# Supplementary Table 1: Categories of evidence and strength of recommendations

## Categories of evidence for causal relationships and treatment

Ia: Evidence from meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials

Ib: Evidence from at least one randomised controlled trial

IIa: Evidence from at least one controlled study without randomisation

**IIb:** Evidence from at least one other type of quasi-experimental study

III: Evidence from non-experimental descriptive studies, such as comparative studies,

correlation studies and case-control studies

**IV:** Evidence from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experience of respected authorities

#### Categories of evidence for observational relationships

I: Evidence from large, representative population samples

II: Evidence from small, well-designed, but not necessarily representative samples

III: Evidence from non-representative surveys, case reports

**IV:** Evidence from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experience of respected authorities

#### Strength of recommendation

A Directly based on category I evidence

B Directly based on category II evidence or extrapolated from category I evidence

C Directly based on category III evidence or extrapolated from category II evidence

**D** Directly based on category IV evidence or extrapolated from category III evidence

S Standard of good clinical care

#### Supplementary table 2: DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for ASD

# A) Persistent deficits in social communication and social interaction across multiple contexts, as manifested by the following, currently or by history (examples are illustrative, not exhaustive):

**1** Deficits in social-emotional reciprocity, ranging, for example, from abnormal social approach and failure of normal back-and-forth conversation; to reduced sharing of interests, emotions, or affect; to failure to initiate or respond to social interactions.

**2** Deficits in non-verbal communicative behaviours used for social interaction, ranging, for example, from poorly integrated verbal and non-verbal communication;

to abnormalities in eye contact and body language or deficits in understanding and use of gestures; to a total lack of facial expressions and non-verbal communication.

**3** Deficits in developing, maintaining, and understanding relationships, ranging, for example, from difficulties adjusting behaviour to suit various social contexts; to difficulties in sharing imaginative play or in making friends; to absence of interest in peers.

# B) Restricted, repetitive patterns of behaviour, interests, or activities, as manifested by at least two of the following, currently or by history (examples are illustrative, not exhaustive):

1 Stereotyped or repetitive motor movements, use of objects, or speech (eg, simple motor stereotypies, lining up toys or flipping objects, echolalia, idiosyncratic phrases).

**2** Insistence on sameness, inflexible adherence to routines, or ritualised patterns of verbal or non-verbal behavior (eg, extreme distress at small changes, difficulties with transitions, rigid thinking patterns, greeting rituals, need to take same route or eat same food every day).

**3** Highly restricted, fixated interests that are abnormal in intensity or focus (eg, strong attachment to or preoccupation with unusual objects, excessively circumscribed or perseverative interests).

**4** Hyper- or hyporeactivity to sensory input or unusual interest in sensory aspects of the environment (eg, apparent indifference to pain/temperature, adverse response to specific sounds or textures, excessive smelling or touching of objects, visual fascination with lights or movement).

C) Symptoms must be present in the early developmental period (but may not become fully manifest until social demands exceed limited capacities, or may be masked by learned strategies in later life).

**D**) Symptoms cause clinically significant impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of current functioning.

E) These disturbances are not better explained by intellectual disability (intellectual developmental disorder) or global developmental delay. Intellectual disability and autism spectrum disorder frequently co-occur; to make comorbid diagnoses of autism spectrum disorder and intellectual disability, social communication should be below that expected for general developmental level.

Note: Individuals with a well-established DSM-IV diagnosis of autistic disorder, Asperger's disorder, or pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified should be given the diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder.

Individuals who have marked deficits in social communication, but whose symptoms do not otherwise meet criteria for autism spectrum disorder, should be evaluated for social (pragmatic) communication disorder.

Specify if:

•With or without accompanying intellectual impairment

• With or without accompanying language impairment

• Associated with a known medical or genetic condition or environmental factor

• Associated with another neurodevelopmental, mental, or behavioural disorder

• With catatonia (refer to the criteria for catatonia associated with another mental disorder)

# (*Reproduced from the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fifth edition (DSM-5)*)

#### Social communication **Restricted, repetitive behaviours** Level 3 Severe deficits in verbal and non-Inflexibility of behaviour, extreme difficulty coping with change, or other **Requiring very** verbal social communication substantial support skills cause severe impairments in restricted/repetitive behaviours functioning, markedly interfere with functioning in very limited initiation of social interactions, all spheres. Great distress/ difficulty and minimal response to social changing focus or action. overtures from others. For example, a person with few words of intelligible speech who rarely initiates interaction and, when he or she does, makes unusual approaches to meet needs only and responds to only very direct social approaches. Level 2 Inflexibility of behaviour, difficulty Marked deficits in verbal and Requiring non-verbal social communication coping with change or other restricted/repetitive behaviours appear substantial support skills; social impairments frequently enough to be obvious to the apparent even with supports in place; limited initiation of social casual observer and interfere with interactions; and reduced or functioning in a variety of contexts. abnormal responses to social Distress and/or difficulty changing overtures from others. For focus or action. example, a person who speaks simple sentences. whose interaction is limited to narrow special interests, and who has odd markedly non-verbal communication. Without supports in place, Inflexibility behaviour Level 1 of causes deficits in social communication significant interference **Requiring support** with cause noticeable impairments. functioning in one or more contexts. Difficulty initiating social Difficulty switching between

# Supplementary table 3- Severity specifiers for the characterization of variation in adaptive functioning in autism spectrum

interactions, and clear examples	activities. Problems of organisation
of atypical or unsuccessful	and planning hamper independence.
response to social overtures from	
others. May appear to have	
decreased interest in social	
interactions. For example, a	
person who is able to speak in	
full sentences and engages in	
communication but whose to-	
and-fro conversation with others	
fails, and whose attempts to make	
friends are odd and typically	
unsuccessful.	

# (Reproduced from the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fifth edition (DSM-5))

	Validity	Age	Description
Modified-	Sensitivity:	16-30 months	23 item questionnaire
Checklist for	64% (18-48		by caregiver
Autism in	months)		
Toddlers	88%(30-48		
(M-CHAT)	months)		
	Specificity		
	75%(18-48		
	months)		
	38% (30-48		
	months)		
	(Charman et al.,		
	2015)		
Early Screening	Positive predictive	14 months	14 item 2-stage
for Autistic Traits	value 25% for ASD		questionnaire
(ESAT)	(Dietz et al., 2006)		completed by health
			practitioner after
			interview with
			caregiver
Checklist for		18 months	14 item 2 stage

## Supplementary table- 4 Screening tools for children and young people

Autism in	Sensitivity 21%		questionnaire: 5
Toddlers (CHAT)	Specificity 100%		completed by health
	Positive predictive		practitioner
	value 59%		9 completed by
			caregiver
	(Baird et al., 2000)		
	``````````````````````````````````````		
Social	a) Sensitivity	>4 years	40 item questionnaire
Communication	82%(18-48		completed by caregiver
Questionnaire	months)		
(SCQ)	Specificity		
	50%(18-48		
	months)		
	(Charman et al.,		
	2015)		
	b) Sensitivity		
	56%(4-12yr old)		
	69%(13-21years		
	old)		
	c) Specificity		
	74%(4-12yr old)		
	71%(13-21years		
	old)		
	(Barnard-Brak et		
	al., 2015)		
Childhood	Sensitivity 100%	4-11 years	50 item questionnaire
Autism Spectrum	Specificity 97%	10-16 years	completed by caregiver
Test (CAST)	(Williams et al.,		
	2005)		
Parents	Sensitivity	21 months to 8 years	18 item questionnaire
Evaluations for	68%(21 months to		10 openended
Developmental	4.5 years)		questions about
Status (PEDS)	87% (4.5 - 8 years)		behavior, learning and
	Specificity		development
	66%(21 months to		8 questions with
	4.5 years)		prompts to parents for

	79%(4.5-8 years)		each developmental
	(Glascoe, 2003)		domain
Screening Tool	Sensitivity	24-36 months	12 item questionnaire;
for Autism in	92% (12-35		2 stages
Toddlers (STAT)	months)		
	Specificity		
	85% (12-35		
	months)		
	(Stone et al., 2004)		
Social	Sensitivity 75%	>2.5 years	65 item questionnaire
Responsiveness	Specificity 96%		completed by caregiver
Scale (SRS)	(Constantino et al.,		
	2007)		
Autism Spectrum	Sensitivity	7-16 years	27 item questionnaire
Screening	Non clinical setting		completed by caregiver
Questionnaire	P: 91%, T: 90%		
(ASSQ)	Clinical Setting		
	P: 62%, T: 70%		
	Specificity		
	Non clinical setting		
	P: 77%, T: 58%		
	Clinical Setting		
	P: 90%, T: 91%		
	(Ehlers et al., 1999)		

## Supplementary table 5- Diagnostic Instruments for children and young people

	Validity	Age	Description
Autism Diagnostic	Sensitivity	>12 months	Clinical observation via
Observation Schedule	94-100%		interaction
(ADOS)	Specificity		
	52-76%		

	(Zander et al., 2015)		
Autism Diagnostic	Sensitivity	>2 years	93 item interview with
Interview Revised	44-52%		caregiver
(ADI-R)	Specificity		
	91-96%		
	(Zander et al., 2015)		
Developmental,	Sensitivity 100%	>2 years	266 item computer- based
Dimensional, Diagnostic	Specificity 98%		interview with caregiver
Interview (3Di)	(Skuse et al., 2004)		
Diagnostic interview for	Sensitivity 96%	All ages	362 item interview with
Social Communication	Specificity 79%		caregiver
Disorders (DISCO)			
	(Maljaars et al.,		
	2012)		
Development and Well	Sensitivity 92% in	5-16 years	4 components
Being Assessment	the clinic sample	11-16 years	i) Interview
(DAWBA)	Specificity 89% in		ii) Parent interview
	the community		iii) Teacher questionnaire
	sample		iv) Computer based rating
	(Goodman et al.,		
	2000)		
Childhood Autism Rating	Sensitivity 94%	>2years	15 item completed by
Scale (CARS)	Specificity 85%		researcher, and a questionnaire
	(Perry et al., 2005)		completed by caregiver

Supplementary table 6- Screening tools for Adults

	Validity	Age	Description
Autism Spectrum	Sensitivity 95%	>16 years	50 item questionnaire; self-
Quotient (AQ)	Specificity 52%		report
	(Woodbury-Smith et		
	al., 2005)		
Social	Sensitivity		65 item questionnaire
Responsiveness	Men: 84%	>18 years	completed by caregiver
Scale (SRS-A)	Women: 95%		
	Specificity		
	Men: 81%		
	Women: 61%		
	(Takei et al., 2014)		
Ritvo Autism	English sample:		80 item questionnaire; self-
Asperger	Sensitivity: 97%	>18years	report
Diagnostic Scale-	Specificity: 100%		
Revised	(Ritvo et al., 2011)		
(RAADS-R)			
	Swedish sample		
	Sensitivity: 91%		
	Specificity: 93%		
	(Andersen et al., 2011)		

Study	Design	Population	Age (years)	Sample size (total)	Drug and dose (final mean unless other stated)	Comparator	Duration (weeks)	Primary outcome	Secondary outcomes
Serotonergic agen	ts		1	_					
Barthelemy et al (1989)	R, DB, PC	ASD	3-10	13	Fenfluramine 1.5 mg/kg	Placebo	12	None specified	Behavior Summarized Evaluation Scale↔
King et al (2009)	R, DB, PC	ASD	5-17	149	Citalopram 16.5 mg/day	Placebo	12	CGI-I↔	CYBOCS-PDD↔ RBS↔ ABC-I↑ ABC- other subscales↔
Hollander et al (2005)	R, DB, PC, XO	ASD	5-17	45	Fluoxetine 10 mg/day	Placebo	2 x8	CY-BOCS↑	CGI-AD↔
Neuropharm (2012) unpublished data	R, DB, PC	ASD	5-17	158	Fluoxetine 2-18 mg/day	Placebo	14	CYBOCS↔	
McDougle et al (1996)	R, DB, PC	ASD	18-53	30	Fluvoxamine Max of 300 mg/day	Placebo	12	сувосѕ↑	VABS↑ CGI-I↑ Brown Aggression Scale1 RFRLS↑
Buchsbaum et al (2001)	R, DB, PC, XO	ASD	30.5 (8.6)	6	Fluoxetine 20-40 mg/day	Placebo	16	None specified	CYBOCS↑ CGI-I ↔ Hamilton Anxiety↑ Hamilton Depression ↔

Hollander et al (2012)	R, DB, PC	ASD	18-60	37	Fluoxetine 64.76mg/day	Placebo	12	Y-BOCS↑ CGI –I for obsessive compulsive scale↔	CGI-I↑ HAM-D↔ ABC-I↔
Glutamatergic age	nts						I		
Jacquemont et al (2011)	R, DB, PC	FXS	18-35	30	AFQ056 Dose was titrated up from day 1 to day 20 up to 150 mg twice a day and then down to 50 mg twice a day for the last four days of the trial	Placebo	4	ABC-C↔	ABC- L/SW↑ CGI ↔ VABS↑ RSR-R↑ VAS↑ SRS↑
Berry-Kravis et al (2016)	R, DB, PC	FXS	Adults: 18-45 Adolescents 12-17	175 adults 139 adolescents	AFQ056 25 mg -100mg twice a day	Placebo	12	ABC-C <sub>fx</sub> $\leftrightarrow$ in FXS with complete mutation or partial mutation	CGI-I $\leftrightarrow$ RSR-R $\leftrightarrow$ SRS $\leftrightarrow$ CNS-VS $\leftrightarrow$ TEA-CH $\leftrightarrow$
Erickson et al (2007)	OpL	PDD	6-19	18	Memantine 10.1 mg/day	None	19.3	None specified	CGI- S↑ CGI- I↑ ABC-H/NC↑ ABC-other subscales ↔
Aman et al (2017)	a) R, DB, PC b) OpL extension	ASD	6-12	a) 121 b) 104	Memantine 3-15 mg/day	Placebo	a) 12 b) 48	SRS↔	CATS-I↔ CCC-2↔

Posey et al (2004)	SB	PDD	5.1–27.6	12	d-cycloserine in three ascending doses (0.7, 1.4, and 2.8 mg/kg/day) doses were changed every 2 weeks	Placebo	8	None specified	CGI-S↑ SRS↔ ABC- L/SW↑ ABC- other subscales↔ CY-BOCS↔
Minshawai et al (2016)	R, DB, PC	ASD	5-11	60	d-cycloserine 50 mg/day	Placebo	10	SRS↔	VABSII↔ ABC↔ CGI ↔ TSSA↔
Berry-Kravis et al (2006)	R, DB, PC	FXS	6-21	49	CX516 300mg/day	Placebo	4	Memory Sub- tests of the Test of Visual— Perceptual Skills↔	<ul> <li>W-JR- Memory for words</li> <li>subtest ↔</li> <li>RBANS↔</li> <li>SNAP IV, and the Integrated</li> <li>Visual &amp; Auditory Continuous</li> <li>Performance Test↔</li> <li>Peabody Picture Vocabulary</li> <li>Test-III (Forms A and B) and</li> <li>the Preschool Language</li> <li>Scale-4 ↔</li> <li>Clinical Evaluation of</li> <li>Language Fundamentals-3</li> <li>↔</li> <li>ABC-1↑</li> </ul>

									ABC- other subscales ↔ CGI-I↔
GABAergic agents									
Berry-Kravis et al (2012)	R, DB, PC, XO	FXS	6-39	63	Arbaclofen 20-30mg/day	Placebo	4	ABC-I↔	ABC-SA <sup>↑</sup> in subgroup with severe social imp. ABC-L/SW↔ CGI↔ VAS↑
Erickson et al (2014)	OpL	ASD PDD PDD-NOS	6-17	32	Arbaclofen 2-30mg/day	N/A	8	ABC-I↑	ABC-L/SW <sup>↑</sup> CGI-I <sup>↑</sup> CGI-S <sup>↑</sup> SRS <sup>↑</sup> CY-BOCS-PDD <sup>↑</sup> CASI <sup>↑</sup> ADHD-A scale <sup>↑</sup> VABS- Communication subscale <sup>↑</sup>
Veenstra- VanderWeele et al (2016)	R, DB, PC, XO	ASD	5-21	150	Arbaclofen Children = 26.8mg/day adults = 41.1mg/day	Placebo	12	ABC-L/SW↔	CGI -S $\uparrow$ CGI-I $\leftrightarrow$ VAS $\leftrightarrow$ VABS $\leftrightarrow$ ADHD-IV $\leftrightarrow$
Fung et al (2014)	OpL	ASD	22.5 (5.8)	12	Pregnenolone 50-250mg/day	N/A	12	ABC-I↑	ABC- L/SW $\uparrow$ ABC-other subscales $\leftrightarrow$ SSP $\leftrightarrow$ SRS $\leftrightarrow$

									VABS↔
Dopamine recept	or blockers		I						
McDougle et al (2005)	Secondary analysis of McCracken (2002) and RUPPAN (2005)	ASD	8.8 (2.7)	101	Risperidone 2.0mg/day	Placebo	8 & 16	Primary outcomes are reported in McCracken et al (2002) and RUPPAN (2005)	RFRLS↑ Y-BOCS↑ VABS↑
Scahill et al (2013)	Secondary analysis of McCracken et al (2002) and Aman et al (2009)	ASD PDD	1)5-17 2) 4-13	225	Risperidone 0.5-3.5 mg/day	Placebo	8	None specified	↑ABC-L/SW

Marcus et al (2009)	R, DB, PC	ASD	6-17	218	Aripiprazole Randomised dose 5, 10, or 15 mg/day	Placebo	8	ABC-I↑	CGI-I↑ CYBOCS↑ ABC-SB↑ PedsQL↑
Owen et al (2009)	R, DB, PC	ASD	6-17	98	Aripiprazole Randomised dose 5, 10, or 15 mg/day	Placebo	8	ABC-I↑	CGI-I↑ CY-BOCS↑ ABC-SB↑ PedsQL↑
Aman et al (2010)	Line-item analysis based on the studies by Marcus et al (2009) and Owen et al (2009)	ASD	6-17	316	Aripiprazole Flexible: 2-15mg/day Fixed: 5, 10, 15mg/day)	Placebo	8	ABC-I↑	ABC-SB↑ ABC-H/NC↑ ABC-IS↑
Other agents	L	1	L	L	I	l	1	1	1
Jahromi et al (2009)	Secondary analysis of RUPPAN	PDD ADHD	5-13	33	Methylphenidate 0.125, 0.25, 0.5 mg/kg BID	Placebo	4	joint attention and self- regulation behaviours that was dose-	

	(2005)							dependent.↑	
Anagnostou et al (2012)	R, DB, PC	ASD	18-60	19	Oxytocin Intranasal 24 IU twice a day	Placebo	6	CGI-I↔ DANVA-2↔ RBS-R↑ low order behaviors	Reading the mind in the eyes test↑ QoL↑ SRS↔ YBOCS↔ RBS-R high order behaviors↔
Watanabe et al (2015)	R, DB, PC	ASD	18-55	18	Oxytocin Intranasal 24 IU twice a day	Placebo	6	ADOS reciprocity↑	$SRS\leftrightarrow$ $RBS\leftrightarrow$ $AQ\leftrightarrow$ $STAI\leftrightarrow$ $QoL\leftrightarrow$ $CGI\leftrightarrow$ $GAF\leftrightarrow$
Guastella et al (2015)	R, DB, PC	ASD	12-18	50	Oxytocin Intranasal 18-24 IU twice a day	Placebo	18	SRS↔ RBS↔ RMET-A↔ RMET-C↔	DBC↔ RBS↔
Yatawara et al (2016)	R, DB, PC, XO	ASD	3-8	31	Oxytocin Intranasal 12 IU twice a day	Placebo	5	SRS-P↑ RBS-R ↔	CGI-I $\uparrow$ ADOS- reciprocity $\leftrightarrow$ ADOS-communication $\leftrightarrow$ DBC-P $\leftrightarrow$ CSQ $\leftrightarrow$

R=randomized, DB=double blind, SB=single blind, PC=placebo-controlled, OpL= open-label, XO=cross over  $\uparrow$ = significant improvement  $\leftrightarrow$  no change ABC = Aberrant Behavior Checklist, ABC-H/NC = ABC- Hyperactivity/Noncompliance, ABC-I = ABC Irritability, ABC-L/SW = ABC-Lethargy/Social Withdrawal, ABC-IS = ABC-Inappropriate Speech, ABC-SB = ABC-Stereotyped Behavior, ABC-SA = ABC-Social Avoidance, CATS-I = Core Autism Treatment Scale-Improvement (CATS-I), CCC-2 = Children's Communication Checklist-2, (C)Y-BOCS = (Children's) Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale, IU = international unit, CSQ = caregiver strain questionnaire, DANVA-2 = Diagnostic Analysis of Nonverbal, Accuracy, ADOS = Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, RBS(-R) = Repetitive Behavior Scale(-Revised), DBC = Developmental Behavioural Checklist, GAF = Global Assessment of Functioning, CGI = Clinical Global Impression, STAI = State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, AQ = Autism Quotient, SRS = Social Responsiveness Scale, PedsQL = Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory, RFRLS = Ritvo-Freeman Real-Life Rating Scale, VABS = Vineland Adaptive Behaviour Scale, VAS = Visual Analogue Scale, TEA-CH = Test of Everyday Attention for Children, CNS-VS = computerized cognitive test battery CNS Vital Signs, W-JR = Woodcock-Johnson Tests of Cognitive Ability—Revised, RBANS = The Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of the Neuropsychological Status, SNAP-IV = Swanson, Nolan and Pelham (SNAP) questionnaire.

Supplementary table 8. Summary of treatment studies for co-morbid conditions

Study	Design	Populatio n	Age (years)	Sample size (total)	Drug and dose (final mean unless other stated)	Comparator	Duratio n (weeks)	Primary outcome	Secondary outcomes
Fankhauser et al (1992)	R, DB, PC, XO	ASD	5-33	9	Clonidine 0.005 mg/kg/day	Placebo	4	None specified	RFRLS-Sensory Motor↔ RFRLS-Affectual Reactions↑ RFRLS-Social Relationship↑ RFRLS-Language↔ RFRLS-Sensory Response↑ CGI↑
Jaselskis et al (1992)	R, DB, PC, XO	ASD	5-13	8	Clonidine 0.15-0.20mg/day	Placebo	6	None specified	$\begin{array}{c} ABC-I\uparrow\\ ABC-L/SW\leftrightarrow\\ ABC-SB\uparrow\\ ABC-H/NC\uparrow\\ ABC-IS\uparrow\\ CGI-I\leftrightarrow\\ CPRS\uparrow\end{array}$
Kent et al (2013)	R, DB, PC	ASD	9 (3.1)	96	Risperidone Low dose =0.125 - 0.175 mg/day High dose = 1.25 - 1.75 mg/day	Placebo	6	ABC-I↑ (high dose)	ABC-H/NC ↑ (high dose) ABC-SB ↑ (low dose) CY-BOCS compulsions ↑ (high dose)
McDougle et al (2005)	Secondary analysis of McCracken (2002) and RUPPAN (2005)	ASD	8.8 (2.7)	101	Risperidone 2.0mg/day	Placebo	8 & 16	Primary outcomes are reported in McCracken et al (2002) and RUPPAN (2005)	RFRLS↑ Y-BOCS↑ VABS↑
Shea et al (2004)	R, DC, PC	ASD	7.5 (2.3)	79	Risperidone 1.17mg/day	Placebo	8	ABC-I↑	ABC-H/NC↑ ABC-IS↑ ABC-L/SW↑ ABC-Stereotypy↑ N-CBRF (parent version): Conduct Problem↑ Hyperactive↑

Troost et al (2005)	OpL+ R,DB PDisc	ASD	9 (2.3)	26 (OpL) 24 (PDisc)	Risperidone 1.9mg/day	Placebo	8+16+8	Relapse rate↑	Self-isolation $\leftrightarrow$ Insecure/anxious $\uparrow$ Overly Sensitive $\uparrow$ Self-injurious/stereotypic $\leftrightarrow$ VAS (most troublesome symptom) $\uparrow$ ABC-I $\uparrow$ ABC-H/NC $\leftrightarrow$ ABC-IS $\leftrightarrow$
Gordon et al (1993)	R, DB, PC	ASD	10.4 (4.1)	24	Clomipramine 152mg/day	Placebo Desipramine = 127mg/day	10	CPRS – Autism Subscale↑ vs placebo & desipramine	ABC-L/SW↔ ABC-SB↔ CPRS OCD Subscale↑ vs placebo & desipramine CGI-efficacy index ↑ vs placebo & desipramine NIMH OCD Scale↑ vs placebo & desipramine NIMH Global OCD Scale↑ vs placebo & desipramine NIMH Anxiety scale↑ vs placebo & desipramine
King et al (2009)	R, DB, PC	ASD	9.3 (3.1)	149	Citalopram 16.5mg/day	Placebo	12	CGI-I ↔	$\begin{array}{c} CYBOCS-PDD\leftrightarrow\\ RBS-R\leftrightarrow\\ ABC\leftrightarrow \end{array}$
Hollander et al (2012)	R, DB, PC, XO	ASD	34 (14)	37	Fluoxetine 64.76 mg/day	Placebo	12	Y-BOCS↑	CGI↑ ABC-I↔
Hollander et al (2005)	R, DC, PC, XO	ASD	8 (3)	45	Fluoxetine 9.9mg/day	Placebo	8	CY-BOCS-compulsions ↑	CGI-AD↔
Gringras et al (2012)	R, DB, PC, XO	Neurodeve lopmental and neurologic al disorders	8.5 (3)	146	Melatonin .05-12mg/day	Placebo	12	Sleep time↑	Sleep onset↑
Cortesi et al (2012)	R, DB, PC	ASD	6.4 (1.1)	144	Melatonin 3mg/day	Placebo	12	Sleep time <sup>↑</sup> drug alone and combination in combination	Sleep onset↑ Sleep efficiency ↑

					CBT four sessions of 50m with a clinical psychologist			with CBT	Wake after sleep onset <sup>↑</sup> All alone and combination superior to placebo
Shea et al (2004)	R, DB, PC	ASD	7.5 (2.3)	79	Risperidone 1.17mg/day	Placebo	8	ABC-I↑	ABC-H/NC↑         ABC-IS↑         ABC-SB↑         N-CBRF(PV) conduct problems↑         N-CBRF(PV) insecure/anxious↑         N-CBRF(PV) hyperactive↑         N-CBRF(PV) overly sensitive↑         CGI-C↑         VAS – most troublesome symptom↑
Kent et al (2013)	R, DB, PC	ASD	9 (3.1)	96	Risperidone low =0.125 -0.175 mg/day high = 1.25 -1.75 mg/day	Placebo	6	ABC-I <sup>↑</sup> high dose	ABC-H/NC <sup>↑</sup> high dose ABC-IS↔ ABC-L/SW↔ ABC-SB <sup>↑</sup> low dose CGI-S <sup>↑</sup> high dose CY-BOCS <sup>↑</sup> high dose
McCracken et al (2002)	R, DB, PC	ASD	8.8 (2.7)	101	Risperidone 1.8mg/day	Placebo	8	ABC-I↑	$ABC-H/NC^{\uparrow}$ $ABC-IS \leftrightarrow$ $ABC-L/SW \leftrightarrow$ $ABC-SB^{\uparrow}$ $CGI-I^{\uparrow}$
Luby et al (2006)	R, DB, PC	ASD	4 (1)	32	Risperidone 1.38mg/day	Placebo	26	CARS↑	
Nagaraj et al (2006)	R, DB, PC	ASD	5 (1.7)	40	Risperidone 1mg/day	Placebo	26	CARS↑	CGAS↑
Marcus et al., (2011)	OpL safety report follow- up of Marcus (2009) and Owen (2009)	ASD	9.6 (3)	330	Aripiprazole 10.6mg/day	N/A	52	Adverse events: Weight gain Vomiting Nasopharyngitis Increased appetite Pyrexia Upper respiratory tract	Discontinuation mostly due to aggression and weight increase.

								infection Insomnia	
Paribello et al (2010)	OpL	FSX	18 (5)	20	Minocycline 100 or 200mg/day	N/A	8	ABC-I↑	VAS for behaviour $\uparrow$ CGI-I $\uparrow$ ABC-L $\leftrightarrow$ ABC-SB $\uparrow$ ABC-H $\uparrow$ ABC-IS $\uparrow$
Leigh et al., (2013)	R, DB, PC	FSX	9.2 (3.6)	55	Minocycline 25-100mg/day	Placebo	13	CGI-I↑	$VAS - most troublesome$ $symptom \leftrightarrow$ $ABC \leftrightarrow$ $VABS-II \leftrightarrow$ $EVT-2 \leftrightarrow$
Erickson et al., 2014	OpL	ASD	6-17	32	Arbaclofen 2-30mg/day	N/A	8	ABC-I↑	ABC-L/SW↑ ABC-H/NC↑ ABC-IS↔ ABC-SB↑ RRS↑ CY-BOCS-PDD↑ ADHD-IV RS↑ CGI-I↑ CGI-S↑
Veenstra- VanderWeel e et al., 2016	R, DB, PC	ASD	11.6 (4.6)	150	Arbaclofen Children = 26.8mg/day adults = 41.1mg/day	Placebo	12	ABC-L/SW↔	CGI-S $\uparrow$ CGI-I $\leftrightarrow$ ADHD-IV RS $\leftrightarrow$ VAS-Anxiety $\leftrightarrow$ VAS-Disruptive $\leftrightarrow$ VABS II Socialisation $\leftrightarrow$
Berry-Kravis et al., 2012	XO	FSX	6-40	63	Arbaclofen 20-30mg/day	Placebo	4	ABC−I↔	CGI-I↔ CGI-S↔ VAS Problem behaviours↑
King et al., 2001	R, DB, PC	ASD	5-11	39	Amantadine 5mg/kg per day	Placebo	4	Responder rate↔	ABC-I $\leftrightarrow$ ABC-L/SW $\leftrightarrow$ ABC-H/NC $\uparrow$ ABC-IS $\uparrow$ ABC-SB $\leftrightarrow$

									CGI↑
Reichow et al., 2013	Meta-analysis of four studies	ASD	7	94	Methylphenidate .445mg/kg	Placebo	1-2	ADHD symptoms↑	Hyperactivity↑
RUPP, 2005	R, DB, PC, XO + OpL	ASD	7.5 (2.2)	72	Methylphenidate 7.5-50mg/day	Placebo	4 + 8 OpL	ABC-H/NC↑	$\begin{array}{c} ABC-I\leftrightarrow\\ ABC-L/SW\leftrightarrow\\ ABC-IS\uparrow\\ ABC-SB\uparrow\end{array}$
Harfterkamp et al., 2012	R, DB, PC	ASD	9.95 (2.8)	97	Atomoxetine 1.2mg/kg/day	Placebo	8	ADHD-RS↑	ADHD-RS Inattention↑ ADHD-RS Hyper-imp↑ CTRS-R:S Hyperactivity↑ CTRS-R:S Oppositional↔ CTRS-R:S Cognitive/attention↔ CTRS-R:S ADHD↔ CGI-I↔
Arnold et al., 2006	R, DB, PC, XO	ASD	9.26 (2.93)	16	Atomoxetine 20-100mg/day	Placebo	6	ABC-H/NC↑	Hyperactivity/impulsive↑ Inattentive↔ ABC-I↔ ABC-L/SW↑ ABC-IS↔ ABC-SB↔
Handen et al., 2015	R, DB*, PC + parent training (PT)	ASD	8.1 (2.1)	128	Atomoxetine 40-49.8mg/day 9 sessions of Parent Training (PT)	(1) ATX (2) ATX+PT (3)Placebo+PT (4)Placebo	10	SNAP-P ADHD↑ (1:4, 2:4, 3:4) HSQ↑ (1:4, 2:4)	ABC-H/NC $\uparrow$ (1:4, 2:4) ABC-I $\uparrow$ (3:4) ABC-L/SW $\leftrightarrow$ ABC-IS $\uparrow$ (2:4, 3:4) ABC-SB $\leftrightarrow$ SSQ $\uparrow$ (3:4) SNAP-P Inattention $\uparrow$ (1:4, 2:4, 3:4) SNAP-P Hyperactivity $\uparrow$ (1:4, 2:4, 3:4) 3:4)
Smith et al 2016	DB**, PC extension to Handen 2015	ASD	8.1 (2.1)	117	Atomoxetine 49.8(23.3) alone 40.0(18.4) combined	ATX, ATX+PT, Placebo+PT, placebo	24	None specified	
Scahill et al. 2015	R, DB, PC	ASD	8.5 (2.25)	62	Guanfacine 1-4mg/day	Placebo	8	ABC-H/NC↑	$\begin{array}{c} ABC-I\uparrow\downarrow\\ ABC-L/SW\leftrightarrow\\ ABC-IS\uparrow\end{array}$

									ABC-SB↑ ADHD-RS ↑ ADHD-RS Inattention↑ ADHD-RS Hyperactivity↑
Niederhofer et al., 2002	R, DB, PC, XO	ASD	8.3 (3.55)	12	Lofexidine 0.8-1.2mg/day	Placebo	6	None specified	CPRS↑ ABC-H/NC↑ ABC-I↑ ABC-SB↑ ABC-IS↑ Clinician ratings↔
Buchsbaum et al., 2001	R, DB, PC, XO	ASD	30.5 (8.6)	6	Fluoxetine 20-40mg/day	Placebo	16	None specified	Y-BOCS Y-BOCS Obsessions Y-BOCS Compulsions CGI Autism $\leftrightarrow$ Hamilton Anxiety HRSD $\leftrightarrow$
McDougle et al., 1998	R, DB, PC	ASD	28.1 (7.3)	31	Risperidone 2.9 mg/day	Placebo	12	None specified	CGI↑ Y-BOCS↑ SIB-Q↑ RFRLS-Sensory Motor↑ RFRLS-Affectual Reactions↑ RFRLS-Social Relationship↔ RFRLS-Language↔ RFRLS-Sensory Response↔
McDougle et al., 1996	R, DB, PC	ASD	30.1 (7.7)	30	Fluvoxamine 276.7mg/day	Placebo	12	Y-BOCS↑	CGI↑ VABS↑ Brown Aggression Scale↑ RFRLS-Sensory Motor↔ RFRLS-Affectual Reactions↔ RFRLS-Social Relationship↔ RFRLS-Language↑ RFRLS-Sensory Response↔
Fung et al., 2014	OpL	ASD	22.5 (5.8)	12	Pregnenolone 50-250mg/day	N/A	12	ABC-I↑	$\begin{array}{c} \text{ABC-L/SW}^{\uparrow} \\ \text{ABC-H/NC} \\ \text{ABC-SB} \\ \text{ABC-IS} \\ \text{ABC-IS} \\ \end{array}$

		Short Sensory Profile↑ SRS↔
		$\text{VABS} \leftrightarrow$

R = randomized, DB = double-blind, PC = placebo-controlled PDisc = Placebo Discontinuation XO = cross over \*single blind for parent training  $\uparrow$ =significant improvement  $\leftrightarrow$ =no change \*\*double blind for responders, open label for placebo non-responders on ATX.

CPRS = Children's Psychiatric Rating Scale, RFRLS = Ritvo-Freeman Real-Life Rating Scale, SIB-Q = Self-Injurious Behavior Questionnaire, SRS = Social Responsiveness Scale, ABC = Aberrant Behavior Checklist, ABC-H/NC = ABC- Hyperactivity/Noncompliance, ABC-I = ABC Irritability, ABC-L/SW = ABC-Lethargy/Social Withdrawal, ABC-IS = ABC-Inappropriate Speech, ABC-SB = ABC-Stereotyped Behavior, CPRS = The Conners' Parent Rating Scale, RSB(-R) = Repetitive Behavior Scale(-Revised), CGI = Clinical Global Impression