:
	8	We utilized the Longitudinal Cohort of Diabetes Patients (LHDB) 1996-2013 data from
	9	the National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD). Taiwan's NHIRD is
1	.0	population-based and derived from the claims data of the National Health Insurance (NHI)
1	.1	program, a mandatory-enrollment, single-payment system that covers over 99% of Taiwan's
1	2	population. ²⁰ The LHDB is a valid national dataset that consists of a random sample of
1	.3	120,000 de-identified diabetes incident cases from each calendar year, who were tracked back
1	.4	to 1996 and followed up to 2013 to establish a longitudinal cohort. The LHDB is
1	.5	representative of Taiwan's population with diabetes and provides research opportunity to
1	.6	evaluate long-term health outcomes of patients. ²¹⁻²⁶
1	.7	<u>Cohort</u> :

1	From the LHDB, we selected 4,677 patients with a diagnosis of type 1 diabetes
2	(International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification, ICD-9-CM =
3	250.x1 or 250.x3) from outpatient files of the LHDB and having received a Catastrophic
4	Illness Card (CIC) for type 1 diabetes (Figure 1) in the period 1999-2012. Because patients
5	with a CIC are eligible for exemption from co-payments, the approval of such a status is
6	subject to evaluation and review by the Bureau of NHI of Taiwan. The CIC patient data are
7	accurate and reliable with a positive predictive value of 98.3% for type 1 diabetes. ¹⁹ We
8	further excluded 670 potential type 2 diabetes cases who consumed any oral
9	antihypoglycemic agents (OHAs) after CIC was issued, including sulfonylureas, meglitinides,
10	acarbose, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors, and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists,
11	and however, those who used metformin alone, thiazolidinediones alone, or both were
12	retained. Patients who were prescribed metformin, thiazolidinediones, or both were retained
13	because these OHAs are insulin-sensitizers that can be combined with insulin treatments for
14	cases with insulin resistance, ^{27, 28} which is also seen in patients with type 1 diabetes in Taiwan
15	based on our expert opinions. To estimate the incidence rates of diabetes-related
16	complications, we further selected cases without a history of the complication before type 1
17	diabetes diagnosis (Table 1). Study patients were stratified by gender and age at first type 1
	8

BMJ Open

1	diabetes diagnosis (i.e., early-onset: 0-12 years, late-onset: \geq 13 years). The 25 th , 50 th (median)
2	and 75 th percentiles of age in early-onset group were 5, 8, and 10, respectively, with the mean
3	age of 7.69 (standard deviation: 3.22). And, for late-onset group, the 25 th , 50 th and 75 th
4	percentiles of age were 17, 24, and 33, respectively, with the mean age of 26.47 (standard
5	deviation: 11.60).
6	Diabetes-related complications:
7	The complications of interest included acute complications, namely DKA (confirmed by
8	hospital admission or emergency room visit for DKA), hypoglycemia (confirmed by defined
9	hypoglycemic events required for outpatient visits or hospitalization for medical assistance or
10	interventions), and chronic complications, namely CVD, nephropathy, retinopathy, and
11	neuropathy. A list of diabetes-related complications and the corresponding ICD-9-CM codes
12	are provided in Supplementary Table 1; this list was confirmed by the expert panel before
13	being applied.
14	Statistics:
15	The incidence density of diabetes-related complications was calculated by dividing the
16	number of incident cases with individual complication events by the total person-years
17	observed over 15 years of follow-up (1999-2013). The 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were
	9

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1	calculated assuming a Poisson distribution of cases. ²⁹ Significant differences in incidence
2	density between age-sex subgroups were indicated by a 95% CI for the difference in
3	incidence density between subgroups. ³⁰ Moreover, because a cohort of newly diagnosed type
4	1 diabetes patients was utilized, we were able to provide visual illustrations about the
5	cumulative incidences of diabetes-related complications by diabetes duration since diabetes
6	onset. The cumulative incidence of diabetes-related complications was estimated by using the
7	life table method (using the SAS LIFETEST procedure) and significant difference in
8	cumulative incidence between subgroups were examined according to K-sample tests. ³¹ SAS
9	version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) was used for the aforementioned analyses.
10	
10 11	Results
10 11 12	Results The median (25 th and 75 th percentiles) for the overall follow-up times (defined as the
10 11 12 13	Results The median (25 th and 75 th percentiles) for the overall follow-up times (defined as the time from diabetes diagnosis to death, loss-to-follow-up, or the end of study period,
10 11 12 13 14	Results The median (25 th and 75 th percentiles) for the overall follow-up times (defined as the time from diabetes diagnosis to death, loss-to-follow-up, or the end of study period, whichever came first) are 6.74 years (3.43 and 10.02 years). The overall and age-sex specific
10 11 12 13 14 15	Results The median (25 th and 75 th percentiles) for the overall follow-up times (defined as the time from diabetes diagnosis to death, loss-to-follow-up, or the end of study period, whichever came first) are 6.74 years (3.43 and 10.02 years). The overall and age-sex specific incidence densities of diabetes-related complications are presented in Tables 1 and 2,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Results The median (25 th and 75 th percentiles) for the overall follow-up times (defined as the time from diabetes diagnosis to death, loss-to-follow-up, or the end of study period, whichever came first) are 6.74 years (3.43 and 10.02 years). The overall and age-sex specific incidence densities of diabetes-related complications are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The incidence rate of retinopathy (97.74 per 1,000 person-years) was greatest,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Results The median (25 th and 75 th percentiles) for the overall follow-up times (defined as the time from diabetes diagnosis to death, loss-to-follow-up, or the end of study period, whichever came first) are 6.74 years (3.43 and 10.02 years). The overall and age-sex specific incidence densities of diabetes-related complications are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The incidence rate of retinopathy (97.74 per 1,000 person-years) was greatest, followed by those of nephropathy (31.36), neuropathy (23.93), and CVD (4.39). Among
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Results The median (25 th and 75 th percentiles) for the overall follow-up times (defined as the time from diabetes diagnosis to death, loss-to-follow-up, or the end of study period, whichever came first) are 6.74 years (3.43 and 10.02 years). The overall and age-sex specifice incidence densities of diabetes-related complications are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The incidence rate of retinopathy (97.74 per 1,000 person-years) was greatest, followed by those of nephropathy (31.36), neuropathy (23.93), and CVD (4.39). Among

Page 11 of 53

BMJ Open

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
ð	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
30	
21	
21	
3Z	
33 24	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
54	
55	
50 57	
5/	
58	
59	
60	

1	acute complications, the incidence density of DKA was greatest (121.11 per 1,000
2	person-years). As shown in Table 2, the incidence densities of retinopathy, DKA, and
3	hospitalized hypoglycemia in females were significantly higher than those in males. The
4	incidence densities of DKA and outpatient hypoglycemia in the early-onset group (0-12 years)
5	were significantly higher than those noted in the late-onset group (\geq 13 years), while those of
6	advanced retinopathy (i.e., sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy; STDR), neuropathy,
7	nephropathy, CVD, and hospitalized hypoglycemia in the late-onset group were significantly
8	higher. Figures 2 and 3 show cumulative incidences for acute and chronic complications,
9	respectively, along with diabetes duration. The cumulative incidences at the 12 th year after
10	diagnosis were 52.1%, 36.1%, and 4.1% for DKA, outpatient hypoglycemia, and hospitalized
11	hypoglycemia, respectively. For chronic complications, the 12-year cumulative incidence of
12	retinopathy was greatest (65.2%), followed by those of nephropathy (30.2%), neuropathy
13	(23.7%), and CVD (5.2%). Age-sex specific cumulative incidences of diabetes-related
14	complications are illustrated in Supplementary Figure 1.
15	
16	Discussion
17	To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest cohort study of ethnically Chinese
	11

1	patients with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes. We provided up-to-date estimates of the
2	incidence of acute and chronic complications in type 1 diabetes patients followed for a
3	maximum of 15 years. We observed age-gender disparities in the incidence of
4	diabetes-related complications in type 1 diabetes. Although comparisons of the epidemiology
5	of diabetes-related complications between studies are difficult, as potential determinants of
6	the complications (e.g., age, gender, diabetes duration) differ, the estimates from different
7	studies may reveal some racial or ethnic differences. In the following, we compare our results
8	for ethnically Chinese patients with those reported for other countries or ethnicities.
9	Acute diabetes-related complications in type 1 diabetes patients
10	Diabetic ketoacidosis
11	Among acute complications, hyperglycemic events, including DKA and hyperglycemic
12	hyperosmolar syndrome (HHS), are leading causes of morbidity and mortality among
13	individuals with diabetes, ³² and utilize significant healthcare resources. ³³ DKA was the most
14	common acute complication among the Taiwanese population with type 1 diabetes; the
15	incidence density followed for 15 years was 121.11 per 1,000 person-years, and half of the
16	study population (~52%) experienced DKA at 12 years after diabetes diagnosis. Consistent
17	with previous studies from the United States, ³⁴ Australia, ³⁵ and Canada, ³⁶ we found that the
	12

Page 13 of 53

1

BMJ Open

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
ע∠ גר	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
21	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
30	
10	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
<u>4</u> 0	
50	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
59 50	
20	
29	
60	

1	incidence of DKA in female patients, especially those with early-onset diabetes (i.e., 0-12
2	years), was higher than that in male patients. A cohort of 1,234 children with type 1 diabetes
3	in the United States showed that female patients were greatly affected by DKA. A female
4	preponderance of DKA was observed in a longitudinal study of childhood type 1 diabetes in
5	Australia. ³⁵ Similarly, a Canadian study of childhood type 1 diabetes showed that female sex
6	was a significant predictor of DKA. ³⁶ In fact, insulin omission or intentional insulin
7	under-treatment due to fear of weight gain ³⁷ and high prevalence of eating disorders ³⁸ and
8	psychiatric disorders ³⁴ among female type 1 diabetes patients have been recognized as
9	precipitating causes of DKA. Hence, effective interventions such as health education and
10	communication for type 1 diabetes females are needed to reduce the incidence of DKA.
11	Hypoglycemia
12	Increased hypoglycemic events have been recognized as a result of the undesired effects
13	of intensive insulin therapy with strict glycemic control. ³⁹ The present study showed that the
14	incidence rates of hospitalized and outpatient hypoglycemia in the Taiwanese population with
15	type 1 diabetes were 3.89 and 39.93 per 1,000 person-years, respectively, which are much
16	lower than that reported in type 1 diabetes children (0-19 years) in the United States
17	(incidence of severe hypoglycemia: 190 per 1,000 person-years). ³⁴ Such discrepancies in

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

1	international data may be explained by different definitions and assessment approaches for
2	hypoglycemic events. We targeted hospital admissions for hypoglycemia based on ICD-9 CM
3	codes, whereas the United States study used patients' reported survey data and classified
4	severe hypoglycemia as acute episodes requiring the assistance of another person for
5	treatment reported in the preceding 3 months. ⁴⁰
6	Moreover, we observed that early-onset patients were greatly affected by acute
7	complications (i.e., DKA, hypoglycemia). It has been documented that among young children
8	with type 1 diabetes, inconsistent eating patterns and lesser ability to recognize and report
9	acute symptoms make it difficult to achieve glycemic control, leading to glycemic
10	fluctuations that cause multiple episodes of hyperglycemia (i.e., DKA) and hypoglycemia. ⁴¹
11	Frequent exposures to hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia in early-onset type 1 diabetes
12	patients could lead to a range of neurocognitive dysfunctions and brain changes. ⁴² Also,
13	structural brain changes in type 1 diabetes children may occur due to recurrent
14	hypoglycemia. ⁴³ Hence, given the high rates of acute complications and associated serious
15	consequences, effective management protocols and identification and treatment of
16	precipitating causes are needed. ⁴⁴ In particular, regular glycemic monitoring and
17	identification of risk factors in young type 1 diabetes patients are needed to reduce the
	14

2		
3 ⊿	1	frequency and severity of DKA and hypoglycemia
5	1	nequency and sevency of DKA and hypogrycenna.
6		
7	2	Chronic diabetes-related complications in type 1 diabetes
8		
9	_	
10 11	3	Diabetic retinopathy
12		
13	1	Displatic rationality is the main cause of blindness in the adult nonulation 45 Almost all
14	4	Diabetic retinopatity is the main cause of bindness in the adult population. Annost an
15		
16	5	type 1 diabetes patients develop evident retinopathy in the first 20 years of diagnosis. ⁴⁶ The
1/		
18 19		
20	6	present study showed that more than half (~69%) of type 1 diabetes patients experienced
21		
22	-	
23	7	some form of diabetic retinopathy at 12 years after diagnosis. We observed that the incidence
24		
25	g	density of diabetes retinonathy is greatest among chronic complications in Taiwanese type 1
20 27	0	density of diabetes retinopatity is greatest anong enrollie complications in farwancse type 1
28		
29	9	diabetes patients (4.53 per 100 person-years over a period of 15 years of follow-up). As
30		
31		
32	10	compared to the incident density of proliferative retinopathy (19.5 per 1,000 person-years) in
33		
34 35	11	
36	11	the Pittsburgh EDC Study of type I diabetes patients with a mean age of 28 years and
37		
38	12	diabetes duration of 19 years at baseline examination 10 our estimate (5.87 per 1.000
39	14	and bees defended in 17 years at sustemic examination, our estimate (3.07 per 1,000
40		
41 42	13	person-year) based on a cohort of newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes patients is lower. Such a
43		
44		
45	14	difference between studies may be explained by diabetes duration and age at baseline of
46		
47	1 Г	study examination. Moreover, commoning the mervalence of STDD in type 1 disk stor nationts
48	15	study examination. Moreover, comparing the prevalence of STDK in type 1 diabetes patients
49 50		
50	16	in this study (2.00% for women and 1.66% for men) with that previously observed in
52	10	
53		
54	17	Taiwanese type 2 diabetes patients (2.75% for women and 2.87% for men) ⁴⁷ reveals a slightly
55		
56		
5/ 58		15
50 59		
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1	lower advanced diabetic retinopathy (i.e., STDR) in the type 1 diabetes versus type 2 diabetes
2	patients. However, the lower rate of STDR in our study may be due to the other study's
3	inclusion of prevalent type 2 diabetes cases with longer diabetes duration ⁴⁷ as compared to
4	incident type 1 diabetes targeted in this study.
5	Consistent with previous studies, ^{48, 49} the present study demonstrated a female
6	preponderance in diabetic retinopathy. A large cohort of 8,114 type 1 diabetes patients and
7	families assembled over 25 years from the United States showed that females had 1.7 fold
8	higher retinopathy risk ($p < 0.001$) as compared to that of males. ⁴⁸ Also, a cross-sectional
9	study of 247 Italian type 1 diabetes patients showed a significant relationship between
10	diabetic retinopathy and female gender ($p = 0.01$). ⁴⁹ Although exact hormone, genetic,
11	lifestyle, or environmental factors are unclear, a differential effect of sex steroid hormones
12	has been proposed to explain this gender discrepancy. ⁵⁰ Also, age at diabetes onset has been
13	shown to be associated with the development of diabetic retinopathy. ^{49, 51} An early age at
14	onset (5-14 years) appears to modify the long-term risk of proliferative retinopathy. ⁵¹
15	Consistent with other studies, we observed lower incidence of diabetic retinopathy in
16	early-onset patients as compared to that in late-onset patients. Nevertheless, given a high rate
17	of diabetes retinopathy observed among Taiwanese type 1 diabetes patients, early detection
	16

2			
3			
4	1	using routine eye examination, control for risk factors of diabetic retinopathy (e,g.,	
5			
0 7	Э	hymostension hymoschyaamia hymoslinidamia) ⁹ as well as development of tailored	
7 8	Z	hypertension, hypergrycenna, hypernpidenna), as wen as development of tanofed	
9			
10	2	intervention strategies for age sex subgroups are important	
11	5	intervention strategies for age-sex subgroups are important.	
12			
13	4	Diabetic nenhronathy	
14	1		
15			
16	5	Our results show that diabetic nephropathy is the second most common microvascular	
17	-		
18			
19	6	complication among the Taiwanese population with type 1 diabetes. Without interventions,	
20			
27			
23	7	diabetes patients with microalbuminuria typically progress to proteinuria and overt diabetic	
24			
25			
26	8	nephropathy. ⁵² Diabetic nephropathy is a leading cause of ESRD among patients with	
27			
28		52	
29	9	diabetes. ³² As estimated, individuals with type 1 diabetes face a 20-50% chance of	
30			
31 27	10	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
32 33	10	developing ESDR that requires dialysis or renal transplantation." The Pittsburgh EDC Study	
34			
35	11	reported that the incidence density of renal failure (based on self reported renal	
36	11	reported that the meldence density of renal failure (based of sen-reported renal	
37			
38	12	transplantation and dialysis) was 6.3 per 1 000 person-years over 12 years of follow-up 10	
39			
40			
41	13	while the present study based on ICD-9 codes of renal failure found that the incidence of	
42 42			
43			
45	14	renal failure was 1.31 per 1,000 person-years over 15 years of follow-up. Of note, the EDC	
46			
47			
48	15	study enrolled more advanced type 1 diabetes cases (i.e., mean age of 28 years and diabetes	
49			
50	10	1 - 1	
51	16	duration of 19 years at daseline examination) than those in our study (i.e., newly diagnosed	
52 53			
55 54	17	type 1 diabetes cases in 2000-2012), which may evoluin the higher rate of renal failure in the	
55	1/	type 1 diabetes cases in 2000-2012), which may explain the higher fate of fendi failule in the	
56			
57		17	
58		17	

1	EDC study. A large inception cohort study of Danish patients newly diagnosed with type 1
2	diabetes followed for a median of 18 years reported that the cumulative incidences of
3	persistent microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria were 33.6% and 14.6%, respectively,
4	while the present study found that overall cumulative incidence of any form of diabetic
5	nephropathy was 30.2% at 12 years after diabetes diagnosis. Moreover, early-onset diabetes
6	appears to be protective for developing diabetic nephropathy ^{12, 54-56} and may delay the time
7	until microalbuminuria. ⁵⁶ Consistently, we found that late-onset diabetes patients were more
8	affected by diabetic nephropathy than were early-onset patients. Nevertheless, given the fact
9	that Taiwan has the highest number of patients undergoing renal dialysis in the world, where
10	diabetes contributes to about 40 % of end-stage renal failure cases, ⁵⁷ it is critical for routine
11	annual screening of clinical signs of diabetic nephropathy (i.e., proteinuria,
12	microalbuminuria), optimal control of glycemia and risk factors (e.g., retinopathy smoking,
13	dyslipidemia, hypertension ^{14, 58, 59}), and early intervening medications for prevention (e.g.,
14	angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor blocker for those with
15	comorbid hypertension). ⁹
16	Diabetic neuropathy
17	Diabetic neuropathy refers to the presence of symptoms, signs, or both of peripheral
	1

Page 19 of 53

2					
3 4	1	nerve dysfunction in people with diabetes after the exclusion of other causes. ⁶⁰ Peripheral			
5	-				
6					
7	2	neuropathy in diabetes may manifest in several different forms, including sensory,			
8					
9 10	2	facel/multifacel and outenamic nouronothics ⁶¹ The anidemiclosical data of dishetic			
11	3	focal/multifocal, and autonomic neuropatnies. The epidemiological data of diabetic			
12					
13	4	neuropathy is very limited. A study of 467 Italian type 1 diabetes patients showed that the			
14					
15 16					
17	5	prevalence rates of asymptomatic and symptomatic neuropathy were 7.2% and 21.3%,			
18					
19	C	non stine 1, 62 The answer that is the first state to the terminal social middle is date on dished in			
20	0	respectively. The present study is the first study to provide epidemiology data on diabetic			
21					
22	7	neuropathy among ethnically Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes from Asia. We found that			
23 24	-				
25					
26	8	the incidence rate was 23.93 per 1,000 person-years over 15 years of follow-up, and that the			
27					
28	0				
29	9	cumulative incidence was 23.7% at 12 years after diabetes diagnosis. We also observed that			
31					
32	10	the incidence of diabetic neuropathy in late-onset patients were much higher than that in			
33					
34					
35 36	11	early-onset patients. Similarly, the Italian study of type 1 diabetes showed that the prevalence			
37					
38	12	of dispetic neuropathy was higher in patients at older ages 62 Since dispetic neuropathy			
39	12	of diabetic neuropatity was higher in patients at older ages. Since diabetic neuropatity			
40					
41	13	contributes to considerable disabilities and mortality, it is critical for clinicians to understand			
43					
44					
45	14	its manifestations, prevention, and treatment. ² Early prevention strategies that control			
46					
47	15	hypertension and hyperalycemia and identify natients with peripheral neuropathy or			
48 40	15	hypertension and hypergrycenna and identify patients with perphetal neuropathy of			
50					
51	16	peripheral vascular disease and annual screening for these conditions are strongly			
52					
53	<i>.</i> –	9			
54 55	17	recommended.			
56					
57					
58		19			

1 Cardiovascular diseases

2 CVD is a leading cause of mortality in patients with type 1 diabetes ^{63, 64} and accounts for
3 the greatest proportion of healthcare spending for patients with diabetes. ^{64, 65} As compared to
4 patients without diabetes, type 1 diabetes increases the risk of CVD by ten fold, ^{63, 66} which
5 contributes to two-thirds of mortality in patients with type 1 diabetes. ^{67, 68} The Pittsburgh
6 EDC Study showed an incidence density of 3.6 per 1,000 person-years for coronary heart
7 diseases (defined as coronary-artery-disease-related death, a history of myocardial infarction,
8 angiographic stenosis \geq 50% including revascularization) over a period of 12 years, ¹⁰ while
9 the present study found that the incidence density for a broader category of CVD (including
10 myocardial infarction, ischemic heart diseases, heart failure, stroke, and arrhythmia, as shown
11 in Supplementary Table 1) in the Taiwanese population with type 1 diabetes within 15 years
12 of follow-up was 4.39 per 1,000 person-years. The cumulative incidences of CVD (including
13 only stroke and coronary heart disease) at 12 years after diabetes was diagnosed was 1-2%
14 among Finnish type 1 diabetes patients, ¹³ which is lower than that for the Taiwanese type 1
15 diabetes patients in the present study (~5.2%). Moreover, we found that late-onset patients
16 were greatly affected by CVD. In fact, old age is recognized as a predictor of vascular
17 diseases, ⁶³ which may be explained by the calcification of extremity arteries and hypertension
20
For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1	in older age patients, which are risk factors of macrovascular diseases. ⁶⁹
2	Methodological concerns
3	Some limitations of this study should be acknowledged. The classification of
4	diabetes-related complications based on the ICD-9 CM codes in claims data may
5	underestimate the occurrence of the complications. For example, patients experiencing
6	clinical symptoms/signs of diabetes-related complications (e.g., hypoglycemia) may not see
7	doctors if they can tolerate them. Also, the claims data do not capture clinical/minor
8	symptoms or signs of diabetes-related complications such as minor microalbuminuria. The
9	glycemic biomarkers such as blood glucose were not available from the claims data so the
10	identification of hyperglycemia or hypoglycemia was only based on the ICD-9 CM diagnosis
11	codes. So, we might under-estimate the incidence of hypoglycemic events and may not be
12	able to disentangle the severity of hypoglycemia. However, the claims records capture
13	defined diabetes-related complications that are required for medical assistance or treatments,
14	which lead to more conservative estimates and reveal important manifestations of
15	diabetes-related complications for clinical attention. Moreover, based on our operational
16	definition for hospitalized hypoglycemia (i.e., any one of diagnosis codes with hypoglycemia
17	from the five diagnosis codes in the inpatient files of the NHIRD), two types of
	21
	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1	hypoglycemic events could be included: (1) hospital admission for hypoglycemia, and (2)
2	other reasons for hospital admission (e.g., DKA), and then hypoglycemia happened during
3	hospitalization. It is difficult to differentiate these two types of hypoglycemic events based on
4	the retrospective claims data we utilized. However, in the clinical practice in Taiwan, the first
5	code from the five diagnosis codes in hospitalization is typically to be the main/primary
6	reason for hospital admission. With this regard, we re-run the analyses for hospitalized
7	hypoglycemia which was identified from the first diagnosis code in hospitalization. The
8	results were provided in the Supplementary Table 2, and Supplementary Figures 2 and 3.
9	These re-analytical results may also ease the concern that patients who came to hospital
10	primarily for reasons that may induce hypoglycemia during hospitalization. Lastly, the
11	generalizability of our study results may be limited to ethnically Chinese populations. In
12	addition, our results may represent only ethnically Chinese patients with type 1 diabetes in
13	Taiwan.
14	Conclusions
15	Utilizing an incident cohort of type 1 diabetes patients diagnosed during the period
16	1999-2012 with a maximum of 15 years of follow-up, we found that most type 1 diabetes
17	patients were affected by DKA and retinopathy, which highlight the critical need to identify
	22

Page 23 of 53

2		
3		
4	1	precipitating causes and modifiable factors for developing preventive strategies and
5		
6		
7	2	intervening treatment protocols to minimize the impact of these complications. As and say
/	Z	intervening treatment protocols to minimize the impact of these complications. Age and sex
8		
9		
10	3	discrepancies appear in epidemiological data of diabetes-related complications; late-onset
11		
12		
13	4	disheter females were creatly offected by advanced ratin mathy (i.e. STDD) and hermitalized
14	4	diabetes remains were greatly affected by advanced rethiopathy (i.e., STDK) and hospitalized
15		
16		
10	5	hypoglycemia, while early-onset females had a high incidence of DKA. Chronic
17		
18		
19	6	diabatas related complications were more common in late enset type 1 diabatas nationts
20	0	diabetes-related complications were more common in fate-onset type 1 diabetes patients,
21		
22		
23	7	while early-onset individuals were most affected by acute complications. More attention
23		
24		
25	0	should be given to identify notantial risk factors and contributors to such ago say differences
26	0	should be given to identify potential risk factors and controlitors to such age-sex differences
27		
28		
29	9	in diabetes-related complications. Population-based data on the incidence of diabetes-related
30		
31		
32	10	complications from this study are important for clinicians to recognize the need for diagnostic
33	10	complications from this study are important for chinicians to recognize the need for diagnostic
24		
24		
30	11	awareness and for policy-markers to develop effective treatments for patients with type 1
36		
37		
38	12	diabetes
39	12	
40		
41	4.0	
42	13	
43		
13		
44		
45		
46		
4/		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
55		
50		
5/		20

1	Acknowledgments: We gratefully thank National Cheng Kung University and its affiliated
2	hospital for all their support.
3	
4	Funding: This research was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan,
5	grant MOST 104-2320-B-006-008-MY3.
6	
7	Competing interests: No declared.
8	
9	Authors' contribution: H.T.O. contributed substantially to the study concept and design,
10	acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data. T.Y.L. contributed to data collection
11	and the analysis. C.Y.L., J.S.W., and Z.J.S. provided statistical and clinical interpretation of
12	the results. H.T.O. wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and T.Y.L., C.Y.L., J.S.W., and
13	Z.J.S. very critically revised the manuscript. All authors gave approval for the publication of
14	the final version.
15	
16	Data sharing statement: There are no additional data available in relation to this
17	manuscript.
18	
	24

BMJ Open

References

1. Onkamo P, Väänänen S, Karvonen M, Tuomilehto J. Worldwide increase in incidence of Type I diabetes–the analysis of the data on published incidence trends. *Diabetologia* 1999;42(12):1395-1403.

 Karvonen M, Viik-Kajander M, Moltchanova E, Libman I, LaPorte R, Tuomilehto J. Incidence of childhood type 1 diabetes worldwide. Diabetes Mondiale (DiaMond) Project Group. *Diabetes care* 2000;23(10):1516-1526.

3. Soltesz G, Patterson CC, Dahlquist G. Worldwide childhood type 1 diabetes incidence–what can we learn from epidemiology? *Pediatric diabetes* 2007;8(s6):6-14.

4. Lu CL, Shen HN, Chen HF, Li CY. Epidemiology of childhood Type 1 diabetes in Taiwan, 2003 to 2008. *Diabetic Medicine* 2014;31(6):666-673.

 Goldstein DE, Walker B, Rawlings SS, Hess RL, England JD, Peth SB, Hewett JE. Hemoglobin A1c levels in children and adolescents with diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 1980;3(4):503-507.

6. Ziegler R, Heidtmann B, Hilgard D, Hofer S, Rosenbauer J, Holl R. Frequency of SMBG correlates with HbA1c and acute complications in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *Pediatric diabetes* 2011;12(1):11-17.

7. Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Bain RP, Rohde RD. The effect of

angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibition on diabetic nephropathy. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1993;329(20):1456-1462.

 Secrest AM, Becker DJ, Kelsey SF, LaPorte RE, Orchard TJ. All-Cause Mortality Trends in a Large Population-Based Cohort With Long-Standing Childhood-Onset Type 1 Diabetes The Allegheny County Type 1 Diabetes Registry. *Diabetes care* 2010;33(12):2573-2579.

9. Bate KL, Jerums G. 3: Preventing complications of diabetes. *Medical journal of Australia* 2003;179(9):498-505.

10. Pambianco G, Costacou T, Ellis D, Becker DJ, Klein R, Orchard TJ. The 30-Year Natural History of Type 1 Diabetes Complications The Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study Experience. *Diabetes* 2006;55(5):1463-1469.

 Stephenson J, Fuller JH, Group EICS. Microvascular and acute complications in IDDM patients: the EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study. *Diabetologia* 1994;37(3):278-285.

12. Chaturvedi N, Bandinelli S, Mangili R, Penno G, Rottiers RE, Fuller JH. Microalbuminuria in type 1 diabetes: rates, risk factors and glycemic threshold.

Kidney international 2001;60(1):219-227.

13. Tuomilehto J, Borch-Johnsen K, Molarius A, Forsen T, Rastenyte D, Sarti C, Reunanen A. Incidence of cardiovascular disease in Type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetic subjects with and without diabetic nephropathy in Finland. *Diabetologia* 1998;41(7):784-790.

14. Hovind P, Tarnow L, Rossing P, Graae M, Torp I, Binder C, Parving H-H. Predictors for the development of microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria in patients with type 1 diabetes: inception cohort study. *Bmj* 2004;328(7448):1105.

 Malik MO, Govan L, Petrie JR, Ghouri N, Leese G, Fischbacher C, Colhoun H, Philip S, Wild S, McCrimmon R. Ethnicity and risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD):
4.8 year follow-up of patients with type 2 diabetes living in Scotland. *Diabetologia* 2015;58(4):716-725.

16. Spanakis EK, Golden SH. Race/ethnic difference in diabetes and diabetic complications. *Current diabetes reports* 2013;13(6):814-823.

17. U.S. Renal Data System, USRDS 2003 Annual Data Report: Atlas of End-Stage Renal Disease in the United States, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda, MD, 2003.

18. Young BA, Katon WJ, Von Korff M, Simon GE, Lin EHB, Ciechanowski PS,

Bush T, Oliver M, Ludman EJ, Boyko EJ. Racial and ethnic differences in microalbuminuria prevalence in a diabetes population: the pathways study. *Journal of*

the American Society of Nephrology 2005;16(1):219-228.

19. Lin W-H, Wang M-C, Wang W-M, Yang D-C, Lam C-F, Roan J-N, Li C-Y.

Incidence of and Mortality from Type I Diabetes in Taiwan From 1999 through 2010:

A Nationwide Cohort Study. *PloS one* 2014;9(1):e86172.

20. Cheng T-M. Taiwan's new national health insurance program: genesis and experience so far. *Health Affairs* 2003;22(3):61-76.

21. Ou H-T, Chang K-C, Li C-Y, Wu J-S. Risks of cardiovascular diseases associated with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors and other antidiabetic drugs in patients with type 2 diabetes: a nation-wide longitudinal study. *Cardiovascular diabetology* 2016;15(1):41.

22. Ou HT, Chang KC, Liu YM, Wu JS. Recent trends in the use of antidiabetic medications from 2008 to 2013: A nation-wide population-based study from Taiwan. *Journal of diabetes* 2016.

23. Hou W-H, Chang K-C, Li C-Y, Ou H-T. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor use is not associated with elevated risk of severe joint pain in patients with type 2 diabetes: a

BMJ Open

2	
3	population-based cohort study. Pain 2016;157(9):1954-1959.
4	24. Ou H-T, Yang C-Y, Wang J-D, Hwang J-S, Wu J-S. Life Expectancy and
6	Lifetime Health Care Expenditures for Type 1 Diabetes. A Nationwide Longitudinal
7	Cohort of Incident Coase Followed for 14 Vacro Value in Health 2016:10(9):076 084
8	Conort of Incident Cases Followed for 14 Years. <i>Value in Health</i> 2016,19(8).976-984.
9 10	25. Ou HT, Chang KC, Li CY, Wu JS. Comparative cardiovascular risks of
11	dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors with other 2nd and 3rd line antidiabetic drugs in
12	patients with type 2 diabetes. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology 2017.
13	26 Ou H-T Chen Y-T Liu Y-M Wu I-S Comparative cost-effectiveness of
15	20. Outil 1, chen 1 1, End 1 M, way 5. Comparative cost encouveries of
16	metrormin-based dual therapies associated with fisk of cardiovascular diseases among
17	Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes: Evidence from a population-based national
19	cohort in Taiwan. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 2016;116:14-25.
20	27. Vella S, Buetow L, Royle P, Livingstone S, Colhoun H, Petrie J. The use of
21	metformin in type 1 diabetes: a systematic review of efficacy Diabetologia
23	
24	2010,53(5).809-820.
25 26	28. Strowig SM, Raskin P. The effect of rosiglitazone on overweight subjects with
27	type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2005;28(7):1562-1567.
28	29. Gail MH, Benichou J. Encyclopedia of epidemiologic methods: John Wiley &
29 30	Sons: 2000.
31	30 Sahai H Khurshid A Statistics in anidemiology: methods techniques and
32	50. Sanar II, Khurshid A. Statistics in epidemiology. methods, techniques and
33 34	applications: CRC press; 1995.
35	31. Gray RJ. A class of K-sample tests for comparing the cumulative incidence of a
36	competing risk. The Annals of statistics 1988:1141-1154.
37 38	32. Graves EJ, Gillum BS. Detailed diagnoses and procedures, National Hospital
39	Discharge Survey 1995 Vital Health Stat 13 1997(130) 1-146
40	22 Javar KA, Kataanaa JC, MaDanald DC, Daran AD, Kastaraan JC, Tiarnay WM
41	55. Javol KA, Kotsanos JO, McDonald KC, Baroli AD, Kesterson JO, Herney WM.
43	Diabetic ketoacidosis charges relative to medical charges of adult patients with type I
44	diabetes. Diabetes Care 1997;20(3):349-354.
45	34. Rewers A, Chase HP, Mackenzie T, Walravens P, Roback M, Rewers M,
40	Hamman RF. Klingensmith G. Predictors of acute complications in children with type
48	1 diabetes Lama 2002:287(10):2511 2518
49	1 diabetes. Junu 2002,287(17).2311-2318.
50	35. Neu A, Willasch A, Ehehalt S, Hub R, Ranke MB. Ketoacidosis at onset of type
52	1 diabetes mellitus in children-frequency and clinical presentation. Pediatric diabetes
53	2003;4(2):77-81.
54 55	36. Alaghehbandan R, Collins KD, Newhook LA, MacDonald D. Childhood type 1
56	diabetes mellitus in Newfoundland and Labrador Canada Diabetes research and
57	27
ох 59	
60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

clinical practice 2006;74(1):82-89.

37. Meltzer LJ, Johnson SB, Prine JM, Banks RA, Desrosiers PM, Silverstein JH. Disordered eating, body mass, and glycemic control in adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes care* 2001;24(4):678-682.

38. Rodin GM, Daneman D. Eating disorders and IDDM: a problematic association. *Diabetes Care* 1992;15(10):1402-1412.

 Pramming S, Thorsteinsson B, Bendtson I, Binder C. Symptomatic hypoglycaemia in 411 type 1 diabetic patients. *Diabetic Medicine* 1991;8(3):217-222.
Craig ME, Jones TW, Silink M, Ping YJ, International Diabetes Federation Western Pacific Region Steering C. Diabetes care, glycemic control, and complications in children with type 1 diabetes from Asia and the Western Pacific Region. *Journal of Diabetes and its Complications* 2007;21(5):280-287.

41. Aye T, Reiss AL, Kesler S, Hoang S, Drobny J, Park Y, Schleifer K, Baumgartner H, Wilson DM, Buckingham BA. The feasibility of detecting neuropsychologic and neuroanatomic effects of type 1 diabetes in young children. *Diabetes care* 2011;34(7):1458-1462.

42. Northam EA, Anderson PJ, Jacobs R, Hughes M, Warne GL, Werther GA. Neuropsychological profiles of children with type 1 diabetes 6 years after disease onset. *Diabetes care* 2001;24(9):1541-1546.

43. Ho MS, Weller NJ, Ives FJ, Carne CL, Murray K, vanden Driesen RI, Nguyen TP, Robins PD, Bulsara M, Davis EA. Prevalence of structural central nervous system abnormalities in early-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus. *The Journal of pediatrics* 2008;153(3):385-390.

44. Umpierrez GE, Murphy MB, Kitabchi AE. Diabetic ketoacidosis and hyperglycemic hyperosmolar syndrome. *Diabetes Spectrum* 2002;15(1):28-36.

45. VanNewkirk MR, Weih L, McCarty CA, Taylor HR. Cause-specific prevalence of bilateral visual impairment in Victoria, Australia: the Visual Impairment Project. *Ophthalmology* 2001;108(5):960-967.

46. Fong DS, Aiello L, Gardner TW, King GL, Blankenship G, Cavallerano JD, Ferris FL, 3rd, Klein R, American Diabetes A. Diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes Care* 2003;26 Suppl 1:S99-S102.

47. Lin J-C, Shau W-Y, Lai M-S. Sex-and age-specific prevalence and incidence rates of sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy in Taiwan. *JAMA ophthalmology* 2014;132(8):922-928.

48. Monti MC, Lonsdale JT, Montomoli C, Montross R, Schlag E, Greenberg DA.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
10	
12	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34 25	
30	
30	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52 52	
55 57	
54	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

Familial risk factors for microvascular complications and differential male-female risk in a large cohort of American families with type 1 diabetes. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 2007;92(12):4650-4655. 49. Minuto N, Emmanuele V, Vannati M, Russo C, Rebora C, Panarello S, Pistorio A, Lorini R, d'Annunzio G. Retinopathy screening in patients with type 1 diabetes diagnosed in young age using a non-mydriatic digital stereoscopic retinal imaging. *Journal of endocrinological investigation* 2012;35(4):389-394. 50. Holl R, Lang G, Grabert M, Heinze E, Lang G, Debatin K. Diabetic retinopathy in pediatric patients with type-1 diabetes: effect of diabetes duration, prepubertal and pubertal onset of diabetes, and metabolic control. The Journal of pediatrics 1998;132(5):790-794. 51. Hletala K, Harjutsalo V, Forsblom C, Groop P-H, Group fs. Age at onset and the risk of proliferative retinopathy in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes care* 2010;33(6):1315-1319. 52. Marshall SM. Clinical features and management of diabetic nephropathy. Textbook of diabetes Boston: Blackwell Publishing 2003;2003. 53. Nordwall M, Bojestig M, Arnqvist HJ, Ludvigsson J. Declining incidence of severe retinopathy and persisting decrease of nephropathy in an unselected population of Type 1 diabetes-the Linköping Diabetes Complications Study. Diabetologia 2004;47(7):1266-1272. 54. Donaghue KC, Fairchild JM, Craig ME, Chan AK, Hing S, Cutler LR, Howard NJ, Silink M. Do all prepubertal years of diabetes duration contribute equally to diabetes complications? *Diabetes care* 2003;26(4):1224-1229. 55. Svensson M, Nyström L, Schön S, Dahlquist G. Age at Onset of Childhood-Onset Type 1 Diabetes and the Development of End-Stage Renal Disease A nationwide population-based study. *Diabetes Care* 2006;29(3):538-542. 56. Raile K, Galler A, Hofer S, Herbst A, Dunstheimer D, Busch P, Holl RW. Diabetic Nephropathy in 27,805 Children, Adolescents, and Adults With Type 1 Diabetes Effect of diabetes duration, A1C, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes onset, and sex. Diabetes care 2007;30(10):2523-2528. 57. International Comparisons. American Journal of Kidney Diseases;57(1):e383-e396. 58. Jenkins AJ, Lyons TJ, Zheng D, Otvos JD, Lackland DT, McGee D, Garvey WT, Klein RL, dcct/edic Research G. Lipoproteins in the DCCT/EDIC cohort: associations with diabetic nephropathy. *Kidney international* 2003;64(3):817-828.

59. Rossing P, Hougaard P, Parving H-H. Risk Factors for Development of Incipient and Overt Diabetic Nephropathy in Type 1 Diabetic Patients A 10-year prospective observational study. *Diabetes care* 2002;25(5):859-864.

60. American Diabetes A. Standards of medical care for patients with diabetes mellitus. *Puerto Rico Health Sciences Journal* 2013;20(2).

61. Boulton AJM, Vinik AI, Arezzo JC, Bril V, Feldman EL, Freeman R, Malik RA, Maser RE, Sosenko JM, Ziegler D. Diabetic neuropathies a statement by the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes care* 2005;28(4):956-962.

62. Veglio M, Sivieri R. Prevalence of neuropathy in IDDM patients in Piemonte, Italy. *Diabetes care* 1993;16(2):456-461.

63. Laing SP, Swerdlow AJ, Slater SD, Burden AC, Morris A, Waugh NR, Gatling W, Bingley PJ, Patterson CC. Mortality from heart disease in a cohort of 23,000 patients with insulin-treated diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2003;46(6):760-765.

64. Paterson AD, Rutledge BN, Cleary PA, Lachin JM, Crow RS. The Effect of Intensive Diabetes Treatment on Resting Heart Rate in Type 1 Diabetes The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Study. *Diabetes care* 2007;30(8):2107-2112.

65. Hogan P, Dall T, Nikolov P. Economic costs of diabetes in the US in 2002. *Diabetes care* 2003;26(3):917.

66. Dorman JS, Laporte RE, Kuller LH, Cruickshanks KJ, Orchard TJ, Wagener DK, Becker DJ, Cavender DE, Drash AL. The Pittsburgh insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM) morbidity and mortality study: mortality results. *Diabetes* 1984;33(3):271-276.

67. Borch-Johnsen K, Nissen H, Henriksen E, Kreiner S, Salling N, Deckert T, Nerup J. The Natural History of Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus in Denmark: 1. Long-term survival with and without late diabetic complications. *Diabetic Medicine* 1987;4(3):201-210.

68. Krolewski AS, Warram JH, Christlieb AR, Busick EJ, Kahn CR. The changing natural history of nephropathy in type I diabetes. *The American journal of medicine* 1985;78(5):785-794.

69. Maser RE, Wolfson S, Ellis D, Stein EA, Drash AL, Becker DJ, Dorman JS, Orchard TJ. Cardiovascular disease and arterial calcification in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: interrelations and risk factor profiles. Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study-V. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology* 1991;11(4):958-965.

1 ว	
∠ 3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
1/	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35 36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
45 44	
45	
46	

Table 1: Overall incidence density of diabetes-related complications among patients with type 1 diabetes between 1999 and 2013

	Retinopathy	Proliferative	STDR	Neuropathy	Nephropathy	Renal failure	CVD	DKA	Outpatient	Hospitalized	
		retinopathy							hypoglycemia	hypoglycemia	
No. of cases*	3,359	3,970	3,983	3,742	3,634	4,003	3,916	2,205	3,934	3,987	
No. of cases with event**	1,532	157	90	558	688	36	117	996	913	105	
Follow-up time	15 675	26 722	27 120	22 220	21.026	27.401	26.664	8 224	22.845	26 0.69	
(person-years)†	15,675	13,073	20,735	27,139	25,520	21,930	27,491	20,004	8,224	22,803	20,908
Incidence density	07.74	5.97	2.22	22.02	21.26	1 2 1	4.20	101 11	20.02	2.90	
(1,000 person-years)	97.74	5.87	5.52	23.93	51.50	1.51	4.39	121.11	39.95	5.69	
(95% CI)	(92.9-102.8)	(5.0-6.9)	(2.7-4.1)	(22.0-26.0)	(29.1-33.8)	(0.9-1.8)	(3.6-5.3)	(113.7-128.9)	(37.4-42.6)	(3.2-4.7)	

* No. of cases refers to the number of patients who had no complication of interest in the baseline year (one year before diagnosis date).

** No. of cases with event refers to the number of patients who had incident events after type 1 diabetes was confirmed.

22 † Cumulative follow-up time (person-years) was calculated as the sum of follow-up years during observation period.

Note: Patients with type 1 diabetes were retrieved from incidence cases from 2000 to 2012. Follow-up time started from the first diagnosis date to the time the event occurred, death, discontinued enrollment from

25 Taiwan's National Health Insurance Program, or the end of 2013, whichever came first.

Abbreviations: STDR: sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy, CVD: cardiovascular disease, DKA: diabetic ketoacidosis, CI: confidence interval.

Page 33 of 53

1

magnetic matrixmagnetic matrixmagnetic matrixAll male1.8.861.8.921.9.17.81.9.01 <th c<="" th=""><th></th><th>Retinopathy</th><th>Proliferative</th><th>STDR</th><th>Neuropathy</th><th>Nephropathy</th><th>Renal</th><th>CVD</th><th>DKA</th><th>Outpatient</th><th>Hospitalized</th></th>	<th></th> <th>Retinopathy</th> <th>Proliferative</th> <th>STDR</th> <th>Neuropathy</th> <th>Nephropathy</th> <th>Renal</th> <th>CVD</th> <th>DKA</th> <th>Outpatient</th> <th>Hospitalized</th>		Retinopathy	Proliferative	STDR	Neuropathy	Nephropathy	Renal	CVD	DKA	Outpatient	Hospitalized
MatAl male1,681,891,791,6951,901891,031,72Early-osed (-12 yaars)641,191,131,04901,191,131,049191,121,131,141,131,141,131,141,131,141,131,141,151,141,141,151,141,151,141,151,141,151,141,151,141,151,151,141,151,141,151,141,151,141,151,141,151,141,151,151,141,151,141,15 <th></th> <th></th> <th>retinopathy</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>failure</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>hypoglycemia</th> <th>hypoglycemia</th>			retinopathy				failure			hypoglycemia	hypoglycemia	
No. of cases* All male 1,618 1,886 1,892 1,778 1,695 1,901 1859 1,073 1,872 Early-oaset (b-12 years) 654 719 719 714 700 719 712 396 713 Late-onset (c-13 years) 964 1,167 1,173 1,064 905 1,182 1,147 670 1,154 No. of cases with event** V V V V 1,152 1,064 932 1,165 600 447 643 All male 693 64 34 261 332 15 60 447 629 Lato nost 305 14 1 37 86 1 7 239 620 Lato nost 338 520 33 224 246 14 53 260 13,102 11,345 10,403 13,248 12,798 4,322 11,025 Fally-onset 3,33 5,200 5,356 5,866 7,891 5,369 6,491 4,30 6,49 6,49 6,49 6,	Male											
All male1,6181,8861,8921,7781,6951,90118991,0731,672Early-onset (2-12 years)664719719714700719712396718Altonset (2-13 years)9641,1671,1731,0649951,1821,1476671,152No. of cases with event**All male693643426133215660447452<	No. of cases*											
Early-onset (0-12 years) 654 719 719 714 700 719 712 396 718 Late-onset (≥ 13 years) 964 $1,67$ $1,173$ $1,064$ 995 $1,182$ $1,147$ 677 $1,154$ No. of cases with event** 453 64 34 261 332 15 60 447 452 All male 693 64 1 37 86 1 7 239 202 Late onset 388 50 33 224 246 14 53 208 250 Follow-up time (person-years)* -113 $12,908$ $13,102$ $11,345$ $10,403$ $13,248$ $12,798$ $4,322$ $11,025$ Early-onset $3,33$ $5,290$ $5,368$ $5,180$ $4,817$ $5,358$ $5,280$ $1,317$ $4,277$ Late-onset $4,480$ $7,618$ $7,734$ $6,165$ $5,566$ $7,894$ $7,518$ $3,005$ $6,749$ Inderec density -1135 $6,269$ $2,304$ $31,91$ $1,13$ $4,69$ $03,43$ $41,00$ 675 C1) -125 $63,6-3$ <	All male	1,618	1,886	1,892	1,778	1,695	1,901	1859	1,073	1,872	1,902	
Lac-onset (213 years) 964 1,167 1,173 1,064 995 1,182 1,147 677 1,154 No. of cases with event**	Early-onset (0-12 years)	654	719	719	714	700	719	712	396	718	719	
No. of cases with event** All male 693 64 34 261 332 15 60 447 452 Early onset 305 14 1 37 86 1 7 239 202 Late onset 388 50 33 224 246 14 53 208 200 Follow-up time (person-years)* </td <td>Late-onset (≥13 years)</td> <td>964</td> <td>1,167</td> <td>1,173</td> <td>1,064</td> <td>995</td> <td>1,182</td> <td>1,147</td> <td>677</td> <td>1,154</td> <td>1,18</td>	Late-onset (≥13 years)	964	1,167	1,173	1,064	995	1,182	1,147	677	1,154	1,18	
All nale 693 64 34 261 332 15 60 447 452 Early onset 305 14 1 37 86 1 7 239 202 Late onset 388 50 33 224 246 14 53 208 250 Follow-up time (person-years)?All nale $7,813$ $12,908$ $13,102$ $11,345$ $10,403$ $13,248$ $12,798$ $4,322$ $11,025$ Early-onset $3,333$ $5,200$ $5,368$ $5,180$ $4,817$ $5,358$ $5,280$ $13,17$ $4,277$ Late-onset $4,480$ $7,618$ $7,734$ $6,165$ $5,586$ $7,891$ $7,518$ $3,005$ $6,749$ Incidence density(1,000 person-years)(5% CT)All nale $88,70$ $4,96$ 2.60 23.01 31.91 1.13 4.69 103.43 41.00 (82.295.6) $(3.8-63)$ $(1.8-36)$ $(20.3-26.0)$ $(28.6-35.5)$ $(0.61.9)$ $(94.1-113.5)$ $(37.3-45.0)$ Early-onset 91.52 2.65 0.19 7.14 17.85 0.19 1.33 18.13 47.2	No. of cases with event**											
Early onset 305 14 1 37 86 1 7 239 202 Late onset 388 50 33 224 246 14 53 208 250 Follow-up time (person-years)?All male $7,813$ $12,908$ $13,102$ $11,345$ $10,403$ $13,248$ $12,798$ $4,322$ $11,025$ Early-onset $3,333$ $5,290$ $5,368$ $5,180$ $4,817$ $5,358$ $5,280$ $1,317$ $4,277$ Late-onset $4,480$ $7,618$ $7,734$ $6,165$ $5,586$ $7,891$ $7,518$ $3,005$ $6,749$ Incidence density(J000 person-years)(J000 person-years)(J000 person-years)(J000 person-years)(J100 person-years)(J100 person-years)(J100 person-years)(J100 person-years)(J100 person-years)(J100 person-years)(J100 person-years)(J20 person-years)(All male	693	64	34	261	332	15	60	447	452	28	
Late onset 388 50 33 224 246 14 53 208 250 Follow-up time (person-years)? All male 7,813 12,908 13,102 11,345 10,403 13,248 12,798 4,322 11,025 Early-onset 3,333 5,200 5,368 5,180 4,817 5,358 5,280 1,317 4,277 Late-onset 4,480 7,618 7,734 6,165 5,586 7,891 7,518 3,005 6,749 Incidence density 7,734 6,165 5,586 7,891 7,518 3,005 6,749 (1,000 person-years) 7,518 3,005 4,749 (5% CI) 2,60 2,301 3,191 1,13 4,69 103,43 41,00 (82,295.6) (3,8-6.3) (1,8-3.6) (20,3-26.0) (28,6-35.5) (0,6-1.9) (3,6-6.0) (94,1-113.5) (37,3-45.0)	Early onset	305	14	1	37	86	1	7	239	202	1	
Follow-up time (person-years)*All male7,81312,90813,10211,34510,40313,24812,7984,32211,025Early-onset3,3335,2905,3685,1804,8175,3585,2801,3174,277Late-onset4,4807,6187,7346,1655,5867,8917,5183,0056,749Incidence density(1,000 person-years)(95% CI)All male88.704.962.6023.0131.911.134.69103.4341.00(82.2-95.6)(3.8-6.3)(1.8-3.6)(20.3-26.0)(28.6-35.5)(0.6-1.9)(3.6-6.0)(94.1-113.5)(37.3-45.0)Early-onset91.522.650.197.1417.850.191.3318.15347.23	Late onset	388	50	33	224	246	14	53	208	250	11	
All male7,81312,90813,10211,34510,40313,24812,7984,32211,025Early-onset3,3335,2905,3685,1804,8175,3585,2801,3174,277Late-onset4,4807,6187,7346,1655,5867,8917,5183,0056,749Incidence density	Follow-up time (person-years)†											
Early-onset3,3335,2905,3685,1804,8175,3585,2801,3174,277Late-onset4,4807,6187,7346,1655,5867,8917,5183,0056,749Incidence density (1,000 person-years)(95% C1)All male88.704.962.6023.0131.911.134.69103.4341.00(82.2-95.6)(3.8-6.3)(1.8-3.6)(20.3-26.0)(28.6-35.5)(0.6-1.9)(3.6-6.0)(94.1-113.5)(37.3-45.0)Early-onset91.522.650.197.1417.850.191.33181.5347.23	All male	7,813	12,908	13,102	11,345	10,403	13,248	12,798	4,322	11,025	13,11	
Late-onset 4,480 7,618 7,734 6,165 5,586 7,891 7,518 3,005 6,749 Incidence density (1,000 person-years) -	Early-onset	3,333	5,290	5,368	5,180	4,817	5,358	5,280	1,317	4,277	5,29	
Incidence density (1,000 person-years) (05% CI)	Late-onset	4,480	7,618	7,734	6,165	5,586	7,891	7,518	3,005	6,749	7,81	
(1,000 person-years)(95% CI)All male88.704.962.6023.0131.911.134.69103.4341.00(82.2-95.6)(3.8-6.3)(1.8-3.6)(20.3-26.0)(28.6-35.5)(0.6-1.9)(3.6-6.0)(94.1-113.5)(37.3-45.0)Early-onset91.522.650.197.1417.850.191.33181.5347.23	ncidence density											
(95% CI) All male 88.70 4.96 2.60 23.01 31.91 1.13 4.69 103.43 41.00 (82.2-95.6) (3.8-6.3) (1.8-3.6) (20.3-26.0) (28.6-35.5) (0.6-1.9) (3.6-6.0) (94.1-113.5) (37.3-45.0) Early-onset 91.52 2.65 0.19 7.14 17.85 0.19 1.33 181.53 47.23	1,000 person-years)											
All male 88.70 4.96 2.60 23.01 31.91 1.13 4.69 103.43 41.00 (82.2-95.6) (3.8-6.3) (1.8-3.6) (20.3-26.0) (28.6-35.5) (0.6-1.9) (3.6-6.0) (94.1-113.5) (37.3-45.0) Early-onset 91.52 2.65 0.19 7.14 17.85 0.19 1.33 181.53 47.23	95% CI)											
(82.2-95.6)(3.8-6.3)(1.8-3.6)(20.3-26.0)(28.6-35.5)(0.6-1.9)(3.6-6.0)(94.1-113.5)(37.3-45.0)Early-onset91.522.650.197.1417.850.191.33181.5347.23	All male	88.70	4.96	2.60	23.01	31.91	1.13	4.69	103.43	41.00	2.13	
Early-onset91.522.650.197.1417.850.191.33181.5347.23		(82.2-95.6)	(3.8-6.3)	(1.8-3.6)	(20.3-26.0)	(28.6-35.5)	(0.6-1.9)	(3.6-6.0)	(94.1-113.5)	(37.3-45.0)	(1.4-3.1	
	Early-onset	91.52	2.65	0.19	7.14	17.85	0.19	1.33	181.53	47.23	2.0	
											33	

	(81 5-102 4)	(1 4-4 4)	(0.0-1.0)	(5 0-9 8)	(14 3-22 0)	(0.0-1.0)	(0.5-2.7)	(159 2-206 1)	(40 9-54 2)	(1.0-3.7)
Late-onset	86.60	6.56	4.27	36.34	44.04	1.77	7.05	69.22	37.05	2.17
	(78.2-95.7)	(4.9-8.7)	(2.9-6.0)	(31.7-41.4)	(38.7-49.9)	(1.0-3.0)	(5.3-9.2)	(60.1-79.3)	(32.6-41.9)	(1.3-3.5)
95% CI of incidence density										
difference for male, early vs.	-8.5 to 18.3	-6.4 to -1.4‡	-5.9 to -2.3‡	-34.8 to -23.6‡	-33.1 to -19.3‡	-2.8 to -0.4‡	-8.1 to -3.3‡	91.5 to 133.1‡	2.4 to 17.9‡	-1.7 to 1.5
late-onset										
Female										
No. of cases*										
All female	1,741	2,084	2,091	1,964	1,939	2,102	2,057	1,132	2,062	2,085
Early-onset	721	777	777	772	764	777	773	413	774	774
Late-onset	1,020	1,307	1,314	1,192	1,175	1,325	1,284	719	1,288	1,311
No. of cases with event**										
All female	839	93	56	297	356	21	57	549	461	77
Early-onset	358	18	6	50	100	- 1	11	212	220	21
Late opset	558	10	50	50	109	1	11	277	223	21
	481	/5	50	247	247	20	46	272	232	56
Follow-up time (person-years) [†]										
All female	7,862	13,825	14,037	11,976	11,533	14,243	13,866	3,902	11,840	13,853
Early-onset	3,616	5,848	5,910	5,610	5,283	5,927	5,871	1,183	4,642	5,777
Late-onset	4,246	7,977	8,127	6,365	6,250	8,317	7,995	2,719	7,199	8,076
Incidence density										
(1,000 person-years)										
(95% CI)										
										34
		For peer	review only - h	ttp://bmjopen.b	mj.com/site/abo	out/guideline	es.xhtml			

All female	106.72	6.73	3.99	24.80	30.87	1.47	4.11	140.69	38.94	5.56			
	(99.6-114.2)	(5.4-8.2)	(3.0-5.2)	(22.1-27.8)	(27.7-34.2)	(0.9-2.3)	(3.1-5.3)	(129.2-153.0)	(35.5-42.7)	(4.4-6.9)			
Early-onset	99.01	3.08	1.02	8.91	20.63	0.17	1.87	234.05	49.34	3.63			
	(89.0-109.8)	(1.8-4.9)	(0.4-2.2)	(6.6-11.8)	(16.9-24.9)	(0.0-0.9)	(0.9-3.4)	(207.3-263.3)	(43.1-56.2)	(2.3-5.6)			
Late-onset	113.29	9.40	6.15	38.80	39.52	2.40	5.75	100.05	32.23	6.93			
	(103.4-123.9)	(7.4-11.8)	(4.6-8.1)	(34.1-44.0)	(34.7-44.8)	(1.5-3.7)	(4.2-7.7)	(88.5-112.7)	(28.2-36.7)	(5.2-9.0)			
95% CI of incidence density													
difference for female, early vs.	-28.8 to 0.2	-9.1 to -3.6‡	-7.3 to -3.0‡	-35.5 to -24.2‡	-25.3 to -12.5‡	-3.5 to -1.0‡	-6.0 to -1.7‡	108.4 to 159.6‡	9.8 to 24.4‡	-5.8 to -0.8‡			
late-onset													
95% CI of incidence density	27.9.4- 9.2*	264-01	2.9.4. 0.024	594-22	2 (4- 57	124.05	104-22	50 2 4 - 00 0*	214.73	404-104			
difference for male vs. female	-27.8 to -8.2‡	-3.6 to 0.1	-2.8 to -0.02‡	-5.8 to 2.2	-3.0 to 5.7	-1.2 to 0.5	-1.0 to 2.2	-52.5 to -22.2‡	-3.1 to 7.2	-4.9 to -1.9‡			
* No. of cases refers to the number	of patients who ha	d no complication	n of interest in the	baseline year (one y	ear before diagnos	is date).							
** No. of cases with event refers to	the number of path	ients who had inc	ident events after	type 1 diabetes was	confirmed.								
† Cumulative follow-up time (perse	on-years) was calcu	lated as the sum	of follow-up years	s during observation	period.								
Note: Patients with type 1 diabetes	were retrieved from	n incidence cases	from 2000 to 201	2. Follow-up time s	tarted from the first	diagnosis date	to the time the e	event occurred, death	, discontinued enr	ollment from			
Taiwan's National Health Insurance	e Program, or the e	nd of 2013, which	hever came first.		Taiwan's National Health Insurance Program, or the end of 2013, whichever came first.								
Abbreviations: STDR: sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy, CVD: cardiovascular disease, DKA: diabetic ketoacidosis, CI: confidence interval. $\pm p < 0.05$													
	ening diabetic retin	opathy, CVD: car	diovascular diseas	se, DKA: diabetic ke	etoacidosis, CI: con	fidence interval	. ‡ <i>p</i> < 0.05						
	ening diabetic retin	opathy, CVD: car	diovascular diseas	se, DKA: diabetic ke	etoacidosis, CI: con	fidence interval	. ‡ <i>p</i> < 0.05						
	ening diabetic retin	opathy, CVD: car	diovascular diseas	se, DKA: diabetic ke	etoacidosis, CI: con	fidence interval	. ‡ <i>p</i> < 0.05						
	ening diabetic retin	opathy, CVD: car	diovascular diseas	se, DKA: diabetic ke	etoacidosis, CI: con	fidence interval	. ‡ <i>p</i> < 0.05						
	ening diabetic retin	opathy, CVD: car	diovascular diseas	se, DKA: diabetic ke	etoacidosis, CI: con	fidence interval	. ‡ <i>p</i> < 0.05						
	ening diabetic retin	opathy, CVD: cai	diovascular diseas	se, DKA: diabetic ke	etoacidosis, CI: con	fidence interval	. ‡ <i>p</i> < 0.05						
	ening diabetic retin	opathy, CVD: car	diovascular diseas	se, DKA: diabetic ke	etoacidosis, CI: con	fidence interval	. ‡ <i>p</i> < 0.05						
	ening diabetic retin	opathy, CVD: car	diovascular diseas	se, DKA: diabetic ke	etoacidosis, CI: con	fidence interval	. ‡ <i>p</i> < 0.05						
	ening diabetic retin	opathy, CVD: car	diovascular diseas	se, DKA: diabetic ke	etoacidosis, CI: con	fidence interval	. ‡ <i>p</i> < 0.05						
	ening diabetic retin	opathy, CVD: car	diovascular diseas	se, DKA: diabetic ke	etoacidosis, CI: con	fidence interval	. ‡ <i>p</i> < 0.05			35			
	ening diabetic retin	opathy, CVD: car	diovascular diseas	se, DKA: diabetic ke	etoacidosis, CI: con	fidence interval	. ‡ <i>p</i> < 0.05			35			
	ening diabetic retin	opathy, CVD: car	diovascular diseas	se, DKA: diabetic ke	etoacidosis, CI: con	fidence interval	. ‡ <i>p</i> < 0.05			35			
	ening diabetic retin	opathy, CVD: car For peer	diovascular diseas review only - h	se, DKA: diabetic ke ttp://bmjopen.b	etoacidosis, CI: con	fidence interval	. ‡ <i>p</i> < 0.05			35			

Figure 1. Flowchart of study cohort selection

Figure 2: Cumulative incidences of diabetic ketoacidosis, mild hypoglycemia according to the duration of diabetes in patients with type 1 diabetes (shadow area indicates 95 % confidence interval)

Figure 3: Cumulative incidences of retinopathy, sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy, renal failure, and cardiovascular diseases according to the duration of diabetes in patients with type 1 diabetes (shadow area indicates 95 % confidence interval)

tor peet texter only

Patients who had a diagnosis of type 1 diabetes (250.x1, 250.x3) from outpatient file of National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD) in
1999-2013, and had received a Catastrophic Illness Card (CIC) for type 1 diabetes (n = 4,677)
Exclusion of possible type 2 diabetes (n = 670) \checkmark Patients who had at least one prescription of oral hypophycemic
agents (not including metformin and thiazolidinediones) in
outpatient file of NHIRD after CIC was issued.
Study cohort (n = 4,007)
Exclusion of natients with diagnosis of diabetes-related complications 1
vear prior to type 1 diabetes diagnosis
year provide provide a diagnosio
Type diabetes patients for estimating incidence densities and cumulative incidence rates of diabetes-related complications
Type 1 diabous patients for estimating increase constitues and cumulative increase in tradetes related complications
Figure 1. Flowchart of study cohort selection
209x148mm (300 x 300 DPI)
For poor roviow only http://hmiopon.hmi.com/site/about/suidelines.yhtm
FOLDEELLEVIEW ONV - DUD?/ DIDIODED DIDLCOM/SUE/ADOU//DUDAEIINAS YNTM:

Outpatient hypoglycemia (n=3,934)

5.0 7.5

Figure 2: Cumulative incidences of diabetic ketoacidosis, mild hypoglycemia according to the duration of diabetes in patients with type 1 diabetes

209x148mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Duration of diabetes (years)

50

40

30

20

10

0.0 2.5

Cumulative Incidence (%)

10.0 12.5 15.0

Duration of diabetes (years)

(shadow area indicates 95 % confidence interval)

Hospitalized hypoglycemia (n=3,987)

6

4

2 -

2.5 5.0

0.0

7.5 10.0 12.5 15.

Duration of diabetes (years)

Cumulative Incidence (%)

10.0 12.5 15.0

Diabetic ketoacidosis (n=2,205)

60

40

20

(

0.0

2.5 5.0 7.5

Cumulative Incidence (%)







Figure 3: Cumulative incidences of retinopathy, sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy, renal failure, and cardiovascular diseases according to the duration of diabetes in patients with type 1 diabetes (shadow area indicates 95 % confidence interval)

297x420mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Complications	ICD-9-CM	ICD-9-CM	NHI
	disease codes	procedure codes	procedure codes
CVD (cardiovascular disease and cerebrovascular d	isease) ^{a,b}		
Acute myocardial	410, 412*		
infarction			
Ischemic heart disease	411, 413, 414, V45.81, V45.82	00.66, 36.0, 36.1, 36.2, 36.3, 36.9, 88.5	
Heart failure	428		
Stroke	430-437, 438*, V12.54	00.61, 00.63, 38.11, 38.12	
Cardiogenic shock	785.51		
Sudden cardiac arrest	V12.53		
Arteriosclerotic cardiovascular disease	429.2		
Arrhythmia	426, 427		
Microvascular complications ^{a,c}			
Nephropathy	250.4, 403, 404, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586,	38.95, 39.27, 39.42, 39.95, 54.98, 55.4, 55.5,	
	587, 588, 593, 791.0, V13.03, V42.0, V45.1, V56	55.6	
Renal failure (dialysis or transplantation) ^d	V45.1, V56	39.95, 54.98	
		55.6	
Retinopathy	250.5, 361, 362, 364, 365, 366, 368, 369, 377, 379.2	12.41, 12.73, 14.23, 14.24, 14.25, 14.33, 14.34,	86206B, 86207B, 60001C, 60002C*,
		14.35, 14.53, 14.54, 14.55, 16.92, 16.99	60003C, 60004C*
Proliferative retinopathy ^d	362.02		60001C, 60002C*, 60003C, 60004C*
Sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy ^e			86206B, 86207B, 60001C, 60002C*,
			60003C, 60004C*
Neuropathy	250.6, 302.72, 337.1, 354, 355, 357.2, 358.1, 607.84,		
	713.5, 729.2		
Metabolic complications			
Diabetic ketoacidosis ^a	250.1		
Hypoglycemia ^{a,f}	251.0, 251.1, 251.2, 270.3, 775.0, 775.6		

Page 41 of 53

1	*For identifying prevalent cases only. a: Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2014;24(1):10-7. b: Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2009;18(6):497-503. c: Diabetes Care. 2008;31(3):596-615. d: Diabetes. 2006;55(5):1463-9.
2	e. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2014,152(6).922-928. I. BMC Endoci Disord. 2008,8.4. Abbreviations. ICD-9-CM. International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Chinear Modification, Nrti. Tarwan National
5 4	Health Insurance.
- 5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 20	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35 26	
30	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43 11	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
44 45	
46	

Supplementary Table 2: Incidence density of hospitalized hypoglycemia among patients with incident type 1 diabetes diagnosed between 1999 and 2013 (using primary diagnosis to define

hospitalization for hypoglycemia)

	Overall		Male subgroup	0	Female subgroup				
	patients	Overall	Male	Male	Overall	Female	Female		
		male [‡]	early-onset (0-12 years) [‡]	late-onset (≥13 years)	female	early-onset $(0-12 \text{ years})^{\ddagger}$	late-onset (≥13 years)		
No. of cases*	4,001	1,903	719	1,184	2,098	777	1,321		
No. of cases with event	36	12	4	8	24	6	18		
Follow-up time (person-years)†	27,374	13,205	5,327	7,878	14,169	5,890	8,280		
Incidence density (1,000 person-years)	1.32	0.91	0.75	1.02	1.69	1.02	2.17		
(95% CI)	(0.9-1.8)	(0.5-1.6)	(0.2-1.9)	(0.4-2.0)	(1.1-2.5)	(0.4-2.2)	(1.3-3.4)		

* No. of cases refers to the number of patients who had no complication event of interest before type 1 diabetes was confirmed.

† Cumulative follow-up time (person-years) was calculated as the sum of follow-up years during observation period.

Note: Patients with type 1 diabetes were retrieved from incidence cases from 2000 to 2012. Follow-up time started from the first diagnosis date to the time the event occurred, death, discontinued enrollment from

Taiwan's National Health Insurance Program, or the end of 2013, whichever came first.

* 95% CI of incidence density difference for male vs. female was [-1.6 to 0.1]; in male subgroup, early vs. late-onset was [-1.3 to 0.8]; and in female subgroup, early vs. late-onset was [-2.5 to 0.2].

Abbreviations: STDR: sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy, CVD: cardiovascular disease, DKA: diabetic ketoacidosis, CI: confidence interval.
Page 43 of 53

1

BMJ Open



Supplementary Figure 1: Age-sex-specific cumulative incidences of diabetes complications according to duration of diabetes in patients with type 1 diabetes (early-onset: 0-12 years, late-onset: 2-13

BMJ Open



Page 45 of 53

 BMJ Open



BMJ Open



Page 47 of 53

BMJ Open



BMJ Open

1	<i>p</i> value for comparison of cumulative incidence of sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy for male vs. female was 0.0405, for male, early vs. late-onset was
2	<.0001, for female, early vs. late-onset was <.0001.
3 4	<i>p</i> value for comparison of cumulative incidence of neuropathy for male vs. female was 0.4050, for male, early vs. late-onset was <.0001, for female, early vs.
5	late-onset was <.0001.
6 7	<i>p</i> value for comparison of cumulative incidence of nephropathy for male vs. female was 0.6191, for male, early vs. late-onset was <.0001, for female, early vs.
8	late-onset was <.0001.
9 10	p value for comparison of cumulative incidence of renal failure for male vs. female was 0.4222, for male, early vs. late-onset was 0.0078, for female, early vs.
11	late-onset was 0.0003.
12 13	p value for comparison of cumulative incidence of cardiovascular disease for male vs. female was 0.4655, for male, early vs. late-onset was <.0001, for female,
14	early vs. late-onset was 0.0004.
15 16	<i>p</i> value for comparison of cumulative incidence of diabetic ketoacidosis for male vs. female was 0.0015, for male, early vs. late-onset was <.0001, for female,
17	early vs. late-onset was <.0001.
18 19	p value for comparison of cumulative incidence of outpatient hypoglycemia for male vs. female was 0.4095 for male, early vs. late-onset was 0.0097, for
20	female, early vs. late-onset was <.0001.
21 22	<i>p</i> value for comparison of cumulative incidence of hospitalized hypoglycemia for male vs. female was <.0001, for male, early vs. late-onset was 0.9600, for
23	female, early vs. late-onset was 0.0113.
24 25	
26	
27 28	
20 29	
30 21	
32	
33 24	
34 35	
36 27	
38	
39 40	
40 41	
42	
43 44	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
45	







Note:

p value for comparison of cumulative incidence for male vs. female was 0.0782, for male, early vs. late-onset was 0.6272, for female, early vs. late-onset was 0.1326.

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation	Page number
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1, 2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was	2,3
		done and what was found	,
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being	5
		reported	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	6
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	7
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of	7, 8
-		recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods	7, 8
-		of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	
		Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and	
		methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for	
		the choice of cases and controls	
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and	
		methods of selection of participants	
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies give matching criteria and number of	Not
		exposed and unexposed	applicable
		Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the	upplicuole
		number of controls per case	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes exposures predictors potential confounders	9
v arrables	,	and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria if applicable)
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of	8.9
massurament	0	assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if	0,)
measurement		there is more than one group	
Diag	0	Describe any efforts to address notantial sources of higs	0
Dias Study size	9	Explain how the study size was arrived at	7 Not
Study size	10	Explain now the study size was arrived at	not
Overtitetive verichles	11	Evenlain have growtitative vanishing ware handlad in the analyses. If	
Quantitative variables	11	Explain now quantitative variables were nancied in the analyses. If	9
	10	applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	0
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	9
			0.10
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	9,10
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	9, 10
		(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	9
		Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and	
		controls was addressed	
		Cross-sectional study-If applicable, describe analytical methods taking	
		account of sampling strategy	
			0 10

Continued on next page

tor beer texies only

1

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	
27	
20	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
3/	
38	
29 40	
40 41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52 52	
55 51	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study-eg numbers potentially	10
		eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing	
		follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Not
			applicable
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	8
Descriptive	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and	10
data		information on exposures and potential confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	8
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	10
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	10
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures	
		of exposure	
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and	10, 11
		their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were	
		adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	10, 11
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a	Not
		meaningful time period	relevant
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity	11
		analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	11
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or	20
		imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations,	21
		multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	21
Other informatio	on		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if	23
-		applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.