# Schest Online Supplement

# Comparative Effectiveness of Enoxaparin vs Dalteparin for Thromboprophylaxis After Traumatic Injury

Todd A. Miano, PharmD, MSCE; Adam Cuker, MD; Jason D. Christie, MD, MSCE; Niels Martin, MD; Brian Smith, MD, MPH; Amy T. Makley, MD; Wensheng Guo, PhD; and Sean Hennessy, PharmD, PhD

CHEST 2018; 153(1):133-142

Online supplements are not copyedited prior to posting and the author(s) take full responsibility for the accuracy of all data.

© 2017 AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CHEST PHYSICIANS. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Physicians. See online for more details. **DOI**: 10.1016/j.chest.2017.08.008

# **Section Supplement**

#### e-Appendix 1.

### **Data Analysis methods supplement**

### Checking the assumptions of the difference-in-differences models

The aggregation of enoxaparin time periods (01/04-12/09 and 02/13-03/14) assumes a constant baseline rate of venous thromboembolism across those two time periods. We verified this assumption by constructing a Poisson regression model that included a term for time period (Enoxaparin period 1: 01/04-12/09 vs. enoxaparin period 2: 02/13-03/14), which showed a constant rate for period 2 vs. period 1: (IRR 0.96, 0.65-1.41). In addition, the difference-in-differences model assumes parallel trends in the outcome between treatment and control groups in the enoxaparin time period. This assumption was verified by introducing an interaction term between calendar year and group (LMWH vs. UFH control) in enoxaparin time periods (IRR 1.07, 0.94 - 1.23), which showed no significant deviation from parallel trends of VTE rate in the LMWH vs. UFH control. Lastly, all models were examined for overdispersion, with no evidence for significant overdispersion found.

### Methods to tabulate missed doses

The cumulative percentage of scheduled doses missed was defined as 1 - (cumulative doses received during follow-up / cumulative number of expected doses during follow-up). The expected number of doses was based on the standard dosing regimens used during the study period: the enoxaparin regimen was 30 mg every 12 hours (2 expected doses per day), the dalteparin regimen was 5000 IU every 24 hours (1 expected dose per day) and the heparin regimen was 5000 IU every 8 hours (3 expected doses per day). The counting of missed doses began at the time of the first dose, so that missed doses due to delay of initiation were not included. Expected dose values for the last day of follow-up were adjusted to account for the time of exit from the cohort. For the purposes of analysis, missed doses were categorized as above or below 80%. There are no previous studies in the trauma population that that have examined the optimal threshold for missed doses. The 80% threshold was thus chosen because this is a standard cutoff used in other studies and quality improvement initiatives.<sup>1,2</sup>

# Multivariable Poisson regression model specifications

### Secondary outcome models

1. VTE + mortality

 Adjusted for age, injury severity score, mechanical prophylaxis, coronary artery disease, hypertension, stroke, malignancy, mechanical ventilation, vasopressor use, femur fracture, race, intensive care unit admission, vein injury



2. Pulmonary embolism

– Adjusted for injury severity score, mechanical prophylaxis, mechanical ventilation, femur fracture, race, vasopressor use, vein injury

3. All deep vein thrombosis

– Adjusted for baseline hemoglobin concentration, hypertension, mechanical ventilation, femur fracture, vein injury, intensive care unit admission, vasopressor use

4. Proximal deep vein thrombosis

 Adjusted for mechanical ventilation, femur fracture, vein injury, intensive care unit admission, surgery, vasopressor use

#### Sensitivity analysis models

- Negative binomial regression model

   Adjusted for injury severity score, mechanical prophylaxis, hypertension, mechanical ventilation, femur fracture, vein injury, intensive care unit admission
- 2. At least one US

 Adjusted for injury severity score, baseline platelet count, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, stroke, spinal cord injury, vasopressor use, mechanical ventilation, femur fracture, pelvis fracture, intensive care unit admission, history of prior thrombosis

3. Censor follow-up at last dose

– Adjusted for injury severity score, mechanical prophylaxis, mechanical ventilation, femur fracture, vein injury, intensive care unit admission, hypertension, vasopressor use

4. Admission after 2007

 Adjusted for injury severity score, baseline hemoglobin concentration, baseline platelet concentration, hypertension, spinal cord injury, blood product transfusion in the emergency department, mechanical ventilation, vasopressor use

- 5. Initiation within 24 hours of admissionAdjusted femur fracture, baseline vasopressor use
- 6. Missed < 20% of scheduled doses</li>
  Adjusted for mechanical ventilation, vein injury, vasopressor use
- 7. Initiation within 24 hours and missed < 20% of scheduled doses</li>
  Adjusted for vasopressor use



e-Table 1 vendus thromboernboisti diagnosis codes and case definitions					
Code	Description				
PE					
415.11	Iatrogenic pulmonary embolism and infarction				
415.13	Saddle embolus of pulmonary artery				
415.19	Other pulmonary embolism and infarction				
LE DVT					
451.11	Thrombophlebitis, deep vessels of lower extremities femoral vein				
451.19	Thrombophlebitis of deep vessel of lower extremities, other (Femoropopliteal				
	vein, popliteal vein, tibial vein)				
451.2	Phlebitis and thrombophlebitis of lower extremities, unspecified				
451.81	Thrombophlebitis of other sites iliac vein				
453.40	Venous embolism and thrombosis of unspecified deep vessels of lower				
453.41	extremity				
453.42	Venous embolism and thrombosis of deep vessels of proximal lower extremity				
453.6	Venous embolism and thrombosis of deep vessels of distal lower extremity				
453.8	Venous embolism and thrombosis of superficial vessels of lower extremity				
	Acute venous embolism and thrombosis of other specified veins (Pre-2009)				
Other					
DVT					
451.9	Phlebitis and thrombophlebitis of unspecified site				
453.2	Other venous embolism and thrombosis of inferior vena cava				
453.89	Acute venous embolism and thrombosis of other specified vein				
453.9	Other venous embolism and thrombosis of unspecified site				
UE DVT					
451.82	Phlebitis and thrombophlebitis of superficial veins of upper extremities				
	(Antecubital vein, basilic vein, cephalic vein)				
451.83	Phlebitis and thrombophlebitis of deep veins of upper extremities (brachial				
	vein, radial vein, ulnar vein)				
451.84	Phlebitis and thrombophlebitis of deep veins of upper extremities, unspecified				
451.89	Phlebitis and thrombophlebitis of other veins (Axillary vein, Jugular vein,				
	Subclavian vein, thrombophlebitis of breast)				
453.81	Acute venous embolism and thrombosis of superficial veins of upper extremity				
453.82	Acute venous embolism and thrombosis of deep veins of upper extremity				
453.83	Acute venous embolism and thrombosis of upper extremity, unspecified				
453.84	Acute venous embolism and thrombosis of axillary veins				
453.85	Acute venous embolism and thrombosis of subclavian veins				
453.86	Acute venous embolism and thrombosis of internal jugular veins				
453.87	Acute venous embolism and thrombosis of other thoracic veins				

# e-Table 1 Venous thromboembolism diagnosis codes and case definitions

## **Deep Vein Thrombosis**

Radiographic confirmation of DVT required explicit documentation of thrombosis in a report from a compression ultrasound exam or a contrast enhanced computed tomography of the lower extremities. In the absence of radiographic evidence for DVT in the EMR, autopsy reports were reviewed if available. Proximal lower extremity DVT was defined as thrombosis in the iliac, common femoral, superficial femoral, deep femoral, or popliteal veins. Distal lower extremity thrombosis was defined as thrombosis in the peroneal, anterior, posterior tibial, gastrocnemius, soleal, or saphenous veins.

### **Pulmonary Embolism**

Radiographic confirmation of PE required a positive computed tomography angiogram (CTA) of the lungs or ventilation-perfusion scan. In the absence of radiographic evidence for PE in



the EMR, autopsy reports were reviewed if available. CTA confirmation required explicit documentation of a filling defect in one or more pulmonary arteries AND an explicit mention by the radiologist of pulmonary embolism being present. VQ scan confirmation required explicit documentation of "high probability for pulmonary embolism". Autopsy confirmation required explicit documentation of thrombosis in one or more pulmonary arteries and documentation of the diagnosis of "pulmonary embolism".

#### e-Table 2 Frequency of missed doses

Percent Scheduled Doses Missed	Enoxaparin, n=2371	Dalteparin, n=1046	Heparin, n=2463
< 5 %	1431 (60.3)	750 (71.7)	1013 (41.1)
5% - 19.99 %	568 (23.9)	131 (12.5)	732 (29.7)
20% - 49.99 %	281 (11.9)	158 (15.1)	609 (24.7)
≥ 50%	91 (3.8)	7 (0.7)	109 (4.4)

#### e-Table 3. VTE surveillance: Percentage of patients with at least one duplex ultrasound

Period	LMWH group	UFH control	р
Enoxaparin time period	1205/2371 (50.8)	807/1539 (52.4)	0.32
Dalteparin time period	628/1046 (60.0)	544/924 (58.9)	0.60

# **Section Supplement**

# Multiple imputation analysis

#### Imputation Methods

Multiple imputation of missing baseline covariates was completed using the multiple imputation program in Stata/SE, version 14.2 for Mac. Imputed values were obtained using data augmentation, an iterative Markov chain Monte Carlo method.<sup>3-5</sup> Imputed values were generated assuming an underlying multivariate normal model and the Jeffreys noninformative prior distribution.<sup>5</sup> Fifty imputation data sets were produced, using an initial burn-in run of 500 iterations and a 500-iteration burn-in between each replication. The imputation model included all covariates included in the primary analysis (see Table 1), exposure variables, the difference-in-differences interaction term, and additional auxiliary variables plausibly associated with the missing values.<sup>6,7</sup> These included the outcome variable (venous thromboembolism), hospital length of stay, and 30-day in-hospital mortality.

Continuous variables were assessed for normality and transformed accordingly for the imputation step, followed by reverse transformation for analysis in the multiply imputed data set. Binomial variables were treated as normally distributed in the imputation procedures and the imputed values rounded to zero or one using a cut- off value of 0.5.<sup>5</sup> Multilevel categorical variables were rounded to the nearest integer value according to the underlying coding scheme.<sup>5</sup>

Estimation of the differences-in-differences parameter in the multiply imputed data set was conducted using the mi estimate command, which adjusts coefficients and standard errors for the variability between imputations according to the combination rules of Rubin.<sup>4</sup> Model specification began with the primary analysis Poisson regression model, with additional models that adjusted for covariates not included in the primary analysis. These included patient weight, body mass index, body surface area, and glomerular filtration rate. The latter 3 variables were derived using the multiply imputed values for height, weight, and creatinine.

Variable	Missing	Non-missing	
Covariates in primary analysis			
Age	1	6203	
ISS	260	5944	
Creatinine	42	6162	
Hemoglobin	46	6158	
Platelet count	30	6174	
Injury Type	1	6203	
Race	8	6196	
Sex	3	6201	
Additional covariates included in sensitivity analysis*			
Height	940	5264	
Weight	746	5458	

e-Table 4 Missing data prevalence

\*These variables were used to derive additional covariates, including body surface area and glomerular filtration rate



#### e-Table 5 Baseline characteristics of patients with missing data

	cientes men mooning a		
	Missing Data n=324	Complete Data n=5880	SDF
Demographics			
Age years med (IOR)	54(43-71)	48 (31-64)	0 328
Longth of stay, days, mod (IOD)	5 + (+3) + 1)	(310+)	0.520
Veneve three hearsheliers in (0()	3(3-3)	100(2.2)	0 222
venous thromboembolism, n (%)	1(0.3)	190 (3.2)	-0.223
30-day mortality, n (%)	12 (3.7)	128 (2.2)	0.090
Injury characteristics			
TBI, n (%)	5 (1.5)	825 (14.0)	-0.479
Femur fracture, n (%)	4(1.2)	749 (12.7)	-0.463
Pelvis fracture n (%)	11 (3 4)	630(10.7)	-0.289
Spine cord injury $n$ (%)	0(00)	215(37)	-0.275
Bulmonany contusion n (%)		510(9.7)	0.275
	/ (2.2)	310(8.7)	-0.291
vein injury, n (%)	1 (0.3)	85 (1.5)	-0.122
Treatment characteristics			
ICU admission, n (%)			
Mechanical ventilation, n (%)	39 (12.0)	1424 (24.2)	-0.320
Surgery, n (%)	19 (5.9)	1254 (21.3)	-0.463
ED transfusion, n (%)	- ( )	- ( - )	
None	319 (98 5)	5530 (94-1)	0 234
1-unit	3 (0 0)	130 (2 21)	-0 104
$\Sigma = 2$ units	2(0.5)	130(2.21)	0.104
>=2 units	2(0.0)	220(3.74)	-0.215
Mechanical prophylaxis, n (%)	150 (46.3)	3910 (66.5)	-0.416
Comorbidities			
Heart failure, n (%)	17 (5.3)	174 (2.9)	0.115
Myocardial infarction, n (%)	13 (4.0)	176 (2.9)	0.055
Atrial fibrillation, n (%)	20 (6.2)	337 (5.7)	0.019
Hypertension n (%)	129 (39 8)	1965 (33.4)	0 133
Stroke $n(\%)$	27 (8 3)	250 (4 3)	0 169
COPD = n (0/2)	10(5.0)	230(4.3)	0.105
COPD, II (%)	19(3.9)	247(4.2)	0.070
Liver disease, n (%)	0(0.0)	56 (0.9)	-0.139
Malignancy, n (%)	23 (7.1)	313 (5.3)	0.074
Prior thrombosis, n (%)	8 (2.5)	92 (1.56)	0.064
Thrombophilia, n (%)	0 (0.0)	72 (1.2)	-0.157
ESRD, n (%)	11 (3.4)	87 (1.5)	0.124
Baseline medications			
Antiplatelets n (%)	77 (23 77)	931 (15.8)	0 200
DACC n (0/2)	20 (0 2)	<i>A</i> 15 (7 1)	0.080
(1, 0)	12(4 0)	71J (/.1)	0.000
	13(4.0)	243 (4.2)	
Statins, n (%	52 (16.1)	576 (9.8)	0.18/

The distribution of baseline characteristics of patients with missing covariate is notable for an older age, lower prevalence of injuries, greater burden of comorbid illness, and a shorter hospital length of stay. These data suggest that patients with missing data were overall at a lower risk of venous thromboembolism, which accords with the lower observed rate of thrombosis in this group.



e-Table 6	Observed v	s. Imputed	values for	covariates	with ≥	0.5%	of values	missina
		••••••••••••••				0.0.0		

Variable	Imputed Values	<b>Observed Values</b>	
<b>Covariates in primary analysis</b> ISS, med (IQR) Creatinine, med (IQR) Hemoglobin, med (IQR) Platelet count, med (IQR)	4.7 (2.7-8.1) 0.9 (0.7-1.2) 12.3 (10.7-13.9) 242 (187-293)	10 (5-17) 0.9 (0.7-1.1) 12.1 (10.5-13.5) 203 (161-251)	
Additional covariates included in sensitivity analysis Height, med (IQR) Weight, med (IQR)	172.6 (164.6- 179.7) 75.8 (63.3-90.6)	172.7 (165.1- 180.3) 78.2 (68.0-90.7)	

Most imputed values are similar to observed values, with the exception of ISS (imputed values lower) and platelet count (imputed values higher). These differences are consistent with the lower burden of injury and severity of illness in the missing-data group as detailed in Table S.2

#### e-Table 7 Multiple Imputation Estimation of Differences-in-Differences

Analysis	Difference-in-differences (95% CI)
1) Primary analysis model	0.99 (0.53-1.87)
2) Primary model + weight	0.99 (0.53-1.88)
3) Primary model + BMI	0.99 (0.53-1.87)
4) Primary model + BSA	1.00 (0.54-1.88)
5) Primary model + BSA + GFR	0.99 (0.53-1.86)

BMI- body mass index; BSA- body surface area; GFR- glomerular filtration rate

#### References

- Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Medicare Health & Drug Plan Quality and Performance Ratings 2013 Part C & Part D Technical Notes. Baltimore, MD: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services; 2012.
- Choudhry NK, Glynn RJ, Avorn J, et al. Untangling the relationship between medication adherence and post-myocardial infarction outcomes: medication adherence and clinical outcomes. Am Heart J. 2014;167(1):51-58.e5
- 3. Stata Multiple-Imputation Reference Manual, Release 14. StataCorp. 2015. Stata: Release 14. Statistical Software. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP
- 4. Rubin D. Multiple imputation for nonresponse in surveys. New York: Wiley, 1987.
- 5. Paul D. Allison. Missing data. Sage, Thousand Oaks CA 2002.
- 6. Moons KG, Donders RA, Stijnen T, Harrell FE. Using the outcome for imputation of missing predictor values was preferred. J Clin Epidemiol 2006;59:1092-101.
- 7. van Buuren, S., H. C. Boshuizen, and D. L. Knook. 1999. Multiple imputation of missing blood pressure covariates in survival analysis. Statistics in Medicine 18: 681–694.