COREC checklist

Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity

Pe	rsonal Characteristics	
1.	Interviewer/facilitator	
	Which author/s were involved in data collection?	CD & LN (all task groups); LR (pilot task group only)
2.	Credentials	
	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	CB - MSc
		CD – PhD
		EM – PhD
		LN – MclinRes
		LP - BSc
		LR – MD, FRCGP
3.	Occupation	
	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	CB - senior research associate
		CD - research associate
		EM - research associate
		LN - NIHR Academic Clinical Fellow
		LP - research assistant
		LR - Professor of Primary Care and Ageing
4.	Gender	
	Was the researcher male or female?	All researchers were female
5.	Experience and training	
	What experience or training did the researcher have?	All researchers involved in data collection completed GCP training.
		CB and CD are experienced qualitative researchers with extensive
		experience in dementia research.
		LN is a general practitioner and undertook this study whilst studying
		for a Masters' degree which included training in qualitative
		methods.
		EM is a health psychologist with previous qualitative experience
		gained during her PhD.
		LP is an adult nurse with research experience.

		LR is an experienced dementia researcher and general practitioner
Rel	Relationship with participants	
6.	Relationship established	
	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	Participants in the pilot task group were known to the researchers as work colleagues; there was no prior relationship with participants in other task groups.
7.	Participant knowledge of the interviewer	
	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals,	Researchers discussed their interest in exploring views on case
	reasons for doing the research	finding, risk assessment and genetic screening in dementia.
8.	Interviewer characteristics What characteristics were reported about the	
	interviewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the	None
	research topic	

Domain 2: study design

Theo	oretical framework	
9.	Methodological orientation and theory	
	What methodological orientation was stated to	Interpretive approach and thematic analysis
	underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory,	
	discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology,	
	content analysis	
Parti	icipant selection	
10.	Sampling	
	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive,	Convenience
	convenience, consecutive, snowball	
11.	Method of approach	
	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-	Face to face & poster inviting participation (Age UK); mail (Voice North).
	face, telephone, mail, email	
12.	Sample size	
	How many participants were in the study?	31

13.	Non-participation How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	23 people were approached and either were not interested or did not attend the focus group. No information on reasons for non-participation is available.
Sett	ing	
14.	Setting of data collection Where were the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	University (5 task groups); carers' centre (1 task group)
15.	Presence of non-participants Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	No. One task group was facilitated by three team members (CD, LN, LR); the remaining groups were facilitated by CD and LN.
16.	Description of sample What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	Important characteristics for participants were gender, age and experience of dementia.
Data	collection	
17.	Interview guide Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	A structured powerpoint presentation was given by the facilitators to each task group and specific prompts for discussion were included within the presentation.
18.	Repeat interviews Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	No
19.	Audio/visual recording Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	Yes, audio recording and full transcription
20.	Field notes Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group?	Yes
21.	Duration What was the duration of the interviews or focus group?	The duration of the task groups ranged from 51 to 120 minutes with a median of 105 minutes (mean 95 minutes)
22.	Data saturation Was data saturation discussed?	Yes, during our on-going analysis.
23.	Transcripts returned	

Were transcripts returned to participants for	No
comment and/or correction?	

Domain 3: analysis and findings

Data analysis	
24. Number of data coders	CB, EM & LP were involved in developing the coding
How many data coders coded the data?	frame.
	EM coded the data
25. Description of the coding tree	
Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	Yes
26. Derivation of themes	
Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	Derived from the data
27. Software	
What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	NVivo 11
28. Participant checking	
Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	No
Reporting	
29. Quotations presented	
Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes / findings? Was each	Yes
quotation identified? e.g. participant number	
30. Data and findings consistent	
Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	Yes
31. Clarity of major themes	
Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	Yes
32. Clarity of minor themes	
Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	Yes