Table D1.1 Subject disposition (All randomised patients)

Disposition	NBMI100mg (n=13)	NBMI300mg (n=11)	Placebo (n=12)	Total (n=36)
Subjects enrolled				36
Subjects randomised	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
Subjects not randomised				0
Patients randomised and treated	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
Patients who completed study	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ds001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:05, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_end.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

Table D2.1 Analysis set populations (All randomised patients)

Population	NBMI100mg (n=13)	NBMI300mg (n=11)	Placebo (n=12)	Total (n=36)
All subjects				36
All randomised patients	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
Safety set	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
Full analysis set	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
Per protocol population	13 (100.0%)	10 (90.9%)	9 (75.0%)	32 (88.9%)
PK analysis set	13 (100.0%)	10 (90.9%)		23 (63.9%)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ds002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:05, by: maria.lidsell Version of source date: nhmi_end.cas7hdat_last modified: 24EEB16:23:13_status: Final

Version of source data: nbmi_end.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

Table D3.1 Demographic characteristics (All randomised patients)

Variable	Category	NBMI100mg (n=13)	NBMI300mg (n=11)	Placebo (n=12)	Total (n=36)
Age (years)	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	Mean	43.6	38.5	33.3	38.6
	SD	10.23	10.48	8.25	10.37
	Median	47	39	32.5	39.5
	Min	22	26	19	19
	Max	56	59	47	59
Sex	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	MALE	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
Ethnic Origin	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	HISPANIC	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_dm001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:05, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_dem.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

Variable	Category	NBMI100mg (n=13)	NBMI300mg (n=11)	Placebo (n=12)	Total (n=36)
Work Exposure, Living, years	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	Mean	25.8	18.1	27.6	24.1
	SD	16.42	14.59	13.71	15.13
	Median	22	15	31	26
	Min	3	1.5	1	1
	Max	54	50	47	54
Work Exposure, Working with mercury, yea	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	Mean	12.3	8.7	14	11.8
	SD	6.59	8.19	13.32	9.72
	Median	15	5	12	10
	Min	1	1.5	0.5	0.5
	Max	20	30	40	40
Work Exposure, Smelting amalgam to recover the gold, Yes-No	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	Y	13 (100.0%)	7 (63.6%)	9 (75.0%)	29 (80.6%)
	Ν		4 (36.4%)	3 (25.0%)	7 (19.4%)
Work Exposure, Handling mercury to extract the gold from the ore, but no smelting, Yes-No	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	Y	6 (46.2%)	4 (36.4%)	3 (25.0%)	13 (36.1%)
	Ν	7 (53.8%)	7 (63.6%)	9 (75.0%)	23 (63.9%)
Work Exposure, Smelting gold / gold buyer, Yes-No	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	Y		2 (18.2%)	2 (16.7%)	4 (11.1%)
	Ν	13 (100.0%)	9 (81.8%)	10 (83.3%)	32 (88.9%)
Work Exposure, Another job or no job, Yes-No	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	Y		2 (18.2%)	1 (8.3%)	3 (8.3%)
	Ν	13 (100.0%)	9 (81.8%)	11 (91.7%)	33 (91.7%)
Fish Consumption	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK	9 (69.2%)	6 (54.5%)	8 (66.7%)	23 (63.9%)
	NEVER OR LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK	4 (30.8%)	5 (45.5%)	4 (33.3%)	13 (36.1%)

Table D4.1 Subject characteristics - work exposure and fish consumption (All randomised patients)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_dm002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:06, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_dem.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

	Number (%) of	patients		
Preferred term	NBMI100mg (n=13)	NBMI300mg (n=11)	Placebo (n=12)	Total (n=36)
Number of patients with any past medical history	6 (46.2%)	4 (36.4%)	5 (41.7%)	15 (41.7%)
GASTRITIS	1 (7.7%)	1 (9.1%)	1 (8.3%)	3 (8.3%)
APPENDICECTOMY	1 (7.7%)	1 (9.1%)		2 (5.6%)
NEPHROLITHIASIS	1 (7.7%)		1 (8.3%)	2 (5.6%)
PNEUMONIA	1 (7.7%)	1 (9.1%)		2 (5.6%)
ACCIDENT	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)
ALCOHOL POISONING	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)
CHOLECYSTECTOMY		1 (9.1%)		1 (2.8%)
CRANIOCEREBRAL INJURY			1 (8.3%)	1 (2.8%)
DENGUE FEVER	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)
GASTRIC ULCER			1 (8.3%)	1 (2.8%)
GASTROENTERITIS			1 (8.3%)	1 (2.8%)
HEPATITIS			1 (8.3%)	1 (2.8%)
HEPATITIS A	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)
LOWER LIMB FRACTURE		1 (9.1%)		1 (2.8%)
RECTAL PROLAPSE		1 (9.1%)		1 (2.8%)
THROMBOCYTOPENIA			1 (8.3%)	1 (2.8%)
YELLOW FEVER	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)

Table D5.1 Summary of past medical history (All randomised patients)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\\T_mh001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:06, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_ma1.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final Dictionary version: MedDRA 18.1

Summary of urine Hg at Screening (All randomised patients) Table R1.1

Variable	Category	NBMI100mg (n=13)	NBMI300mg (n=11)	Placebo (n=12)	Total (n=36)
Biomonitoring Hg Urine, Result	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	Mean	54.2	60.7	43.9	52.8
	SD	31.91	52.13	39.21	40.7
	Median	46	48	32	35.5
	Min	25	15	16	15
	Max	138	163	134	163

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_hgu001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:04, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_bi2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

Summary of chronic mercury intoxication symptoms at Screening (All randomised patients) Table R2.1

Variable	Category*	NBMI100mg (n=13)	NBMI300mg (n=11)	Placebo (n=12)	Total (n=36)
1. Metallic taste	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	Ν	5 (38.5%)	6 (54.5%)	6 (50.0%)	17 (47.2%)
	YES	4 (30.8%)	1 (9.1%)	2 (16.7%)	7 (19.4%)
2. Irritability	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	ABSENT	2 (15.4%)	1 (9.1%)		3 (8.3%)
	SLIGHT	6 (46.2%)	3 (27.3%)	6 (50.0%)	15 (41.7%)
	MODERATE	1 (7.7%)	3 (27.3%)	2 (16.7%)	6 (16.7%)
3. Social nervousness and/or withdrawal	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	ABSENT	4 (30.8%)	3 (27.3%)	4 (33.3%)	11 (30.6%)
	SLIGHT	4 (30.8%)	2 (18.2%)	4 (33.3%)	10 (27.8%)
	MODERATE	1 (7.7%)	2 (18.2%)		3 (8.3%)
4. Memory loss	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	ABSENT	5 (38.5%)	3 (27.3%)	4 (33.3%)	12 (33.3%)
	SLIGHT	4 (30.8%)	2 (18.2%)	3 (25.0%)	9 (25.0%)
	MODERATE		2 (18.2%)	1 (8.3%)	3 (8.3%)
5. Mental fatigue	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	ABSENT	4 (30.8%)	2 (18.2%)	3 (25.0%)	9 (25.0%)
	SLIGHT	3 (23.1%)	2 (18.2%)	3 (25.0%)	8 (22.2%)
	MODERATE	2 (15.4%)	3 (27.3%)	2 (16.7%)	7 (19.4%)
6. Physical fatigue	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	ABSENT	4 (30.8%)	2 (18.2%)	3 (25.0%)	9 (25.0%)
	SLIGHT	2 (15.4%)	1 (9.1%)	1 (8.3%)	4 (11.1%)
	MODERATE	3 (23.1%)	4 (36.4%)	4 (33.3%)	11 (30.6%)
Chronic mercury intoxication symptoms, sum	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	Mean	3.3	3.6	3.5	3.5
	SD	1.73	1.27	1.31	1.41

Variable	Category*	NBMI100mg (n=13)	NBMI300mg (n=11)	Placebo (n=12)	Total (n=36)
	Median	3	4	3.5	3.5
	Min	1	1	1	1
	Max	6	5	5	6

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_mi001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:04, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_ch2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final *) Screening scores are counted according to: No=0, Yes=1, Absent=0, Slight=1, Moderate=1.

Table R3.1.1	Summary of physical and mental fatigue scores at Screening - Total scores (All randomised
patients)	

Variable*	Category	NBMI100mg (n=13)	NBMI300mg (n=11)	Placebo (n=12)	Total (n=36)
Physical Fatigue Score, Total	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	Mean	3.4	3	3.6	3.4
	SD	3.61	1.83	2.72	2.78
	Median	3	3	4	3.5
	Min	0	0	0	0
	Max	11	5	7	11
Mental Fatigue Score, Total	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	Mean	1.7	1.7	2.4	1.9
	SD	1.8	1.6	1.41	1.59
	Median	1	1	2.5	1.5
	Min	0	0	1	0
	Max	5	5	5	5

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_fs001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:04, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_ph4.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Total fatigue score sum is not calculated for Screening.

Variable	Category*	NBMI100mg (n=13)	NBMI300mg (n=11)	Placebo (n=12)	Total (n=36)
Physical Fatigue Score, tired	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	same as usual	3 (23.1%)	1 (9.1%)	2 (16.7%)	6 (16.7%)
	worse than usual	5 (38.5%)	6 (54.5%)	5 (41.7%)	16 (44.4%)
	much worse than usual	1 (7.7%)		1 (8.3%)	2 (5.6%)
Physical Fatigue Score, need to rest	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	same as usual	5 (38.5%)	5 (45.5%)	3 (25.0%)	13 (36.1%)
	worse than usual	3 (23.1%)	2 (18.2%)	5 (41.7%)	10 (27.8%)
	much worse than usual	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)
Physical Fatigue Score, feel sleepy or drowsy	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	same as usual	5 (38.5%)	4 (36.4%)	4 (33.3%)	13 (36.1%)
	worse than usual	3 (23.1%)	3 (27.3%)	4 (33.3%)	10 (27.8%)
	much worse than usual	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)
Physical Fatigue Score, no longer start anything	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	same as usual	8 (61.5%)	5 (45.5%)	6 (50.0%)	19 (52.8%)
	worse than usual	1 (7.7%)	2 (18.2%)	2 (16.7%)	5 (13.9%)
Physical Fatigue Score, always lack energy	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	same as usual	6 (46.2%)	4 (36.4%)	3 (25.0%)	13 (36.1%)
	worse than usual	2 (15.4%)	3 (27.3%)	5 (41.7%)	10 (27.8%)
	much worse than usual	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)
Physical Fatigue Score, have less strength in your muscles	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	same as usual	6 (46.2%)	4 (36.4%)	6 (50.0%)	16 (44.4%)
	worse than usual	3 (23.1%)	3 (27.3%)	2 (16.7%)	8 (22.2%)
Physical Fatigue Score, feel weak	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	same as usual	5 (38.5%)	5 (45.5%)	6 (50.0%)	16 (44.4%)
	worse than usual	3 (23.1%)	2 (18.2%)	2 (16.7%)	7 (19.4%)
	much worse than usual	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)
Physical Fatigue Score, start things without difficulties, but get weak as you go on	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	same as usual	8 (61.5%)	7 (63.6%)	6 (50.0%)	21 (58.3%)
	worse than usual	1 (7.7%)		2 (16.7%)	3 (8.3%)
Mental Fatigue Score, problems concentrating	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	same as usual	7 (53.8%)	6 (54.5%)	4 (33.3%)	17 (47.2%)
	worse than usual	2 (15.4%)	1 (9.1%)	4 (33.3%)	7 (19.4%)
Aental Fatigue Score, problems thinking clearly	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	same as usual	8 (61.5%)	6 (54.5%)	5 (41.7%)	19 (52.8%)
	worse than usual	1 (7.7%)	1 (9.1%)	3 (25.0%)	5 (13.9%)
Mental Fatigue Score, problems to find correct words when you speak	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	same as usual	5 (38.5%)	4 (36.4%)	4 (33.3%)	13 (36.1%)

Table R3.2.1 Summary of physical and mental fatigue scores at Screening - Items (All randomised patients)

Variable	Category*	NBMI100mg (n=13)	NBMI300mg (n=11)	Placebo (n=12)	Total (n=36)
	worse than usual	4 (30.8%)	3 (27.3%)	4 (33.3%)	11 (30.6%)
Mental Fatigue Score, problems with eyestrain	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	same as usual	5 (38.5%)	3 (27.3%)	5 (41.7%)	13 (36.1%)
	worse than usual	4 (30.8%)	4 (36.4%)	3 (25.0%)	11 (30.6%)
Mental Fatigue Score, problems with memory	n	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	8 (66.7%)	24 (66.7%)
	same as usual	6 (46.2%)	4 (36.4%)	3 (25.0%)	13 (36.1%)
	worse than usual	2 (15.4%)	3 (27.3%)	5 (41.7%)	10 (27.8%)
	much worse than usual	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)

 $\label{eq:script:} \SDS-SERVER2\SAS\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS\DRUG\DEV\NBMI4011-CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_fs001.sas,\ on:\ 23MAY2016:14:04,\ by: maria.lidsell$

Version of source data: nbmi_ph4.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Screening scores are counted according to: same as usual=0, worse than usual=1, much worse than usual=2.

Table R4.1 Summary of abnormal physical examination findings at Screening (All randomised patients)

	Number (%) of	² patients		
Area of the examination	NBMI100mg (n=13)	NBMI300mg (n=11)	Placebo (n=12)	Total (n=36)
Number of patients with any abnormal finding	10 (76.9%)	9 (81.8%)	10 (83.3%)	29 (80.6%)
Neurological examination	10 (76.9%)	8 (72.7%)	9 (75.0%)	27 (75.0%)
General Condition	7 (53.8%)	3 (27.3%)	4 (33.3%)	14 (38.9%)
Abdomen	6 (46.2%)	4 (36.4%)	1 (8.3%)	11 (30.6%)
Other	2 (15.4%)	1 (9.1%)	3 (25.0%)	6 (16.7%)
Cardiovascular system	1 (7.7%)	1 (9.1%)		2 (5.6%)
Lungs	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_pe001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:04, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_ph2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

Variable	Category	NBMI100mg (n=13)	NBMI300mg (n=11)	Placebo (n=12)	Total (n=36)
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	Mean	71.5	72.2	70.1	71.2
	SD	8.76	10.69	11.53	10.08
	Median	70	73	70	70
	Min	58	57	50	50
	Max	84	90	86	90
Systolic BP (mmHg)	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	Mean	116.5	113.5	113.7	114.6
	SD	7.8	18.97	14.61	13.88
	Median	120	110	112.5	111
	Min	105	90	90	90
	Max	130	160	135	160
Heart rate (bpm)	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	Mean	75.6	78.9	70.5	74.9
	SD	4.68	10.23	5.71	7.72
	Median	76	76	68	74.5
	Min	66	64	64	64
	Max	82	99	82	99
Body weight (kg)	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	Mean	75.1	79.8	82.8	79.1
	SD	14.96	13.69	10.7	13.3
	Median	72	78	81.25	78.5
	Min	54.5	63	62	54.5
	Max	103	107	104	107
Height (cm)	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	Mean	162.2	169.3	167.9	166.3
	SD	6.12	9.06	6.43	7.7
	Median	164	167	168	166
	Min	151	161	157	151
	Max	174	194	181	194
Body mass index (kg/m2) from height at s	n	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
	Mean	28.5	27.7	29.5	28.6
	SD	4.78	3.23	4.56	4.23
	Median	29.38	28.43	29.985	29.31
	Min	21.77	23.14	18.92	18.92
	Max	36.36	34.42	39.14	39.14

Table R5.1 Summary of vital signs at Screening (All randomised patients)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_vs001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:04, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_vi1.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:15, status: Final

Table R6.1	Summary of medical	l history ongo	ing at Screeni	ng (All	randomised	patients)
	5	, ,	0			1 /

	Number (%) of	f patients		
Preferred term	NBMI100mg (n=13)	NBMI300mg (n=11)	Placebo (n=12)	Total (n=36)
Number of patients with any medical history ongoing at Screening	13 (100.0%)	11 (100.0%)	12 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)
CEREBELLAR SYNDROME	12 (92.3%)	8 (72.7%)	10 (83.3%)	30 (83.3%)
SLEEP DISORDER	13 (100.0%)	6 (54.5%)	11 (91.7%)	30 (83.3%)
ATAXIA	9 (69.2%)	7 (63.6%)	9 (75.0%)	25 (69.4%)
IRRITABILITY	4 (30.8%)	4 (36.4%)	5 (41.7%)	13 (36.1%)
FATIGUE	3 (23.1%)	4 (36.4%)	4 (33.3%)	11 (30.6%)
GINGIVAL DISCOLOURATION	5 (38.5%)	4 (36.4%)	2 (16.7%)	11 (30.6%)
OBESITY	5 (38.5%)	2 (18.2%)	3 (25.0%)	10 (27.8%)
SALIVARY HYPERSECRETION	5 (38.5%)	5 (45.5%)		10 (27.8%)
AMNESIA	3 (23.1%)	3 (27.3%)	3 (25.0%)	9 (25.0%)
MENTAL FATIGUE	1 (7.7%)	4 (36.4%)	4 (33.3%)	9 (25.0%)
HEADACHE	5 (38.5%)		2 (16.7%)	7 (19.4%)
SOCIAL AVOIDANT BEHAVIOUR	2 (15.4%)	2 (18.2%)	2 (16.7%)	6 (16.7%)
DYSGEUSIA	2 (15.4%)	1 (9.1%)	1 (8.3%)	4 (11.1%)
TREMOR	2 (15.4%)	2 (18.2%)		4 (11.1%)
BACK PAIN	1 (7.7%)	1 (9.1%)		2 (5.6%)
HEPATIC STEATOSIS	1 (7.7%)	1 (9.1%)		2 (5.6%)
WEIGHT INCREASED	1 (7.7%)		1 (8.3%)	2 (5.6%)
ABDOMINAL HERNIA	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)
ARTHRALGIA		1 (9.1%)		1 (2.8%)
CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)
DIABETES MELLITUS	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)
ELECTROCARDIOGRAM ST SEGMENT ELEVATION			1 (8.3%)	1 (2.8%)
GASTRITIS		1 (9.1%)		1 (2.8%)
HYPERTENSION		1 (9.1%)		1 (2.8%)
LACTOSE INTOLERANCE			1 (8.3%)	1 (2.8%)
MIGRAINE	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)
PROSTATITIS		1 (9.1%)		1 (2.8%)
RHINITIS		1 (9.1%)		1 (2.8%)
SINUSITIS	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)
UMBILICAL HERNIA		1 (9.1%)		1 (2.8%)
VENTRICULAR EXTRASYSTOLES	1 (7.7%)			1 (2.8%)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_mh002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:04, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_ma1.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final Dictionary version: MedDRA 18.1

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Scre	ening	visit)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Screening	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.9	1.08	5	6	7	4	8									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.3	1.61	2	3	4.5	0	7	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.6	1.22	-3	-2.5	-2	-5	0
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	3	1.28	2	3	4	0	5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.9	1.37	-3.5	-3	-2	-6	0
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Screening	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.3	1.18	6	6	7	4	8									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	4	1.63	3	4	5	1	7	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.3	1.11	-3	-2	-2	-5	0
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.7	0.95	3	4	4	2	5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.6	1.39	-3	-3	-2	-5	0
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Screening	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.2	0.98	5	6	7	5	8									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	3	1.34	2	3	4	1	5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.2	1.08	-4	-3	-2	-5	-2
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	3	1.18	2	3	4	1	5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.2	1.33	-4	-3	-2	-6	-2
Placebo (n=12)	Screening	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.3	0.75	5	5	6	4	6									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.8	1.66	1.5	2.5	4	0	5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.5	1.38	-3.5	-2.5	-1	-5	-1
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.3	1.37	1	2.5	3.5	0	4	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.9	1.44	-3.5	-3	-2.5	-5	0

Table DE1.1.1 Change from baseline of Mercury intoxication Medical Score, Medical score sum (calculated) (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Scre	ening	visit)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Screening	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	22.8	3.83	20	22	25	15	32									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	18.3	3.36	16	18	20	13	28	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-4.5	3.97	-7.5	-4	-2	-14	4
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	16.8	3.32	14	17	19	11	25	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-6	3.96	-9	-5	-3	-14	2
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Screening	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	22.2	3.54	20	21	24	15	28									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	18.6	2.57	18	19	20	14	23	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.6	3.69	-5	-2	-2	-10	2
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	16.8	2.39	15	17	19	13	20	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-5.5	3.6	-7	-5	-3	-14	-1
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Screening	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	22.5	3.62	21	22	25	15	28									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	18.7	4.43	15	17	21	14	28	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.8	3.68	-6	-4	-1	-9	4
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	17.5	4.25	14	17	20	11	25	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-5.1	3.33	-8	-5	-3	-11	1
Placebo (n=12)	Screening	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	23.5	4.5	19.5	23	26	18	32									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	17.4	3.12	15.5	17	18.5	13	25	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-6.1	4.34	-9.5	-6	-2.5	-14	0
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	16.1	3.37	13	16	19	11	21	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-7.4	4.72	-11	-9.5	-4.5	-13	2

 Table DE1.2.1
 Change from baseline of Mercury intoxication Medical Score, Match box test, sec (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Scre	ening v	isit)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Screening	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	45.1	12.43	40.5	43	46	30	90									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	56.5	7.41	52.5	57	60	40	73	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	11.4	13.77	8.5	13	18	-40	30
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	59.9	7.47	55	60	65.5	44	77	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	14.8	13.91	11	17	23	-34	32
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Screening	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	42.6	15.25	36	41	42	30	90									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	54.7	5.47	55	56	58	42	60	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	12.1	15.56	10	16	18	-34	30
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	58	6.86	56	58	62	44	70	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	15.4	15.62	15	20	23	-32	32
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Screening	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	48.8	14.21	42	43	48	41	90									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	56.1	7.19	53	56	61	40	68	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.3	16.68	8	13	16	-40	20
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	60.2	9.69	52	58	67	46	77	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	11.4	17.24	7	14	22	-34	32
Placebo (n=12)	Screening	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	44.4	5.7	40.5	43.5	47.5	37	58									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	58.9	9.2	51	59	67	45	73	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	14.5	7.65	7	13	20.5	6	28
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	61.7	5.76	56.5	61	66.5	53	70	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	17.3	7.79	12.5	17	24	4	29

 Table DE1.3.1
 Change from baseline of Mercury intoxication Medical Score, Pencil tapping test, taps (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

Table DE2.1.1Categorical summary of change from baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item 1. Excessive salivation (Full analysis
set)

		Result*			Change**	from baseline	e (Screening vi	isit)
Treatment	Visit	n	0	1	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Screening	36 (100%)	24 (66.67%)	12 (33.33%)				
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	30 (83.33%)	6 (16.67%)	36 (100%)	6 (16.67%)	30 (83.33%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	31 (86.11%)	5 (13.89%)	36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	29 (80.56%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Screening	13 (100%)	8 (61.54%)	5 (38.46%)				
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	10 (76.92%)	3 (23.08%)	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	11 (84.62%)	2 (15.38%)	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Screening	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)				
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Screening	12 (100%)	12 (100%)					
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are 0 or 1, where 1 contributes to the Medical Score Sum.

		Result*			Change**	from baseline	e (Screening vi	isit)
Treatment	Visit	n	0	1	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Screening	36 (100%)	31 (86.11%)	5 (13.89%)				
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	35 (97.22%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	34 (94.44%)	2 (5.56%)	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Screening	13 (100%)	11 (84.62%)	2 (15.38%)				
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	12 (92.31%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	12 (92.31%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Screening	11 (100%)	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)				
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	11 (100%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Screening	12 (100%)	12 (100%)					
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	

 Table DE2.2.1
 Categorical summary of change from baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item 2. Tremor at work (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are 0 or 1, where 1 contributes to the Medical Score Sum.

Table DE2.3.1Categorical summary of change from baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item 3. Sleeping problems at night (Full
analysis set)

		Result*			Change**	from baseline	(Screening visi	it)
Treatment	Visit	n	0	1	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Screening	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)				
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	25 (69.44%)	11 (30.56%)	36 (100%)	22 (61.11%)	14 (38.89%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	27 (75%)	9 (25%)	36 (100%)	24 (66.67%)	12 (33.33%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Screening	13 (100%)		13 (100%)				
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	10 (76.92%)	3 (23.08%)	13 (100%)	10 (76.92%)	3 (23.08%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	8 (61.54%)	5 (38.46%)	13 (100%)	8 (61.54%)	5 (38.46%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Screening	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)				
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	9 (81.82%)	2 (18.18%)	11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	9 (81.82%)	2 (18.18%)	11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Screening	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)				
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	10 (83.33%)	2 (16.67%)	12 (100%)	9 (75%)	3 (25%)	

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are 0 or 1, where 1 contributes to the Medical Score Sum.

Table DE2.4.1Categorical summary of change from baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item 4. Bluish discoloration of gingiva(Full analysis set)

		Result*			Change** f visit)	from baselir	ne (Screening	
Treatment	Visit	n	0	1	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Screening	36 (100%)	24 (66.67%)	12 (33.33%)				
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	26 (72.22%)	10 (27.78%)	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	24 (66.67%)	12 (33.33%)	36 (100%)		36 (100%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Screening	13 (100%)	7 (53.85%)	6 (46.15%)				
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	8 (61.54%)	5 (38.46%)	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	7 (53.85%)	6 (46.15%)	13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Screening	11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)				
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Screening	12 (100%)	10 (83.33%)	2 (16.67%)				
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	11 (91.67%)	1 (8.33%)	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	10 (83.33%)	2 (16.67%)	12 (100%)		12 (100%)	

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are 0 or 1, where 1 contributes to the Medical Score Sum.

		Result*			Change**	from baseline	e (Screening vi	sit)
Treatment	Visit	n	0	1	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Screening	36 (100%)	10 (27.78%)	26 (72.22%)				
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	11 (30.56%)	25 (69.44%)	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	29 (80.56%)	3 (8.33%)
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	14 (38.89%)	22 (61.11%)	36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	24 (66.67%)	4 (11.11%)
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Screening	13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)				
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	13 (100%)		11 (84.62%)	2 (15.38%)
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	11 (84.62%)	1 (7.69%)
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Screening	11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)				
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)	1 (9.09%)
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	6 (54.55%)	2 (18.18%)
Placebo (n=12)	Screening	12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)				
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	7 (58.33%)	1 (8.33%)

 Table DE2.5.1
 Categorical summary of change from baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item 5. Ataxia of gait (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are 0 or 1, where 1 contributes to the Medical Score Sum.

Table DE2.6.1Categorical summary of change from baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item 6. Dysdiadochokinesia (Full analysis
set)

		Result*			Change**	from baseline	e (Screening vi	sit)
Treatment	Visit	n	0	1	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Screening	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)				
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	9 (25%)	27 (75%)	36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	27 (75%)	2 (5.56%)
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	26 (72.22%)	3 (8.33%)
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Screening	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)				
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	10 (76.92%)	1 (7.69%)
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	11 (84.62%)	1 (7.69%)
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Screening	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)				
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	7 (63.64%)	1 (9.09%)
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	6 (54.55%)	2 (18.18%)
Placebo (n=12)	Screening	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)				
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)	12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are 0 or 1, where 1 contributes to the Medical Score Sum.

		Result*			Change** i	from baseline	(Screening visi	it)
Treatment	Visit	n	0	1	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Screening	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)				
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	15 (41.67%)	21 (58.33%)	36 (100%)	11 (30.56%)	25 (69.44%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	19 (52.78%)	17 (47.22%)	36 (100%)	16 (44.44%)	19 (52.78%)	1 (2.78%)
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Screening	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)				
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	7 (53.85%)	1 (7.69%)
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Screening	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)				
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Screening	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)				
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	

 Table DE2.7.1
 Categorical summary of change from baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item 7. Heel-to-chin test (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\\SAS\\SDS3\\Projects\\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\\NBMI4011 - CTI002\\Analyser\\Script\\SAS\\T_ms002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are 0 or 1, where 1 contributes to the Medical Score Sum.

		Result*			Change** from baseline (Screening visit)			
Treatment	Visit	n	0	1	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Screening	36 (100%)	35 (97.22%)	1 (2.78%)				
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	36 (100%)		36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	36 (100%)		36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Screening	13 (100%)	13 (100%)					
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Screening	11 (100%)	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)				
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	11 (100%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	11 (100%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Screening	12 (100%)	12 (100%)					
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	

 Table DE2.8.1
 Categorical summary of change from baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item 8. Proteinuria (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are 0 or 1, where 1 contributes to the Medical Score Sum.

		Result*			Change** i	from baseline	(Screening visi	it)
Treatment	Visit	n	0	1	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Screening	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)				
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	22 (61.11%)	14 (38.89%)	36 (100%)	19 (52.78%)	17 (47.22%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	23 (63.89%)	13 (36.11%)	36 (100%)	21 (58.33%)	14 (38.89%)	1 (2.78%)
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Screening	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)				
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	9 (69.23%)	4 (30.77%)	13 (100%)	8 (61.54%)	5 (38.46%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Screening	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)				
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Screening	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)				
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	9 (75%)	3 (25%)	12 (100%)	8 (66.67%)	4 (33.33%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	8 (66.67%)	4 (33.33%)	12 (100%)	8 (66.67%)	3 (25%)	1 (8.33%)

 Table DE2.9.1
 Categorical summary of change from baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item 9. Match box test (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are 0 or 1, where 1 contributes to the Medical Score Sum.

Table DE2.10.1Categorical summary of change from baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item 10. Pencil tapping test (Full analysis
set)

		Result*			Change**	from baseline	(Screening vis	it)
Treatment	Visit	n	0	1	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Screening	36 (100%)	9 (25%)	27 (75%)				
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	33 (91.67%)	3 (8.33%)	36 (100%)	24 (66.67%)	12 (33.33%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	35 (97.22%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	26 (72.22%)	10 (27.78%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Screening	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)				
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	12 (92.31%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	10 (76.92%)	3 (23.08%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	12 (92.31%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	10 (76.92%)	3 (23.08%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Screening	11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)				
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	11 (100%)		11 (100%)	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Screening	12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)				
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	11 (91.67%)	1 (8.33%)	12 (100%)	7 (58.33%)	5 (41.67%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	12 (100%)		12 (100%)	8 (66.67%)	4 (33.33%)	

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are 0 or 1, where 1 contributes to the Medical Score Sum.

			Post baseline	e score*	
Visit	Treatment	Baseline score* (Screening visit)	0	1	Total
Follow-up day 15	Total (n=36)	0	24 (100%)		24 (66.67%)
		1	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	12 (33.33%)
		Total	30 (83.33%)	6 (16.67%)	36 (100%)
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	8 (100%)		8 (61.54%)
		1	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	5 (38.46%)
		Total	10 (76.92%)	3 (23.08%)	13 (100%)
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	4 (100%)		4 (36.36%)
		1	4 (57.14%)	3 (42.86%)	7 (63.64%)
		Total	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	11 (100%)
	Placebo (n=12)	0	12 (100%)		12 (100%)
		Total	12 (100%)		12 (100%)
Follow-up day 45	Total (n=36)	0	24 (100%)		24 (66.67%)
		1	7 (58.33%)	5 (41.67%)	12 (33.33%)
		Total	31 (86.11%)	5 (13.89%)	36 (100%)
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	8 (100%)		8 (61.54%)
		1	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	5 (38.46%)
		Total	11 (84.62%)	2 (15.38%)	13 (100%)
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	4 (100%)		4 (36.36%)
		1	4 (57.14%)	3 (42.86%)	7 (63.64%)
		Total	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	11 (100%)
	Placebo (n=12)	0	12 (100%)		12 (100%)
		Total	12 (100%)		12 (100%)

Table DE3.1.1 Shift table of baseline vs. Post baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item 1. Excessive salivation (Full analysis set)

 $\label{eq:script:} \SDS-SERVER2\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS\DRUG\DEV\NBMI4011\-\CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms003.sas,\ on:\ 23MAY2016:14:00,\ by: maria.lidsell$

Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

			Post baseline	e score*	
Visit	Treatment	Baseline score* (Screening visit)	0	1	Total
Follow-up day 15	Total (n=36)	0	31 (100%)		31 (86.11%)
		1	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	5 (13.89%)
		Total	35 (97.22%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	11 (100%)		11 (84.62%)
		1	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (15.38%)
		Total	12 (92.31%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	8 (100%)		8 (72.73%)
		1	3 (100%)		3 (27.27%)
		Total	11 (100%)		11 (100%)
	Placebo (n=12)	0	12 (100%)		12 (100%)
		Total	12 (100%)		12 (100%)
Follow-up day 45	Total (n=36)	0	31 (100%)		31 (86.11%)
		1	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	5 (13.89%)
		Total	34 (94.44%)	2 (5.56%)	36 (100%)
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	11 (100%)		11 (84.62%)
		1	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (15.38%)
		Total	12 (92.31%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	8 (100%)		8 (72.73%)
		1	2 (66.67%)	1 (33.33%)	3 (27.27%)
		Total	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (100%)
	Placebo (n=12)	0	12 (100%)		12 (100%)
		Total	12 (100%)		12 (100%)

Shift table of baseline vs. Post baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item Table DE3.2.1 2. Tremor at work (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms003.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final *) Scores are 0 or 1, where 1 contributes to the Medical Score Sum.

			Post baseline	e score*	
Visit	Treatment	Baseline score* (Screening visit)	0	1	Total
Follow-up day 15	Total (n=36)	0	3 (100%)		3 (8.33%)
		1	22 (66.67%)	11 (33.33%)	33 (91.67%)
		Total	25 (69.44%)	11 (30.56%)	36 (100%)
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	1	10 (76.92%)	3 (23.08%)	13 (100%)
		Total	10 (76.92%)	3 (23.08%)	13 (100%)
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	2 (100%)		2 (18.18%)
		1	7 (77.78%)	2 (22.22%)	9 (81.82%)
		Total	9 (81.82%)	2 (18.18%)	11 (100%)
	Placebo (n=12)	0	1 (100%)		1 (8.33%)
		1	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	11 (91.67%)
		Total	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	12 (100%)
Follow-up day 45	Total (n=36)	0	3 (100%)		3 (8.33%)
		1	24 (72.73%)	9 (27.27%)	33 (91.67%)
		Total	27 (75%)	9 (25%)	36 (100%)
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	1	8 (61.54%)	5 (38.46%)	13 (100%)
		Total	8 (61.54%)	5 (38.46%)	13 (100%)
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	2 (100%)		2 (18.18%)
		1	7 (77.78%)	2 (22.22%)	9 (81.82%)
		Total	9 (81.82%)	2 (18.18%)	11 (100%)
	Placebo (n=12)	0	1 (100%)		1 (8.33%)
		1	9 (81.82%)	2 (18.18%)	11 (91.67%)
		Total	10 (83.33%)	2 (16.67%)	12 (100%)

Table DE3.3.1Shift table of baseline vs. Post baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item3. Sleeping problems at night (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms003.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final *) Scores are 0 or 1, where 1 contributes to the Medical Score Sum.

			Post baseline	e score*	core*		
Visit	Treatment	Baseline score* (Screening visit)	0	1	Total		
Follow-up day 15	Total (n=36)	0	24 (100%)		24 (66.67%)		
		1	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	12 (33.33%)		
		Total	26 (72.22%)	10 (27.78%)	36 (100%)		
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	7 (100%)		7 (53.85%)		
		1	1 (16.67%)	5 (83.33%)	6 (46.15%)		
		Total	8 (61.54%)	5 (38.46%)	13 (100%)		
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	7 (100%)		7 (63.64%)		
		1		4 (100%)	4 (36.36%)		
		Total	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	11 (100%)		
	Placebo (n=12)	0	10 (100%)		10 (83.33%)		
		1	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (16.67%)		
		Total	11 (91.67%)	1 (8.33%)	12 (100%)		
Follow-up day 45	Total (n=36)	0	24 (100%)		24 (66.67%)		
		1		12 (100%)	12 (33.33%)		
		Total	24 (66.67%)	12 (33.33%)	36 (100%)		
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	7 (100%)		7 (53.85%)		
		1		6 (100%)	6 (46.15%)		
		Total	7 (53.85%)	6 (46.15%)	13 (100%)		
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	7 (100%)		7 (63.64%)		
		1		4 (100%)	4 (36.36%)		
		Total	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	11 (100%)		
	Placebo (n=12)	0	10 (100%)		10 (83.33%)		
		1		2 (100%)	2 (16.67%)		
		Total	10 (83.33%)	2 (16.67%)	12 (100%)		

Table DE3.4.1Shift table of baseline vs. Post baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item4. Bluish discoloration of gingiva (Full analysis set)

 $\label{eq:script:} \SDS-SERVER2\SAS\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS\DRUG\DEV\NBMI4011\-\CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms003.sas,\ on:\ 23MAY2016:14:00,\ by: maria.lidsell$

Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

			Post baseline	Post baseline score*			
Visit	Treatment	Baseline score* (Screening visit)	0	1	Total		
Follow-up day 15	Total (n=36)	0	7 (70%)	3 (30%)	10 (27.78%)		
		1	4 (15.38%)	22 (84.62%)	26 (72.22%)		
		Total	11 (30.56%)	25 (69.44%)	36 (100%)		
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	4 (30.77%)		
		1		9 (100%)	9 (69.23%)		
		Total	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	13 (100%)		
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	2 (66.67%)	1 (33.33%)	3 (27.27%)		
		1	2 (25%)	6 (75%)	8 (72.73%)		
		Total	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	11 (100%)		
	Placebo (n=12)	0	3 (100%)		3 (25%)		
		1	2 (22.22%)	7 (77.78%)	9 (75%)		
		Total	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	12 (100%)		
Follow-up day 45	Total (n=36)	0	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	10 (27.78%)		
		1	8 (30.77%)	18 (69.23%)	26 (72.22%)		
		Total	14 (38.89%)	22 (61.11%)	36 (100%)		
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	4 (30.77%)		
		1	1 (11.11%)	8 (88.89%)	9 (69.23%)		
		Total	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	13 (100%)		
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	1 (33.33%)	2 (66.67%)	3 (27.27%)		
		1	3 (37.5%)	5 (62.5%)	8 (72.73%)		
		Total	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	11 (100%)		
	Placebo (n=12)	0	2 (66.67%)	1 (33.33%)	3 (25%)		
		1	4 (44.44%)	5 (55.56%)	9 (75%)		
		Total	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	12 (100%)		

Table DE3.5.1Shift table of baseline vs. Post baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item5. Ataxia of gait (Full analysis set)

 $Executed \ script: \SDS-SERVER2\SAS\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS \ DRUG \ DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms003.sas, \ on: \ 23MAY2016:14:00, \ by: \ maria.lidsell$

Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

			Post baselir	ne score*	
Visit	Treatment	Baseline score* (Screening visit)	0	1	Total
Follow-up day 15	Total (n=36)	0	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	4 (11.11%)
		1	7 (21.88%)	25 (78.13%)	32 (88.89%)
		Total	9 (25%)	27 (75%)	36 (100%)
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0		1 (100%)	1 (7.69%)
		1	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	12 (92.31%)
		Total	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	13 (100%)
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (18.18%)
		1	3 (33.33%)	6 (66.67%)	9 (81.82%)
		Total	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	11 (100%)
	Placebo (n=12)	0	1 (100%)		1 (8.33%)
		1	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	11 (91.67%)
		Total	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	12 (100%)
Follow-up day 45	Total (n=36)	0	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	4 (11.11%)
		1	7 (21.88%)	25 (78.13%)	32 (88.89%)
		Total	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	36 (100%)
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0		1 (100%)	1 (7.69%)
		1	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	12 (92.31%)
		Total	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	13 (100%)
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0		2 (100%)	2 (18.18%)
		1	3 (33.33%)	6 (66.67%)	9 (81.82%)
		Total	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	11 (100%)
	Placebo (n=12)	0	1 (100%)		1 (8.33%)
		1	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	11 (91.67%)
		Total	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)	12 (100%)

Table DE3.6.1Shift table of baseline vs. Post baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item6. Dysdiadochokinesia (Full analysis set)

 $\label{eq:script:} $$ SDS-SERVER2\\SAS\\SDS3\\Projects\\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\\NBMI4011 - CTI002\\Analyser\\Script\\SAS\\T_ms003.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell \\$

Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

			Post baseline	e score*	
Visit	Treatment	Baseline score* (Screening visit)	0	1	Total
Follow-up day 15	Total (n=36)	0	4 (100%)		4 (11.11%)
		1	11 (34.38%)	21 (65.63%)	32 (88.89%)
		Total	15 (41.67%)	21 (58.33%)	36 (100%)
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	1 (100%)		1 (7.69%)
		1	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	12 (92.31%)
		Total	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	13 (100%)
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	2 (100%)		2 (18.18%)
		1	4 (44.44%)	5 (55.56%)	9 (81.82%)
		Total	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	11 (100%)
	Placebo (n=12)	0	1 (100%)		1 (8.33%)
		1	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	11 (91.67%)
		Total	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	12 (100%)
Follow-up day 45	Total (n=36)	0	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	4 (11.11%)
		1	16 (50%)	16 (50%)	32 (88.89%)
		Total	19 (52.78%)	17 (47.22%)	36 (100%)
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0		1 (100%)	1 (7.69%)
		1	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	12 (92.31%)
		Total	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	13 (100%)
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	2 (100%)		2 (18.18%)
		1	6 (66.67%)	3 (33.33%)	9 (81.82%)
		Total	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	11 (100%)
	Placebo (n=12)	0	1 (100%)		1 (8.33%)
		1	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	11 (91.67%)
		Total	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	12 (100%)

Table DE3.7.1Shift table of baseline vs. Post baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item7. Heel-to-chin test (Full analysis set)

 $Executed \ script: \SDS-SERVER2\SAS\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS \ DRUG \ DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms003.sas, \ on: \ 23MAY2016:14:00, \ by: \ maria.lidsell$

Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

			Post baseline score*	
Visit	Treatment	Baseline score* (Screening visit)	0 1	Total
Follow-up day 15	Total (n=36)	0	35 (100%)	35 (97.22%)
		1	1 (100%)	1 (2.78%)
		Total	36 (100%)	36 (100%)
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	13 (100%)	13 (100%)
		Total	13 (100%)	13 (100%)
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	10 (100%)	10 (90.91%)
		1	1 (100%)	1 (9.09%)
		Total	11 (100%)	11 (100%)
	Placebo (n=12)	0	12 (100%)	12 (100%)
		Total	12 (100%)	12 (100%)
Follow-up day 45	Total (n=36)	0	35 (100%)	35 (97.22%)
		1	1 (100%)	1 (2.78%)
		Total	36 (100%)	36 (100%)
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	13 (100%)	13 (100%)
		Total	13 (100%)	13 (100%)
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	10 (100%)	10 (90.91%)
		1	1 (100%)	1 (9.09%)
		Total	11 (100%)	11 (100%)
	Placebo (n=12)	0	12 (100%)	12 (100%)
		Total	12 (100%)	12 (100%)

Shift table of baseline vs. Post baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item Table DE3.8.1 8. Proteinuria (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms003.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final *) Scores are 0 or 1, where 1 contributes to the Medical Score Sum.

			Post baseline score*					
Visit	Treatment	Baseline score* (Screening visit)	0	1	Total			
Follow-up day 15	Total (n=36)	0	3 (100%)	3 (100%)				
		1	19 (57.58%)	14 (42.42%)	33 (91.67%)			
		Total	22 (61.11%)	14 (38.89%)	36 (100%)			
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	1 (100%)		1 (7.69%)			
		1	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	12 (92.31%)			
		Total	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	13 (100%)			
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	1 (100%)		1 (9.09%)			
		1	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	10 (90.91%)			
		Total	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	11 (100%)			
	Placebo (n=12)	0	1 (100%)		1 (8.33%)			
		1	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	11 (91.67%)			
		Total	9 (75%)	3 (25%)	12 (100%)			
Follow-up day 45	Total (n=36)	0	2 (66.67%)	1 (33.33%)	3 (8.33%)			
		1	21 (63.64%)	12 (36.36%)	33 (91.67%)			
		Total	23 (63.89%)	13 (36.11%)	36 (100%)			
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	1 (100%)		1 (7.69%)			
		1	8 (66.67%)	4 (33.33%)	12 (92.31%)			
		Total	9 (69.23%)	4 (30.77%)	13 (100%)			
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	1 (100%)		1 (9.09%)			
		1	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	10 (90.91%)			
		Total	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	11 (100%)			
	Placebo (n=12)	0		1 (100%)	1 (8.33%)			
		1	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	11 (91.67%)			
		Total	8 (66.67%)	4 (33.33%)	12 (100%)			

Table DE3.9.1Shift table of baseline vs. Post baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item9. Match box test (Full analysis set)

 $Executed \ script: \SDS-SERVER2\SAS\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS \ DRUG \ DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms003.sas, \ on: \ 23MAY2016:14:00, \ by: \ maria.lidsell$

Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

			Post baseline score*					
Visit	Treatment	Baseline score* (Screening visit)	0	1	Total			
Follow-up day 15	Total (n=36)	0	9 (100%)		9 (25%)			
		1	24 (88.89%)	3 (11.11%)	27 (75%)			
		Total	33 (91.67%)	3 (8.33%)	36 (100%)			
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	2 (100%)		2 (15.38%)			
		1	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (84.62%)			
		Total	12 (92.31%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)			
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	3 (100%)		3 (27.27%)			
		1	7 (87.5%)	1 (12.5%)	8 (72.73%)			
		Total	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (100%)			
	Placebo (n=12)	0	4 (100%)		4 (33.33%)			
		1	7 (87.5%)	1 (12.5%)	8 (66.67%)			
		Total	11 (91.67%)	1 (8.33%)	12 (100%)			
Follow-up day 45	Total (n=36)	0	9 (100%)		9 (25%)			
		1	26 (96.3%)	1 (3.7%)	27 (75%)			
		Total	35 (97.22%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)			
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	2 (100%)		2 (15.38%)			
		1	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (84.62%)			
		Total	12 (92.31%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)			
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	3 (100%)		3 (27.27%)			
		1	8 (100%)		8 (72.73%)			
		Total	11 (100%)		11 (100%)			
	Placebo (n=12)	0	4 (100%)		4 (33.33%)			
		1	8 (100%)		8 (66.67%)			
		Total	12 (100%)		12 (100%)			

Table DE3.10.1Shift table of baseline vs. Post baseline of Mercury intoxication medical score sum - Item10. Pencil tapping test (Full analysis set)

 $Executed \ script: \SDS-SERVER2\SAS\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS \ DRUG \ DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_ms003.sas, \ on: \ 23MAY2016:14:00, \ by: \ maria.lidsell$

Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

	Result*							Change from		_		
Treatment	Visit	n	NA***	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	nmiss	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Follow-up day 15	17 (47.22%)	11 (30.56%)	1 (2.78%)	1 (2.78%)	4 (11.11%)		6 (16.67%)	11 (30.56%)	2 (5.56%)	4 (11.11%)	
	Follow-up day 45	17 (47.22%)	13 (36.11%)	2 (5.56%)	2 (5.56%)			4 (11.11%)	13 (36.11%)	4 (11.11%)		
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Follow-up day 15	6 (46.15%)	3 (23.08%)			3 (23.08%)		3 (23.08%)	3 (23.08%)		3 (23.08%)	
	Follow-up day 45	6 (46.15%)	5 (38.46%)		1 (7.69%)			1 (7.69%)	5 (38.46%)	1 (7.69%)		
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Follow-up day 15	5 (45.45%)	4 (36.36%)			1 (9.09%)		1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)		1 (9.09%)	
	Follow-up day 45	5 (45.45%)	4 (36.36%)		1 (9.09%)			1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)	1 (9.09%)		
Placebo (n=12)	Follow-up day 15	6 (50%)	4 (33.33%)	1 (8.33%)	1 (8.33%)			2 (16.67%)	4 (33.33%)	2 (16.67%)		
	Follow-up day 45	6 (50%)	4 (33.33%)	2 (16.67%)				2 (16.67%)	4 (33.33%)	2 (16.67%)		

 Table DE4.1.1
 Categorical summary of change from usual of Chronic mercury intoxication symptoms - Item 1. Metallic taste (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_mi002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ch1.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are counted according to: much better than usual=0, better than usual=0, same as usual=1, worse than usual=2, much worse than usual=3.

**) Change for chronic mercury intoxication symptoms is defined as difference from 1=same as usual.

	Result*							Change from usual**					
Treatment	Visit	n	NA***	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	nmiss	-1	0	1	
Total (n=36)	Follow-up day 15	17 (47.22%)	2 (5.56%)	3 (8.33%)	11 (30.56%)	1 (2.78%)		15 (41.67%)	2 (5.56%)	14 (38.89%)	1 (2.78%)		
	Follow-up day 45	17 (47.22%)	2 (5.56%)	4 (11.11%)	9 (25%)	1 (2.78%)	1 (2.78%)	15 (41.67%)	2 (5.56%)	13 (36.11%)	1 (2.78%)	1 (2.78%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Follow-up day 15	6 (46.15%)	1 (7.69%)		4 (30.77%)	1 (7.69%)		5 (38.46%)	1 (7.69%)	4 (30.77%)	1 (7.69%)		
	Follow-up day 45	6 (46.15%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)	3 (23.08%)		1 (7.69%)	5 (38.46%)	1 (7.69%)	4 (30.77%)		1 (7.69%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Follow-up day 15	5 (45.45%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	2 (18.18%)			4 (36.36%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)			
	Follow-up day 45	5 (45.45%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	2 (18.18%)			4 (36.36%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)			
Placebo (n=12)	Follow-up day 15	6 (50%)		1 (8.33%)	5 (41.67%)			6 (50%)		6 (50%)			
	Follow-up day 45	6 (50%)		1 (8.33%)	4 (33.33%)	1 (8.33%)		6 (50%)		5 (41.67%)	1 (8.33%)		

 Table DE4.2.1
 Categorical summary of change from usual of Chronic mercury intoxication symptoms - Item 2. Irritability (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\\SAS\\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_mi002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ch1.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are counted according to: much better than usual=0, better than usual=0, same as usual=1, worse than usual=2, much worse than usual=3.

**) Change for chronic mercury intoxication symptoms is defined as difference from 1=same as usual.

Table DE4.3.1Categorical summary of change from usual of Chronic mercury intoxication symptoms - Item 3. Social nervousness and/or withdrawal(Full analysis set)

		Result*						Change from usual**					
Treatment	Visit	n	NA***	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	nmiss	-1	0	1	
Total (n=36)	Follow-up day 15	17 (47.22%)	10 (27.78%)		4 (11.11%)	3 (8.33%)		7 (19.44%)	10 (27.78%)	4 (11.11%)	3 (8.33%)		
	Follow-up day 45	17 (47.22%)	10 (27.78%)		4 (11.11%)	3 (8.33%)		7 (19.44%)	10 (27.78%)	4 (11.11%)	3 (8.33%)		
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Follow-up day 15	6 (46.15%)	4 (30.77%)		1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)		2 (15.38%)	4 (30.77%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)		
	Follow-up day 45	6 (46.15%)	4 (30.77%)		2 (15.38%)			2 (15.38%)	4 (30.77%)	2 (15.38%)			
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Follow-up day 15	5 (45.45%)	3 (27.27%)		2 (18.18%)			2 (18.18%)	3 (27.27%)	2 (18.18%)			
	Follow-up day 45	5 (45.45%)	3 (27.27%)		2 (18.18%)			2 (18.18%)	3 (27.27%)	2 (18.18%)			
Placebo (n=12)	Follow-up day 15	6 (50%)	3 (25%)		1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)		3 (25%)	3 (25%)	1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)		
	Follow-up day 45	6 (50%)	3 (25%)			3 (25%)		3 (25%)	3 (25%)		3 (25%)		

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_mi002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ch1.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are counted according to: much better than usual=0, better than usual=0, same as usual=1, worse than usual=2, much worse than usual=3.

**) Change for chronic mercury intoxication symptoms is defined as difference from 1=same as usual.

			Change from usual**									
Treatment	Visit	n	NA***	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	nmiss	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Follow-up day 15	17 (47.22%)	7 (19.44%)		7 (19.44%)	3 (8.33%)		10 (27.78%)	7 (19.44%)	7 (19.44%)	3 (8.33%)	
	Follow-up day 45	17 (47.22%)	6 (16.67%)		6 (16.67%)	5 (13.89%)		11 (30.56%)	6 (16.67%)	6 (16.67%)	5 (13.89%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Follow-up day 15	6 (46.15%)	3 (23.08%)		2 (15.38%)	1 (7.69%)		3 (23.08%)	3 (23.08%)	2 (15.38%)	1 (7.69%)	
	Follow-up day 45	6 (46.15%)	2 (15.38%)			4 (30.77%)		4 (30.77%)	2 (15.38%)		4 (30.77%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Follow-up day 15	5 (45.45%)	2 (18.18%)		2 (18.18%)	1 (9.09%)		3 (27.27%)	2 (18.18%)	2 (18.18%)	1 (9.09%)	
	Follow-up day 45	5 (45.45%)	2 (18.18%)		2 (18.18%)	1 (9.09%)		3 (27.27%)	2 (18.18%)	2 (18.18%)	1 (9.09%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Follow-up day 15	6 (50%)	2 (16.67%)		3 (25%)	1 (8.33%)		4 (33.33%)	2 (16.67%)	3 (25%)	1 (8.33%)	
	Follow-up day 45	6 (50%)	2 (16.67%)		4 (33.33%)			4 (33.33%)	2 (16.67%)	4 (33.33%)		

Table DE4.4.1Categorical summary of change from usual of Chronic mercury intoxication symptoms - Item 4. Memory loss (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_mi002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ch1.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are counted according to: much better than usual=0, better than usual=0, same as usual=1, worse than usual=2, much worse than usual=3.

**) Change for chronic mercury intoxication symptoms is defined as difference from 1=same as usual.

		Result*						Change from	n usual**			
Treatment	Visit	n	NA***	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	nmiss	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Follow-up day 15	17 (47.22%)	6 (16.67%)		8 (22.22%)	3 (8.33%)		11 (30.56%)	6 (16.67%)	8 (22.22%)	3 (8.33%)	
	Follow-up day 45	17 (47.22%)	7 (19.44%)	3 (8.33%)	4 (11.11%)	3 (8.33%)		10 (27.78%)	7 (19.44%)	7 (19.44%)	3 (8.33%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Follow-up day 15	6 (46.15%)	4 (30.77%)		1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)		2 (15.38%)	4 (30.77%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)	
	Follow-up day 45	6 (46.15%)	5 (38.46%)		1 (7.69%)			1 (7.69%)	5 (38.46%)	1 (7.69%)		
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Follow-up day 15	5 (45.45%)	1 (9.09%)		3 (27.27%)	1 (9.09%)		4 (36.36%)	1 (9.09%)	3 (27.27%)	1 (9.09%)	
	Follow-up day 45	5 (45.45%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	2 (18.18%)			4 (36.36%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)		
Placebo (n=12)	Follow-up day 15	6 (50%)	1 (8.33%)		4 (33.33%)	1 (8.33%)		5 (41.67%)	1 (8.33%)	4 (33.33%)	1 (8.33%)	
	Follow-up day 45	6 (50%)	1 (8.33%)	1 (8.33%)	1 (8.33%)	3 (25%)		5 (41.67%)	1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)	3 (25%)	

Table DE4.5.1 Categorical summary of change from usual of Chronic mercury intoxication symptoms - Item 5. Mental fatigue (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_mi002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ch1.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are counted according to: much better than usual=0, better than usual=0, same as usual=1, worse than usual=2, much worse than usual=3.

**) Change for chronic mercury intoxication symptoms is defined as difference from 1=same as usual.

***) Missing results registered in database as 'NA'

		Result*						Change from	n usual**			
Treatment	Visit	n	NA***	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	nmiss	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Follow-up day 15	17 (47.22%)	6 (16.67%)	1 (2.78%)	9 (25%)	1 (2.78%)		11 (30.56%)	6 (16.67%)	10 (27.78%)	1 (2.78%)	
	Follow-up day 45	17 (47.22%)	7 (19.44%)	3 (8.33%)	6 (16.67%)	1 (2.78%)		10 (27.78%)	7 (19.44%)	9 (25%)	1 (2.78%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Follow-up day 15	6 (46.15%)	3 (23.08%)		3 (23.08%)			3 (23.08%)	3 (23.08%)	3 (23.08%)		
	Follow-up day 45	6 (46.15%)	4 (30.77%)		2 (15.38%)			2 (15.38%)	4 (30.77%)	2 (15.38%)		
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Follow-up day 15	5 (45.45%)	1 (9.09%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	1 (9.09%)		4 (36.36%)	1 (9.09%)	3 (27.27%)	1 (9.09%)	
	Follow-up day 45	5 (45.45%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	2 (18.18%)			4 (36.36%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)		
Placebo (n=12)	Follow-up day 15	6 (50%)	2 (16.67%)		4 (33.33%)			4 (33.33%)	2 (16.67%)	4 (33.33%)		
	Follow-up day 45	6 (50%)	2 (16.67%)	1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)	1 (8.33%)		4 (33.33%)	2 (16.67%)	3 (25%)	1 (8.33%)	

 Table DE4.6.1
 Categorical summary of change from usual of Chronic mercury intoxication symptoms - Item 6. Physical fatigue (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_mi002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ch1.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

*) Scores are counted according to: much better than usual=0, better than usual=0, same as usual=1, worse than usual=2, much worse than usual=3.

**) Change for chronic mercury intoxication symptoms is defined as difference from 1=same as usual.

***) Missing results registered in database as 'NA'

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	41.7	10.86	34	43	48	15	61									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	39	13.25	27.5	38	48.5	10	62	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.6	15.81	-11	-2.5	7	-36	30
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	36.3	16.24	27	41	50	4	60	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-5.4	19.89	-18.5	-1	7	-41	45
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	43.3	9.05	40	44	48	24	57									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	44	12.64	31	46	56	26	61	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.7	14.29	-11	-1	7	-20	30
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	39.2	16.34	33	42	51	5	58	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-4.2	20.77	-15	-2	8	-41	27
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	37.1	13.6	27	36	44	15	61									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	39.2	11.01	32	39	45	22	62	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.1	13.58	-6	0	14	-26	22
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	33.2	16.93	22	30	46	8	60	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.9	20.7	-19	-8	7	-31	45
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	44.1	9.35	36	46	50.5	31	58									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	33.5	14.54	25	31	42	10	60	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-10.6	17.35	-26.5	-8.5	-1.5	-36	23
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	36	16.37	29	39.5	48	4	54	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-8.1	19.67	-26	1	7	-38	13

Table DE5.1.1Change from baseline of NMB, Index, Tremor Index (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	175.6	12.39	170.5	179.5	182.5	143	195									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	172.1	22.82	167	179	186	96	195	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.5	24.63	-11	-1	6.5	-99	43
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	164.6	34.93	166.5	172	182.5	12	195	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-11	31.91	-13	-4	4.5	-149	25
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	176.5	10.63	177	180	182	154	188									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	178.6	15.37	177	184	188	144	195	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.2	13.02	-2	0	8	-25	31
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	158.8	51.57	165	179	186	12	195	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-17.7	44.23	-14	-1	4	-149	17
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	175.9	10.85	170	175	183	152	195									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	162.7	30.94	157	171	182	96	194	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-13.2	36.84	-17	-1	5	-99	28
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	169.8	27.49	168	177	183	90	191	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-6.1	28.57	-11	-3	8	-85	25
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	174.5	16	164	180	184	143	195									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	173.8	19.71	170	178	183.5	121	194	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.8	19.27	-14	-3	8.5	-25	43
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	166.2	14.95	159.5	168	173.5	139	193	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-8.3	16.74	-19.5	-7	-1	-37	24

Table DE5.2.1Change from baseline of NMB, Index, Sway Index (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	93	23.91	72	87.5	107	59	148									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	99.5	28.44	78	100.5	121.5	29	152	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.5	23.46	-10	7.5	23	-57	44
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	99.8	27.73	76	99	122	48	158	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.8	29.45	-11	3.5	21	-55	71
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	80.2	19.4	64	72	88	62	129									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	92.2	27.46	76	95	108	29	134	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	12	20.66	5	12	23	-34	44
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	92.5	26.68	68	92	113	48	127	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	12.4	30.26	-14	6	26	-33	64
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	105	18.05	87	105	116	80	139									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	101	31.17	80	98	129	48	144	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-4	27.31	-24	5	17	-57	33
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	105.6	28.49	77	106	128	61	152	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.6	31.11	-29	-3	20	-44	71
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	96	27.64	77	89	109	59	148									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	106.1	27.48	81	112.5	127	65	152	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.1	21.16	-3	13.5	24	-33	40
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	102.4	28.79	80.5	90	128.5	70	158	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.4	28.36	-5.5	7	17.5	-55	53

Table DE5.3.1Change from bas	eline of NMB, Index, Catsys	Index (Full analysis set)
------------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visi	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.1	0.04	0.48									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	0.5	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.04	2.96	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.51	-0.01	0.01	0.03	-0.25	2.87
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.6	1.37	0.08	0.1	0.18	0.05	5.91	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.5	1.38	0	0.02	0.09	-0.36	5.85
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.02	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.13									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.02	0.07	0.09	0.1	0.05	0.14	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.02	-0.01	0	0.02	-0.03	0.06
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.71	0.09	0.1	0.16	0.08	2.66	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.71	0.01	0.02	0.08	-0.03	2.58
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.12	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.05	0.48									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.12	0.06	0.23	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	-0.01	0.01	0.04	-0.25	0.12
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	1.1	1.86	0.07	0.1	2.25	0.05	5.91	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	1.89	-0.02	0.01	2.19	-0.36	5.85
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.04	0.19									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.4	0.85	0.07	0.105	0.18	0.04	2.96	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.85	-0.005	0.01	0.06	-0.07	2.87
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.6	1.4	0.09	0.115	0.17	0.05	4.99	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.5	1.4	-0.005	0.035	0.09	-0.07	4.9

Table DE5.4.1Change from baseline of NMB, T	Fremor test, Right hand, Tremor I	Intensity (Full analysis set)
---	-----------------------------------	-------------------------------

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visi	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	8.9	8.92	6.1	7.7	9.15	4	60									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.9	2.12	5.95	7.2	8.2	0.9	11.4	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2	9.03	-1.6	-0.5	0.5	-53.5	4.9
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.3	2.79	4.8	7.1	8.3	0.9	11.8	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.7	9.21	-2.3	-0.95	0.2	-53.5	6.1
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.4	1.26	7.2	7.7	7.9	4.5	9.4									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.7	1.65	7	7.4	8.2	5.5	11.4	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	1.85	-0.5	-0.3	0.5	-3	4.9
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.5	2.33	7	7.7	8.4	1.3	11.8	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	2.12	-0.7	-0.2	0.2	-3.2	6.1
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.2	1.81	6	7.4	8.9	4	9.6									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.8	2.02	4.8	6.7	8.4	4	10.4	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.4	1.49	-1.7	-0.5	0.8	-2.9	1.9
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.3	3.11	1.1	6.7	8.2	0.9	8.7	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.9	3.2	-3.9	-1	1	-8.5	1.7
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	12.1	15.22	5.7	8.4	10	5.2	60									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.3	2.55	5.4	6.85	8.05	0.9	8.9	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-5.8	15.14	-3.6	-1.5	-0.45	-53.5	2.5
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.8	2.66	4.65	5.85	7.9	1.1	9.4	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-6.3	15.22	-4.5	-2.1	-0.7	-53.5	4.2

 Table DE5.5.1
 Change from baseline of NMB, Tremor test, Right hand, Centre Frequency (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change from	n baseline (Vis	it day 1)						
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	26 (72.22%)	10 (27.78%)	3.1	0.82	2.7	2.9	3.4	1	5.1									
	Follow-up day 15	23 (63.89%)	13 (36.11%)	4.2	5.07	2.9	3.2	3.9	0.2	27	22 (61.11%)	14 (38.89%)	1.3	5.17	-0.3	0.25	0.9	-2.2	24.1
	Follow-up day 45	4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)	3.4	0.46	3.05	3.45	3.8	2.9	3.9	4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)	0.2	0.72	-0.35	0.4	0.8	-0.7	0.8
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	10 (76.92%)	3 (23.08%)	2.9	0.72	2.4	2.7	3.2	2.2	4.6									
	Follow-up day 15	9 (69.23%)	4 (30.77%)	2.9	0.68	2.7	2.9	2.9	2	4.4	9 (69.23%)	4 (30.77%)	0	0.52	-0.3	0	0.3	-1	0.7
	Follow-up day 45	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	3.6	0.36	3.2	3.7	3.9	3.2	3.9	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	0.3	0.87	-0.7	0.8	0.8	-0.7	0.8
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	3.2	1.28	2.7	3.2	4.2	1	5.1									
	Follow-up day 15	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	3.5	0.81	3.2	3.4	3.9	2	4.6	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	0.3	1.38	-0.5	0.5	1.2	-2.2	2.2
	Follow-up day 45	0 (0%)	11 (100%)								0 (0%)	11 (100%)							
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	9 (75%)	3 (25%)	3.2	0.43	2.9	3.2	3.4	2.4	3.7									
	Follow-up day 15	7 (58.33%)	5 (41.67%)	6.6	9.1	2.9	3.9	4.6	0.2	27	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	4.6	9.57	0	1.2	1.5	-0.3	24.1
	Follow-up day 45	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	2.9		2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	0		0	0	0	0	0

 Table DE5.6.1
 Change from baseline of NMB, Tremor test, Right hand, Standard Deviation (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	0.06	0.81	0.875	0.905	0.73	0.95									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	0.06	0.82	0.87	0.905	0.69	0.97	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.08	-0.04	-0.005	0.05	-0.22	0.16
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	0.07	0.825	0.885	0.925	0.7	0.97	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.05	0	0.065	-0.1	0.18
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	0.05	0.88	0.9	0.92	0.79	0.95									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	0.06	0.84	0.88	0.91	0.77	0.95	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.04	-0.01	0	-0.13	0.12
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	0.07	0.83	0.88	0.91	0.7	0.96	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.06	-0.03	0	-0.09	0.08
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	0.07	0.77	0.84	0.89	0.73	0.93									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	0.06	0.78	0.85	0.88	0.75	0.94	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.04	0.01	0.05	-0.07	0.1
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	0.09	0.78	0.86	0.95	0.74	0.97	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.08	-0.07	0.05	0.09	-0.1	0.17
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	0.05	0.805	0.845	0.88	0.74	0.91									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	0.08	0.825	0.86	0.915	0.69	0.97	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.1	-0.03	0.03	0.09	-0.22	0.16
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	0.06	0.845	0.89	0.925	0.78	0.97	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.005	0.025	0.095	-0.06	0.18

Table DE5.7.1	Change from baseline of NM	B, Tremor test, Right hand	, Harmonic Index (Full analysis set)
---------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------------

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	42.3	14.63	30	44.5	53.5	11	71									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	39.9	17.39	28	40	53.5	5	77	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.4	19.37	-12	1	15	-48	24
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	36.8	20.23	24.5	34.5	54.5	5	72	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-5.5	23	-23	-1.5	10	-53	38
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	45.2	13.39	38	45	54	21	71									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	45.7	19.26	29	52	56	10	77	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.5	19.97	-12	2	17	-31	24
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	41.5	18.91	28	41	55	7	72	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.8	22.76	-17	-10	11	-38	35
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	35.2	16.28	24	30	49	11	60									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	40.2	12.82	32	40	50	17	61	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	5	12.85	1	5	10	-24	24
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	29.5	19.01	7	27	49	5	57	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-5.7	25.31	-30	4	8	-53	38
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	45.8	13.07	36	49	54.5	25	68									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	33.5	17.99	26	30.5	43	5	70	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-12.3	20.93	-31	-7.5	0	-48	19
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	38.5	22.37	25.5	31	61.5	6	70	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-7.3	23	-28	-3	11.5	-42	30

Table DE5.8.1	Change from baseline of NMB,	Tremor test, Right hand,	Tremor Index (Full analysis set)
---------------	------------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------------

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.07	0.07	0.085	0.11	0.05	0.5									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	0.49	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.06	3.03	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.49	-0.01	0.005	0.02	-0.22	2.92
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.4	0.66	0.08	0.12	0.175	0.05	2.83	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.66	0	0.02	0.085	-0.37	2.71
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.05	0.15									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.02	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.13	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	-0.03	-0.01	0.01	-0.06	0.05
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.4	0.77	0.08	0.09	0.13	0.05	2.83	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.76	0	0.01	0.04	-0.03	2.71
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.13	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.06	0.5									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.28	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.01	0.01	0.02	-0.22	0.06
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.36	0.08	0.13	0.25	0.08	1.13	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	0.4	0	0.02	0.17	-0.37	1.07
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.075	0.09	0.125	0.06	0.15									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.4	0.84	0.08	0.12	0.165	0.06	3.03	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.84	0	0.01	0.04	-0.01	2.92
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.4	0.77	0.09	0.13	0.185	0.06	2.7	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.76	-0.005	0.045	0.085	-0.04	2.59

Table DE5.9.1	Change from baseline of NMB,	Tremor test, Left hand,	Tremor Intensity (Full	analysis set)
---------------	------------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	---------------

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.4	1.61	6	7.7	8.55	4.5	10.6									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	7	1.96	6	7.2	8.3	1.8	10.9	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.4	2.05	-1.7	0	1.1	-6.6	3.2
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.7	2.79	5	7	8.8	0.9	12.3	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.8	3.04	-2.3	-0.4	1.1	-7.5	6.1
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.2	1.24	6	7.2	7.9	5.5	9.6									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.4	1.33	7.2	7.4	8.2	4.3	9.4	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	1.88	-0.5	0.2	1.4	-3.6	3.2
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.6	2.77	6	6.7	7.4	0.9	12.3	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.5	3.06	-1.7	-0.3	1.2	-5.6	6.1
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.5	1.64	5.7	7.9	8.4	5	10.1									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.4	1.71	6.2	7.4	8.4	4.5	10.4	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.2	1.28	-1.3	-0.2	0.8	-2	2
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.6	2.67	4.3	7	8.9	2.6	9.9	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1	2.63	-2.6	-1.2	1	-6.1	3.9
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.6	2.01	6	7.45	9.15	4.5	10.6									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.4	2.62	4.8	6.35	7.95	1.8	10.9	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.2	2.61	-3.15	-0.85	0.75	-6.6	2.2
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.8	3.14	4.9	7.2	9.25	0.9	11.8	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.8	3.58	-3.3	0.25	1.05	-7.5	5.3

Table DE5.10.1	Change from baseline of N	MB, Tremor test, Left hand,	Centre Frequency (Full analysis set)
----------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------------------

		Result									Change from	ı baseline (Vis	it day 1)						
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	26 (72.22%)	10 (27.78%)	3.3	0.96	2.7	3.4	3.9	0.7	4.9									
	Follow-up day 15	23 (63.89%)	13 (36.11%)	3.7	0.94	3.2	3.4	4.2	1.5	5.6	22 (61.11%)	14 (38.89%)	0.3	1.27	-0.5	0.3	0.8	-2.9	2.5
	Follow-up day 45	4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)	3.6	0.48	3.2	3.45	3.95	3.2	4.2	4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)	1	0.89	0.3	1.15	1.65	-0.2	1.8
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	10 (76.92%)	3 (23.08%)	3.3	0.98	2.4	3.55	3.9	1.7	4.9									
	Follow-up day 15	9 (69.23%)	4 (30.77%)	4.1	0.59	3.4	4.2	4.4	3.2	4.9	9 (69.23%)	4 (30.77%)	0.8	1.28	0.4	0.8	1.8	-1.5	2.5
	Follow-up day 45	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	3.4	0.29	3.2	3.2	3.7	3.2	3.7	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	0.7	0.85	-0.2	0.8	1.5	-0.2	1.5
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	3.3	1.28	2.9	3.4	4.2	0.7	4.6									
	Follow-up day 15	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	3.7	1.24	3.2	3.7	4.2	1.5	5.6	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	0.4	0.96	-0.4	0	0.8	-0.5	2.2
	Follow-up day 45	0 (0%)	11 (100%)								0 (0%)	11 (100%)							
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	9 (75%)	3 (25%)	3.4	0.76	2.7	3.4	3.9	2.4	4.6									
	Follow-up day 15	7 (58.33%)	5 (41.67%)	3.1	0.8	2.9	3.2	3.4	1.7	4.4	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	-0.6	1.28	-1	-0.35	0.2	-2.9	0.7
	Follow-up day 45	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	4.2		4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	1.8		1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8

Table DE5.11.1	Change from baseline of NMI	3, Tremor test, Left hand,	Standard Deviation (F	ull analysis set)
----------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	0.05	0.79	0.83	0.86	0.75	0.97									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	0.06	0.77	0.82	0.87	0.68	0.95	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.08	-0.07	-0.005	0.05	-0.16	0.17
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	0.06	0.815	0.855	0.89	0.74	0.98	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	-0.035	0.035	0.09	-0.14	0.22
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	0.05	0.78	0.81	0.86	0.77	0.91									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	0.06	0.77	0.81	0.86	0.71	0.92	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.08	-0.08	0	0.03	-0.13	0.11
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	0.06	0.81	0.84	0.88	0.77	0.98	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	-0.04	0.04	0.1	-0.11	0.15
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	0.05	0.79	0.83	0.87	0.78	0.97									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	0.05	0.8	0.82	0.87	0.76	0.91	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.06	-0.03	0.03	-0.08	0.1
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	0.06	0.82	0.85	0.89	0.76	0.94	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.08	-0.03	0.01	0.08	-0.11	0.15
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	0.04	0.815	0.84	0.855	0.75	0.9									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	0.08	0.77	0.84	0.895	0.68	0.95	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.11	-0.08	0.01	0.075	-0.16	0.17
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	0.07	0.815	0.875	0.91	0.74	0.97	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.1	-0.04	0.045	0.09	-0.14	0.22

Table DE5.12.1 Ch	nange from baseline of NMB,	Tremor test, Left hand,	Harmonic Index (Fu	ll analysis set)
-------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------	------------------

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	41	13.47	32.5	40	51	11	65									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	37.8	13.7	28.5	36	47	11	65	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.2	18.34	-15	-5.5	4	-33	44
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	35.7	17.34	27	41	46.5	2	65	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-5.3	23.3	-24.5	-8	14	-59	51
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	41.1	14.04	31	40	51	21	64									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	41.3	12.71	32	43	51	22	65	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	19.79	-10	-3	9	-33	44
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	36.5	17.28	28	41	46	2	61	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-4.5	25.19	-18	-12	14	-59	34
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	39	16.74	28	37	51	11	65									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	38.2	13.37	30	36	46	20	64	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.8	19.41	-15	-6	19	-30	36
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	36.7	18.95	20	41	47	8	65	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.3	24.47	-25	-7	14	-29	51
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	42.7	10	36	41	50.5	26	59									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	33.6	14.98	23.5	31	43	11	65	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-9.1	15.61	-19	-9.5	-2.5	-32	29
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	33.8	17.26	20.5	39.5	47.5	3	54	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-8.9	21.61	-31	-8	13.5	-40	18

Table DE5.13.1	Change from baseline of NMB,	Tremor test, Left hand,	Tremor Index (Full analysis set)
----------------	------------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------------------

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.5	1.49	3.9	4.4	5.3	0.7	7.3									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.1	10.62	3.3	4.5	5.6	0.7	67	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	1.6	10.67	-0.9	0.2	1	-5.6	62.2
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.2	2.39	3.9	5.15	5.85	0.5	14.4	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.7	2.11	-0.75	0.3	1.7	-3.9	8.2
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.5	1.18	3.9	4.4	4.8	2.8	6.6									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	8.6	17.64	3	3.7	4.7	0.7	67	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	4	17.56	-0.8	0.1	0.3	-4.9	62.2
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.3	3.44	3.2	4.5	6	0.5	14.4	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.7	2.77	-0.7	0.3	1.6	-3.9	8.2
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.5	1.53	3.9	4.9	5.2	0.7	6.7									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.2	2.29	4	5.4	6.5	0.9	8.7	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.7	3.15	-1	0.2	1.7	-4.1	8
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.8	1.73	3.9	4.7	5.2	2.2	9.1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	1.98	-1.4	0.2	1.3	-2	4.2
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.5	1.85	3.65	4.25	5.85	0.7	7.3									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.4	1.96	3.9	4.55	5.4	0.9	8.1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	2.54	-1.1	0.5	1.45	-5.6	4
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.4	1.54	5	5.5	6.2	1.3	7.3	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	1.42	0.05	0.55	2.45	-1.5	2.7

Table DE5.14.1Change from baseline of NMB, Sway test, Mean-Sway (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.4	0.96	1.8	2.45	3	0.3	4.3									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.3	1.03	1.65	2.3	2.9	0.4	4.5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	1.29	-0.6	-0.05	0.55	-3.4	2.6
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.8	1.65	1.9	2.2	3.4	0.3	9.5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.4	1.5	-0.55	0.4	1	-2.1	6.4
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.4	0.85	1.6	2.4	2.8	1.1	4.3									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.2	1.17	1.6	2	2.7	0.4	4.4	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	1.34	-0.7	-0.1	0.2	-3.4	2.2
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.1	2.34	1.9	2.1	3.9	0.3	9.5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	2.04	-0.4	0.4	0.6	-1.2	6.4
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.5	1.01	1.8	2.6	3.1	0.4	4									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.5	0.93	1.7	2.8	3.1	0.6	3.7	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	1.26	-0.3	0.2	0.5	-2	2.6
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.4	1.15	1.8	2.2	3.1	1.2	5.2	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	1.35	-0.9	-0.3	0.6	-2.1	2.6
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.3	1.09	1.8	2.1	3	0.3	4									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.3	1.03	1.9	2.25	2.5	0.5	4.5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	1.37	-0.6	-0.05	0.85	-3.1	2.2
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.7	1.09	2.15	2.7	3.4	0.7	4.5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.4	0.77	-0.15	0.45	1.05	-0.9	1.4

Table DE5.15.1Change from baseline of NMB, Sway test, Transversal Sway (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.3	1.13	2.75	3.2	3.85	0.5	5.4									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.3	1.71	2.35	3.2	4.4	0.5	7.5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	2.12	-1.1	0.2	0.9	-4	7
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.7	1.71	2.5	3.6	4.4	0.3	9.4	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.4	1.69	-0.6	0.4	1.4	-3.6	4.6
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.3	0.99	2.5	3.1	3.7	2.1	5.4									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.7	1.36	2	2.7	3.8	0.5	5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.6	1.31	-0.6	-0.4	0.2	-3.1	0.9
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.7	2.26	2.3	3.5	4.1	0.3	9.4	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	1.83	-0.2	0.4	1	-3.6	4.6
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.3	1.18	3.1	3.2	3.8	0.5	5.3									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	4	2.05	2.4	4.1	4.9	0.6	7.5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.7	2.9	-1.5	0.4	2.3	-3.2	7
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.6	1.31	2.8	3.6	4.2	1.4	6.4	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.4	1.66	-1.7	0.7	1.8	-1.9	2.8
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.4	1.32	2.6	3.4	4.45	0.6	5.3									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.3	1.62	2.8	3.4	4.1	0.6	5.9	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	1.96	-1	0.35	0.9	-4	2.9
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.8	1.45	2.95	4.15	4.65	0.9	6	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.5	1.72	-0.5	0.35	2.15	-2.7	2.8

Table DE5.16.1Change from baseline	of NMB, Sway test, Sagittal Sway (Full analysis set)
------------------------------------	--

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	187.8	126.56	91	167	235.5	3	677									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	179.8	122.94	96	155.5	240	4	508	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-8	126.33	-48	0	71.5	-414	207
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	215.4	132.72	124.5	196.5	283	2	521	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	27.6	121.75	-24	17	120.5	-419	285
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	181.6	105.76	89	161	226	79	433									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	153	105.93	86	141	214	4	346	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-28.6	124.98	-29	-5	22	-414	97
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	212.8	164.48	102	147	300	2	514	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	31.2	91.03	-24	17	68	-87	207
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	184.9	99.44	106	179	241	5	338									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	221.5	134.96	95	212	383	9	418	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	36.6	131.69	-46	62	146	-226	207
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	211.7	136.82	132	152	256	56	521	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	26.8	125.91	-83	-22	127	-140	285
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	197.2	172.12	85.5	166.5	225.5	3	677									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	170.7	128.88	127.5	144.5	211.5	8	508	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-26.5	122.93	-111.5	-2.5	61.5	-282	143
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	221.7	97.21	188.5	241.5	283	13	350	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	24.5	153.9	-3.5	50.5	126.5	-419	142

Table DE5.17.1Change from baseline of NMB, Sway test, Sway Area (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.7	2.91	6.05	7.45	9.1	1	16.2									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.3	2.77	5.85	7.65	9.4	0.9	12.2	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.4	3.46	-0.6	0.3	1.35	-12.9	5.5
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	8.2	2.69	6.8	8.3	9.75	1.3	16	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.5	2	-0.35	0.55	1.75	-5.9	6.5
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.7	2.94	5.7	7	8.2	5.2	16.2									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.8	2.6	5.9	6.8	9	0.9	9.9	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.9	3.93	-0.4	0.1	0.9	-12.9	2.4
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.6	3.32	6.1	7.3	8.4	1.3	16	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	2.03	-0.5	0.6	1.2	-5.9	1.8
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	8	3.19	5.9	8.2	9.2	1	14									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	8.1	2.83	6.4	8.8	10.3	1.9	12.2	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	3.01	-0.6	0.5	1.4	-7.1	5.5
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	8.7	1.87	7.5	8	10.8	6.1	11.7	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.7	2.45	-1.5	0.1	2.1	-2.3	6.5
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.4	2.85	6.45	7.75	9.35	1	11.4									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	7	2.93	5.1	7.45	9.55	1.9	10.4	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.4	3.51	-1.85	0.45	1.85	-7.4	4.8
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	8.3	2.69	8	9.25	9.85	1.8	10.9	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.9	1.47	0.25	1.2	1.9	-2.1	2.8

Table DE5.18.1Change from baseline of NMB, Sw	way test, Sway Velocity (Full analysis set)
---	---

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.7	1.26	3.05	3.45	4.35	0.7	7									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.6	1.45	3.05	3.85	4.4	0.7	6.8	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	1.76	-0.9	0.3	0.95	-5.5	3.2
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.9	5.49	3.25	4.3	4.95	0.5	36	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	1.2	5.32	-0.15	0.3	0.95	-2.4	31.6
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.8	1.15	3	3.5	4.2	2.6	6.7									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.4	1.4	2.8	3.8	4.1	0.7	5.9	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.3	1.83	-0.9	0.4	0.6	-5.5	1.4
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.9	1.54	3.2	3.6	5.1	0.5	6.3	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	1.16	-0.4	0	0.3	-2.1	2.5
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.8	1.29	3.3	4.2	4.6	0.7	5.6									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	4	1.68	3.1	3.9	4.6	1.1	6.8	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	1.81	-0.9	0.1	2.2	-3.2	2.6
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.3	1.31	3.3	4.2	5	2.7	7.3	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.5	1.1	-0.1	0.2	0.8	-0.9	2.8
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.5	1.44	2.9	3.35	3.85	0.7	7									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.5	1.35	3.3	3.8	4.35	0.7	5.2	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	1.76	-1.25	0.45	1.05	-2.7	3.2
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.7	9.31	3.8	4.55	4.75	1.1	36	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.2	9.02	0.55	0.85	1.3	-2.4	31.6

Table DE5.19.1	Change from baseline of NMB	s, Sway test, Sway Intensity (Full analysis set)
	6	

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.11	-0.224	-0.0885	-0.005	-0.25	0.034									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.08	-0.063	-0.025	0.01	-0.244	0.109	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.14	-0.032	0.0635	0.2135	-0.258	0.328
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.11	-0.113	-0.037	0.0445	-0.25	0.155	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.14	-0.019	0.053	0.154	-0.26	0.291
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.1	-0.179	-0.05	-0.008	-0.25	0.026									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.07	-0.087	-0.043	-0.032	-0.212	0.076	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.13	-0.056	-0.031	0.133	-0.13	0.269
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.12	-0.049	-0.002	0.083	-0.231	0.155	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.15	-0.014	0.09	0.168	-0.223	0.291
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.12	-0.236	-0.142	0.003	-0.25	0.022									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.024	-0.009	0.028	-0.135	0.109	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.13	-0.017	0.059	0.251	-0.044	0.3
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.1	-0.145	-0.089	0.042	-0.25	0.047	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.13	-0.108	0.034	0.117	-0.114	0.27
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.11	-0.2335	-0.129	-0.05	-0.25	0.034									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.08	-0.0415	-0.0225	-0.0095	-0.244	0.078	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.17	0.036	0.112	0.2135	-0.258	0.328
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.11	-0.1075	-0.035	0.0245	-0.247	0.074	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.15	-0.0055	0.0845	0.1665	-0.26	0.278

Table DE5.20.1 C	Change from baseline of NMB,	Rhythmic test slow P/S,	, Right hand, Average	e Time (Full analysis set)
------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.033	0.0425	0.076	0	0.185									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.039	0.0525	0.084	0.008	0.166	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.031	0.0075	0.033	-0.165	0.143
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.039	0.059	0.0875	0	0.185	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.008	0.0135	0.0555	-0.151	0.137
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.086	0	0.185									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.038	0.042	0.099	0.02	0.166	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.033	-0.001	0.037	-0.165	0.116
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.039	0.066	0.101	0.028	0.185	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.025	0.024	0.061	-0.151	0.099
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.027	0.05	0.087	0	0.12									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.042	0.063	0.078	0.027	0.162	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.03	0.007	0.02	-0.076	0.143
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.039	0.055	0.088	0	0.123	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.004	0.008	0.037	-0.071	0.07
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	0.0195	0.0355	0.0535	0	0.105									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.0335	0.0525	0.0775	0.008	0.103	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.021	0.0225	0.037	-0.051	0.098
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.0375	0.054	0.0695	0.004	0.18	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.003	0.0105	0.0565	-0.084	0.137

Table DE5.21.1	Change from baseline of	NMB, Rhythmic test slow	P/S, Right hand, Standard De	viation (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	118.3	56.18	69	144.5	157	3	183									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	107.4	54.52	56	126	149.5	5	179	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-10.9	82.5	-53.5	-16	38	-166	168
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	106.4	53.1	62	118.5	151.5	4	183	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-11.9	71.65	-64.5	-15	20	-137	153
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	102.2	60.19	52	131	149	3	183									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	106.1	61.79	36	145	151	5	171	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.9	92.13	-31	1	74	-137	168
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	94.6	53.58	53	91	150	13	164	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-7.5	79.41	-58	-27	44	-115	153
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	116.2	58.29	50	132	164	20	183									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	100.4	52.91	66	99	145	6	164	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-15.8	85.11	-50	-13	40	-166	122
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	101.9	55.74	50	120	150	18	183	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-14.3	68.11	-63	-13	6	-125	124
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	137.8	47.7	121	154.5	170	30	183									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	115.3	51.27	69.5	126	156.5	32	179	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-22.5	73.34	-58	-28.5	21	-149	99
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	123.3	50.18	93	132.5	162.5	4	181	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-14.4	72.14	-70.5	-13	16.5	-137	140

Table DE5.22.1	Change from baseline of NM	B, Rhythmic test slow P/S, R	Right hand, P Index (Full analysis set)
----------------	----------------------------	------------------------------	---

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.09	-0.2055	-0.1275	-0.031	-0.25	0.019									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.106	-0.0355	-0.003	-0.232	0.108	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.12	-0.0255	0.0415	0.1725	-0.227	0.358
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.1	-0.092	-0.045	-0.005	-0.25	0.186	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.14	-0.0385	0.0555	0.174	-0.226	0.338
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.07	-0.141	-0.071	-0.054	-0.236	-0.006									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	-0.117	-0.02	0.018	-0.221	0.087	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.08	0.017	0.042	0.076	-0.064	0.228
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.1	-0.055	0.007	0.027	-0.25	0.186	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.12	0.036	0.078	0.203	-0.124	0.256
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.12	-0.25	-0.037	-0.014	-0.25	0.019									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.075	-0.039	-0.013	-0.174	0.108	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.15	-0.038	-0.011	0.237	-0.056	0.358
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.09	-0.146	-0.071	-0.031	-0.25	0.088	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.17	-0.102	-0.036	0.198	-0.226	0.338
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.2055	-0.1375	-0.055	-0.228	-0.005									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.139	-0.0365	-0.003	-0.232	0.006	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.14	-0.029	0.0915	0.1835	-0.227	0.211
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.111	-0.056	-0.0305	-0.247	0.019	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.12	-0.032	0.0305	0.1485	-0.219	0.231

Table DE5.23.1	Change from baseline of NMB,	Rhythmic test slow P/S, Left hand	l, Average Time (Full analysis set)
----------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Visit	day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.032	0.0545	0.0815	0	0.175									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.033	0.0485	0.078	0.021	0.194	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.0175	0	0.022	-0.134	0.099
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.0375	0.05	0.077	0	0.214	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.0305	0.001	0.027	-0.124	0.17
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.054	0.069	0.124	0.029	0.175									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.046	0.056	0.083	0.028	0.194	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.026	0.001	0.02	-0.134	0.087
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.047	0.051	0.087	0	0.146	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.049	-0.006	0.014	-0.124	0.055
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.02	0	0.032	0.052	0	0.07									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.029	0.043	0.079	0.021	0.143	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.021	0.009	0.06	-0.026	0.099
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.06	0.03	0.045	0.107	0	0.214	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	0.001	0.04	0.051	-0.052	0.17
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.0365	0.0675	0.085	0.024	0.121									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.033	0.05	0.0755	0.027	0.107	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.02	-0.0135	-0.003	0.0055	-0.053	0.024
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0(0%)	0	0.02	0.0365	0.0465	0.066	0.006	0.091	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	-0.034	-0.012	0.0045	-0.079	0.045

 Table DE5.24.1
 Change from baseline of NMB, Rhythmic test slow P/S, Left hand, Standard Deviation (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visi	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	99.4	50.23	58.5	105.5	142	8	171									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	100.5	44.82	62.5	116.5	140.5	5	154	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	1.2	51.75	-29.5	1.5	28	-113	121
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	101.8	46.4	65	113.5	134.5	3	171	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.5	58.07	-32	-2.5	41	-122	115
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	74.5	51.11	23	77	107	8	145									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	89.5	47.75	56	102	122	5	147	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	15	54.9	-29	0	40	-40	121
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	95.8	45.66	53	111	120	14	171	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	21.3	59.87	-14	5	55	-89	115
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	136.1	31.79	111	142	171	76	171									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	109.5	46.23	61	126	145	16	154	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-26.6	56.39	-77	-11	30	-113	43
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	98.5	59.39	33	122	145	3	171	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-37.6	49.16	-67	-40	-2	-122	60
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	92.7	46.14	54	80.5	136	25	152									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	104.3	41.5	67	113.5	140.5	34	148	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	11.7	34.96	-6.5	5.5	14.5	-44	87
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	111.5	34.82	83	119.5	136	48	167	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	18.8	47.97	-6.5	18	53.5	-76	102

Table DE5.25.1	Change from baseline of NMI	3, Rhythmic test slow P/S	, Left hand, P Index (l	Full analysis set)
----------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.07	-0.1645	-0.094	-0.069	-0.245	0.033									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.06	-0.101	-0.029	-0.007	-0.192	0.037	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.08	0.001	0.0545	0.114	-0.133	0.206
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.168	-0.0725	-0.022	-0.25	0.011	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.1	-0.0385	0.0015	0.0985	-0.203	0.189
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.06	-0.151	-0.097	-0.073	-0.207	0.012									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.132	-0.023	-0.002	-0.189	0.037	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.08	0.006	0.033	0.098	-0.133	0.172
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.09	-0.189	-0.051	-0.011	-0.25	0.011	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.11	-0.034	0.033	0.108	-0.171	0.156
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.09	-0.19	-0.095	-0.045	-0.245	0.033									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.08	-0.031	-0.003	-0.157	0.021	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.08	-0.012	0.083	0.152	-0.042	0.191
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.09	-0.183	-0.119	-0.027	-0.25	-0.004	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.1	-0.065	-0.019	0.089	-0.188	0.163
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.07	-0.161	-0.0915	-0.069	-0.228	-0.006									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.06	-0.1015	-0.029	-0.0115	-0.192	0.017	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.09	0.0025	0.049	0.1125	-0.102	0.206
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.07	-0.1215	-0.0665	-0.0285	-0.222	-0.009	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.1	-0.024	0.032	0.0945	-0.203	0.189

Table DE5.26.1Change from baseline of NMB, Rhythmic test fast P/S, Right hand, Average Time (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.0645	0.1095	0.13	0.014	0.144									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.031	0.0725	0.1085	0.013	0.137	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.0575	-0.0225	0.011	-0.105	0.081
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.0235	0.075	0.1085	0	0.142	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.0685	-0.0225	0.0045	-0.124	0.081
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.05	0.11	0.131	0.026	0.144									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.052	0.086	0.113	0.013	0.136	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.036	-0.003	0.021	-0.105	0.081
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.025	0.08	0.096	0.002	0.121	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.065	-0.024	0.013	-0.12	0.081
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.059	0.097	0.129	0.021	0.141									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.024	0.068	0.106	0.015	0.137	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.061	-0.023	0.032	-0.094	0.064
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.019	0.063	0.113	0	0.142	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.072	-0.021	0.002	-0.097	0.054
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.0735	0.11	0.1245	0.014	0.139									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.025	0.047	0.096	0.015	0.137	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.066	-0.023	0.002	-0.104	0.01
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.028	0.076	0.1115	0.01	0.132	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.065	-0.015	0.004	-0.124	0.062

 Table DE5.27.1
 Change from baseline of NMB, Rythmic test fast P/S, Right hand, Standard Deviation (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	30.2	50.91	1	3	25	1	158									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	50.3	58.59	3	17	109	1	160	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	20.1	64.05	-2.5	2	34	-102	154
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	55.2	67.13	3	15	135	1	178	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	25	75.93	-0.5	7	58.5	-124	173
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	31.3	48.82	1	3	45	1	127									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	33.7	50.83	3	9	42	1	160	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.4	67.1	-36	0	7	-102	154
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	52.4	67.34	6	12	131	2	175	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	21.1	85.2	-3	9	37	-124	173
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	31.8	55.18	1	5	31	1	143									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	50.6	62.32	4	21	133	1	156	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	18.8	70.33	-10	3	41	-100	142
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	61.6	73.32	3	25	146	1	178	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	29.8	77.71	0	11	80	-108	173
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	27.6	53.55	1.5	3.5	16	1	158									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	68.1	62.48	6.5	60.5	129.5	1	156	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	40.5	53.09	0	10.5	93	-2	134
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	52.3	66.69	3	14.5	116.5	1	165	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	24.8	69.97	-0.5	5.5	58	-105	155

Table DE5.28.1	Change from baseline of NMB	, Rhythmic test fast P/S, R	ight hand, P Index (Full analysis set)
----------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	--

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.07	-0.1355	-0.087	-0.03	-0.25	0.017									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.06	-0.1015	-0.034	-0.0075	-0.233	0.033	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	-0.018	0.0325	0.0975	-0.097	0.261
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.124	-0.0875	-0.0235	-0.25	0.014	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.11	-0.0545	-0.0055	0.0715	-0.229	0.23
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.06	-0.135	-0.109	-0.081	-0.166	0.017									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.104	-0.043	-0.026	-0.233	0.016	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.014	0.026	0.083	-0.068	0.142
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.126	-0.079	-0.043	-0.25	0.002	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.08	-0.051	-0.011	0.06	-0.146	0.137
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.09	-0.199	-0.088	-0.02	-0.244	0.009									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.101	-0.033	0.005	-0.105	0.033	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.09	0.002	0.064	0.128	-0.084	0.204
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.09	-0.205	-0.094	-0.013	-0.25	0.014	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.14	-0.104	-0.007	0.137	-0.229	0.229
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.107	-0.081	-0.03	-0.25	0.008									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.06	-0.0765	-0.034	-0.019	-0.2	0.012	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.1	-0.0305	0.0295	0.075	-0.097	0.261
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.07	-0.119	-0.094	-0.018	-0.249	-0.013	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.11	-0.039	0.0015	0.0715	-0.216	0.23

Table DE5.29.1	Change from baseline of NMB	, Rhythmic test fast P/S, Left	hand, Average Time (Full analysis set)
----------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------------	--

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Visit	day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.046	0.095	0.128	0	0.151									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.025	0.064	0.108	0.012	0.136	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.0665	-0.0035	0.0235	-0.129	0.1
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.021	0.059	0.112	0	0.155	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.051	-0.0155	0.0025	-0.12	0.1
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.103	0.12	0.131	0.019	0.138									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.03	0.066	0.11	0.017	0.135	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.071	-0.036	-0.003	-0.108	0.018
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.031	0.084	0.11	0	0.133	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.053	-0.028	-0.002	-0.12	0.057
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.025	0.077	0.095	0.021	0.121									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.019	0.062	0.123	0.015	0.136	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.062	0.009	0.032	-0.094	0.1
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.015	0.05	0.112	0	0.155	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.07	-0.006	0.014	-0.095	0.078
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.06	0.021	0.089	0.132	0	0.151									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.0245	0.0595	0.0975	0.012	0.119	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.07	0.0005	0.028	-0.129	0.07
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.025	0.056	0.113	0.003	0.127	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.041	-0.0225	0.0155	-0.086	0.1

 Table DE5.30.1
 Change from baseline of NMB, Rhythmic test fast P/S, Left hand, Standard Deviation (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	47.9	56.28	7	18.5	84	4	167									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	68.7	58.02	13	46.5	131.5	6	153	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	20.8	69.61	-10	3.5	82	-131	134
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	69.8	60.45	11	54	139.5	3	167	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	21.9	64.23	-0.5	8	52	-141	158
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	23.3	38.32	6	9	15	5	143									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	65.9	57.26	12	44	121	6	147	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	42.6	48.43	1	32	86	-5	129
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	56.5	61.33	12	26	117	6	167	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	33.2	71.36	1	7	94	-110	158
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	57.3	53.1	18	31	132	9	140									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	65.7	62.24	8	49	142	6	149	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	8.5	83.2	-45	-2	118	-131	127
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	84.4	61.91	11	69	149	3	167	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	27.1	64.45	-28	9	59	-43	149
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	65.9	68.96	6	22.5	138	4	167									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	74.5	59.66	17.5	59	133	9	153	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	8.6	75.43	-30	-1	50.5	-104	134
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	71	60.13	11	60.5	129.5	7	164	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.1	57.53	-9.5	12.5	47	-141	75

Table DE5.31.1	Change from baseline of NMB,	Rhythmic test fast P/S,	Left hand, P Index	(Full analysis set)
----------------	------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------	---------------------

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.09	-0.148	-0.061	-0.0155	-0.25	0.077									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.07	-0.0965	-0.058	-0.0125	-0.239	0.107	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	-0.026	0.008	0.0835	-0.166	0.207
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.1	-0.098	-0.0335	-0.0025	-0.25	0.177	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.13	-0.05	0.0285	0.1115	-0.281	0.265
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.07	-0.156	-0.087	-0.048	-0.23	-0.007									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.145	-0.068	-0.029	-0.239	0.016	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	-0.022	0.006	0.054	-0.155	0.207
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.13	-0.1	-0.023	0.015	-0.25	0.177	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.15	0.031	0.044	0.179	-0.243	0.265
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.1	-0.14	-0.028	0.014	-0.25	0.035									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.059	-0.017	0	-0.157	0.107	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	-0.043	0.048	0.14	-0.091	0.191
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.11	-0.212	-0.031	-0.003	-0.25	0.064	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.14	-0.066	0.008	0.07	-0.281	0.204
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.1	-0.154	-0.041	0.0065	-0.202	0.077									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.06	-0.097	-0.072	-0.0135	-0.112	0.073	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.1	-0.026	0.008	0.0835	-0.166	0.18
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	-0.0635	-0.0375	-0.0195	-0.096	0.011	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	-0.0545	0.0195	0.0965	-0.123	0.163

 Table DE5.32.1
 Change from baseline of NMB, Rhythmic test slow - Finger tapping, Right hand, Average Time (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Visit	day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.0315	0.059	0.094	0	0.196									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.038	0.044	0.085	0.025	0.193	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.02	0.0005	0.022	-0.155	0.059
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.0435	0.058	0.0815	0	0.139	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.0295	-0.0015	0.025	-0.168	0.112
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.06	0.05	0.076	0.151	0.031	0.196									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.04	0.044	0.094	0.025	0.193	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.036	-0.017	-0.001	-0.155	0.042
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.037	0.048	0.075	0	0.126	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.076	-0.025	0.002	-0.168	0.026
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.086	0	0.131									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.035	0.041	0.054	0.028	0.163	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	-0.021	0.005	0.032	-0.07	0.041
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.047	0.061	0.081	0	0.128	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.006	0	0.061	-0.086	0.079
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.0305	0.0585	0.0725	0.026	0.095									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.042	0.064	0.094	0.028	0.121	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	-0.0005	0.0095	0.033	-0.032	0.059
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.0485	0.066	0.0955	0.034	0.139	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.0165	0.008	0.0525	-0.061	0.112

 Table DE5.33.1
 Change from baseline of NMB, Rhythmic test slow - Finger tapping, Right hand, Standard Deviation (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visi	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	86.1	55.66	35.5	85.5	142	3	173									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	93	48.19	45.5	119.5	130	3	150	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.9	47.46	-20	-1.5	35.5	-95	123
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	86.8	48	49.5	88	122	12	173	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.7	78.16	-47	0.5	31.5	-137	167
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	61.4	53.21	9	57	108	3	143									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	92	52.97	36	120	127	3	150	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	30.6	48.03	0	21	42	-33	123
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	100	52.26	58	113	132	16	173	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	38.6	70.37	-7	11	98	-53	167
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	103.9	63.1	44	127	156	14	173									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	108.8	43.51	99	126	137	6	147	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.9	40.4	-22	-6	45	-47	83
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	86.2	45.7	50	81	113	15	173	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-17.7	80.46	-98	0	11	-120	129
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	96.4	44.67	62	87	143.5	35	150									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	79.6	46.46	36.5	76.5	125	18	147	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-16.8	43.41	-44	-15.5	1.5	-95	64
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	73	45.14	37	72.5	110	12	138	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-23.4	74.01	-82.5	-14	27.5	-137	103

Table DE5.34.1	Change from baseline of N	NMB, Rhythmic test slow	 Finger tapping, 	Right hand, P Index (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Visi	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.3	7.15	-0.1845	-0.0645	-0.013	-43	0.068									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.2	6.99	-0.1165	-0.0565	-0.0135	-42	0.04	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	10.15	-0.0205	0.0345	0.0795	-41.991	42.914
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.1	-0.1415	-0.0375	-0.0065	-0.25	0.191	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	1.2	7.13	-0.015	0.017	0.1015	-0.218	42.775
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.4	11.9	-0.195	-0.09	-0.035	-43	0.012									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.086	-0.063	-0.022	-0.248	0.022	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.3	11.89	-0.028	0.045	0.088	-0.075	42.914
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.1	-0.151	-0.046	-0.006	-0.225	0.135	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.3	11.85	-0.007	0.053	0.189	-0.114	42.775
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.11	-0.219	-0.046	0.008	-0.25	0.024									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.019	-0.01	0.001	-0.148	0.04	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.09	-0.018	0.051	0.107	-0.027	0.242
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.13	-0.21	-0.116	-0.007	-0.25	0.191	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.17	-0.177	0.006	0.087	-0.218	0.373
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.128	-0.0445	-0.011	-0.206	0.068									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.6	12.1	-0.128	-0.098	-0.041	-42	0.027	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.5	12.12	-0.071	0.0015	0.042	-41.991	0.112
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.065	-0.025	-0.0005	-0.215	0.017	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	0.0045	0.0205	0.078	-0.165	0.175

Table DE5.35.1Change from baseline of NMB, Rhythmic test slow - Finger tapping, Left hand, Average Time (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.039	0.0505	0.0905	0	0.165									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.037	0.054	0.085	0.005	0.163	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.0155	-0.0035	0.023	-0.126	0.103
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.0345	0.063	0.0885	0	0.142	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.0335	0.001	0.034	-0.124	0.087
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.042	0.059	0.131	0.019	0.165									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.044	0.05	0.086	0.005	0.153	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.065	0.001	0.019	-0.126	0.103
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.037	0.07	0.104	0.035	0.142	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.043	-0.004	0.025	-0.124	0.086
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	0.021	0.038	0.082	0	0.111									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.035	0.068	0.091	0.022	0.126	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.014	-0.001	0.084	-0.084	0.095
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	0.025	0.046	0.078	0	0.091	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.025	0	0.053	-0.082	0.06
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.0425	0.0545	0.073	0.03	0.143									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.037	0.054	0.0655	0.023	0.163	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.022	-0.007	0.0005	-0.053	0.099
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.033	0.0635	0.089	0.026	0.133	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.0345	0.013	0.034	-0.098	0.087

 Table DE5.36.1
 Change from baseline of NMB, Rhythmic test slow - Finger tapping, Left hand, Standard Deviation (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	82	63.22	14	86	130	0	188									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	79.8	59.05	18	71.5	135.5	1	185	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.3	80.18	-30	10.5	32.5	-176	183
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	80.6	65.87	17.5	67	145	1	188	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.4	89	-70.5	-2	55	-165	168
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	66.2	65.4	2	57	121	0	172									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	76.8	59.38	17	89	114	1	185	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.7	81.88	-16	-1	35	-105	183
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	68.7	63.39	7	37	138	1	155	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.5	96.1	-65	5	21	-165	155
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	108.6	70.26	20	133	169	6	188									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	75.3	65.99	13	38	143	3	168	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-33.4	104.93	-148	0	18	-176	154
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	101.6	70.96	25	106	164	14	188	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-7	94.51	-113	0	56	-138	168
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	74.8	49.99	32.5	70.5	118.5	1	153									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	87	56.58	45	71.5	137	1	166	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	12.3	41.69	-0.5	13.5	40.5	-79	63
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	74.3	64.74	15.5	49.5	147	2	162	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.5	83.42	-72	-19.5	72	-103	142

Table DE5.37.1	Change from baseline of NMI	B, Rhythmic test slow	- Finger tapping, I	Left hand, P Index (Full analysis set)	

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.15	-0.0865	-0.0295	-0.249	0.046									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.07	-0.1315	-0.0925	-0.033	-0.243	0.012	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	-0.0555	0	0.041	-0.241	0.154
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.158	-0.066	-0.036	-0.25	0.015	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.1	-0.0795	-0.0015	0.076	-0.239	0.179
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.157	-0.101	-0.047	-0.242	0.03									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.169	-0.091	-0.036	-0.241	-0.005	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	-0.035	0.013	0.05	-0.241	0.121
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.164	-0.089	-0.052	-0.237	0.004	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.1	-0.08	-0.012	0.074	-0.158	0.179
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.09	-0.182	-0.058	-0.011	-0.249	0.011									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.114	-0.096	-0.013	-0.243	0.012	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	-0.056	0.016	0.068	-0.156	0.154
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.09	-0.218	-0.093	-0.029	-0.25	-0.006	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.12	-0.087	0.009	0.072	-0.239	0.137
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.07	-0.123	-0.087	-0.027	-0.208	0.046									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.04	-0.1315	-0.102	-0.0735	-0.172	-0.028	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.08	-0.0705	-0.0055	0.01	-0.191	0.117
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.06	-0.0785	-0.0495	-0.036	-0.192	0.015	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.1	-0.0515	0.005	0.102	-0.166	0.157

Table DE5.38.1Change from baseline of NMB, Rhythmic test fast - Finger tapping, Right hand, Average Time (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.02	0.0475	0.083	0.002	0.113									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.022	0.0455	0.0955	0.01	0.146	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.024	-0.001	0.035	-0.097	0.115
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	0.018	0.0405	0.0795	0	0.116	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.0365	-0.0005	0.0285	-0.079	0.085
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.04	0.069	0.09	0.015	0.113									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	0.025	0.04	0.055	0.011	0.123	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.048	-0.004	0.012	-0.077	0.033
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.022	0.058	0.092	0.012	0.116	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.041	0	0.025	-0.079	0.076
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	0.018	0.039	0.082	0.002	0.111									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.014	0.026	0.101	0.012	0.146	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.031	-0.003	0.052	-0.097	0.099
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	0.013	0.026	0.074	0	0.104	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.03	-0.008	0.02	-0.06	0.072
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	0.02	0.033	0.071	0.013	0.097									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.0305	0.0805	0.0995	0.01	0.135	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.002	0.021	0.0505	-0.028	0.115
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	0.018	0.03	0.0715	0.013	0.105	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.0365	-0.001	0.038	-0.077	0.085

 Table DE5.39.1
 Change from baseline of NMB, Rhythmic test fast - Finger tapping, Right hand, Standard Deviation (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	49	57.68	2	18.5	111.5	0	174									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	53.8	59.36	1	22.5	100	0	154	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.8	63.49	-5.5	1.5	28.5	-173	143
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	60	62.7	2	29	124	0	177	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	11.1	77.8	-25	0.5	64.5	-171	167
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	30.5	48.36	1	4	31	0	138									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	54.9	58.47	11	31	83	0	152	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	24.4	50.08	-1	9	38	-58	143
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	44.6	58.95	1	9	100	0	148	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	14.1	59.51	-15	-1	25	-71	147
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	54.6	65.27	2	33	122	0	174									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	71.6	61.1	1	75	143	0	149	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	17	79.04	-5	18	65	-173	143
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	73.1	71.09	3	75	144	0	177	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	18.5	97.46	-47	1	111	-171	167
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	63.8	59.06	4.5	52.5	113.5	1	147									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	36.3	58.6	1	2.5	60	0	154	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-27.5	51.68	-68	-1	2.5	-114	25
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	64.8	60.38	4	64.5	122	0	146	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	1	81.15	-67	3	65	-113	119

 Table DE5.40.1
 Change from baseline of NMB, Rythmic test fast - Finger tapping, Right hand, P Index (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.145	-0.0835	-0.0355	-0.25	0.007									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.1355	-0.055	-0.0275	-0.245	0.015	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.08	-0.0225	0.0085	0.065	-0.196	0.162
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.8	4.32	-0.1335	-0.062	-0.0315	-26	-0.009	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.7	4.34	-0.036	0.0235	0.0835	-26.005	0.15
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.156	-0.094	-0.044	-0.25	0.007									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.09	-0.194	-0.074	-0.026	-0.245	0.009	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.08	-0.002	0.018	0.063	-0.196	0.12
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.14	-0.064	-0.029	-0.245	-0.009	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.11	-0.016	0.032	0.102	-0.187	0.148
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.09	-0.161	-0.058	-0.01	-0.248	0.005									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.08	-0.128	-0.074	-0.029	-0.245	0.015	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.08	-0.025	0.01	0.067	-0.103	0.148
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.5	7.81	-0.204	-0.132	-0.055	-26	-0.018	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.4	7.84	-0.146	-0.019	0.038	-26.005	0.15
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.06	-0.107	-0.0745	-0.0315	-0.215	-0.02									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.07	-0.094	-0.052	-0.028	-0.218	-0.003	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.08	-0.0525	0.003	0.0625	-0.113	0.162
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.03	-0.0625	-0.048	-0.03	-0.131	-0.02	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.0095	0.029	0.0575	-0.098	0.123

Table DE5.41.1 Cha	ange from baseline of NMB	, Rhythmic test fast - I	Finger tapping, Left hand, A	Average Time (Full analysis set)
--------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------	----------------------------------

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.024	0.0585	0.0825	0	0.13									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.0145	0.054	0.082	0.011	0.126	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	-0.014	-0.0025	0.0045	-0.101	0.063
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.021	0.0445	0.0845	0.011	0.136	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.0465	-0.001	0.0315	-0.107	0.086
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.027	0.069	0.08	0	0.127									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.016	0.056	0.09	0.012	0.115	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.014	-0.001	0.016	-0.068	0.054
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.026	0.048	0.082	0.013	0.115	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.065	-0.003	0.041	-0.101	0.082
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.015	0.053	0.082	0.008	0.13									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.015	0.052	0.084	0.012	0.126	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	-0.014	-0.003	0.006	-0.046	0.063
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.03	0.078	0.098	0.011	0.136	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.013	0.006	0.045	-0.042	0.086
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.024	0.0495	0.087	0.01	0.13									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	0.013	0.044	0.075	0.011	0.087	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.0135	-0.003	-0.001	-0.101	0.057
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	0.016	0.0255	0.053	0.013	0.105	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.051	-0.009	0.005	-0.107	0.061

Table DE5.42.1Change from baseline of NMB, Rhythmic test fast - Finger tapping, Left hand, Standard Deviation (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visi	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	59.5	56.95	12	30	111	2	165									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	68.4	60.04	12	36	137.5	2	145	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	8.9	54.73	-5.5	2	20.5	-133	137
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	65.2	54.15	11	51.5	120.5	2	146	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.7	77.72	-37	0	74	-153	127
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	49.8	55.8	13	20	100	2	165									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	64.2	62.62	9	33	135	3	143	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	14.4	55.56	-15	1	22	-54	130
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	61.8	52	12	45	103	3	141	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	12	90.79	-38	0	88	-153	127
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	67.6	61.98	12	37	138	2	151									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	67.1	60.86	11	39	136	2	143	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.5	62.11	-15	2	19	-133	93
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	43.1	52.35	7	14	90	2	146	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-24.5	75.68	-81	-3	8	-144	88
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	62.5	56.95	10.5	49.5	110	2	148									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	74.1	61.37	16	65.5	141.5	10	145	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	11.6	50.25	1	6	18.5	-92	137
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	89.2	52.54	40.5	106	134	5	143	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	26.7	59.8	-9.5	23	74	-93	114

Table DE5.43.1	Change from baseline of NN	MB, Rhythmic test fast	t - Finger tapping, Left han	d, P Index (Full analysis set)
----------------	----------------------------	------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------------

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.7	1.31	4.9	5.5	7.1	3.3	7.5									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.1	1.36	5	6.55	7.5	2.9	7.5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.4	1.46	-0.45	0.05	1.1	-2.8	4.2
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.4	1.16	5.45	6.65	7.5	3.8	7.5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.7	1.57	-0.3	0.3	1.5	-2	4.2
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.5	1.24	4.7	5.2	6.3	3.3	7.5									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.7	1.54	4.6	5.7	7	2.9	7.5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	1.34	-0.5	0	1.1	-2.1	2.7
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.2	1.08	5.4	6	7.5	4.4	7.5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	1.55	0	0.6	1.5	-2	4.2
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.2	1.47	5.3	6.7	7.5	3.3	7.5									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.4	1.27	4.8	6.7	7.5	4.5	7.5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	1.78	-0.8	0	0.8	-2.8	4.2
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	7	0.79	6	7.5	7.5	5.5	7.5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	1.72	0	0.4	2.1	-2	4.2
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.6	1.21	5	5.35	6.2	3.6	7.5									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.3	1.23	5.4	6.55	7.5	3.8	7.5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.7	1.32	0.1	0.6	1.2	-2.1	3.3
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.1	1.39	5	6.05	7.5	3.8	7.5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.5	1.58	-0.8	0	1.85	-1.2	3.6

Table DE5.44.1Change from baseline of NMB, Max. Frequency test P/S, Right hand, Max Frequency (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	135.4	54.76	103.5	145	187.5	23	192									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	147.2	55.72	111	179.5	192	14	192	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	11.8	66.84	-10	3	43.5	-130	169
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	161.1	43.36	142.5	181.5	192	34	192	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	25.7	66.95	-8	11.5	64	-115	169
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	125	55.88	85	128	175	23	192									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	131.8	66.2	76	153	187	14	192	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.8	63.96	-30	0	36	-127	102
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	157.7	40.9	140	166	192	62	192	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	32.7	73.06	0	14	97	-115	169
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	151	56.47	134	183	192	23	192									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	155.5	49.49	94	183	192	69	192	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.5	76.45	-51	0	9	-107	169
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	182.2	16.3	166	192	192	145	192	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	31.2	61.44	0	9	52	-47	169
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	132.3	53.41	113	136.5	171.5	30	192									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	156.3	49.31	138.5	179.5	192	34	192	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	24	64.76	6	15	53	-130	156
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	145.4	56.48	109.5	165	192	34	192	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	13.2	68.89	-33.5	0	64	-83	153

		Result									Change from	ı baseline (V	isit day	1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.2	6.47	3.8	5.1	6.45	3.2	43									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	6	1.46	4.95	6	7.5	3.3	7.5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.3	6.93	-0.2	0.7	1.85	-39.4	4.3
	Follow-up day 45	35 (97.22%)	1 (2.78%)	6	1.22	5.1	5.8	7.5	3.3	7.5	35 (97.22%)	1 (2.78%)	-0.3	6.65	-0.1	0.6	1.6	-37.5	4.3
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.5	10.73	3.6	4.8	5.1	3.2	43									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.6	1.43	4.3	5.4	7.2	3.6	7.5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.8	11.36	0.2	0.9	1.8	-39.4	4.3
	Follow-up day 45	12 (92.31%)	1 (7.69%)	5.5	1.02	4.75	5.15	6	4.4	7.5	12 (92.31%)	1 (7.69%)	-2.3	11.19	-0.35	0.2	1.4	-37.5	4.3
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.9	1.43	4.9	5.7	7.5	3.3	7.5									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.7	1.68	3.9	5.2	7.5	3.3	7.5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.2	1.63	-1.3	-0.4	1.7	-2.5	2.4
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.7	0.97	5.6	7.5	7.5	5.1	7.5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	1.36	0	0.6	1.8	-1.9	3
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.2	1.65	3.7	4.6	6.9	3.5	7.5									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.6	1.14	5.6	7.4	7.5	4.5	7.5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	1.4	1.96	0.15	0.9	3.3	-2.3	4
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6	1.39	5	6	7.5	3.3	7.5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	1.73	-0.1	0.75	1.8	-2.4	4

Table DE5.46.1	Change from baseline of NMB, Max. Freque	ency test P/S, Left hand, Max	Frequency (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	116.4	66.61	40	132	182.5	22	195									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	148.6	57.33	129	176	195	26	195	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	32.2	67.76	-5	22	67	-85	173
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	154.1	48.75	132	167.5	195	4	195	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	37.7	65.64	-1.5	12.5	86	-100	173
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	91.5	59.7	35	86	139	22	180									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	135.5	60.18	68	155	193	35	195	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	44.1	58.03	15	34	54	-33	173
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	129.9	53.16	107	139	159	4	195	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	38.5	80.18	-18	13	91	-100	173
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	151.9	54.66	125	166	195	26	195									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	136	68.33	46	145	195	26	195	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-15.9	44.39	-50	-2	29	-85	56
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	181.5	18.97	163	195	195	139	195	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	29.5	47.39	0	12	56	-32	113
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	111	74.06	37.5	96.5	190	30	195									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	174.4	34.3	160.5	194.5	195	86	195	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	63.4	74.83	1	62	133.5	-50	165
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	155.3	52.06	132	176	195	26	195	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	44.3	67.38	-6	26	84.5	-56	165

Table DE5.47.1Change from baseline of NMB, Max. Frequency test P/S, Left hand, MF Index (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change from	ı baseline (V	isit day	1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.8	0.96	8.1	8.1	8.1	3.3	8.1									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	8	1.93	8.1	8.1	8.1	5.3	18.1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	2.09	0	0	0	-2.8	10
	Follow-up day 45	35 (97.22%)	1 (2.78%)	8	0.58	8.1	8.1	8.1	5	8.1	35 (97.22%)	1 (2.78%)	0.1	1	0	0	0	-3.1	4.8
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.9	0.86	8.1	8.1	8.1	5	8.1									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.7	0.88	8.1	8.1	8.1	5.3	8.1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.2	1.32	0	0	0	-2.8	3.1
	Follow-up day 45	12 (92.31%)	1 (7.69%)	7.8	0.89	8.1	8.1	8.1	5	8.1	12 (92.31%)	1 (7.69%)	-0.3	0.89	0	0	0	-3.1	0
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	8	0.36	8.1	8.1	8.1	6.9	8.1									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.9	0.75	8.1	8.1	8.1	5.6	8.1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.39	0	0	0	-1.3	0
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	8.1	0.03	8.1	8.1	8.1	8	8.1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.33	0	0	0	0	1.1
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.6	1.4	8.1	8.1	8.1	3.3	8.1									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	8.4	3.18	7.35	8.1	8.1	5.6	18.1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.8	3.34	-0.65	0	0	-2	10
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	8	0.46	8.1	8.1	8.1	6.5	8.1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.4	1.4	0	0	0	-0.4	4.8

Table DE5.48.1Change from baseline of NMB, Max. Frequency test - Finger tapping, Right hand, Max Frequency (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	186.8	36.39	196	196	196	11	196									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	184.9	33.88	196	196	196	11	196	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.9	50.91	0	0	0	-185	185
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	186.6	37.74	196	196	196	2	196	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.2	39.47	0	0	0	-122	185
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	186.6	33.84	196	196	196	74	196									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	179.4	50.97	196	196	196	11	196	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-7.2	63.88	0	0	0	-185	122
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	171.7	61.13	196	196	196	2	196	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-14.9	37.83	0	0	0	-122	0
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	194.9	3.62	196	196	196	184	196									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	190.1	19.27	196	196	196	132	196	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-4.8	15.65	0	0	0	-52	0
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	195.9	0.3	196	196	196	195	196	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	1	3.32	0	0	0	0	11
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	179.5	53.2	196	196	196	11	196									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	186.1	20.39	186.5	196	196	132	196	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.6	58.75	-9.5	0	0	-51	185
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	194.1	6.64	196	196	196	173	196	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	14.6	53.74	0	0	0	-10	185

Table DE5.49.1Change from baseline of NMB, Max. Frequency test - Finger tapping, Right hand, MF Index (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.8	0.84	8.1	8.1	8.1	4.8	8.1									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.8	0.7	8.1	8.1	8.1	4.9	8.1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	1.17	0	0	0	-3.2	3.3
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.9	0.94	8.1	8.1	8.1	3.1	8.1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	1.19	0	0	0	-3.8	3.3
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.6	1.12	8.1	8.1	8.1	4.8	8.1									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.8	0.92	8.1	8.1	8.1	4.9	8.1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	1.56	0	0	0	-3.2	3.3
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	8	0.23	8.1	8.1	8.1	7.3	8.1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.4	1.18	0	0	0	-0.8	3.3
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	8	0.29	8.1	8.1	8.1	7.2	8.1									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	8.1	0.09	8.1	8.1	8.1	7.8	8.1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.21	0	0	0	0	0.6
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.9	0.81	8.1	8.1	8.1	5.4	8.1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.9	0	0	0	-2.7	0.9
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.7	0.86	7.55	8.1	8.1	5.3	8.1									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.7	0.73	7.5	8.1	8.1	6.1	8.1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	1.28	-0.6	0	0.55	-2	2.8
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.7	1.44	8.1	8.1	8.1	3.1	8.1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	1.46	0	0	0.05	-3.8	2.8

Table DE5.50.1Change from baseline of NMB, Max. Frequency test - Finger tapping, Left hand, Max Frequency (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	189.2	25.46	197	197	197	70	197									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	192.1	19.97	197	197	197	80	197	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.9	33.56	0	0	0	-117	127
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	189.9	32.63	197	197	197	10	197	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.7	41.48	0	0	0	-177	127
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	182.8	37.39	197	197	197	70	197									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	187.3	32.34	197	197	197	80	197	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.5	52.35	0	0	0	-117	127
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	196.5	1.39	197	197	197	192	197	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	13.7	37.6	0	0	0	-5	127
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	196.3	1.85	197	197	197	191	197									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	196.9	0.3	197	197	197	196	197	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.6	1.57	0	0	0	0	5
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	191.2	19.3	197	197	197	133	197	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-5.1	19.62	0	0	0	-64	6
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	189.5	20.9	193.5	197	197	124	197									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	192.8	8.76	192.5	197	197	169	197	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.3	24.14	-4.5	0	3.5	-28	73
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	181.4	53.98	197	197	197	10	197	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-8.1	57.15	0	0	0	-177	73

Table DE5.51.1Change from baseline of NMB, Max. Frequency test - Finger tapping, Left hand, MF Index (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	om baseli	ne (Visit	day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.06	0.2235	0.2445	0.259	0.205	0.552									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	0.04	0.224	0.236	0.266	0.18	0.354	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.024	-0.009	0.0245	-0.29	0.065
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.03	0.2325	0.252	0.2745	0.194	0.366	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.017	0.0035	0.03	-0.334	0.116
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.09	0.23	0.25	0.281	0.205	0.552									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.05	0.23	0.254	0.313	0.183	0.354	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	-0.022	0.014	0.055	-0.29	0.065
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.04	0.235	0.253	0.277	0.218	0.366	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.11	-0.014	-0.008	0.026	-0.334	0.116
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	0.04	0.215	0.238	0.245	0.21	0.363									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	0.03	0.224	0.254	0.28	0.183	0.296	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.021	0.01	0.033	-0.067	0.065
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.03	0.242	0.252	0.272	0.194	0.284	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.016	0.018	0.035	-0.083	0.046
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	0.03	0.224	0.255	0.2575	0.208	0.291									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	0.02	0.213	0.231	0.2345	0.18	0.26	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	-0.035	-0.0205	-0.0065	-0.078	0.024
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	0.03	0.212	0.246	0.274	0.203	0.296	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	-0.024	0	0.018	-0.045	0.061

Table DE5.52.1Change from baseline of NMB, Reaction time, Right hand, Average Time (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.0355	0.041	0.054	0.02	0.332									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.0295	0.038	0.0625	0.011	0.173	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.012	-0.004	0.011	-0.264	0.139
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.0305	0.0385	0.0665	0.014	0.313	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.0125	-0.0015	0.016	-0.297	0.261
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.08	0.034	0.039	0.052	0.023	0.332									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.034	0.055	0.106	0.011	0.173	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	-0.003	0.004	0.051	-0.264	0.139
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.08	0.033	0.035	0.047	0.014	0.313	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.12	-0.01	-0.002	0.016	-0.297	0.261
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.02	0.029	0.039	0.047	0.02	0.096									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	0.024	0.029	0.077	0.017	0.164	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	-0.02	-0.009	0.006	-0.023	0.068
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	0.03	0.038	0.057	0.02	0.113	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.018	-0.009	0.024	-0.058	0.082
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.068	0.03	0.095									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.01	0.032	0.0455	0.0505	0.016	0.06	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.02	-0.0205	-0.0095	-0.004	-0.079	0.022
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.03	0.0275	0.06	0.079	0.018	0.109	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.02	-0.0115	0.001	0.0165	-0.031	0.037

Table DE5.53.1	Change from baseline	of NMB, Reaction time, Right ha	and, Standard Deviation (Full analysis set)
----------------	----------------------	---------------------------------	---

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	49.3	34.32	27	40.5	73	0	118									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	54.7	43.96	22.5	52	72	2	159	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.4	42.97	-24	10.5	27	-76	131
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	43.2	34.57	17.5	33	56.5	1	139	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-6.1	43.68	-33	-2.5	13.5	-85	84
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	44.5	37.73	15	34	60	0	118									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	41.2	43.75	6	31	61	2	155	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.2	32.26	-28	-2	21	-56	37
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	34.1	24.6	16	32	52	1	84	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-10.4	45.05	-33	2	13	-85	84
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	55.5	32.06	40	49	91	1	104									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	47.2	42.51	15	31	72	10	155	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-8.4	48.75	-42	-10	12	-76	102
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	41.5	35.98	19	33	44	14	139	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-14	33.43	-33	-12	14	-74	35
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	48.8	34.55	28.5	30	72	11	111									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	76.2	40.55	54	59.5	98	26	159	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	27.3	42.16	12.5	25.5	37	-53	131
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	54.5	41.54	18	39	100.5	10	122	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.7	51.05	-30.5	1	52.5	-70	75

Table DE5.54.1	Change from baseline of	NMB, Reaction time,	Right hand, RT Index	(Full analysis set)
----------------	-------------------------	---------------------	----------------------	---------------------

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	0.03	0.226	0.242	0.2625	0.181	0.366									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.07	0.2315	0.2495	0.279	0.02	0.514	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.0235	0.0065	0.0485	-0.207	0.29
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.04	0.234	0.2575	0.2975	0.198	0.388	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.0135	0.0125	0.055	-0.075	0.128
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	0.03	0.224	0.244	0.26	0.183	0.299									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.08	0.241	0.277	0.298	0.207	0.514	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.09	-0.004	0.013	0.053	-0.063	0.29
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.04	0.238	0.266	0.281	0.215	0.388	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.007	0.016	0.066	-0.075	0.128
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.04	0.231	0.246	0.269	0.21	0.366									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.03	0.239	0.265	0.273	0.197	0.3	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.025	0.015	0.048	-0.085	0.061
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.05	0.23	0.238	0.305	0.217	0.331	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.029	0.01	0.049	-0.047	0.098
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	0.03	0.219	0.236	0.2585	0.181	0.284									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.2	0.07	0.227	0.2325	0.2385	0.02	0.334	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.0235	-0.007	0.018	-0.207	0.054
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.05	0.23	0.2455	0.2875	0.198	0.36	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.0075	0.0125	0.0565	-0.043	0.123

Table DE5.55.1	Change from baseline of NM	B, Reaction time, Left	hand, Average Time	(Full analysis set)
----------------	----------------------------	------------------------	--------------------	---------------------

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visit	day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.02	0.026	0.0335	0.048	0.016	0.103									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.07	0.0315	0.043	0.0525	0.018	0.43	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.07	-0.002	0.007	0.0215	-0.054	0.387
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.0345	0.045	0.0685	0.014	0.199	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.05	-0.0065	0.011	0.0285	-0.053	0.173
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.02	0.026	0.03	0.041	0.022	0.079									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.11	0.045	0.051	0.067	0.018	0.43	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.11	-0.001	0.025	0.029	-0.033	0.387
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.05	0.031	0.04	0.065	0.014	0.199	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.06	-0.016	0.009	0.028	-0.048	0.173
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	0.023	0.032	0.05	0.02	0.103									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	0.027	0.037	0.05	0.018	0.137	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.002	0.005	0.013	-0.054	0.113
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.02	0.036	0.045	0.072	0.022	0.082	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.03	-0.004	0.013	0.024	-0.053	0.058
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.02	0.0305	0.0355	0.05	0.016	0.073									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.02	0.031	0.036	0.047	0.027	0.094	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.01	-0.0065	0.001	0.0115	-0.02	0.021
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0.1	0.04	0.033	0.0495	0.0755	0.024	0.177	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.04	-0.005	0.0095	0.033	-0.018	0.143

Table DE5.56.1 Change from baseline of NMB, Reaction time, Left hand, Standard Deviation (Full analysis set)	Table DE5.56.1	Change from baseline of NMB, Reaction time, Left hand, Standard Deviation (Full analysis set)
--	----------------	---

		Result									Change fro	m baseli	ne (Visi	t day 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	52.6	38.63	24.5	43.5	68.5	1	158									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	43.4	35.4	15.5	35	58	0	145	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-9.2	49.53	-34	-4	18	-147	113
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	36.5	31.59	9.5	28.5	54.5	1	131	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-16.1	47.39	-40	-14.5	6.5	-140	86
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	51.8	39.71	27	42	71	9	155									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	32.8	34.07	9	16	45	0	112	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-18.9	58.57	-45	-10	8	-147	85
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	30.1	25.22	15	22	48	1	92	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-21.7	51.43	-33	-14	6	-140	81
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	42.5	29.73	21	39	59	1	107									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	38.7	36.17	18	23	47	9	134	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.7	52.07	-33	-12	23	-88	113
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	37.8	31.62	8	49	61	4	87	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-4.6	35.93	-34	-5	25	-55	54
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	62.8	44.82	27	51	85	14	158									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	59.2	33.29	47.5	56.5	66	3	145	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.6	37.7	-12	10.5	18	-97	33
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	42.3	38.52	13	39.5	62	2	131	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-20.4	53.82	-55.5	-18.5	6	-118	86

Table DE5.57.1	Change from baseline of NMI	3, Reaction time, Left l	hand, RT Index (Fu	ll analysis set)
----------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------	------------------

Total (n=36) Visit day 2 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 13 0.17 13 13 12 13 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 0.17 0			Result									Change fro	om usual	*						
Nisitady36100%0.0%1.33.01.51.31.31.536100%0.0%1.73.052.50.0.01.0Visitady436100%0.0%0.0%0.0%1.32.730.01.31.31.31.31.436100%0.0%0.0%1.73.052.50.00.00.00.0Visitady536100%0.0% <t< th=""><th>Treatment</th><th>Visit</th><th>n</th><th>nmiss</th><th>Mean</th><th>SD</th><th>Q1</th><th>Median</th><th>Q3</th><th>Min</th><th>Max</th><th>n</th><th>nmiss</th><th>Mean</th><th>SD</th><th>Q1</th><th>Median</th><th>Q3</th><th>Min</th><th>Max</th></t<>	Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Visit day 36 (100%) 0.06 1.3 2.73 0.0 1.3 2.73 1.0 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 3.6 1.00 0.06 1.7 2.73 3.5 0.0 0.07 Visit day 5 36 (100%) 0.06% 1.03 3.67 9 1.2 1.3 0 1.4 36 (100%) 0.08 4.4 -1 0 -13 Visit day 6 36 (100%) 0.09 9.8 3.91 7 1.2 1.3 0 1.5 36 (100%) 0.09 -7 -3 0 -3 Visit day 7 36 (100%) 0.09 9.0 3.62 9 1.1 1.3 3 1.6 36 (100%) 0.09 -7 -3 0 -3 Visit day 10 36 (100%) 0.09 9.7 3.11 7.5 1.1 1.3 36 (100%) 0.09 -3.5 -3.5 -3.5 -3.5 -3.5 -3.5 -3.5 -3.5 -3	Total (n=36)	Visit day 2	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	13	0.17	13	13	13	12	13	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.17	0	0	0	-1	0
Nisit day 36 (1000) 0 (00) 10.9 10.9 12 13 0 13 36 (1000) 0 (00) 2.1 4 -1 0 13 Visit day 36 (1000) 0 (00) 0 (00) 0 (00) 2.6 3.6 4 -1 0 -13 Visit day 36 (1000) 0 (00) 0 (00) 0.000 3.2 3.0 6 -10 13 0 13 36 (1000) 0.000 -7 -3 0 -13 Visit day 36 (1000) 0 (00) 0.00 9.1 3.01 6 10 13 0 13 36 (1000) 0.00 -7 -3.0 0 -3.0 Visit day 36 (1000) 0.000 9.0 3.2 9 11 13 0 14 36 (1000) 0.00 -3.0 3.1 -5.5 -0 0 13 Visit day 11 36 (1000) 0.000 9.0 9.0 1.0 13 36 (1000		Visit day 3	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	11.3	3.05	10.5	13	13	3	15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.7	3.05	-2.5	0	0	-10	2
Visit day 6 36 (100%) 0(0%) 0.0% 3.67 9 1 1 36 (100%) 0(0%) 9.8 3.91 7 12 13 0 15 36 (100%) 0(0%) 9.8 3.91 7 12 13 0 15 36 (100%) 0(0%) 9.1 3.91 6 1 0 1.3 Visit day 8 36 (100%) 0(0%) 9.0 3.48 7 11 13 3 16 36 (100%) 0.00 3.48 6 -2 0 1 Visit day 10 36 (100%) 0(0%) 9.7 3.11 7.5 11 13.5 0 13 36 (100%) 0.0% 3.3 8.1 0 13 36 (100%) 0.0% 3.3 8.1 0 13 36 (100%) 0.0% 3.3 8.1 0 13 36 (100%) 0.0% 3.3 8.1 13 13 36 (100%) 0.0% 3.3 8.5 3.1 3 13		Visit day 4	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	11.3	2.73	10	13	13	3	14	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.7	2.73	-3	0	0	-10	1
Nisit day 7 36 (100%) 0.0% 9.8 3.9 7 12 13 0 15 36 (100%) 0.0% 4.0 1.0 1.1 Visit day 8 36 (100%) 0.0% 9.1 3.91 6 10 13 3 16 36 (100%) 0.0% 4.0 1.0 1.0 Visit day 9 36 (100%) 0.0% 9.0 3.62 9 11 13 0 14 36 (100%) 0.0% 4.0 2.0 0 13 Visit day 1 36 (100%) 0.0% 9.2 3.62 9 11 13 0 14 36 (100%) 0.0% 4.0 1.3 1.0 1.3 36 (100%) 0.0% 3.1 7.3 1.1 1.2 5 1.1 36 (100%) 0.0% 3.2 4.0 1.3 36 (100%) 0.0% 3.3 8 1.1 1.3 3.1 3.6 (100%) 0.0% 3.3 8.0 1.3 3.1 3.6 (100%) 0.0%		Visit day 5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.9	2.94	9	12	13	0	13	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.1	2.94	-4	-1	0	-13	0
Nisi day 8 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.1 3.91 6 10 13 0 13 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 3.91 6 0 13 Visit day 9 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 3.60 0.0% 3.48 7 1 13 3 16 36 (100%) 0.0% 3.48 7 0 1 Visit day 10 36 (100%) 0.0% 9.7 3.1 7.5 1 12.5 0 13 36 (100%) 0.0% 3.4 7.5 1.3 Visit day 10 36 (100% 0.0% 9.7 3.1 7.5 1 12.5 0 13 36 (100%) 0.0 3.3 8.0 1.3 Visit day 12 36 (100% 0.0% 8.3 4.6 9.5 1.3 1.4 36 (100%) 0.0% 3.3 8.0 1.3 Visit day 13 36 (100% 0.0% 7.7 4.6 9.5 1.1 3.6 1.4 36 (100%) 0.6		Visit day 6	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.4	3.67	9	12	13	0	14	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.6	3.67	-4	-1	0	-13	1
Visit day 9 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 10 3.48 7 11 13 3 16 36 (100%) 0 (0%) -2 0 -10 Visit day 10 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.9 3.62 9 11 13 0 14 36 (100%) 0 (0%) -3.1 3.62 4 -2 0 -13 Visit day 11 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.7 3.11 7.5 11 12.5 0 13 36 (100%) 0.0% -3.3 3.11 -5.5 -2 -0.5 -13 Visit day 12 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 8.3 4.63 4 9.5 13 0 14 36 (100%) 0.0% -3.5 0 -13 Visit day 13 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 7.7 4.62 5 8 12 0 14 36 (100%) 0.0 0.5 3.6 -5 -1 -13 NBMI100mg (n=13) Visit day 2 13 (100%) 0		Visit day 7	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	9.8	3.91	7	12	13	0	15	36 (100%)	0(0%)	-3.2	3.91	-6	-1	0	-13	2
Visit day 10 36 (100%) 0(0%) 9.9 3.62 9 11 13 0 14 36 (100%) 0(0%) -2 0 -13 Visit day 11 36 (100%) 0(0%) 9.7 3.11 7.5 11 12.5 0 13 36 (100%) 0(0%) -5.5 -2 -0.5 -13 Visit day 12 36 (100%) 0(0%) 9.8 3.8 8 10 13 0 14 36 (100%) 0(0%) -5.5 -3.0 0 -13 Visit day 13 36 (100%) 0(0%) 8.3 4.63 4 9.5 13 0 14 36 (100%) 0(0%) -3.5 0 -13 Visit day 13 36 (100%) 0(0%) 7.7 4.62 5 8 12 0 14 36 (100%) 0(0%) -5.5 -5.5 -5.5 -5.5 -5.5 -5.5 -5.5 -5.5 -5.5 -5.5 -5.5 -5.5 -5.5 -5.5		Visit day 8	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	9.1	3.91	6	10	13	0	13	36 (100%)	0(0%)	-3.9	3.91	-7	-3	0	-13	0
Visit day 11 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.7 3.11 7.5 11 12.5 0 13 36 (100%) 0 (0%) -3.3 3.11 -5.5 -2 -0.5 -13 Visit day 12 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.8 3.38 8 10 13 0 13 36 (100%) 0 (0%) -3.3 3.11 -5.5 -2 -0.5 -13 Visit day 13 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 8.3 4.63 4 9.5 13 0 14 36 (100%) 0 (0%) -3.5 0 -13 Visit day 13 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 7.7 4.62 5 8 12 0 14 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 0 -1 -1 NBMI100mg (n=13) Visit day 2 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 1.5 7.6 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13		Visit day 9	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	10	3.48	7	11	13	3	16	36 (100%)	0(0%)	-3	3.48	-6	-2	0	-10	3
Visit day 12 36 (100%) 0.0%) 9.8 3.38 8 10 13 0 13 36 (100%) 0.0%) -3.2 3.38 -5 -3 0 -13 Visit day 13 36 (100%) 0.0%) 8.3 4.63 4 9.5 13 0 14 36 (100%) 0.0%) 4.63 -3.5 0 -13 Follow-up day 15 36 (100%) 0.0%) 7.7 4.62 5 8 12 0 14 36 (100%) 0.0%) 4.6 -5 -1 -13 NBMI100mg (n=13) Visit day 2 13 (100%) 0.0% 7.7 4.62 5 8 12 0 14 36 (100%) 0.0% 4.6 -5 -5 -1 -13 NBMI100mg (n=13) Visit day 2 13 (100%) 0.0% 13 0 13 13 13 13 (100%) 0.0% 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0<		Visit day 10	36 (100%)	0(0%)	9.9	3.62	9	11	13	0	14	36 (100%)	0(0%)	-3.1	3.62	-4	-2	0	-13	1
Visit day 13 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 8.3 4.63 4 9.5 13 0 14 36 (100%) 0 (0%) -3.5 0 -13 Follow-up day 15 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 7.8 4.64 4.5 9.5 11.5 0 13 36 (100%) 0 (0%) -5.3 4.64 -8.5 -3.5 -1.5 -1.3 Follow-up day 45 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 7.7 4.62 5 8 12 0 14 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 0.0 0		Visit day 11	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	9.7	3.11	7.5	11	12.5	0	13	36 (100%)	0(0%)	-3.3	3.11	-5.5	-2	-0.5	-13	0
Follow-up day 15 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 7.8 4.64 4.5 9.5 11.5 0 13 36 (100%) 0 (0%) -1.5 -1.5 -1.3 Follow-up day 45 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 7.7 4.62 5 8 12 0 14 36 (100%) 0 (0%) -5.3 4.62 -8.5 -5.5 -1 -1.3 NBMI100mg (n=13) Visit day 2 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 13.6 0 13 13 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 0 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13		Visit day 12	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	9.8	3.38	8	10	13	0	13	36 (100%)	0(0%)	-3.2	3.38	-5	-3	0	-13	0
Follow-up day 45 36 (100%) 0 (0%) 7.7 4.62 5 8 12 0 14 36 (100%) 0 (0%) -5.3 4.62 -8 -5 -1 -13 NBMI100mg (n=13) Visit day 2 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 13 0 13 13 13 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 0		Visit day 13	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	8.3	4.63	4	9.5	13	0	14	36 (100%)	0(0%)	-4.7	4.63	-9	-3.5	0	-13	1
NBMI100mg (n=13) Visit day 2 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 13 0 13 13 13 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 0 <td></td> <td>Follow-up day 15</td> <td>36 (100%)</td> <td>0 (0%)</td> <td>7.8</td> <td>4.64</td> <td>4.5</td> <td>9.5</td> <td>11.5</td> <td>0</td> <td>13</td> <td>36 (100%)</td> <td>0(0%)</td> <td>-5.3</td> <td>4.64</td> <td>-8.5</td> <td>-3.5</td> <td>-1.5</td> <td>-13</td> <td>0</td>		Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.8	4.64	4.5	9.5	11.5	0	13	36 (100%)	0(0%)	-5.3	4.64	-8.5	-3.5	-1.5	-13	0
Visit day 3 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 10.6 3.57 8 13 13 4 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.4 3.57 -5 0 0 -9 Visit day 4 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 11.5 1.76 10 13 13 9 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -1.5 1.76 -3 0 0 -4 Visit day 5 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 10.3 3.61 9 10 13 0 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.7 3.61 -4 -3 0 -13 Visit day 6 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.5 4.37 7 11 13 0 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.7 3.61 -4 -3 0 -13 Visit day 6 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.5 4.37 7 11 13 0 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.8 3.95 -4 -1 0 -13 Visit day 7 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.1 4.37 6		Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.7	4.62	5	8	12	0	14	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-5.3	4.62	-8	-5	-1	-13	1
Visit day 4 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 11.5 1.76 10 13 13 9 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -1.5 1.76 -3 0 -4 Visit day 5 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 10.3 3.61 9 10 13 0 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.7 3.61 -4 -3 0 -13 Visit day 6 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.5 4.37 7 11 13 0 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.7 3.61 -4 -3 0 -13 Visit day 6 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.5 4.37 7 11 13 0 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.7 3.61 -4 -3 0 -13 Visit day 7 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.5 4.37 6 11 13 2 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.8 3.95 -4 -1 0 -13 Visit day 8 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.1 4.37 6 11 <td>NBMI100mg (n=13)</td> <td>Visit day 2</td> <td>13 (100%)</td> <td>0(0%)</td> <td>13</td> <td>0</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> <td>13 (100%)</td> <td>0(0%)</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)	0(0%)	13	0	13	13	13	13	13	13 (100%)	0(0%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Visit day 5 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 10.3 3.61 9 10 13 0 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.7 3.61 -4 -3 0 -13 Visit day 6 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.5 4.37 7 11 13 0 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -3.5 4.37 -6 -2 0 -13 Visit day 7 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 10.2 3.95 9 12 13 0 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.8 3.95 -4 -1 0 -13 Visit day 8 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.1 4.37 6 11 13 2 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.8 3.95 -4 -1 0 -13 Visit day 8 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.1 4.37 6 11 13 2 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -7 -2 0 -11 Visit day 9 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 10.5 3.64 9 11 13		Visit day 3	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.6	3.57	8	13	13	4	13	13 (100%)	0(0%)	-2.4	3.57	-5	0	0	-9	0
Visit day 6 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.5 4.37 7 11 13 0 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -3.5 4.37 -6 -2 0 -13 Visit day 7 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 10.2 3.95 9 12 13 0 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -4 -1 0 -13 Visit day 8 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.1 4.37 6 11 13 2 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -3.9 4.37 -7 -2 0 -11 Visit day 9 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 10.5 3.64 9 11 13 3 15 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.5 3.64 -4 -2 0 -10 Visit day 10 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.7 4.48 9 11 13 0 14 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -3.3 4.48 -4 -2 0 -13		Visit day 4	13 (100%)	0(0%)	11.5	1.76	10	13	13	9	13	13 (100%)	0(0%)	-1.5	1.76	-3	0	0	-4	0
Visit day 7 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 10.2 3.95 9 12 13 0 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.8 3.95 -4 -1 0 -13 Visit day 8 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.1 4.37 6 11 13 2 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -3.9 4.37 -7 -2 0 -11 Visit day 9 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 10.5 3.64 9 11 13 3 15 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.5 3.64 -4 -2 0 -10 Visit day 10 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.7 4.48 9 11 13 0 14 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -3.3 4.48 -4 -2 0 -13		Visit day 5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.3	3.61	9	10	13	0	13	13 (100%)	0(0%)	-2.7	3.61	-4	-3	0	-13	0
Visit day 8 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.1 4.37 6 11 13 2 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -3.9 4.37 -7 -2 0 -11 Visit day 9 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 10.5 3.64 9 11 13 3 15 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.5 3.64 -4 -2 0 -10 Visit day 10 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.7 4.48 9 11 13 0 14 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -3.3 4.48 -4 -2 0 -13		Visit day 6	13 (100%)	0(0%)	9.5	4.37	7	11	13	0	13	13 (100%)	0(0%)	-3.5	4.37	-6	-2	0	-13	0
Visit day 9 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 10.5 3.64 9 11 13 3 15 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.5 3.64 -4 -2 0 -10 Visit day 10 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.7 4.48 9 11 13 0 14 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -3.3 4.48 -4 -2 0 -13		Visit day 7	13 (100%)	0(0%)	10.2	3.95	9	12	13	0	13	13 (100%)	0(0%)	-2.8	3.95	-4	-1	0	-13	0
Visit day 10 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 9.7 4.48 9 11 13 0 14 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -3.3 4.48 -4 -2 0 -13		Visit day 8	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	9.1	4.37	6	11	13	2	13	13 (100%)	0(0%)	-3.9	4.37	-7	-2	0	-11	0
		Visit day 9	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.5	3.64	9	11	13	3	15	13 (100%)	0(0%)	-2.5	3.64	-4	-2	0	-10	2
Visit day 11 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 10.2 2.24 8 11 12 7 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.8 2.24 -5 -2 -1 -6		Visit day 10	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	9.7	4.48	9	11	13	0	14	13 (100%)	0(0%)	-3.3	4.48	-4	-2	0	-13	1
		Visit day 11	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.2	2.24	8	11	12	7	13	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.8	2.24	-5	-2	-1	-6	0
Visit day 12 13 (100%) 0 (0%) 10.4 2.02 9 10 13 8 13 13 (100%) 0 (0%) -2.6 2.02 -4 -3 0 -5		Visit day 12	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.4	2.02	9	10	13	8	13	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.6	2.02	-4	-3	0	-5	0

Table DE6.1.1Change from usual of Total Fatigue Score Sum (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	om usual'	k						
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
	Visit day 13	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	8.8	4.67	8	9	13	0	14	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-4.2	4.67	-5	-4	0	-13	1
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0(0%)	7.2	4.95	4	8	11	0	13	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-5.8	4.95	-9	-5	-2	-13	0
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	8	5	4	8	12	0	14	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-5	5	-9	-5	-1	-13	1
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)	0(0%)	12.9	0.3	13	13	13	12	13	11 (100%)	0(0%)	-0.1	0.3	0	0	0	-1	0
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	11.6	1.96	10	13	13	7	13	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.4	1.96	-3	0	0	-6	0
	Visit day 4	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.7	3.93	10	13	13	3	13	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.3	3.93	-3	0	0	-10	0
	Visit day 5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	11.4	1.69	10	12	13	8	13	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.6	1.69	-3	-1	0	-5	0
	Visit day 6	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.8	3.63	9	12	13	1	14	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.2	3.63	-4	-1	0	-12	1
	Visit day 7	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	9.2	3.92	7	11	12	0	13	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.8	3.92	-6	-2	-1	-13	0
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	9.1	3.3	6	10	12	3	13	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.9	3.3	-7	-3	-1	-10	0
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	9.5	4.01	6	11	12	3	16	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.5	4.01	-7	-2	-1	-10	3
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	9.5	2.84	7	9	12	5	13	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.5	2.84	-6	-4	-1	-8	0
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	8.2	3.82	6	9	11	0	12	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-4.8	3.82	-7	-4	-2	-13	-1
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	9	4.4	7	11	12	0	13	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-4	4.4	-6	-2	-1	-13	0
	Visit day 13	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.5	4.76	2	8	11	0	12	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-6.5	4.76	-11	-5	-2	-13	-1
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.3	4.63	2	7	10	0	12	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-6.7	4.63	-11	-6	-3	-13	-1
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.8	4.6	0	7	9	0	13	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-7.2	4.6	-13	-6	-4	-13	0
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	13	0	13	13	13	13	13	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	11.8	3.35	13	13	13	3	15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.2	3.35	0	0	0	-10	2
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	11.5	2.43	10.5	13	13	6	14	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.5	2.43	-2.5	0	0	-7	1
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	11.1	3.18	9.5	13	13	4	13	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.9	3.18	-3.5	0	0	-9	0
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	11	2.92	9.5	13	13	5	13	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2	2.92	-3.5	0	0	-8	0
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	10	4.13	6.5	12.5	13	2	15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3	4.13	-6.5	-0.5	0	-11	2
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	9.3	4.22	6	10	13	0	13	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.8	4.22	-7	-3	0	-13	0
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.1	3	8.5	10.5	13	4	13	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.9	3	-4.5	-2.5	0	-9	0
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.3	3.47	9	11	13	1	13	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.7	3.47	-4	-2	0	-12	0
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.6	2.94	8	12.5	13	6	13	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.4	2.94	-5	-0.5	0	-7	0

		Result									Change fro	om usual'	k						
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	10	3.62	6.5	11.5	13	3	13	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3	3.62	-6.5	-1.5	0	-10	0
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	9.3	4.41	6.5	10.5	13	0	13	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.8	4.41	-6.5	-2.5	0	-13	0
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	9.7	3.98	7	11	13	0	13	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.3	3.98	-6	-2	0	-13	0
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	9.1	3.94	6.5	9.5	12.5	0	13	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.9	3.94	-6.5	-3.5	-0.5	-13	0

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_fs002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ph3.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final *) Change for physical and mental fatigue score is defined as difference from 1=same as usual.

		Result									Change fro	om usual'	*						
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 2	36 (100%)	0(0%)	8	0.17	8	8	8	7	8	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0.17	0	0	0	-1	0
	Visit day 3	36 (100%)	0(0%)	6.8	2.24	5.5	8	8	0	10	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.2	2.24	-2.5	0	0	-8	2
	Visit day 4	36 (100%)	0(0%)	6.7	2.07	6	8	8	0	9	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.3	2.07	-2	0	0	-8	1
	Visit day 5	36 (100%)	0(0%)	6.4	2.09	5	7.5	8	0	8	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.6	2.09	-3	-0.5	0	-8	0
	Visit day 6	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.1	2.33	5	7	8	0	8	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.9	2.33	-3	-1	0	-8	0
	Visit day 7	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.8	2.62	4	7	8	0	10	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.3	2.62	-4	-1	0	-8	2
	Visit day 8	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.3	2.47	3	5	8	0	8	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.7	2.47	-5	-3	0	-8	0
	Visit day 9	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.9	2.41	4	6	8	1	11	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.1	2.41	-4	-2	0	-7	3
	Visit day 10	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.6	2.52	4	6	8	0	9	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.4	2.52	-4	-2	0	-8	1
	Visit day 11	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.4	2.34	4	6	8	0	8	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.6	2.34	-4	-2	0	-8	0
	Visit day 12	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.8	2.21	5	6	8	0	8	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.2	2.21	-3	-2	0	-8	0
	Visit day 13	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.6	3	1.5	5	8	0	9	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.4	3	-6.5	-3	0	-8	1
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.4	2.8	2.5	5	6.5	0	8	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.6	2.8	-5.5	-3	-1.5	-8	0
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.4	2.98	2.5	4	7	0	9	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.6	2.98	-5.5	-4	-1	-8	1
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	8	0	8	8	8	8	8	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Visit day 3	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.4	2.47	5	8	8	1	8	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.6	2.47	-3	0	0	-7	0
	Visit day 4	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.8	1.48	6	8	8	4	8	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.2	1.48	-2	0	0	-4	0
	Visit day 5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.2	2.38	5	7	8	0	8	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.8	2.38	-3	-1	0	-8	0
	Visit day 6	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.8	2.59	5	7	8	0	8	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.2	2.59	-3	-1	0	-8	0
	Visit day 7	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.9	2.6	5	7	8	0	8	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.1	2.6	-3	-1	0	-8	0
	Visit day 8	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.3	2.69	2	6	8	1	8	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.7	2.69	-6	-2	0	-7	0
	Visit day 9	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.2	2.35	5	6	8	2	10	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.8	2.35	-3	-2	0	-6	2
	Visit day 10	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.5	2.93	4	6	8	0	9	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.5	2.93	-4	-2	0	-8	1
	Visit day 11	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.8	1.86	5	6	8	2	8	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.2	1.86	-3	-2	0	-6	0
	Visit day 12	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.1	1.5	5	5	8	4	8	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.9	1.5	-3	-3	0	-4	0

Table DE6.2.1Change from usual of Physical Fatigue Score, Total (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	om usual ^a	*						
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
	Visit day 13	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.1	2.96	4	5	8	0	9	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.9	2.96	-4	-3	0	-8	1
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.2	3	2	4	6	0	8	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.8	3	-6	-4	-2	-8	0
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.3	3.33	2	4	7	0	9	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.7	3.33	-6	-4	-1	-8	1
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.9	0.3	8	8	8	7	8	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.1	0.3	0	0	0	-1	0
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.8	1.54	5	8	8	4	8	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.2	1.54	-3	0	0	-4	0
	Visit day 4	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.2	2.96	5	8	8	0	8	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.8	2.96	-3	0	0	-8	0
	Visit day 5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.5	1.57	5	7	8	4	8	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.5	1.57	-3	-1	0	-4	0
	Visit day 6	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.2	2.44	5	7	8	0	8	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.8	2.44	-3	-1	0	-8	0
	Visit day 7	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.2	2.64	3	6	7	0	8	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.8	2.64	-5	-2	-1	-8	0
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.2	2.27	3	5	7	2	8	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.8	2.27	-5	-3	-1	-6	0
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.5	2.94	3	7	7	1	11	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.5	2.94	-5	-1	-1	-7	3
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.1	2.26	3	5	7	2	8	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.9	2.26	-5	-3	-1	-6	0
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.2	2.6	2	5	7	0	7	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.8	2.6	-6	-3	-1	-8	-1
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.2	2.86	2	6	7	0	8	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.8	2.86	-6	-2	-1	-8	0
	Visit day 13	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.2	2.89	0	3	6	0	7	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-4.8	2.89	-8	-5	-2	-8	-1
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0(0%)	3.5	2.66	1	3	6	0	7	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-4.5	2.66	-7	-5	-2	-8	-1
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.1	2.7	0	3	5	0	8	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-4.9	2.7	-8	-5	-3	-8	0
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	8	0	8	8	8	8	8	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.2	2.62	8	8	8	0	10	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.8	2.62	0	0	0	-8	2
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.1	1.68	6.5	8	8	3	9	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.9	1.68	-1.5	0	0	-5	1
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.5	2.32	4.5	8	8	2	8	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.5	2.32	-3.5	0	0	-6	0
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.5	2.07	5	8	8	2	8	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.5	2.07	-3	0	0	-6	0
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.1	2.78	3.5	7.5	8	1	10	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.9	2.78	-4.5	-0.5	0	-7	2
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.4	2.61	4	5	8	0	8	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.6	2.61	-4	-3	0	-8	0
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.8	2.08	4.5	6	8	2	8	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.2	2.08	-3.5	-2	0	-6	0
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6	2.41	5	6.5	8	0	8	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2	2.41	-3	-1.5	0	-8	0
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.2	2.25	4.5	7.5	8	2	8	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.8	2.25	-3.5	-0.5	0	-6	0

		Result									Change fro	om usual ^a	*						
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6	2.26	4.5	6.5	8	2	8	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2	2.26	-3.5	-1.5	0	-6	0
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.3	2.93	3	6	8	0	8	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.7	2.93	-5	-2	0	-8	0
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.6	2.5	4	6	8	0	8	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.4	2.5	-4	-2	0	-8	0
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	5.6	2.5	4	6	8	0	8	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.4	2.5	-4	-2	0	-8	0

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_fs002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ph3.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final *) Change for physical and mental fatigue score is defined as difference from 1=same as usual.

		Result									Change fro	om usual'	*						
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 2	36 (100%)	0(0%)	5	0	5	5	5	5	5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Visit day 3	36 (100%)	0(0%)	4.6	0.94	5	5	5	2	5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.4	0.94	0	0	0	-3	0
	Visit day 4	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.6	0.97	5	5	5	1	5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.4	0.97	0	0	0	-4	0
	Visit day 5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.5	1.08	4.5	5	5	0	5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.5	1.08	-0.5	0	0	-5	0
	Visit day 6	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.3	1.48	4	5	5	0	6	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.8	1.48	-1	0	0	-5	1
	Visit day 7	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.1	1.47	3	5	5	0	5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.9	1.47	-2	0	0	-5	0
	Visit day 8	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.8	1.65	3	5	5	0	5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.2	1.65	-2	0	0	-5	0
	Visit day 9	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.1	1.25	3	5	5	1	5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.9	1.25	-2	0	0	-4	0
	Visit day 10	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.3	1.33	4	5	5	0	5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.7	1.33	-1	0	0	-5	0
	Visit day 11	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.3	1.16	4	5	5	0	5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.7	1.16	-1	0	0	-5	0
	Visit day 12	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.1	1.39	3	5	5	0	5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.9	1.39	-2	0	0	-5	0
	Visit day 13	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.7	1.82	2.5	5	5	0	5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.3	1.82	-2.5	0	0	-5	0
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.3	1.91	2	4	5	0	5	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.7	1.91	-3	-1	0	-5	0
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.3	1.91	2	4	5	0	6	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.7	1.91	-3	-1	0	-5	1
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	5	0	5	5	5	5	5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Visit day 3	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.2	1.24	3	5	5	2	5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.8	1.24	-2	0	0	-3	0
	Visit day 4	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.8	0.6	5	5	5	3	5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.2	0.6	0	0	0	-2	0
	Visit day 5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.2	1.46	4	5	5	0	5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.8	1.46	-1	0	0	-5	0
	Visit day 6	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.7	1.89	3	5	5	0	5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.3	1.89	-2	0	0	-5	0
	Visit day 7	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.2	1.48	4	5	5	0	5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.8	1.48	-1	0	0	-5	0
	Visit day 8	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.8	1.83	4	5	5	0	5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.2	1.83	-1	0	0	-5	0
	Visit day 9	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.2	1.48	4	5	5	1	5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.8	1.48	-1	0	0	-4	0
	Visit day 10	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.2	1.68	4	5	5	0	5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.8	1.68	-1	0	0	-5	0
	Visit day 11	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.4	0.96	4	5	5	2	5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.6	0.96	-1	0	0	-3	0
	Visit day 12	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.3	0.85	4	5	5	3	5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.7	0.85	-1	0	0	-2	0

Table DE6.3.1Change from usual of Mental Fatigue Score, Total (Full analysis set)

		Result									Change fro	om usual'	k						
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
	Visit day 13	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.8	1.92	3	5	5	0	5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.2	1.92	-2	0	0	-5	0
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	3	2	2	4	5	0	5	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2	2	-3	-1	0	-5	0
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.7	1.89	2	5	5	0	6	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.3	1.89	-3	0	0	-5	1
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	5	0	5	5	5	5	5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.8	0.6	5	5	5	3	5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.2	0.6	0	0	0	-2	0
	Visit day 4	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.5	1.04	5	5	5	2	5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.5	1.04	0	0	0	-3	0
	Visit day 5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.8	0.4	5	5	5	4	5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.2	0.4	0	0	0	-1	0
	Visit day 6	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.6	1.29	5	5	5	1	6	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.4	1.29	0	0	0	-4	1
	Visit day 7	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	4	1.55	3	5	5	0	5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1	1.55	-2	0	0	-5	0
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.9	1.3	3	4	5	1	5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.1	1.3	-2	-1	0	-4	0
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.9	1.14	3	4	5	2	5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.1	1.14	-2	-1	0	-3	0
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.5	1.04	4	5	5	2	5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.5	1.04	-1	0	0	-3	0
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	4	1.61	4	5	5	0	5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1	1.61	-1	0	0	-5	0
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.8	1.78	3	5	5	0	5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.2	1.78	-2	0	0	-5	0
	Visit day 13	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.4	2.01	1	5	5	0	5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.6	2.01	-4	0	0	-5	0
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.8	2.04	1	4	5	0	5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.2	2.04	-4	-1	0	-5	0
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.7	2.05	0	4	4	0	5	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-2.3	2.05	-5	-1	-1	-5	0
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	5	0	5	5	5	5	5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.7	0.78	5	5	5	3	5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.3	0.78	0	0	0	-2	0
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.4	1.24	4.5	5	5	1	5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.6	1.24	-0.5	0	0	-4	0
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.6	1	5	5	5	2	5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.4	1	0	0	0	-3	0
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.5	1	4.5	5	5	2	5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.5	1	-0.5	0	0	-3	0
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.9	1.51	2.5	5	5	1	5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.1	1.51	-2.5	0	0	-4	0
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.8	1.85	2.5	5	5	0	5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.2	1.85	-2.5	0	0	-5	0
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.3	1.14	3	5	5	2	5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.8	1.14	-2	0	0	-3	0
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.3	1.23	4	5	5	1	5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.7	1.23	-1	0	0	-4	0
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.4	0.9	3.5	5	5	3	5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.6	0.9	-1.5	0	0	-2	0

		Result									Change fro	m usual*	k						
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	4	1.54	2.5	5	5	1	5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1	1.54	-2.5	0	0	-4	0
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.9	1.62	3	5	5	0	5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.1	1.62	-2	0	0	-5	0
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	4.1	1.56	3	5	5	0	5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.9	1.56	-2	0	0	-5	0
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	3.5	1.83	2	4.5	5	0	5	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.5	1.83	-3	-0.5	0	-5	0

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_fs002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ph3.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final *) Change for physical and mental fatigue score is defined as difference from 1=same as usual.

		Result*					Change fro	m usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Visit day 2	36 (100%)			36 (100%)		36 (100%)		36 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	6 (16.67%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Visit day 4	36 (100%)		8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Visit day 5	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	8 (22.22%)	26 (72.22%)		36 (100%)	10 (27.78%)	26 (72.22%)	
	Visit day 6	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	7 (19.44%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Visit day 7	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	9 (25%)	24 (66.67%)	2 (5.56%)	36 (100%)	10 (27.78%)	24 (66.67%)	2 (5.56%
	Visit day 8	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	12 (33.33%)	21 (58.33%)		36 (100%)	15 (41.67%)	21 (58.33%)	
	Visit day 9	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	11 (30.56%)	24 (66.67%)		36 (100%)	12 (33.33%)	24 (66.67%)	
	Visit day 10	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	10 (27.78%)	23 (63.89%)		36 (100%)	13 (36.11%)	23 (63.89%)	
	Visit day 11	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	7 (19.44%)	26 (72.22%)		36 (100%)	10 (27.78%)	26 (72.22%)	
	Visit day 12	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	8 (22.22%)	25 (69.44%)		36 (100%)	11 (30.56%)	25 (69.44%)	
	Visit day 13	36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	9 (25%)	19 (52.78%)		36 (100%)	17 (47.22%)	19 (52.78%)	
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	15 (41.67%)	14 (38.89%)		36 (100%)	22 (61.11%)	14 (38.89%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	15 (41.67%)	16 (44.44%)		36 (100%)	20 (55.56%)	16 (44.44%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	2 (15.38%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 4	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 5	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	2 (15.38%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 6	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 7	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 8	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	3 (23.08%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Visit day 9	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 10	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	2 (15.38%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Visit day 11	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	

Table DE7.1.1Categorical summary of change from usual of Physical and mental fatigue score item - Physical Fatigue Score, tired (Full analysis set)

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 12	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 13	13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	2 (15.38%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	3 (23.08%)	5 (38.46%)		13 (100%)	8 (61.54%)	5 (38.46%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	4 (30.77%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 4	11 (100%)		3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 5	11 (100%)		3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 6	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 7	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	3 (27.27%)	6 (54.55%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)	1 (9.09%)
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)		5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	3 (27.27%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	3 (27.27%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Visit day 13	11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	3 (27.27%)	5 (45.45%)		11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	6 (54.55%)	3 (27.27%)		11 (100%)	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	7 (63.64%)	3 (27.27%)		11 (100%)	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	3 (25%)	8 (66.67%)		12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)	
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)		5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)		12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)		4 (33.33%)	7 (58.33%)	1 (8.33%)	12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	7 (58.33%)	1 (8.33%)
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	4 (33.33%)	6 (50%)		12 (100%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)		5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)		12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	

		Result*					Change fro	m usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)		4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)		12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)	
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	4 (33.33%)	7 (58.33%)		12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	4 (33.33%)	7 (58.33%)		12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)		6 (50%)	6 (50%)		12 (100%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	4 (33.33%)	6 (50%)		12 (100%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_fs003.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_ph3.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final *) Scores are counted according to: much better than usual=0, better than usual=0, same as usual=1, worse than usual=2, much worse than usual=3. **) Change for physical and mental fatigue score is defined as difference from 1=same as usual.

Table DE7.2.1Categorical summary of change from usual of Physical and mental fatigue score item - Physical Fatigue Score, need to rest (Full
analysis set)

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Visit day 2	36 (100%)		1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)		36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)	
	Visit day 3	36 (100%)		7 (19.44%)	28 (77.78%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	28 (77.78%)	1 (2.78%)
	Visit day 4	36 (100%)		8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Visit day 5	36 (100%)		5 (13.89%)	31 (86.11%)		36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	31 (86.11%)	
	Visit day 6	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	6 (16.67%)	29 (80.56%)		36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	29 (80.56%)	
	Visit day 7	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	11 (30.56%)	23 (63.89%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	12 (33.33%)	23 (63.89%)	1 (2.78%)
	Visit day 8	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	9 (25%)	25 (69.44%)		36 (100%)	11 (30.56%)	25 (69.44%)	
	Visit day 9	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	9 (25%)	25 (69.44%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	10 (27.78%)	25 (69.44%)	1 (2.78%)
	Visit day 10	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	7 (19.44%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Visit day 11	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	5 (13.89%)	29 (80.56%)		36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	29 (80.56%)	
	Visit day 12	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	6 (16.67%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Visit day 13	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	11 (30.56%)	21 (58.33%)		36 (100%)	15 (41.67%)	21 (58.33%)	
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	9 (25%)	23 (63.89%)		36 (100%)	13 (36.11%)	23 (63.89%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	8 (22.22%)	21 (58.33%)	2 (5.56%)	36 (100%)	13 (36.11%)	21 (58.33%)	2 (5.56%)
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	13 (100%)		3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 4	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 5	13 (100%)		3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 6	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	2 (15.38%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 7	13 (100%)		3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 8	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	3 (23.08%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Visit day 9	13 (100%)		3 (23.08%)	9 (69.23%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	9 (69.23%)	1 (7.69%)
	Visit day 10	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	2 (15.38%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 11	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 12	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 13	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	3 (23.08%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	2 (15.38%)	8 (61.54%)		13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	3 (23.08%)	6 (46.15%)	2 (15.38%)	13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	6 (46.15%)	2 (15.38%)
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)		3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 4	11 (100%)		4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Visit day 5	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 6	11 (100%)		2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 7	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	3 (27.27%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)		3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)		4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)		3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	3 (27.27%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 13	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	4 (36.36%)	5 (45.45%)		11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)		5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	4 (36.36%)	5 (45.45%)		11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)	1 (8.33%)	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)	1 (8.33%)
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)		5 (41.67%)	6 (50%)	1 (8.33%)	12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	6 (50%)	1 (8.33%)
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	3 (25%)	8 (66.67%)		12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)	

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	4 (33.33%)	7 (58.33%)		12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	

Table DE7.3.1Categorical summary of change from usual of Physical and mental fatigue score item - Physical Fatigue Score, feel sleepy or drowsy(Full analysis set)

Treatment Total (n=36)	Visit Visit day 2 Visit day 3	n 36 (100%)	much better than usual	better than		worse				
Total (n=36)	•	26 (100%)		usual	same as usual	than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 3	30 (100%)			36 (100%)		36 (100%)		36 (100%)	
	visit day 5	36 (100%)		4 (11.11%)	31 (86.11%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	31 (86.11%)	1 (2.78%)
	Visit day 4	36 (100%)		4 (11.11%)	30 (83.33%)	2 (5.56%)	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	30 (83.33%)	2 (5.56%)
	Visit day 5	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	4 (11.11%)	30 (83.33%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	30 (83.33%)	1 (2.78%)
	Visit day 6	36 (100%)		7 (19.44%)	29 (80.56%)		36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	29 (80.56%)	
	Visit day 7	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	8 (22.22%)	27 (75%)		36 (100%)	9 (25%)	27 (75%)	
	Visit day 8	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	7 (19.44%)	26 (72.22%)		36 (100%)	10 (27.78%)	26 (72.22%)	
	Visit day 9	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	7 (19.44%)	26 (72.22%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	9 (25%)	26 (72.22%)	1 (2.78%)
	Visit day 10	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	8 (22.22%)	24 (66.67%)	2 (5.56%)	36 (100%)	10 (27.78%)	24 (66.67%)	2 (5.56%)
	Visit day 11	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	8 (22.22%)	24 (66.67%)		36 (100%)	12 (33.33%)	24 (66.67%)	
	Visit day 12	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	8 (22.22%)	25 (69.44%)		36 (100%)	11 (30.56%)	25 (69.44%)	
	Visit day 13	36 (100%)	6 (16.67%)	10 (27.78%)	19 (52.78%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	16 (44.44%)	19 (52.78%)	1 (2.78%)
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	12 (33.33%)	20 (55.56%)		36 (100%)	16 (44.44%)	20 (55.56%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	6 (16.67%)	13 (36.11%)	17 (47.22%)		36 (100%)	19 (52.78%)	17 (47.22%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 4	13 (100%)		1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)		13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	
	Visit day 5	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)	10 (76.92%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	10 (76.92%)	1 (7.69%)
	Visit day 6	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 7	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 8	13 (100%)		3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 9	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	2 (15.38%)	9 (69.23%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	9 (69.23%)	1 (7.69%)
	Visit day 10	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	3 (23.08%)	8 (61.54%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	8 (61.54%)	1 (7.69%)

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 11	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	2 (15.38%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Visit day 12	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	3 (23.08%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Visit day 13	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	3 (23.08%)	7 (53.85%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	7 (53.85%)	1 (7.69%)
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	3 (23.08%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	4 (30.77%)	8 (61.54%)		13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 4	11 (100%)		2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)	1 (9.09%)
	Visit day 5	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 6	11 (100%)		4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Visit day 7	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	3 (27.27%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)	5 (45.45%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	5 (45.45%)	1 (9.09%)
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	3 (27.27%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	2 (18.18%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Visit day 13	11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	4 (36.36%)	4 (36.36%)		11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	6 (54.55%)	4 (36.36%)		11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	5 (45.45%)	2 (18.18%)		11 (100%)	9 (81.82%)	2 (18.18%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)	1 (8.33%)	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)	1 (8.33%)
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)	1 (8.33%)	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)	1 (8.33%)
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	1 (8.33%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	

		Result*					Change fro	m usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	3 (25%)	8 (66.67%)		12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)	
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	4 (33.33%)	7 (58.33%)		12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	

Table DE7.4.1Categorical summary of change from usual of Physical and mental fatigue score item - Physical Fatigue Score, no longer startanything (Full analysis set)

		Result*					Change fro	m usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Visit day 2	36 (100%)			36 (100%)		36 (100%)		36 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	36 (100%)		3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)		36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 4	36 (100%)		2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)		36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)	
	Visit day 5	36 (100%)		2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)		36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)	
	Visit day 6	36 (100%)		5 (13.89%)	31 (86.11%)		36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	31 (86.11%)	
	Visit day 7	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	5 (13.89%)	30 (83.33%)		36 (100%)	6 (16.67%)	30 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 8	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	3 (8.33%)	31 (86.11%)		36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	31 (86.11%)	
	Visit day 9	36 (100%)		3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)		36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 10	36 (100%)		5 (13.89%)	31 (86.11%)		36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	31 (86.11%)	
	Visit day 11	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	3 (8.33%)	32 (88.89%)		36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)	
	Visit day 12	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)		34 (94.44%)		36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)	
	Visit day 13	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	5 (13.89%)	27 (75%)		36 (100%)	9 (25%)	27 (75%)	
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	4 (11.11%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	8 (22.22%)	24 (66.67%)		36 (100%)	12 (33.33%)	24 (66.67%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 4	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 5	13 (100%)		1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)		13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	
	Visit day 6	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 7	13 (100%)		3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 8	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 9	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 10	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 11	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 12	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 13	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	1 (7.69%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	1 (7.69%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 4	11 (100%)		2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 5	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 6	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 7	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	1 (9.09%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)		2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)		2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)		9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 13	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	3 (27.27%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	2 (18.18%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	5 (45.45%)	5 (45.45%)		11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	1 (8.33%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	

Table DE7.5.1Categorical summary of change from usual of Physical and mental fatigue score item - Physical Fatigue Score, always lack energy(Full analysis set)

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Visit day 2	36 (100%)			36 (100%)		36 (100%)		36 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	11 (30.56%)	24 (66.67%)		36 (100%)	12 (33.33%)	24 (66.67%)	
	Visit day 4	36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	8 (22.22%)	23 (63.89%)		36 (100%)	13 (36.11%)	23 (63.89%)	
	Visit day 5	36 (100%)	6 (16.67%)	8 (22.22%)	22 (61.11%)		36 (100%)	14 (38.89%)	22 (61.11%)	
	Visit day 6	36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	10 (27.78%)	19 (52.78%)		36 (100%)	17 (47.22%)	19 (52.78%)	
	Visit day 7	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	15 (41.67%)	17 (47.22%)		36 (100%)	19 (52.78%)	17 (47.22%)	
	Visit day 8	36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	14 (38.89%)	15 (41.67%)		36 (100%)	21 (58.33%)	15 (41.67%)	
	Visit day 9	36 (100%)	6 (16.67%)	15 (41.67%)	14 (38.89%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	21 (58.33%)	14 (38.89%)	1 (2.78%)
	Visit day 10	36 (100%)	9 (25%)	12 (33.33%)	15 (41.67%)		36 (100%)	21 (58.33%)	15 (41.67%)	
	Visit day 11	36 (100%)	13 (36.11%)	11 (30.56%)	12 (33.33%)		36 (100%)	24 (66.67%)	12 (33.33%)	
	Visit day 12	36 (100%)	11 (30.56%)	9 (25%)	16 (44.44%)		36 (100%)	20 (55.56%)	16 (44.44%)	
	Visit day 13	36 (100%)	13 (36.11%)	12 (33.33%)	11 (30.56%)		36 (100%)	25 (69.44%)	11 (30.56%)	
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	12 (33.33%)	15 (41.67%)	9 (25%)		36 (100%)	27 (75%)	9 (25%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	10 (27.78%)	17 (47.22%)	8 (22.22%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	27 (75%)	8 (22.22%)	1 (2.78%)
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	13 (100%)		5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)		13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	
	Visit day 4	13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	2 (15.38%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Visit day 5	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	3 (23.08%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Visit day 6	13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	2 (15.38%)	6 (46.15%)		13 (100%)	7 (53.85%)	6 (46.15%)	
	Visit day 7	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	4 (30.77%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Visit day 8	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	3 (23.08%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Visit day 9	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	6 (46.15%)	6 (46.15%)		13 (100%)	7 (53.85%)	6 (46.15%)	
	Visit day 10	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	4 (30.77%)	6 (46.15%)		13 (100%)	7 (53.85%)	6 (46.15%)	

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 11	13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	2 (15.38%)	5 (38.46%)		13 (100%)	8 (61.54%)	5 (38.46%)	
	Visit day 12	13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	1 (7.69%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Visit day 13	13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	4 (30.77%)	5 (38.46%)		13 (100%)	8 (61.54%)	5 (38.46%)	
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	3 (23.08%)	5 (38.46%)		13 (100%)	8 (61.54%)	5 (38.46%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	5 (38.46%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	11 (84.62%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Visit day 4	11 (100%)		4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Visit day 5	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	3 (27.27%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Visit day 6	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	4 (36.36%)	5 (45.45%)		11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	
	Visit day 7	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	6 (54.55%)	3 (27.27%)		11 (100%)	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	6 (54.55%)	3 (27.27%)		11 (100%)	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	5 (45.45%)	3 (27.27%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	3 (27.27%)	1 (9.09%
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	2 (18.18%)	4 (36.36%)		11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	4 (36.36%)	1 (9.09%)		11 (100%)	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)	
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	4 (36.36%)	3 (27.27%)		11 (100%)	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	
	Visit day 13	11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	4 (36.36%)	1 (9.09%)		11 (100%)	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)	
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)	1 (9.09%)		11 (100%)	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	6 (54.55%)	2 (18.18%)		11 (100%)	9 (81.82%)	2 (18.18%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)		4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)		12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)	
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)		5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)		12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	5 (41.67%)	5 (41.67%)		12 (100%)	7 (58.33%)	5 (41.67%)	

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)	3 (25%)	4 (33.33%)	5 (41.67%)		12 (100%)	7 (58.33%)	5 (41.67%)	
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	6 (50%)	5 (41.67%)		12 (100%)	7 (58.33%)	5 (41.67%)	
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	5 (41.67%)	6 (50%)		12 (100%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	4 (33.33%)	6 (50%)		12 (100%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)	3 (25%)	4 (33.33%)	5 (41.67%)		12 (100%)	7 (58.33%)	5 (41.67%)	
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	3 (25%)	6 (50%)	3 (25%)		12 (100%)	9 (75%)	3 (25%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	6 (50%)	5 (41.67%)		12 (100%)	7 (58.33%)	5 (41.67%)	

Table DE7.6.1Categorical summary of change from usual of Physical and mental fatigue score item - Physical Fatigue Score, have less strength in
your muscles (Full analysis set)

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Visit day 2	36 (100%)			36 (100%)		36 (100%)		36 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	36 (100%)		3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)		36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 4	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	3 (8.33%)	29 (80.56%)		36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	29 (80.56%)	
	Visit day 5	36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	8 (22.22%)	23 (63.89%)		36 (100%)	13 (36.11%)	23 (63.89%)	
	Visit day 6	36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	7 (19.44%)	24 (66.67%)		36 (100%)	12 (33.33%)	24 (66.67%)	
	Visit day 7	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	8 (22.22%)	25 (69.44%)		36 (100%)	11 (30.56%)	25 (69.44%)	
	Visit day 8	36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	8 (22.22%)	23 (63.89%)		36 (100%)	13 (36.11%)	23 (63.89%)	
	Visit day 9	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	7 (19.44%)	24 (66.67%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	11 (30.56%)	24 (66.67%)	1 (2.78%
	Visit day 10	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	13 (36.11%)	19 (52.78%)		36 (100%)	17 (47.22%)	19 (52.78%)	
	Visit day 11	36 (100%)	9 (25%)	10 (27.78%)	17 (47.22%)		36 (100%)	19 (52.78%)	17 (47.22%)	
	Visit day 12	36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	3 (8.33%)	25 (69.44%)		36 (100%)	11 (30.56%)	25 (69.44%)	
	Visit day 13	36 (100%)	11 (30.56%)	4 (11.11%)	21 (58.33%)		36 (100%)	15 (41.67%)	21 (58.33%)	
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	9 (25%)	19 (52.78%)		36 (100%)	17 (47.22%)	19 (52.78%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	16 (44.44%)	16 (44.44%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	19 (52.78%)	16 (44.44%)	1 (2.78%
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 4	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	1 (7.69%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Visit day 5	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	3 (23.08%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Visit day 6	13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	2 (15.38%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Visit day 7	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	2 (15.38%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Visit day 8	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	3 (23.08%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Visit day 9	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	5 (38.46%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Visit day 10	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	3 (23.08%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 11	13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	4 (30.77%)	5 (38.46%)		13 (100%)	8 (61.54%)	5 (38.46%)	
	Visit day 12	13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	1 (7.69%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Visit day 13	13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	1 (7.69%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	1 (7.69%)	6 (46.15%)		13 (100%)	7 (53.85%)	6 (46.15%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	7 (53.85%)	3 (23.08%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	9 (69.23%)	3 (23.08%)	1 (7.69%)
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 4	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 5	11 (100%)		4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Visit day 6	11 (100%)		3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 7	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	1 (9.09%)	8 (72.73%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)	1 (9.09%)
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	5 (45.45%)	5 (45.45%)		11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	3 (27.27%)	4 (36.36%)		11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	2 (18.18%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Visit day 13	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	3 (27.27%)	4 (36.36%)		11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	5 (45.45%)	5 (45.45%)		11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)		7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)		11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	1 (8.33%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)		4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)		12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)	
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	

		Result*				Change fro	Change from usual**			
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	1 (8.33%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)		5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)		12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	3 (25%)	8 (66.67%)		12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)	
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)		11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)		10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	3 (25%)	8 (66.67%)		12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	

Table DE7.7.1Categorical summary of change from usual of Physical and mental fatigue score item - Physical Fatigue Score, feel weak (Full
analysis set)

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Visit day 2	36 (100%)			36 (100%)		36 (100%)		36 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	36 (100%)		6 (16.67%)	30 (83.33%)		36 (100%)	6 (16.67%)	30 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 4	36 (100%)		5 (13.89%)	31 (86.11%)		36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	31 (86.11%)	
	Visit day 5	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	6 (16.67%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Visit day 6	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	6 (16.67%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Visit day 7	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	11 (30.56%)	23 (63.89%)		36 (100%)	13 (36.11%)	23 (63.89%)	
	Visit day 8	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	15 (41.67%)	17 (47.22%)		36 (100%)	19 (52.78%)	17 (47.22%)	
	Visit day 9	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	12 (33.33%)	21 (58.33%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	14 (38.89%)	21 (58.33%)	1 (2.789
	Visit day 10	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	9 (25%)	23 (63.89%)		36 (100%)	13 (36.11%)	23 (63.89%)	
	Visit day 11	36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	10 (27.78%)	21 (58.33%)		36 (100%)	15 (41.67%)	21 (58.33%)	
	Visit day 12	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	11 (30.56%)	21 (58.33%)		36 (100%)	15 (41.67%)	21 (58.33%)	
	Visit day 13	36 (100%)	9 (25%)	10 (27.78%)	17 (47.22%)		36 (100%)	19 (52.78%)	17 (47.22%)	
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	16 (44.44%)	17 (47.22%)		36 (100%)	19 (52.78%)	17 (47.22%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	13 (36.11%)	19 (52.78%)		36 (100%)	17 (47.22%)	19 (52.78%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	13 (100%)		3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 4	13 (100%)		1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)		13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	
	Visit day 5	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	2 (15.38%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 6	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	3 (23.08%)	8 (61.54%)		13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	
	Visit day 7	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	4 (30.77%)	8 (61.54%)		13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	
	Visit day 8	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	5 (38.46%)	5 (38.46%)		13 (100%)	8 (61.54%)	5 (38.46%)	
	Visit day 9	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	3 (23.08%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Visit day 10	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	2 (15.38%)	8 (61.54%)		13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 11	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	2 (15.38%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Visit day 12	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	3 (23.08%)	8 (61.54%)		13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	
	Visit day 13	13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	2 (15.38%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	6 (46.15%)	4 (30.77%)		13 (100%)	9 (69.23%)	4 (30.77%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	7 (53.85%)	4 (30.77%)		13 (100%)	9 (69.23%)	4 (30.77%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 4	11 (100%)		3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 5	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	1 (9.09%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 6	11 (100%)		2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 7	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	5 (45.45%)	5 (45.45%)		11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)		4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)	1 (9.09
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	4 (36.36%)	4 (36.36%)		11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Visit day 13	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	4 (36.36%)	3 (27.27%)		11 (100%)	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)		7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)		11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	5 (45.45%)	5 (45.45%)		11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)		5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)		12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	

		Result*					Change fro	Change from usual**				
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1		
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	5 (41.67%)	6 (50%)		12 (100%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)			
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)			
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)		4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)		12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)			
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	4 (33.33%)	7 (58.33%)		12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)			
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	4 (33.33%)	7 (58.33%)		12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)			
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)			
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)			

Table DE7.8.1	Categorical summary of change from usual of Physical and mental fatigue score item - Physical Fatigue Score, start things without
difficulties, but get v	weak as you go on (Full analysis set)

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Visit day 2	36 (100%)			36 (100%)		36 (100%)		36 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	36 (100%)		3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)		36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 4	36 (100%)		2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)		36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)	
	Visit day 5	36 (100%)		2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)		36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)	
	Visit day 6	36 (100%)		3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)		36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 7	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	3 (8.33%)	32 (88.89%)		36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)	
	Visit day 8	36 (100%)		3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)		36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 9	36 (100%)		1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)		36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)	
	Visit day 10	36 (100%)		3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)		36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 11	36 (100%)		1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)		36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)	
	Visit day 12	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	1 (2.78%)	34 (94.44%)		36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)	
	Visit day 13	36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	3 (8.33%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	5 (13.89%)	28 (77.78%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	28 (77.78%)	1 (2.78%)
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	8 (22.22%)	26 (72.22%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	9 (25%)	26 (72.22%)	1 (2.78%)
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	13 (100%)		1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)		13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	
	Visit day 4	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 5	13 (100%)		1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)		13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	
	Visit day 6	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 7	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 8	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 9	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 10	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	

		Result*					Change fro	m usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
Treatment	Visit day 11	13 (100%)	than usual	than usual	13 (100%)	usuai	13 (100%)	-1	13 (100%)	1
	Visit day 12	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 12 Visit day 13	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)		11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	1 (7.69%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)		4 (30.77%)	7 (53.85%)	1 (7.69%)	. ,	5 (38.46%)	7 (53.85%)	1 (7.69%)
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)	1 (7.0970)	4 (30.77%)	11 (100%)	1 (7.09%)	11 (100%)	5 (58.4070)	11 (100%)	1 (7.0970)
NDWI1500IIIg (II=11)	Visit day 2 Visit day 3	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)		2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
				2 (10.10%)	. ,		. ,	2 (10.1070)	. ,	
	Visit day 5 Visit day 6	11 (100%) 11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	11 (100%) 10 (90.91%)		11 (100%) 11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (100%) 10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 0	11 (100%)	1 (0.00%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)				11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	· · · ·	
	•			1 (0.00%)	11 (100%)			1 (0.000/)	11 (100%)	
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)		1 (0.000/)	11 (100%)		11 (100%)	1 (0.000/)	11 (100%)	
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)	1 (0.000()	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	0 (10 100()	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 13	· · · ·	2 (18.18%)	2 (18.18%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)		3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)		3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	

		Result*					Change from usual**				
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1	
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)		
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)		
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)	1 (8.33%)	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)	1 (8.33%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		

Table DE7.9.1Categorical summary of change from usual of Physical and mental fatigue score item - Mental Fatigue Score, problems concentrating(Full analysis set)

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Visit day 2	36 (100%)			36 (100%)		36 (100%)		36 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	36 (100%)		7 (19.44%)	29 (80.56%)		36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	29 (80.56%)	
	Visit day 4	36 (100%)		5 (13.89%)	31 (86.11%)		36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	31 (86.11%)	
	Visit day 5	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	5 (13.89%)	30 (83.33%)		36 (100%)	6 (16.67%)	30 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 6	36 (100%)		8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Visit day 7	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	10 (27.78%)	25 (69.44%)		36 (100%)	11 (30.56%)	25 (69.44%)	
	Visit day 8	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	13 (36.11%)	21 (58.33%)		36 (100%)	15 (41.67%)	21 (58.33%)	
	Visit day 9	36 (100%)		11 (30.56%)	25 (69.44%)		36 (100%)	11 (30.56%)	25 (69.44%)	
	Visit day 10	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	6 (16.67%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Visit day 11	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	8 (22.22%)	26 (72.22%)		36 (100%)	10 (27.78%)	26 (72.22%)	
	Visit day 12	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	9 (25%)	26 (72.22%)		36 (100%)	10 (27.78%)	26 (72.22%)	
	Visit day 13	36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	7 (19.44%)	24 (66.67%)		36 (100%)	12 (33.33%)	24 (66.67%)	
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	13 (36.11%)	20 (55.56%)		36 (100%)	16 (44.44%)	20 (55.56%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	13 (36.11%)	20 (55.56%)		36 (100%)	16 (44.44%)	20 (55.56%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	13 (100%)		4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Visit day 4	13 (100%)		1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)		13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	
	Visit day 5	13 (100%)		3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 6	13 (100%)		4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Visit day 7	13 (100%)		3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 8	13 (100%)		5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)		13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	
	Visit day 9	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 10	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	
	Visit day 11	13 (100%)		3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 12	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 13	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	1 (7.69%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	3 (23.08%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	4 (30.77%)	8 (61.54%)		13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 4	11 (100%)		2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 5	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)		10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 6	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 7	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)		6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)		11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)		6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)		11 (100%)	6 (54.55%)	5 (45.45%)	
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	2 (18.18%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	3 (27.27%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Visit day 13	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	3 (27.27%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)		7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)		11 (100%)	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	7 (63.64%)	3 (27.27%)		11 (100%)	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)		5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)		12 (100%)	5 (41.67%)	7 (58.33%)	
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	2 (16.67%)	8 (66.67%)		12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)	

		Result*				Change fro	om usual**			
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)		4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)		12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)	
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	3 (25%)	8 (66.67%)		12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)	
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	

Table DE7.10.1Categorical summary of change from usual of Physical and mental fatigue score item - Mental Fatigue Score, problems thinking
clearly (Full analysis set)

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Visit day 2	36 (100%)			36 (100%)		36 (100%)		36 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	36 (100%)		4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)		36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)	
	Visit day 4	36 (100%)		3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)		36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 5	36 (100%)		3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)		36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 6	36 (100%)		3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)		36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 7	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	5 (13.89%)	30 (83.33%)		36 (100%)	6 (16.67%)	30 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 8	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	6 (16.67%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Visit day 9	36 (100%)		10 (27.78%)	26 (72.22%)		36 (100%)	10 (27.78%)	26 (72.22%)	
	Visit day 10	36 (100%)		4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)		36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)	
	Visit day 11	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	2 (5.56%)	33 (91.67%)		36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 12	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	6 (16.67%)	29 (80.56%)		36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	29 (80.56%)	
	Visit day 13	36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	5 (13.89%)	26 (72.22%)		36 (100%)	10 (27.78%)	26 (72.22%)	
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	10 (27.78%)	23 (63.89%)		36 (100%)	13 (36.11%)	23 (63.89%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	8 (22.22%)	24 (66.67%)		36 (100%)	12 (33.33%)	24 (66.67%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 4	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 5	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 6	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 7	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 8	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 9	13 (100%)		3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 10	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 11	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 12	13 (100%)		1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)		13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	
	Visit day 13	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)		11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	3 (23.08%)	8 (61.54%)		13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	2 (15.38%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 4	11 (100%)		2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 5	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 6	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 7	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	1 (9.09%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)		3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)		4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	1 (9.09%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 13	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	3 (27.27%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	3 (27.27%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	1 (8.33%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	3 (25%)	8 (66.67%)		12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)	

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Freatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
Fotal (n=36)	Visit day 2	36 (100%)			36 (100%)		36 (100%)		36 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	36 (100%)			36 (100%)		36 (100%)		36 (100%)	
	Visit day 4	36 (100%)		1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)		36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)	
	Visit day 5	36 (100%)		2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)		36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)	
	Visit day 6	36 (100%)		2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)		36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)	
	Visit day 7	36 (100%)		4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)		36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)	
	Visit day 8	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	4 (11.11%)	31 (86.11%)		36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	31 (86.11%)	
	Visit day 9	36 (100%)			36 (100%)		36 (100%)		36 (100%)	
	Visit day 10	36 (100%)		1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)		36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)	
	Visit day 11	36 (100%)		1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)		36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)	
	Visit day 12	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	1 (2.78%)	34 (94.44%)		36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	34 (94.44%)	
	Visit day 13	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	6 (16.67%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	5 (13.89%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	8 (22.22%)	27 (75%)		36 (100%)	9 (25%)	27 (75%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 4	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 5	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 6	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 7	13 (100%)		1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)		13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	
	Visit day 8	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 9	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 10	13 (100%)		1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)		13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	

Table DE7.11.1Categorical summary of change from usual of Physical and mental fatigue score item - Mental Fatigue Score, problems to find correctwords when you speak (Full analysis set)

Freatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 11	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 12	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 13	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	2 (15.38%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	1 (7.69%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	1 (7.69%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 4	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 5	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 6	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 7	11 (100%)		2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	1 (9.09%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 13	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)		3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)		4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	

Table DE7.12.1Categorical summary of change from usual of Physical and mental fatigue score item - Mental Fatigue Score, problems with eyestrain(Full analysis set)

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Visit day 2	36 (100%)			36 (100%)		36 (100%)		36 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	36 (100%)		1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)		36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)	
	Visit day 4	36 (100%)		1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)		36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	35 (97.22%)	
	Visit day 5	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	1 (2.78%)	31 (86.11%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	31 (86.11%)	1 (2.78%
	Visit day 6	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	4 (11.11%)	27 (75%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	27 (75%)	1 (2.78%
	Visit day 7	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	3 (8.33%)	29 (80.56%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	6 (16.67%)	29 (80.56%)	1 (2.78%
	Visit day 8	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	3 (8.33%)	28 (77.78%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	28 (77.78%)	1 (2.78%
	Visit day 9	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	2 (5.56%)	33 (91.67%)		36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	33 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 10	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	3 (8.33%)	30 (83.33%)		36 (100%)	6 (16.67%)	30 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 11	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	3 (8.33%)	31 (86.11%)		36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	31 (86.11%)	
	Visit day 12	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	4 (11.11%)	29 (80.56%)		36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	29 (80.56%)	
	Visit day 13	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	4 (11.11%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	7 (19.44%)	24 (66.67%)		36 (100%)	12 (33.33%)	24 (66.67%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	7 (19.44%)	24 (66.67%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	11 (30.56%)	24 (66.67%)	1 (2.78%
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	13 (100%)		1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)		13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	
	Visit day 4	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 5	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)		10 (76.92%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	10 (76.92%)	1 (7.69%
	Visit day 6	13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	1 (7.69%)	8 (61.54%)		13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	
	Visit day 7	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	1 (7.69%)	9 (69.23%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	9 (69.23%)	1 (7.69%
	Visit day 8	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	2 (15.38%)	7 (53.85%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	7 (53.85%)	1 (7.69%
	Visit day 9	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	2 (15.38%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 10	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	2 (15.38%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 11	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	2 (15.38%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Visit day 12	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	2 (15.38%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Visit day 13	13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	2 (15.38%)	8 (61.54%)		13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	2 (15.38%)	7 (53.85%)		13 (100%)	6 (46.15%)	7 (53.85%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	3 (23.08%)	7 (53.85%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	7 (53.85%)	1 (7.69%
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 4	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 5	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 6	11 (100%)		2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)	1 (9.09%
	Visit day 7	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	1 (9.09%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)		10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 13	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)		10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)		11 (100%)	5 (45.45%)	6 (54.55%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	2 (18.18%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)		11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	

		Result*					Change fro	m usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	

Table DE7.13.1Categorical summary of change from usual of Physical and mental fatigue score item - Mental Fatigue Score, problems with memory(Full analysis set)

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
Total (n=36)	Visit day 2	36 (100%)			36 (100%)		36 (100%)		36 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	36 (100%)		4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)		36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)	
	Visit day 4	36 (100%)		5 (13.89%)	31 (86.11%)		36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	31 (86.11%)	
	Visit day 5	36 (100%)		4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)		36 (100%)	4 (11.11%)	32 (88.89%)	
	Visit day 6	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	6 (16.67%)	29 (80.56%)		36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	29 (80.56%)	
	Visit day 7	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	7 (19.44%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Visit day 8	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	7 (19.44%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Visit day 9	36 (100%)		7 (19.44%)	29 (80.56%)		36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	29 (80.56%)	
	Visit day 10	36 (100%)		6 (16.67%)	30 (83.33%)		36 (100%)	6 (16.67%)	30 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 11	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	6 (16.67%)	29 (80.56%)		36 (100%)	7 (19.44%)	29 (80.56%)	
	Visit day 12	36 (100%)	1 (2.78%)	7 (19.44%)	28 (77.78%)		36 (100%)	8 (22.22%)	28 (77.78%)	
	Visit day 13	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	7 (19.44%)	27 (75%)		36 (100%)	9 (25%)	27 (75%)	
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	2 (5.56%)	10 (27.78%)	24 (66.67%)		36 (100%)	12 (33.33%)	24 (66.67%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	3 (8.33%)	10 (27.78%)	23 (63.89%)		36 (100%)	13 (36.11%)	23 (63.89%)	
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 2	13 (100%)			13 (100%)		13 (100%)		13 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	13 (100%)		3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 4	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 5	13 (100%)		3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 6	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	3 (23.08%)	9 (69.23%)		13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	9 (69.23%)	
	Visit day 7	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 8	13 (100%)		3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Visit day 9	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 10	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	

		Result*					Change fro	m usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 11	13 (100%)		1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)		13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	12 (92.31%)	
	Visit day 12	13 (100%)		2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	11 (84.62%)	
	Visit day 13	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	2 (15.38%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	3 (23.08%)	8 (61.54%)		13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	1 (7.69%)	2 (15.38%)	10 (76.92%)		13 (100%)	3 (23.08%)	10 (76.92%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 2	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 4	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 5	11 (100%)			11 (100%)		11 (100%)		11 (100%)	
	Visit day 6	11 (100%)		1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	10 (90.91%)	
	Visit day 7	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	1 (9.09%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 8	11 (100%)		2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 9	11 (100%)		2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 10	11 (100%)		2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)		11 (100%)	2 (18.18%)	9 (81.82%)	
	Visit day 11	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 12	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	2 (18.18%)	8 (72.73%)		11 (100%)	3 (27.27%)	8 (72.73%)	
	Visit day 13	11 (100%)		4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)		4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	3 (27.27%)	7 (63.64%)		11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	7 (63.64%)	
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 2	12 (100%)			12 (100%)		12 (100%)		12 (100%)	
	Visit day 3	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 4	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 5	12 (100%)		1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)		12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	
	Visit day 6	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 7	12 (100%)		4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)		12 (100%)	4 (33.33%)	8 (66.67%)	
	Visit day 8	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	2 (16.67%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	

		Result*					Change fro	om usual**		
Treatment	Visit	n	much better than usual	better than usual	same as usual	worse than usual	n	-1	0	1
	Visit day 9	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 10	12 (100%)		2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Visit day 11	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 12	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Visit day 13	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	1 (8.33%)	10 (83.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	10 (83.33%)	
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)		3 (25%)	9 (75%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	1 (8.33%)	5 (41.67%)	6 (50%)		12 (100%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	

		Result*				Change** from baseline (Visit day 1)				
Treatment	Visit	n	ABSENT	SLIGHT	MODERATE	n	-1	0	1	2
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	22 (61.11%)	14 (38.89%)						
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	20 (55.56%)	15 (41.67%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	23 (63.89%)	8 (22.22%)	
	Follow-up day 45	36 (100%)	15 (41.67%)	20 (55.56%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)	5 (13.89%)	19 (52.78%)	11 (30.56%)	1 (2.78%)
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)						
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	4 (30.77%)	8 (61.54%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	7 (53.85%)	4 (30.77%)	
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)		13 (100%)	2 (15.38%)	9 (69.23%)	2 (15.38%)	
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)						
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)		11 (100%)	1 (9.09%)	9 (81.82%)	1 (9.09%)	
	Follow-up day 45	11 (100%)	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (100%)		7 (63.64%)	3 (27.27%)	1 (9.09%)
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	9 (75%)	3 (25%)						
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	8 (66.67%)	4 (33.33%)		12 (100%)	2 (16.67%)	7 (58.33%)	3 (25%)	
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)		12 (100%)	3 (25%)	3 (25%)	6 (50%)	

Table DE8.1 Categorical summary of change from baseline of finger to nose test (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_tfn001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_tre.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:14, status: Final

*) Results are scored as ABSENT=0, SLIGHT=1, MODERATE=2.

**) Change can be interpreted as negative number=better, 0=unchanged, positive number=worse.

			Post baseline	e score*		
Visit	Treatment	Baseline score* (Visit day 1)	0	1	2	Total
Follow-up day 15	Total (n=36)	0	15 (68.18%)	7 (31.82%)		22 (61.11%)
		1	5 (35.71%)	8 (57.14%)	1 (7.14%)	14 (38.89%)
		Total	20 (55.56%)	15 (41.67%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	2 (40%)	3 (60%)		5 (38.46%)
		1	2 (25%)	5 (62.5%)	1 (12.5%)	8 (61.54%)
		Total	4 (30.77%)	8 (61.54%)	1 (7.69%)	13 (100%)
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	7 (87.5%)	1 (12.5%)		8 (72.73%)
		1	1 (33.33%)	2 (66.67%)		3 (27.27%)
		Total	8 (72.73%)	3 (27.27%)		11 (100%)
	Placebo (n=12)	0	6 (66.67%)	3 (33.33%)		9 (75%)
		1	2 (66.67%)	1 (33.33%)		3 (25%)
		Total	8 (66.67%)	4 (33.33%)		12 (100%)
Follow-up day 45	Total (n=36)	0	10 (45.45%)	11 (50%)	1 (4.55%)	22 (61.11%)
		1	5 (35.71%)	9 (64.29%)		14 (38.89%)
		Total	15 (41.67%)	20 (55.56%)	1 (2.78%)	36 (100%)
	NBMI100mg (n=13)	0	3 (60%)	2 (40%)		5 (38.46%)
		1	2 (25%)	6 (75%)		8 (61.54%)
		Total	5 (38.46%)	8 (61.54%)		13 (100%)
	NBMI300mg (n=11)	0	4 (50%)	3 (37.5%)	1 (12.5%)	8 (72.73%)
		1		3 (100%)		3 (27.27%)
		Total	4 (36.36%)	6 (54.55%)	1 (9.09%)	11 (100%)
	Placebo (n=12)	0	3 (33.33%)	6 (66.67%)		9 (75%)
		1	3 (100%)			3 (25%)
		Total	6 (50%)	6 (50%)		12 (100%)

Table DE9.1 Shift table of Baseline vs. post baseline of finger to nose test (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_tfn002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_tre.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:14, status: Final *) Scores are 0=ABSENT, 1=SLIGHT, 2=MODERATE.

		Result									Change from	baseline	e (Visit d	lay 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	17 (47.22%)	0 (0%)	3.3	0.92	2	4	4	2	4									
	Follow-up day 15	17 (47.22%)	0 (0%)	3.6	0.61	3	4	4	3	5	17 (47.22%)	0 (0%)	0.4	1	0	0	1	-1	2
	Follow-up day 45	17 (47.22%)	0 (0%)	4	1	3	4	5	2	6	17 (47.22%)	0 (0%)	0.7	1.05	0	1	1	-1	3
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	6 (46.15%)	0 (0%)	3.3	0.82	3	3.5	4	2	4									
	Follow-up day 15	6 (46.15%)	0 (0%)	3.8	0.75	3	4	4	3	5	6 (46.15%)	0 (0%)	0.5	0.84	0	0	1	0	2
	Follow-up day 45	6 (46.15%)	0 (0%)	4	0.63	4	4	4	3	5	6 (46.15%)	0 (0%)	0.7	0.52	0	1	1	0	1
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	5 (45.45%)	0 (0%)	3.2	1.1	2	4	4	2	4									
	Follow-up day 15	5 (45.45%)	0 (0%)	3.8	0.45	4	4	4	3	4	5 (45.45%)	0 (0%)	0.6	1.34	0	0	2	-1	2
	Follow-up day 45	5 (45.45%)	0 (0%)	4.6	0.89	4	4	5	4	6	5 (45.45%)	0 (0%)	1.4	1.34	0	2	2	0	3
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	6 (50%)	0 (0%)	3.3	1.03	2	4	4	2	4									
	Follow-up day 15	6 (50%)	0 (0%)	3.3	0.52	3	3	4	3	4	6 (50%)	0 (0%)	0	0.89	-1	0	1	-1	1
	Follow-up day 45	6 (50%)	0 (0%)	3.5	1.22	3	3	5	2	5	6 (50%)	0 (0%)	0.2	0.98	-1	0.5	1	-1	1

Table DE10.1.1	Change from baseline of Memor	y loss digit span test, Digit spa	an backwards (Full analysis set)
----------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_mds001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_mb1.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

		Result									Change from	ı baseline	e (Visit d	lay 1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	17 (47.22%)	0 (0%)	5	0.94	4	5	5	4	7									
	Follow-up day 15	17 (47.22%)	0 (0%)	5.5	1.07	5	5	6	4	8	17 (47.22%)	0 (0%)	0.5	1.12	0	1	1	-2	3
	Follow-up day 45	17 (47.22%)	0 (0%)	5.2	0.9	5	5	5	4	8	17 (47.22%)	0 (0%)	0.2	0.83	0	0	1	-2	1
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	6 (46.15%)	0 (0%)	4.8	1.17	4	4.5	5	4	7									
	Follow-up day 15	6 (46.15%)	0 (0%)	5.3	1.03	5	5	6	4	7	6 (46.15%)	0 (0%)	0.5	0.55	0	0.5	1	0	1
	Follow-up day 45	6 (46.15%)	0 (0%)	5.7	1.37	5	5.5	6	4	8	6 (46.15%)	0 (0%)	0.8	0.41	1	1	1	0	1
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	5 (45.45%)	0 (0%)	5.6	0.89	5	5	6	5	7									
	Follow-up day 15	5 (45.45%)	0 (0%)	5.8	1.3	5	5	6	5	8	5 (45.45%)	0 (0%)	0.2	1.92	-1	0	1	-2	3
	Follow-up day 45	5 (45.45%)	0 (0%)	5	0	5	5	5	5	5	5 (45.45%)	0 (0%)	-0.6	0.89	-1	0	0	-2	0
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	6 (50%)	0 (0%)	4.7	0.52	4	5	5	4	5									
	Follow-up day 15	6 (50%)	0 (0%)	5.5	1.05	5	5.5	6	4	7	6 (50%)	0 (0%)	0.8	0.75	0	1	1	0	2
	Follow-up day 45	6 (50%)	0 (0%)	5	0.63	5	5	5	4	6	6 (50%)	0 (0%)	0.3	0.52	0	0	1	0	1

Table DE10.2.1	Change from baseline of Mem	ory loss digit span test, Di	git span forward (Full analysis set)
----------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_mds001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_mb1.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final

		Result								Change from	ı baseline (V	isit day	1)						
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	14.6	19.63	3.405	6.12	20	1.3	95.9									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	11.4	13.23	2.985	5.97	17.4	1.46	64.4	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-3.1	9.15	-2.815	-0.335	0.73	-35.7	7.8
	Follow-up day 45	35 (97.22%)	1 (2.78%)	14.9	20.91	2.72	6.3	17.6	0.971	90.1	35 (97.22%)	1 (2.78%)	0.1	18.29	-2.22	-0.25	2.75	-48.13	56.5
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.5	8.27	4.96	6.42	18.8	1.66	25.8									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.2	9.24	3.28	7.98	11	2.56	33.6	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-0.3	3.65	-2.4	-0.7	1.62	-7.8	7.8
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	12.4	12.84	3.5	9.3	14.5	1.57	42.2	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	1.9	6.79	-2.22	-0.22	5.28	-8	16.4
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	21.8	31.03	2.34	5.81	45.7	1.3	95.9									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	13.6	18.27	2.5	6.78	19.6	1.72	64.4	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-8.2	14.95	-26.1	-0.3	0.48	-35.7	2.87
	Follow-up day 45	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)	13.5	15.2	2.72	6.365	21.7	1.84	49	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)	-9.9	21.97	-24	-0.15	1.36	-48.13	13.9
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	12.3	14.12	2.305	6.105	19.85	1.44	45.8									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	10.7	12.38	2.35	5.085	17.7	1.46	42.9	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-1.6	3.38	-3.44	-0.075	0.43	-9.4	1.8
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	18.7	30.96	2.31	5.865	12.665	0.971	90.1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	6.4	21.25	-2.025	-0.32	2.24	-13.1	56.5

Table DE11.1.1 C	Change from	baseline of Plas	ma Hg (µg/L)	(Full analysis set)
------------------	-------------	------------------	--------------	---------------------

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_hgp001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_bi3.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final External source file: L1606555.csv, downloaded at: 2016-03-30 14:31

		Result									Change from	ı baseline (V	'isit day	1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	65.2	80.16	9.865	32.45	89.15	1.66	321									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	39.9	42.3	9.155	26.25	55.55	1.33	161	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-25.3	63.93	-42.5	-4.49	6.785	-240.9	76.1
	Follow-up day 45	35 (97.22%)	1 (2.78%)	45	60.53	4.75	25.6	63.2	1.31	275	35 (97.22%)	1 (2.78%)	-20.3	59.41	-25.7	-1.57	4.64	-246.9	49.28
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	56.3	42.4	29.9	39.1	73.9	12.6	160									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	47.5	32.38	30.6	37.7	62.1	8.14	132	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-8.8	50.89	-41.7	-6.36	24.1	-128.6	76.1
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	41.7	26.9	25.6	39.1	63	2.64	96.1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	-14.7	40.39	-32.36	-1.5	11.8	-126.5	22.2
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	98.6	115.6	9.91	26.5	213	4.22	321									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	33.1	41.09	2.84	18.5	59.6	2.1	131	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-65.5	84.87	-153.4	-8.16	-2.25	-240.9	0.8
	Follow-up day 45	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)	47.2	69.23	4.34	14.185	65.6	1.81	226	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)	-55	87.74	-127.8	-6	-1.57	-246.9	6.8
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	44.1	68.14	3.915	9.16	72.5	1.66	230									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	38	53.8	7.175	13.9	35.8	1.33	161	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-6.2	35.95	-20.16	2.71	9.58	-71.9	45.9
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	46.7	81.2	3.91	11.25	42.65	1.31	275	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	2.6	33.59	-6.025	0.12	22.77	-74.7	49.28

Table DE12.1.1	Change from baseli	ne of Urine Hg (µg/L)) (Full analysis set)
----------------	--------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_hgu002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_bi3.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final External source file: L1606554.csv, downloaded at: 2016-04-04 13:22

		Result									Change fron	ı baseline (V	isit day	1)					
Treatment	Visit	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max	n	nmiss	Mean	SD	Q1	Median	Q3	Min	Max
Total (n=36)	Visit day 1	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	127.4	180.07	17.41	55.02	167.23	2.63	773.2									
	Follow-up day 15	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	89.6	109.7	17.53	64.22	110.55	1.81	536.67	36 (100%)	0 (0%)	-37.8	147.31	-40.9	-4.31	15.87	-475.47	297.08
	Follow-up day 45	35 (97.22%)	1 (2.78%)	178.8	429.6	9.56	38.58	120.13	0.4	1883.33	35 (97.22%)	1 (2.78%)	50.8	353.07	-44.1	-6.54	11.68	-463.33	1557.8
NBMI100mg (n=13)	Visit day 1	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	84.9	59.6	31.82	66.67	107.76	12.39	200									
	Follow-up day 15	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	90.9	73.1	35.28	64.36	138.45	17.18	241	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	6	69.26	-39.29	8.21	38	-135.92	122.81
	Follow-up day 45	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	92.6	89.34	38.58	53.27	120.13	13.2	340.21	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	7.7	94	-28.86	-13.79	11.68	-140.18	232.45
NBMI300mg (n=11)	Visit day 1	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	199.1	271.33	15.07	62.35	411.54	7.58	773.2									
	Follow-up day 15	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	89.7	108.24	8.63	65.15	97.5	2.63	298	11 (100%)	0 (0%)	-109.3	175.9	-302	-24.6	-0.84	-475.47	79
	Follow-up day 45	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)	275.5	587.17	5.42	34.79	136.67	2.93	1883.33	10 (90.91%)	1 (9.09%)	67.1	397.61	-53.19	-3.96	24.7	-463.33	1110.14
Placebo (n=12)	Visit day 1	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	107.8	157.07	9.59	18.74	208.06	2.63	488.5									
	Follow-up day 15	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	88.1	147.67	15.01	29.75	96.22	1.81	536.67	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	-19.7	167.14	-23.36	1.77	15.87	-407.88	297.08
	Follow-up day 45	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	191.6	512.04	7.25	9.86	73.26	0.4	1797.39	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	83.8	492.65	-79.87	-5.86	-1.43	-453.65	1557.8

Table DE12.2.1Change from baseline of Urine Hg (µg/g Creatinine) (Full analysis set)

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\T_hgu002.sas, on: 23MAY2016:14:00, by: maria.lidsell Version of source data: nbmi_bi3.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final External source file: L1606554.csv, downloaded at: 2016-04-04 13:22

Table E1.1Statistical analysis (ANCOVA) of change from baseline of Mercury intoxication medicalscore sum (Full analysis set)

					Differenc	e from Placebo	
Visit	Treatment	n	LSmean	95% CI	LSmean	95% CI	P-value
Follow-up day 15	NBMI100mg	13	-2.308823	(-3.017,-1.601)	0.189	(-0.904, 1.283)	0.7267
	NBMI300mg	11	-3.182585	(-3.939,-2.426)	-0.685	(-1.796, 0.427)	0.2186
	Placebo	12	-2.498073	(-3.270,-1.726)			•

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\A_ms001.sas, on: 17MAR2016:16:40, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_ma2.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:22:13, status: Final

Table E2.1.1Statistical analysis (Wilcoxons two-sample test) of change from baseline of Neuro-motorictest battery (CATSYS)Tremor intensity (Full analysis set)

							Compari Placebo	son vs.
Hand	Visit	Treatment	n	Median	Mean Score		Statistic	P-value
Right	Follow-up day 15	NBMI300mg	11	0.01	11.23	123.50	123.50	0.6212
		Placebo	12	0.01	12.71	152.50		•

Table E2.2.1Statistical analysis (Wilcoxons two-sample test) of change from baseline of Neuro-motorictest battery (CATSYS)Tremor intensity (Full analysis set)

							Comparison vs. Placebo	
Hand	Visit	Treatment	n	Median	Mean Score		Statistic	P-value
Right	Follow-up day 15	NBMI100mg	13	0	11.73	152.50	172.50	0.3824
		Placebo	12	0.01	14.38	172.50		

Table E2.3.1Statistical analysis (Wilcoxons two-sample test) of change from baseline of Neuro-motorictest battery (CATSYS)Tremor intensity (Full analysis set)

							Compari Placebo	son vs.
Hand	Visit	Treatment	n	Median	Mean Score		Statistic	P-value
Left	Follow-up day 15	NBMI300mg	11	0.01	10.64	117.0	117.00	0.3690
		Placebo	12	0.01	13.25	159.0		

Table E2.4.1Statistical analysis (Wilcoxons two-sample test) of change from baseline of Neuro-motorictest battery (CATSYS)Tremor intensity (Full analysis set)

							Compari Placebo	son vs.
Hand	Visit	Treatment	n	Median	Mean Score		Statistic	P-value
Left	Follow-up day 15	NBMI100mg	13	-0.01	10.23	133.0	192.00	0.0527
		Placebo	12	0.01	16.00	192.0		

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\A_cat001.sas, on: 17MAR2016:16:40, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_neu.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:22:13, status: Final

Table E3.1.1 Statistical analysis (MMRM) of physical and mental fatigue sum scores - Total fatigue score sum (Full analysis set)

					Differenc		
Visit	Treatment	n	LSmean	95% CI	LSmean	95% CI	P-value
Follow-up day 15	NBMI100mg	13	-5.769	(-8.364,-3.175)	-2.436	(-5.815, 0.943)	0.1572
	NBMI300mg	11	-6.727	(-9.343,-4.112)	-3.394	(-6.789, 0.002)	0.0501
	Placebo	12	-3.333	(-5.499,-1.168)			

Table E3.2.1 Statistical analysis (MMRM) of physical and mental fatigue sum scores - Physical fatigue score sum (Full analysis set)

					Differenc		
Visit	Treatment	n	LSmean	95% CI	LSmean	95% CI	P-value
Follow-up day 15	NBMI100mg	13	-3.769	(-5.343,-2.195)	-1.353	(-3.433, 0.728)	0.2019
	NBMI300mg	11	-4.545	(-6.049,-3.042)	-2.129	(-4.156,-0.102)	0.0396
	Placebo	12	-2.417	(-3.777,-1.057)			

Table E3.3.1Statistical analysis (MMRM) of physical and mental fatigue sum scores - Mental fatiguescore sum (Full analysis set)

					Differenc		
Visit	Treatment	n	LSmean	95% CI	LSmean	95% CI	P-value
Follow-up day 15	NBMI100mg	13	-2.000	(-3.048,-0.952)	-1.083	(-2.432, 0.266)	0.1152
	NBMI300mg	11	-2.182	(-3.335,-1.029)	-1.265	(-2.698, 0.167)	0.0833
	Placebo	12	-0.917	(-1.767,-0.067)			

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\A_fs001.sas, on: 17MAR2016:16:40, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_ph3.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:22:13, status: Final

Table E4.1.1Statistical analysis (Wilcoxons two sample test) of change from baseline of Tremor finger-
to-nose test (Full analysis set)

						Compari Placebo	son vs.
Visit	Treatment	n	Median	Mean Score		Statistic	P-value
Follow-up day 15	NBMI100mg	13	0	13.35	173.50	151.50	0.9437
	Placebo	12	0	12.63	151.50		

Table E4.2.1Statistical analysis (Wilcoxons two sample test) of change from baseline of Tremor finger-
to-nose test (Full analysis set)

						Compari Placebo	son vs.
Visit	Treatment	n	Median	Mean Score		Statistic	P-value
Follow-up day 15	NBMI300mg	11	0	11.55	127.0	127.00	0.8108
	Placebo	12	0	12.42	149.0		

 $\label{eq:script:} SDS-SERVER2\\SAS\\SDS\\Projects\\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\\NBMI4011 - CTI002\\Analyser\\Script\\SAS\\A_tfn001.sas, on: 17MAR2016:16:40, by: maria.lidsell$

Version of source data: nbmi_tre.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:22:14, status: Final

Table E5.1.1 Statistical analysis (Wilcoxons two sample test) of change from baseline of plasma Hg (μ g/L) (Full analysis set)

						Compari Placebo	son vs.
Visit	Treatment	n	Median	Mean Score		Statistic	P-value
Follow-up day 15	NBMI100mg	13	-0.7	13.81	179.50	145.50	0.5864
	Placebo	12	-0.075	12.13	145.50		•

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\A_hgp001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:12:30, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_bi3.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final External source file: L1606555.csv, downloaded at: 2016-03-30 14:31

Table E5.2.1 Statistical analysis (Wilcoxons two sample test) of change from baseline of plasma Hg (μ g/L) (Full analysis set)

						Compari Placebo	son vs.
Visit	Treatment	n	Median	Mean Score		Statistic	P-value
Follow-up day 15	NBMI300mg	11	-0.3	11.55	127.0	127.00	0.7818
	Placebo	12	-0.075	12.42	149.0		

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\A_hgp001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:12:30, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_bi3.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final External source file: L1606555.csv, downloaded at: 2016-03-30 14:31

Table E6.1.1 Statistical analysis (Wilcoxons two sample test) of change from baseline of urine Hg (μ g/L) (Full analysis set)

						Compari Placebo	son vs.
Visit	Treatment	n	Median	Mean Score		Statistic	P-value
Follow-up day 15	NBMI100mg	13	-6.36	12.77	166.0	159.00	0.8918
	Placebo	12	2.71	13.25	159.0		

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\A_hgu001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:12:30, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_bi3.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final External source file: L1606554.csv, downloaded at: 2016-04-04 13:22

Table E6.2.1 Statistical analysis (Wilcoxons two sample test) of change from baseline of urine Hg (μ g/L) (Full analysis set)

						Compari Placebo	son vs.
Visit	Treatment	n	Median	Mean Score		Statistic	P-value
Follow-up day 15	NBMI300mg	11	-8.16	8.18	90.0	90.00	0.0106
	Placebo	12	2.71	15.50	186.0		

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\A_hgu001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:12:30, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_bi3.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final External source file: L1606554.csv, downloaded at: 2016-04-04 13:22

Table E6.3.1 Statistical analysis (Wilcoxons two sample test) of change from baseline of urine Hg (μ g/g Creatinine) (Full analysis set)

						Comparison vs. Placebo		
Visit	Treatment	n	Median	Mean Score		Statistic	P-value	
Follow-up day 15	NBMI100mg	13	8.2053963	13.31	173.0	152.00	0.8490	
	Placebo	12	1.7692093	12.67	152.0			

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\A_hgu001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:12:30, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_bi3.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final External source file: L1606554.csv, downloaded at: 2016-04-04 13:22

Table E6.4.1 Statistical analysis (Wilcoxons two sample test) of change from baseline of urine Hg ($\mu g/g$ Creatinine) (Full analysis set)

						Comparison vs. Placebo	
Visit	Treatment	n	Median	Mean Score		Statistic	P-value
Follow-up day 15	NBMI300mg	11	-24.59784	9.45	104.0	104.00	0.0905
	Placebo	12	1.7692093	14.33	172.0	•	

Executed script: \\SDS-SERVER2\SAS\$\SDS3\Projects\STATISTICS DRUG DEV\NBMI4011 - CTI002\Analyser\Script\SAS\A_hgu001.sas, on: 23MAY2016:12:30, by: maria.lidsell

Version of source data: nbmi_bi3.sas7bdat, last modified: 24FEB16:23:13, status: Final External source file: L1606554.csv, downloaded at: 2016-04-04 13:22