Supplementary Online Content

Shin Y-W, Park K-I, Moon J, et al. Association of bone mineral density with the risk of intracranial aneurysm. *JAMA Neurol*. Published online October 16, 2017. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2017.3431

eFigure. Flowchart Detailing the Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria and Numbers of the Study Subjects

eTable 1. Logistic Regression Analyses of the Relationship Between BMD and IA Size Larger Than 3 mm in At-Risk Population (Tertile 3 vs Tertile 1-2)

eTable 2. Logistic Regression Analyses of the Relationship Between BMD and Multiplicity of IA (Tertile 3 vs Tertile 1-2)

eTable 3. Linear Regression Analyses of the Relationship Between Low T-score and Log Size of IA in At-risk Population

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eFigure. Flowchart detailing the inclusion and exclusion criteria and numbers of the study subjects



	Unadjusted		Adjusted for Age and Sex		Adjusted for All Covariates	
	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р
		Value		Value		Value
Total population						
Lumbar spine	2.27 (1.53–3.36)	<.001	2.28 (1.54–3.38)	<.001	2.22 (1.49–3.31)	<.001
Femoral neck	1.51 (1.02-2.23)	.04	1.51 (1.02–2.24)	.04	1.54 (1.03-2.30)	.03
Total hip	1.24 (0.84–1.83)	.29	1.24 (0.83–1.83)	.29	1.26 (0.85–1.88)	.25
At-risk population						
Lumbar spine	2.62 (1.71–4.02)	<.001	2.66 (1.74-4.10)	<.001	2.69 (1.74–4.19)	<.001
Femoral neck	1.68 (1.10–2.56)	.02	1.69 (1.11–2.58)	.02	1.72 (1.12–2.66)	.01
Total hip	1.53 (1.00–2.33)	.048	1.54 (1.01–2.35)	.045	1.57 (1.02–2.41)	.04

eTable 1. Logistic regression analyses of the relationship between BMD and IA size larger than 3 mm in at-risk population (tertile 3 vs tertile 1-2)

Abbreviations: BMD, bone mineral density; IA, intracranial aneurysm; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

	Unadjusted		Adjusted for Age and Sex		Adjusted for All Covariates	
	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р
		Value		Value		Value
Total population						
Lumbar spine	1.54 (0.91–2.59)	.11	1.53 (0.90–2.58)	.11	1.50 (0.88–2.55)	.13
Femoral neck	1.43 (0.84–2.41)	.18	1.43 (0.84–2.41)	.18	1.38 (0.81–2.35)	.23
Total hip	1.43 (0.84–2.41)	.18	1.43 (0.84–2.41)	.18	1.42 (0.83–2.40)	.20
At-risk population	· · ·					
Lumbar spine	1.57 (0.90–2.73)	.11	1.57 (0.90–2.73)	.11	1.57 (0.88–2.76)	.12
Femoral neck	1.45 (0.83–2.53)	.19	1.45 (0.83–2.52)	.19	1.42 (0.80–2.48)	.23
Total hip	1.34 (0.76–2.33)	.30	1.34 (0.76–2.33)	.31	1.34 (0.76–2.36)	.31

eTable 2. Logistic regression analyses of the relationship between BMD and multiplicity of IA (tertile 3 vs tertile 1-2)

Abbreviations: BMD, bone mineral density; IA, intracranial aneurysm; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

eTable 3. Linear regression analyses of the relationship between low T-score and log size of IA in at-risk population

	Unadjusted		Adjusted for Age and Sex		Adjusted for All Covariates	
	β (SE)	Р	β (SE)	Р	β (SE)	Р
		value		Value		Value
Total	0.171 (0.050)	.001	0.146 (0.051)	.005	0.137 (0.051)	.008
Women	0.150 (0.063)	.02	0.140 (0.065)	.03	0.140 (0.065)	.03
Men	0.145 (0.085)	.09	0.146 (0.086)	.09	0.124 (0.087)	.16

Abbreviations: BMD, bone mineral density; IA, intracranial aneurysm; β, non-standardized regression coefficient; SE, standard error.