ONLINE SUPPLEMENT: Mechanism of doxorubicin cardiotoxicity evaluated by integrating multiple molecular effects into a biophysical model

To provide a detailed description of the reference models and the simulated effects of acute doxorubicin (DOX), acute doxorubicinol (DOXL) and chronic DOX on cardiac myocyte function we have additional phonotype synopsises predicted by simulations of cellular function for human (Tables 1-6) and rabbit (Tables 7-12) cardiac myocytes. We report the APD (action potential duration), resting membrane potential (RMP), systolic Ca²⁺ (peak Ca²⁺), Ca²⁺ RT (Ca²⁺ relaxation time), diastolic Ca²⁺ (minimum Ca²⁺concentration) and the mean intracellular sodium concentration ([Na⁺]_i) for basic cycle length (BCL) of 1300, 1000, 800, 650 and 600ms. The stimulation rates correspond to heart rates of 45, 60, 75, 90 and 100 beats per minute (BPM).

\mathbf{PCI} (ma)	APD	RMP	Ca ²⁺ Systolic	$Ca^{2+}RT$	Diastolic	Mean [Na ⁺] _i
DCL (IIIS)	(ms)	(mV)	(µM)	(ms)	$Ca^{2+}(\mu M)$	(mM)
1300 (45 BPM)	308	-85.67	0.47	387	0.09	8.66
1000 (60 BPM)	305	-85.42	0.80	356	0.10	9.79
800 (75 BPM)	301	-85.22	1.10	343	0.12	10.79
650 (90 BPM)	293	-85.03	1.32	332	0.13	11.59
600 (100 BPM)	289	-84.95	1.37	326	0.13	11.82

Table 1: References cellular read outs for human cardiac myocyte model for differing heart rates.

Table 2: Acute Dox Cellular Phenotypes for human model for differing heart rates.

.

.

\mathbf{PCI} (ms)	APD	RMP	Ca ²⁺ Systolic	$Ca^{2+}RT$	Diastolic	Mean [Na ⁺] _i
DCL (IIIS)	(ms)	(mV)	(µM)	(ms)	$Ca^{2+}(\mu M)$	(mM)
1300 (45 BPM)	343	-84.89	0.78	573	0.18	13.26
1000 (60 BPM)	344	-84.48	1.18	564	0.21	15.56
800 (75 BPM)	345	-84.01	1.57	536	0.26	17.61
650 (90 BPM)	343	-83.30	1.93	480	0.36	19.11
600 (100 BPM)	338	-82.92	2.05	455	0.41	19.44

Table 3: Chronic Dox Cellular Phenotypes for human model for differing heart rates.

BCL (ms)	APD (ms)	RMP (mV)	Ca^{2+} Systolic	$Ca^{2+}RT$	Diastolic $Ca^{2+}(\mu M)$	Mean [Na ⁺] _i (mM)
	(IIIS)	(1117)	(µ111)	(IIIS)		(1111)
1300 (45 BPM)	294	-84.50	0.25	385	0.18	13.56
1000 (60 BPM)	285	-83.92	0.50	365	0.23	15.75
800 (75 BPM)	275	-83.42	0.81	337	0.28	17.90
650 (90 BPM)	261	-82.86	1.12	303	0.33	19.85
600 (100 BPM)	256	-82.59	1.22	288	0.36	20.51

Table 4: Acute DOXL Cellular Phenotypes for human model for differing heart rates

BCL (ms)	APD (ms)	RMP (mV)	Ca ²⁺ Systolic (µM)	Ca ²⁺ RT (ms)	Diastolic Ca ²⁺ (µM)	Mean [Na ⁺] _i (mM)
1300 (45 BPM)	213	-83.67	0.37	456	0.17	18.70
1000 (60 BPM)	189	-83.36	0.49	421	0.20	20.55
800 (75 BPM)	166	-82.99	0.62	389	0.24	22.69
650 (90 BPM)	158	-82.42	0.88	347	0.32	26.05
600 (100 BPM)	180	-81.99	1.18	325	0.40	28.64

BCL (ms)	APD (ms)	RMP (mV)	Ca ²⁺ Systolic (µM)	Ca ²⁺ RT (ms)	Diastolic Ca ²⁺ (µM)	Mean [Na ⁺] _i (mM)
1300 (45 BPM)	338	-85.28	0.52	449	0.12	10.25
1000 (60 BPM)	338	-84.93	0.91	427	0.15	11.73
800 (75 BPM)	338	-84.65	1.28	419	0.17	13.01
650 (90 BPM)	333	-84.33	1.56	400	0.19	13.93
600 (100 BPM)	329	-84.15	1.64	389	0.21	14.14

Table 5: Acute $100 \mu M \; DOX$ Cellular Phenotypes for human model for differing heart rates.

Table 6: Acute $10 \mu M \ DOXL$ Cellular Phenotypes for human model for differing heart rates.

BCL (ms)	APD	RMP	Ca ²⁺ Systolic	$Ca^{2+}RT$	Diastolic	Mean [Na ⁺] _i	
	(ms)	(mV)	(µM)	(ms)	$Ca^{2+}(\mu M)$	(mM)	
1300 (45 BPM)	219	-83.66	0.43	429	0.17	18.83	
1000 (60 BPM)	193	-83.30	0.60	401	0.21	21.07	
800 (75 BPM)	173	-82.92	0.77	374	0.25	23.47	
650 (90 BPM)	Alternans						
600 (100 BPM)	Alternans						

Table 7: References cellular read outs for rabbit cardiac myocyte model for differing heart rates.

\mathbf{PCI} (mg)	APD	RMP	Ca ²⁺ Systolic	$Ca^{2+}RT$	Diastolic	Mean [Na ⁺] _i
BCL (IIIS)	(ms)	(mV)	(µM)	(ms)	$Ca^{2+}(\mu M)$	(mM)
1300 (45 BPM)	219	-85.69	0.37	358	0.09	8.71
1000 (60 BPM)	209	-85.74	0.40	344	0.09	9.20
800 (75 BPM)	200	-85.80	0.43	325	0.10	9.68
650 (90 BPM)	191	-85.85	0.46	303	0.11	10.21
600 (100 BPM)	187	-85.87	0.48	291	0.11	10.43

Table 8: Acute Dox Cellular Phenotypes for rabbit model for differing heart rates.

BCL (ms)	APD	RMP	Ca ²⁺ Systolic	$Ca^{2+}RT$	Diastolic	Mean [Na ⁺] _i		
	(ms)	(mV)	(µM)	(ms)	$Ca^{2+}(\mu M)$	(mM)		
1300 (45 BPM)	243	-85.58	0.43	457	0.10	10.12		
1000 (60 BPM)	223	-85.57	0.49	404	0.12	10.80		
800 (75 BPM)	Alternans							
650 (90 BPM)	Alternans							
600 (100 BPM)	Alternans							

Table 9: Chronic Dox Cellular Phenotypes for rabbit model for differing heart rates.

BCL (ms)	APD	RMP	Ca ²⁺ Systolic	$Ca^{2+}RT$	Diastolic	Mean [Na ⁺] _i
DCL (IIIS)	(ms)	(mV)	(µM)	(ms)	$Ca^{2+}(\mu M)$	(mM)
1300 (45 BPM)	206	-85.58	0.27	429	0.10	10.17
1000 (60 BPM)	187	-85.62	0.35	374	0.11	10.76
800 (75 BPM)	174	-85.65	0.45	331	0.12	11.42
650 (90 BPM)	163	-85.66	0.59	290	0.13	12.24
600 (100 BPM)	163	-85.67	0.67	273	0.14	12.64

BCL (ms)	APD (ms)	RMP (mV)	Ca ²⁺ Systolic (µM)	Ca ²⁺ RT (ms)	Diastolic Ca ²⁺ (µM)	Mean [Na ⁺] _i (mM)
1300 (45 BPM)	176	-85.50	0.22	652	0.14	12.50
1000 (60 BPM)	159	-85.48	0.28	541	0.17	13.71
800 (75 BPM)	146	-85.43	0.39	449	0.23	15.28
650 (90 BPM)	133	-85.34	0.62	364	0.42	17.97
600 (100 BPM)	133	-85.30	0.84	341	0.60	19.58

Table 10: Acute DOXL Cellular Phenotypes for rabbit model for differing heart rates.

Table 11: Acute $100 \mu M \ DOX$ Cellular Phenotypes for rabbit model for differing heart rates.

BCL (ms)	APD (ms)	RMP (mV)	Ca ²⁺ Systolic	$Ca^{2+}RT$	Diastolic	Mean [Na ⁺] _i
. ,	~ /	· · · ·	(µM)	(ms)	$Ca^{2+}(\mu M)$	(mM)
1300 (45 BPM)	268	-85.67	0.52	371	0.09	9.11
1000 (60 BPM)	248	-85.72	0.59	344	0.09	9.61
800 (75 BPM)	233	-85.76	0.66	320	0.10	10.12
650 (90 BPM)	219	-85.80	0.74	292	0.11	10.70
600 (100 BPM)	219	-85.82	0.78	281	0.12	10.96

Table 12: Acute $10 \mu M \; DOXL$ Cellular Phenotypes for human model for differing heart rates.

BCL (ms)	APD (ms)	RMP (mV)	Ca ²⁺ Systolic	$Ca^{2+}RT$	Diastolic	Mean [Na ⁺] _i
Bell (mb)			(µM)	(ms)	$Ca^{2+}(\mu M)$	(mM)
1300 (45 BPM)	180	-85.49	0.22	605	0.11	13.04
1000 (60 BPM)	159	-85.47	0.29	505	0.14	14.41
800 (75 BPM)	141	-85.43	0.40	426	0.18	16.18
650 (90 BPM)	123	-85.29	0.63	350	0.28	19.14
600 (100 BPM)	123	-85.20	0.84	315	0.43	21.40

The effect of acute DOX on I_{Kr} and I_{Ks}

Due to experimental uncertainty in the effect of acute DOX on I_{Ks} and I_{Kr} we have performed a number of additional simulations in the main body of the manuscript and here to test what effect different permutations of I_{Ks} and I_{Kr} inhibition would have on model predictions of acute DOX cellular phenotypes. Due to the use of different data sets to constrain human cardiac cell models, there is variability in model predictions that reflect the uncertainty in the underlying experimental data. To provide a reference for the effect of I_{Ks} and I_{Kr} inhibition alone on the human and rabbit cell model we have performed simulations with 10%, 25% and 50% inhibition of each channel on the APD and calcium transient as reported in Table 13 and 14.

Table 13: Effect of either I_{Kr} or I_{ks} inhibition in human cell model on APD and calcium transient

Condition	ADP (ms)	Ca ²⁺ Systolic (µM)	$Ca^{2+}RT$ (ms)
Control	305	0.80	356
Iκr scaled by -10%	309	0.82	357
I _{κr} scaled by -25%	315	0.84	359
I _{κr} scaled by -50%	327	0.87	361
I_{Ks} scaled by -10%	312	0.84	359
lκs scaled by -25%	326	0.89	362
I_{Ks} scaled by -50%	355	1.02	372

Condition	ADP (ms)	Ca ²⁺ Systolic (µM)	$Ca^{2+}RT$ (ms)
Control	209	0.40	344
I _{Kr} = -10%	214	0.41	343
I _{Kr} = -25%	223	0.43	341
I _{Kr} = -50%	240	0.47	339
I _{Ks} = -10%	210	0.40	344
I _{Ks} = -25%	211	0.40	343
I _{κs} = -50%	214	0.41	343

Table 14: Effect of either I_{Kr} or I_{ks} inhibition in rabbit cell model on APD and calcium transient

In both consensus models of acute DOX exposure (derived using method 1 or 2) that we used to predict an increase in SR leak, we did not include any effect of DOX on I_{Ks} . To ensure that the predicted increased SR leak result was not dependent on how the effect of acute DOX exposure on K⁺ channels was modelled we estimate the SR leak and corresponding APD and Ca²⁺ transient phenotypes for the case where acute DOX exposure inhibits I_{Ks} and not I_{Kr} and the case where acute DOX exposure inhibits I_{ks} and I_{kr} . All simulations results are based on the acute DOX 100µM model. The results are presented in Table 15.

Table 15: Predicted SR leak in the rabbit and human acute DOX 100 μ M exposure model for different postulated models of acute DOX on K⁺ channels. Consensus values wer a 50% increase in APD, 50% increase in systolic Ca²⁺ and a 30% increase in Ca²⁺ RT. Where I_K = X% corresponds to an X% change in the conductivity of the corresponding K⁺ channel.

Species	Estimated SR leak	Effect on K ⁺ channels	ADP	Ca ²⁺ Systolic	$Ca^{2+}RT$
Human	3x Leak	I _{Ks} = -95%	+69%	+28%	+58%
Human	3x Leak	I _{Ks} = -95%, I _{Kr} =-50%	+117%	+63%	+77%
Rabbit	2x Leak	I _{Ks} = -95%	+11%	+39%	+0%
Rabbit	3x Leak	Ικs = -95%, Ικr =-50%	+34%	+33%	+8%