

Concept	Findings
Context (learning and clinical context)	
Time	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Time constraints for learning [e.g. 24, 49] vs. encouraging learner to pause and reflect [e.g. 50] Typically time is a static component in VPs, learners are either rewarded for spending a long time on the VP [e.g. CASUS [54]] or for a quick problem solving approach, in which case a timer may be present [e.g. OpenLabyrinth [http://vpsystems.virtualpatients.net]]
Authenticity, cognitive load	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The design of VP influences the balance between authenticity & complexity [e.g. 51] Cognitive load of VPs is related to errors [e.g. 51] VPs offer a controlled/safe environment in which teachers can focus on the learner [e.g. 32] How does the transfer from virtual to real world work? [e.g. 31] VPs are often based on fictive patient stories [e.g. 52], but are often implemented to prepare for real patient encounters [e.g. 53]
Emotions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Emotions of the patient are more common in VPs to train communication skills than in clinical reasoning VP formats [e.g. 54, 55] Emotions of the learner during interaction with VP are explored [e.g. 51, 56]
Learner-centeredness	
Feedback	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feedback is an important determinant for engagement [e.g. 57] and varies from immediate feedback to feedback at the end of a scenario. Different/adaptable feedback mechanisms have been implemented [e.g. 51, 31], but typically it is quantitative (e.g. list of correct diagnoses) [VP systems [http://vpsystems.virtualpatients.net]]
Adaptability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> VPs are typically tailored to the needs and level of a specific learner group, not on an individual level [http://vpsystems.virtualpatients.net], but Adaption of level of difficulty helps avoiding an expertise reversal effect [e.g. 7] and the Optimal design may depend on learner level [e.g. 7], meaning offering multiple learning strategies in varying context [e.g. 32, 47] Overall, there is a discrepancy between adaptability and standardization of instruction [e.g. https://members.aamc.org/eweb/upload/Effective%20Use%20of%20Educational.pdf]]
Motivation, Engagement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Motivation through assessment [e.g. 58] Importance of making a VP engaging [e.g. 59]
Teaching/Assessment	
Methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> VPs are assessment methods [e.g. 11], but also include assessment components, which are typically quantitative methods, such as multiple choice questions or decision points. [VP systems [http://vpsystems.virtualpatients.net]] Applying more qualitative methods, such think-aloud approaches [e.g. 60] or concept mapping have been studied [e.g. 61], but are not commonly used. Many cases are required to assess clinical reasoning skills [e.g. 7] Mimic real patient management vs reliability [e.g. 44]
Scoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess and score all steps involved in the clinical reasoning process, but a detailed scoring of a stepwise approach may oppose non-analytical reasoning approaches [e.g. 7] Scoring can cause negative emotions, such as frustration, thus, transparency of scoring is important [e.g. 51, 62] The scoring approach depends on the VP format [e.g. 63] Scoring metrics vary, e.g. costs or time are included in some VPs [e.g. 47], but, specific clinical reasoning process scores are often not provided [VP systems [http://vpsystems.virtualpatients.net]]
Communication	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear communication is an indicator for organized clinical reasoning skills [e.g. 47], but VPs are often designed to train either communication or clinical reasoning skills [e.g. 64]
Patient-centeredness [e.g. 48]	
Patient Safety & Errors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> VPs provide a safe environment for learners and educators [e.g. https://members.aamc.org/eweb/upload/Effective%20Use%20of%20Educational.pdf] and errors can be made, by making a wrong choice or giving a wrong answer, however, typical errors/biases of clinical decision making, such a anchoring or premature closure typically cannot actively made by the learner [VP systems [http://vpsystems.virtualpatients.net]] Learning from errors is important [e.g. 47], but implementation is limited.

Biases	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Biases are usually not explicitly covered in clinical reasoning VPs, but studies have been implemented [e.g. 54] exploring the role of biases while learning with VPs.
Management decisions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Management decision are usually included in the VP scenario before the conclusion of the scenario [VP systems [http://vpsystems.virtualpatients.net]]
Overconfidence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Level of confidence with a differential or final diagnosis is implemented in some VPs [e.g. DxR [http://vpsystems.virtualpatients.net]]
Psychological Theories	
Illness Scripts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Patient's illness scripts are implicitly included in VPs, but typically not explicitly visible to the learner and the learner typically has to enter (or is provided) a list of problems, differential diagnoses, tests to perform, and management decisions [VP systems [52]]
Knowledge Encapsulation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Often, VPs are long [e.g. 52], which hinders working through many VPs Longitudinal integration of VPs, to link basic and clinical knowledge [e.g. 65]
Metacognition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Role of metacognitive self-awareness of the VP [e.g. 66] Metacognitive strategies, such as questions for self-reflection, self-assessment or self-explanation are implemented in VPs [VP systems [http://vpsystems.virtualpatients.net]] Self-pacing, non-threatening environment, feedback and flexibility important requirements for metacognition [e.g. 38]
Pattern recognition - analytical reasoning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> VPs typically aim to teach analytical reasoning in a step-wise approach [e.g. 49]