Supplementary Online Content

Freund Y, Cachanado M, Aubry A, et al. Effect of the pulmonary embolism rule-out criteria on subsequent thromboembolic events among low-risk emergency department patients: the PROPER randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. doi:10.1001/jama.2017.21904

- **eTable 1.** Characteristics of Participating Emergency Departments
- eTable 2. Clinical Probability and PERC Score
- eTable 3. Posthoc Sensitivity Analyses
- eTable 4. Initial Diagnosis of Pulmonary Embolism in the Emergency Department

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

Emergency department	Annual census	Patients recruited (N, %)	Setting	Trainees (N)	Senior emergency physicians (N)	Mean years in practice of senior emergency physicians
Pitie-salpetriere (Paris)	66 000	589 (0.8%)	Urban	10	25	6
Saint Antoine (Paris)	65 000	164 (0.3%)	Urban	9	25	5
Tenon (Paris)	44 000	98 (0.2%)	Urban	7	13	5
Cochin (Paris)	55 000	100 (0.2%)	Urban	9	22	7
Bichat (Paris)	80 000	70 (0.08%)	Urban	10	28	5
Lariboisiere (Paris)	79 000	91 (0.1%)	Urban	12	25	7
HEGP (Paris)	50 000	139 (0.3%)	Urban	8	21	7
Avicenne (Bobigny)	40 000	212 (0.5%)	Urban	6	13	5
Saint Camille (Bry s Marne)	44 000	37 (0.08%)	Urban	6	14	5
Mondor (Créteil)	53 000	55 (0.1%)	Urban	10	18	3
Ambroise Paré (Boulogne)	38 000	79 (0.2%)	Urban	8	14	10
Purpan-Rangueil (Toulouse)	37 000	115 (0.3%)	Urban	11	20	8
Minjoz (Besançon)	30 000	84 (0.3%)	Semi Rural	10	22	8
Edouard Herriot (Lyon)	70 000	83 (0.11%)	Urban	14	36	5

Supplemental Table 1: Characteristics of participating emergency departments.

All centers were academic emergency departments, adult only, saw both medical and surgical patients and have 24/7 coverage by a senior emergency physician. Trainees are post-graduate young doctors in their 3 to 6 years internship. Senior emergency physicians are board certified physicians who completed their training.

Posthoc sensitivity analysis 1: Exclusion of 150 patients with PERC=0 in the PERC group

	PERC	Control
ITT population	n=812	n=954
PERC=0	309 (38%)	364 (38%)
RGS <2	677 (83%)	772 (81%)
RGS ≥2 and <5	135 (17%)	182 (19%)
Wells score <2	729 (90%)	746 (78%)
Wells score ≥2 and <6	75 (9%)	178 (19%)
Wells score >6	8 (1%)	30 (3%)

Posthoc sensitivity analysis 2: Addition of 175 patients with PERC=0 in the Control group

	PERC	Control
ITT population	n=962	n=1129
PERC=0	459 (48%)	539 (48%)
RGS <2	827 (86%)	947 (84%)
RGS ≥2 and <5	135 (14%)	182 (16%)
Wells score <2	875 (91%)	921 (81%)
Wells score ≥2 and <6	79 (8%)	178 (16%)
Wells score >6	8 (1%)	30 (3%)

Supplemental Table 2: Clinical probability and PERC score. PERC: Pulmonary Embolism Rule-out Criteria. RGS: Revised Geneva Score

Posthoc sensitivity analysis 1: Exclusion of 150 patients with PERC=0 in the PERC group

	PERC	Control	Difference	[95%CI]	р
ITT population	n=812	n=954			
	00 (10)	22 (22()	2 22/	1 0 10/1	
Primary outcome (TE event at 3 months)	32 (4%)	29 (3%)	0.9%]-∞ ; 2.4%]	0.49
CTPA performed	129 (16%)	220 (23%)	7.2%	[3.4%; 11.0%]	< 0.001
Hospital admission	116 (14%)	152 (16%)	-1.6%	[-5.1% ; 1.8%]	0.34
Anticoagulation therapy introduced	21 (3%)	33 (3%)	0.9%	[-0.8% ; 2.6%]	0.29
Re admission to the hospital at 3 months	40 (5%)	62 (7%)	1.6%	[-0.8%; 3.9%]	0.17
All causes death at 3 months	3 (0.4%)	2 (0.2%)	0.2%	[-0.5%; 0.8%]	0.52
Per protocol population	n=697	n=902			
Primary outcome (TE event at 3 months)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0.1%]-∞ ; 0.8%]	
CTPA performed	114 (16%)	211 (23%)	7.0%	[3.0%; 11.1%]	< 0.001
Hospital admission	96 (14%)	139 (15%)	-1.6%	[-5.2% ; 2.0%]	0.36
Anticoagulation therapy introduced	19 (3%)	28 (3%)	0.4%	[-1.4% ; 2.2%]	0.66
Re admission to the hospital at 3 months	35 (5%)	62 (7%)	1.8%	[-0.6%; 4.3%]	0.12
All causes death at 3 months	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)	0.0%	[-0.4%; 0.5%]	0.85

Posthoc sensitivity analysis 2: Addition of 175 patients with PERC=0 in the Control group

ITT population	PERC n=962	Control n=1129	Difference	[95%CI]	р
Primary outcome (TE event at 3 months)	32 (3%)	29 (3%)	0.8%]-∞ ; 2.0%]	
CTPA performed	129 (13%)	220 (19%)	6.0%	[2.8%; 9.3%]	< 0.001
Hospital admission	121 (13%)	152 (13%)	-0.9%	[-3.9%; 2.1%]	0.55
Anticoagulation therapy introduced	21 (2%)	33 (3%)	0.7%	[-0.7%; 2.2%]	0.29
Re admission to the hospital at 3 months	43 (4%)	62 (6%)	1.0%	[-1.0%; 3.0%]	0.30
All causes death at 3 months	3 (0.3%)	2 (0.2%)	0.1%	[-0.4%; 0.7%]	0.52
Per protocol population	n=847	n=1077			
Primary outcome (TE event at 3 months)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0%)	0.1%]-∞% ; 0.7%]	
CTPA performed	114 (14%)	211 (20%)	6.1%	[2.7%; 9.5%]	< 0.001
Hospital admission	101 (12%)	139 (13%)	-1.0%	[-4.0%; 2.1%]	0.52
Anticoagulation therapy introduced	19 (2%)	28 (3%)	0.4%	[-1.1% ; 1.8%]	0.61
Re admission to the hospital at 3 months	38 (4%)	62 (6%)	1.3%	[-0.8%; 3.3%]	0.21
All causes death at 3 months	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)	0.0%	[-0.4%; 0.4%]	0.86

Supplemental table 3: posthoc analyses of primary and secondary endpoints. ITT: intention to treat. NNT: number needed to treat. TE: thromboembolic. CTPA: Computed tomographic pulmonary angiography. ED: emergency department. IQR: inter-quartile range.

	PERC group	Control group		
ITT population posthoc analysis 1	n=812	n=954	Difference (95%CI)	р
Pulmonary embolism	14 (1.7%)	26 (2.7%)	1.0% (-0.04% to 2.4%)	0.16
ITT population posthoc analysis 2	n=962	n=1129		
Pulmonary embolism	14 (1.5%)	25 (2.3%)	0.8% (-0.3% to 2.1%)	0.16

Supplemental table 4: Initial diagnosis of pulmonary embolism in the emergency department.