Stem Cell Reports, Volume 10

## **Supplemental Information**

## **Deriving Dorsal Spinal Sensory Interneurons from Human Pluripotent**

### Stem Cells

Sandeep Gupta, Daniel Sivalingam, Samantha Hain, Christian Makkar, Enrique Sosa, Amander Clark, and Samantha J. Butler

#### **Supplementary information**





(A-E) RA-treated hEBs were assessed for the levels of *HOX* gene expression by RT-qPCR, which was normalized to day 0. By day 14-20 in the hESC differentiation protocol, there was significant increase in *HOXA9* (lower thoracic identity A, p<0.04, day 0 is similar to day 14), *HOXA5* (cervical level, B, p<0.030, day 0 versus day 20), and *HOXA11* (mid-lumbar level, C, p<0.03, day 0 versus day 20) expression in the hESC-derived EBs. There were no significant

changes in *HOXD11* (lower lumbar level, D, p>0.84, day 0 versus day 20) and HOXA13 (sacral level, E, p>0.24 for day 0 versus day 20).

Data are represented as mean±SEM. Two biological replicates were performed; the qRT-PCR conditions were run in triplicate.



Supplementary Figure 2: BMP4 suppresses the dI2 fate in hEBs.

(A-D, I, J) By day 36 of the protocol, RA-treated hEBs still contain significant numbers of SOX2<sup>+</sup> progenitors and phospho-HISTONEH3<sup>+</sup> mitotic cells. The addition of BMP4, from day 8 on, significantly decreases the number of progenitors and dividing cells in hEBs (I, J; probability similar to RA control: p<0.0001, BMP4-D8; p<0.0003, BMP4-D10; p<0.016, BMP4-D17).

(E-H, K-N) RA treatment results in the generation of numerous LHX1/5<sup>+</sup> PAX2<sup>-</sup> cells (dI2) (E, E', K) and a few LHX1/5<sup>+</sup> PAX2<sup>+</sup> cells (dI4; dI6-v2) (E, E'', L). However, the specification of these cell types is suppressed by addition of BMP4 between day 6 and day 10 (dI2: F-G', K, p<0.0015, BMP4-D6; p<0.0001, BMP4-D8; p<0.0005, BMP4-D10; dI4, dI6-v2: p<0.0001 for all conditions) in hESCs derived EBs. By day 17, the addition of BMP4 no longer suppresses

dI2 identity (H-H", K; p>0.9, L; p>0.48). The effect of BMP4 on dI2 fates was also observed in an RT-qPCR analysis of *LHX1* and *LHX5* expression (M, N).

Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SEM. Two biological replicates were performed; the qRT-PCR conditions were run in triplicate and between 9-18 EBs were quantified for each condition, normalized to the total number of DAPI<sup>+</sup> cells and represented as the percent cell numbers. Scale bar=100  $\mu$ m.



Supplementary Figure 3: Biological replicates for upregulation of LHX2 and ISL1 mRNA in the directed differentiation protocol

(A-F) RT-qPCR analysis of *LHX2* (A-C) and *ISL1* (D-F) expression in three biological replicates of the directed differention protocol. EBs were treated with RA, with BMP4 added at day 6, 8, 10 and 17. In each biological replicate *LHX2* and *ISL1* expression is upregulated after addition of BMP4, however there are marked differences in the magnitude of relative fold change. Three technical replicates were performed. Values are represented as mean±SEM.



Supplementary Figure 4: Characterization of hESC-derived mechanosensory dI3 cells

By day 36, BMP4 addition significantly increases the number of  $ISL1^+ TLX3^+ dI3$  INs in the BMP4-D8 (B, E, p<0.0001 similar to RA control) and BMP4-D10 (C, p<0.0001) conditions compared to RA controls (A, F,). ~60% of dI3s are double positive for ISL1 and TLX3 (G). In contrast, the  $ISL1^+$  cells are not co-labeled by the spinal motor neuron marker HB9 (D) and a RT-qPCR analysis showed that there was no *HB9* mRNA enrichment in any of the BMP4 conditions (H). Thus, the hESC-derived  $ISL1^+$  cells no not have a motor neuron identity.

Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SEM. Two biological replicates were performed; the qRT-PCR conditions were run in triplicate and between 7-10 EBs were quantified for each condition. The number of ISL1<sup>+</sup> cells and ISL1<sup>+</sup> TLX3<sup>+</sup> cells were normalized to the number of DAPI<sup>+</sup> cells in D and to the total ISL1<sup>+</sup> cells in E.

Scale bar=100µm.

# Table 1: Primary Antibodies

Antigen	Species	Dilution	Source		
Sox2	Goat	1:1000	Santa Cruz Biotechnology (sc-17320)		
Pax3	Goat	1:500	R&D Systems (AF2457)		
Lhx2	Goat	1:250	Santa Cruz Biotechnology (sc-19344)		
Isl1	Goat	1:500	R&D Systems (AF1837)		
Sox1	Goat	1:500	Santa Cruz Biotechnology (sc-17318)		
Pax6	Mouse	1:100	Developmental studies Hybridoma Bank (AB528427)		
Nanog	Rabbit	1:200	Cell Signaling Technology (D73G4)		
HoxA5	Goat	1:1000	Santa Cruz Biotechnology (sc-13199)		
Olig2	Rabbit	1:300	Milipore (AB9610)		
Tuj1	Mouse	1:1000	BioLegend (801202)		
Dcc	Goat	1:200	R&D System (AF844)		
Robo3	Goat	1:200	R&D System (AF3076)		
Tlx3	Guinea pig	1:200	gift from Thomas Müller (Muller et al., 2005)		
Lhx1/5	Mouse	1:20	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank		
Pax2	Rabbit	1:500	Invitrogen		

# Table 2: RT-qPCR primer sequences

Gene name	Primer sequence		
Nanog	For: CCCCAGCCTTTACTCTTCCTA		
	Rev: CCAGGTTGAATTGTTCCAGGTC		

Sox2	For: CAAAGAAAAACGAGGGAAATGGG		
	Rev: TACCGGGTTTTCTCCATGCTG		
Sox1	For: GCGGTAACAACTACAAAAAACTTGTAA		
	Rev: GCGGAGCTCGTCGCATT		
Pax6	For TTGAGCCATCACCAATCAGC		
	Rev: TTTCTCCACGGATGTTGCTG		
TUBB3 (β-III tubulin)	For: GGCCAAGGGTCACTACACG		
	Rev: GCAGTCGCAGTTTTCACACTC		
HoxA5	For: AAGTCATGACAACATAGGCGG		
	Rev: TTCAATCCTCCTTCTGCGGG		
Pax3	For: AGCACTGTACACCAAAGCAC		
	Rev: AAAATCCATGCCTGGTGCTG		
Olig2	For: CCCTAAAGGTGCGGATGCTT		
	Rev: ACCCGAAAATCTGGATGCGA		
Lhx2	For: TGGACCGAGGAACAACTTGG		
	Rev: TCGCTCAGTCCACAAAACTG		
Isl1	For: GATTTGGAATGGCATGCGGC		
	Rev: GCGCATTTGATCCCGTACAA		
Atoh1	For: ACCAGCTGCGCAATGTTATC		
	Rev: TTTGTAGCAGCTCGGACAAG		
Ascl1	For: AGCTTCTCGACTTCACCAACTG		
	Rev: TGCTTCCAAAGTCCATTCGC		
FoxD3	For: CGGCCTCGAGCAACAAATG		
	Rev: AAATTGGGGAGAGGGCAGAGTC		
Lhx1	For: CAACATGCGCGTCATTCAGG		
	Rev: ACTCGCTCTGGTAATCTCCG		
Lhx5	For: GCGTCATCCAGGTGTGGTTT		
	Rev: GGTGGACCCCAACATCTCAG		

HoxA9	For: GTCCCACGCTTGACACTCA			
	Rev: GCTGCTGGGTTATTGGGATCG			
HoxD11	For: CAGCAGCGCAGTTGCC			
	Rev: CGGTCAGTGAGGTTGAGCAT			
HoxA13	For: CTGCCCTATGGCTACTTCGG			
	Rev: CCGGCGGTATCCATGTACT			
HoxA11	For: CCCGCAGTCTCGTCCAATTT			
	Rev: AGGCTGTCTCGAAAAACTGGT			

**Table 3.** Summary of the differentiation efficiencies.

	SOX2 (progenitor)	LHX2 (dI1)	LHX1/5 <sup>+</sup> PAX2 <sup>-</sup> (dI2)	ISL1 (dI3)	LHX1/5 <sup>+</sup> PAX2 <sup>+</sup> (dI4; dI6-v2)
RA	53%	8.5%	15%	1.5%	4.5%
RA+BMP4-D6	47%	26%	3%	15%	<0.2%
RA+BMP4-D8	26.5%	20%	2%	14.5%	<0.5%
RA+BMP4-D10	34%	18.5%	3%	8%	<0.2%
RA+BMP4-D17	39%	8%	14%	2%	5%