

Study ID	Treatment comparison / condition	Primary outcome measures	Effect size (type)	Effect size measure	p-value	Effect size measure	p-value
				Published paper	Published paper	Re-analysis	Re-analysis
Gilbody, 2015	Beating the Blues VS usual care / Depression	Being depressed (PHQ-9)	OR, 95%CI	1.19 [0.75, 1.88]	0.46	1.19 [0.75, 1.88]	0.46
	MoodGYM VS usual care / Depression	Being depressed (PHQ-9)	OR, 95%CI	0.98 [0.62, 1.56]	0.95	0.98 [0.62, 1.56]	0.95
	MoodGYM VS Beating the Blues / Depression	Being depressed (PHQ-9)	OR, 95%CI	0.91 [0.62, 1.34]	0.69	0.91 [0.62, 1.34]	0.69
Barker, 2016	Program to decrease fall injuries VS usual care / Acute hospitals	Falls	RaR, 95%CI	1.04 [0.78, 1.37]	0.80	1.04 [0.78, 1.37]	0.80
		Falls injury	RaR, 95%CI	0.96 [0.72, 1.27]	0.77	0.96 [0.72, 1.27]	0.77
van der Aa, 2015	Stepped care VS usual care / Visually impaired older adults	Incidence of depressive and/or anxiety disorders	RR, 95%CI	0.63 [0.45, 0.87]	0.01	0.63 [0.45, 0.87]	0.004

e-Table 1: Results of the re-analyses for 3 ineligible studies published in the BMJ after the policy but submitted before.

OR: Odds ratio; RR: Relative Risk; RaR: Rate Ratio; ITT: Intention To Treat; PP: Per Protocol.