Non-thermal Plasma treated solution inhibits adipocyte differentiation and

lipogenesis in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes via ER stress signal suppression

Sung Un Kang^{1#}, Haeng Jun Kim^{1,2#}, Dae Ho Kim^{1,2}, Chang Hak Han^{1,2}, Yun Sang Lee¹, Chul-Ho Kim^{*1, 2}

> A. NS $\begin{pmatrix} 125 \\ 100 \\ 75 \\ 50 \\ 25 \\ 0 \\ 101 \\ 100 \\ 75 \\ 50 \\ 25 \\ 0 \\ 105 \\ 105 \\ 305 \\ 60s \\ (sec/ml)$

> > 2days







Supplementary Figure 1.



Supplementary Figure 1. Assessment of cytotoxicity and cell death effect of NTS (4 kV 1 ml / 1 min) treatment on 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. (A) Cell proliferation and cytotoxicity were determined by MTT assay. Bar graph represents mean \pm standard deviation of three-independent experiments. NS, not significant; **, P < 0.01. (B) Annexin V/PI assay and quantification of preadipocyte. Bar graph: mean \pm S.D. of three independent experiments. NS, not significant: = 100 µm.



Supplementary Figure 2. Cytotoxic and cell death effect of NTP treatment on normal fibroblast. (A) Phase contrast microscope. Scale bar = 400 mm. (B) Annexin V/PI assay and quantification of normal fibroblast. Bar graph: mean \pm S.D. of three independent experiments. NS, not significant.



Supplementary Figure 3. Assessment of adipogenic differentiated effect on adipogenic differentiation media in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes.

(A) Differentiated adipocyte cells were stained with Oil Red O and then photographed using a microscope. Scale bar = 100 μ m. (B) Inhibitory effect of NTS on lipid content. (C) Inhibitory effect of NTS triglyceride deposition in differentiated 3T3-L1 cells. Results are presented as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. Significant difference: ***, p < 0.001 as compared to the control group.



Supplementary Figure 4. Assessment of adipogenic transcription factor and adipocyte related protein expression on adipogenic differentiation media in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. (A) Quantitative Real-Time PCR was used to quantify the effect of NTS on adipogenic transcription factors PPAR γ and C/EBP α . Results are presented as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. Significant difference: ***, p < 0.001; **, p < 0.01; *, p < 0.05 as compared to the control group. (B) Western blot analysis showing the effect of NTS on PPAR γ and C/EBP α protein levels. (C, D) Immunocytochemical assay for PPAR γ (adipogenic transcription factor, green), Perilipin (lipid droplet marker, green), and DAPI (nucleus stain, Blue). Scale bar = 100 µm.



Supplementary Figure 5. Assessment of ER stress and UPR expression on adipogenic differentiation media in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. (A) Protein expression profiles for ER stress related protein and UPR-related protein. Cell lysates were harvested at indicated time points after the start of adipocyte differentiation and analyzed by western blotting analysis. Each Western-blotting band is a representative of three experiments performed in triplicates. (B) Immunocytochemical assay for CHOP (ER stress marker, Red) and DAPI (nucleus stain, Blue) on day 2 day and day 4. Scale bar = $100 \mu m$.



Supplementary Figure 6. Inhibitory effect of NTS on ER stress related protein after adipogenic differentiation.



Supplementary Figure 7. Food intake measurements. No significant difference in food intake was noted between the four groups.