

Supplementary Appendix Tables

SA Table 1 Cross sectional bivariate wave by wave association with IPV accept and IPV experience past 6 months, stratified by group

	Treatment			Control		
	Beta	SE	P	Beta	SE	P
Man accepts IPV WV1	0.11	0.40	0.77	-0.03	0.34	0.93
Woman accepts IPV WV1	1.74	0.62	0.01	1.10	0.40	0.01
Man accepts IPV WV2	0.48	0.30	0.12	0.96	0.25	0.00
Woman accepts IPV WV2	0.03	0.31	0.93	-0.65	0.26	0.01
Man accepts IPV WV3	0.34	0.33	0.31	0.55	0.25	0.03
Woman accepts IPV WV3	-0.12	0.35	0.72	-0.48	0.28	0.09

SA Table 2 Bivariate association between socio-demographic predictors and women's IPV acceptance Wave 1

	WAVE 1 Treatment		
	Beta	SE	P
Father perpetrated IPV Women	1.25	0.28	0.00
Number of children	0.46	0.14	0.00
Arranged Marriage	-0.35	0.26	0.18
Married years	0.11	0.04	0.01
Women's income production	0.53	0.30	0.08
Women's education	-0.08	0.03	0.01
Women's age	0.01	0.04	0.74
Men's education	-0.05	0.03	0.14
Men's age	-0.03	0.04	0.46
Caste	0.74	0.72	0.3
Caste	0.3	0.73	0.68
Caste	0.29	0.89	0.75
Food insecurity	0.38	0.43	0.38
House size	-0.15	0.07	0.05

SA Table 3 Bivariate association between mens IPV acceptance and women's, by WV, binary model

	Treatment			Control		
	Beta	SE	P	Beta	SE	P
Man accepts WV1	-0.21	0.23	0.37	-0.18	0.21	0.41
Man accepts WV2	-0.18	0.22	0.43	-0.28	0.20	0.16
Man accepts WV3	0.51	0.23	0.03	0.37	0.21	0.08

SA Table 4 Proportion of men who perpetrate IPV by category of initial IPV perpetration

	Treatment		Control	
	IPV Wave 2	IPV Wave 3	IPV Wave 2	IPV Wave 3
No IPV Wave 1	0.11	0.10	0.16	0.17
IPV Wave 1	0.50	0.40	0.50	0.40
No IPV Wave 1 or 2		0.07		0.14
IPV Wave 1 only		0.40		0.24
IPV Wave 2 only		0.33		0.34
IPV Wave 1 and 2		0.40		0.55

SA Table 5 Proportion of men who support IPV by IPV perpetration category and treatment group

	Intervention group					
	Treatment			Control		
	WV1	WV2	WV3	WV1	WV2	WV3
IPV Wave 2 only	0.83	0.52	0.42	0.70	0.62	0.60
IPV Wave 1 and 2	0.73	0.40	0.53	0.73	0.68	0.64
IPV Wave 3 only	0.80	0.55	0.40	0.58	0.49	0.56

CONNELL, R. **Gender and Power** Stanford CA: Stanford University Press 1987.

GHULE, M. et al. Barriers to contraceptive methods among rural young married couples in Maharashtra, India: Qualitative findings. **Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities**, v. in press, 2015.

WHO DEPARTMENT OF GENDER, W., AND HEALTH **Putting women first: Ethical and safety recommendations for research on domestic violence against women.**

http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/65893/1/WHO_FCH_GWH_01.1.pdf. Geneva Switzerland 2001