EMBO Molecular Medicine

# The GPR120 agonist TUG-891 promotes metabolic health by stimulating mitochondrial respiration in brown fat

Maaike Schilperoort Andrea D. van Dam, Geerte Hoeke, Irina G. Shabalina, Anthony Okolo, Aylin C. Hanyaloglu, Lea H. Dib, Isabel M. Mol, Natarin Caengprasath, Yi-Wah Chan, Sami Damak, Anne Reifel Miller, Tamer Coskun, Bharat Shimpukade, Trond Ulven, Sander Kooijman, Patrick C.N. Rensen, Mark Christian

#### **Review timeline:**

Submission date: Editorial Decision: Revision received: Editorial Decision: Revision received: Accepted: 23 May 2017 28 June 2017 20 October 2017 16 November 2017 20 December 2017 21 December 2017

Editor:

#### **Transaction Report:**

(Note: With the exception of the correction of typographical or spelling errors that could be a source of ambiguity, letters and reports are not edited. The original formatting of letters and referee reports may not be reflected in this compilation.)

28 June 2017

Thank you for the submission of your manuscript to EMBO Molecular Medicine. We have now heard back from the three referees whom we asked to evaluate your manuscript.

You will see from the set of comments pasted below that all three referees find the study of interest. However, while referee 2 is more positive, referees 1 and 3 make pertinent observations that must be addressed experimentally as much as possible to strengthen the conclusions, i.e. GPR120 signalling in brown adipocytes should be looked into, as well as adipogenesis and lipolysis as suggested. Additional discussion and phrasing are also commented upon.

We would welcome the submission of a revised version within three months for further consideration and would like to encourage you to address all the criticisms raised as suggested to improve conclusiveness and clarity.

\*\*\*\*\* Reviewer's comments \*\*\*\*\*

Referee #1 (Remarks):

Schilperoort et al. investigate the role of GPR120 in BAT. They find that TUG-891, a selecitve agonist for GPR120, reduces body weight in mice and promotes adipogenesis in brown adipocytes. Interestingly, they find that O2 consumption in brown adipocytes is induced in a calcium-dependent manner. This is a very interesting ms. However, several crucial points have to be addressed - especially in the light of previously published papers on GPR120 and Gq signaling in BAT. - The authors observe lower EE, but increased fat oxidation in the TUG treated mice. However, this was calculated only by a formula. Thus, it would be very informative to analyse lipogenesis and lipolysis markers (since the authors postulate that lipogenesis is increased). Thermogenic markers in BAT and WAT (GPR120 implicated in browning) should be measured, as well as serum TG levels.

- GPR120 signaling in brown adipocytes is still not clear. The authors should use the in vitro model to address this crucial point:

1. GPR120 KO cells differentiate less. It is unclear why. If GPR120 is Gq-coupled, one would expect the opposite. How many wt and ko mice were used to isolate cells, how many independent biological replicates were performed for the differentiation experiments? To address this important point, the authors should at least study the effect of chronic TUG treatment during brown adipocyte differentiation in wt and ko cells (adipogenic and thermogenic markers as well as Oil Red O have to be quantified).

2. Does GPR120 signal via Gs in brown adipocytes? Acute treatment with TUG and its effect on cellular cAMP should be measured.

Minor points:

Make sure that the recent literature on Gq signaling and GPCRs in BAT is cited correctly.
Hudson et al., 2013, Mol Pharmacol show that TUG-891 is a potent agonist of FFA4 with only limited selectivity for mouse FFA1, complicating its use in vivo. Therefore the authors use GPR120 knockout mice. They state (line252) "In GPR120 KO mice, TUG-891 failed to significantly reduce total body weight (Fig. 3A) and fat mass (Fig 3B),..." The authors should rephrase this, because TUG clearly reduces both body weight and fat mass, albeit not significantly.

- TUG treated mice have reduced food intake. However, the authors did not discuss whether increased fat turnover could be centrally induced as well. This should be discussed. A tissue-specific deletion of GPR120 only in BAT would be nice to have, but is clearly beyond the scope of this study.

Referee #2 (Remarks):

The paper describes targeting of Gpr120 to increase BAT function and thereby improve metabolism. Use of a Gpr120 agonist leads to a protection from diet induced obesity together with an improved metabolism. They furthermore show that activation of Gpr120 leads to increased brown fat adipogenesis in a Ca dependent manner.

The paper is technically well done and presented. It has to be noted that the effect of Gpr120 has already been described by the group of Villaroya, the novelty and clinical translation of this work is based on the use of a Gpr120 agonist which might also be utilized clinically. Therefore, in my opinion it fits very well to the scope of EMBO Molecular Medicine.

There are a few points which need to be addressed in my opinion:

1. The data in Fig.1 needs to be normalized to lean body mass. This might actually change the statement about reduced utilization of glucose.

2. The data presented in Fig. 2 on inguinal WAT suggests the presence of more beige cells, is this correct. Did the authors look at gene expression of thermogenic genes? How do the authors envisage that these cells are formed (see point 5) and do the authors expect that this contributes to the overall phenotype. This should be discussed in detail (see also point 4).

3. The food intake is interesting especially since the use of ko mice shows a similar trend in response to treatment (albeit not significant), suggesting that at least a small part of the phenotype is mediated by off-target effects. This should be discussed.

4. In general, it is problematic to make the link between BAT activation and the observed phenotype without experiments at thermoneutrality. I am happy that the authors have phrased their manuscript very carefully in that regard, nevertheless this point should be mentioned.

5. The role of Gpr120 in adipogenesis is problematic in my opinion. The only data which supports this notion is the Ap2 expression and maybe the fact that there are more brown adipocytes. Based on the presented data the authors should be able to calculate the overall number of brown adipocytes in BAT from their average cell size data and organ weight. Is this number increased? If yes it would strengthen their point on adipogenesis, if not this part should either be removed or discussed very critically.

6. From my point of view, it looks like TUG might mainly regulate BAT activity (based on the outcome of the calculation in point 6). This should be better reflected in the abstract.

Referee #3 (Remarks):

The manuscript by Schilperoort and colleagues presents results of investigations of the effects of the GPR120 agonist TUG891 on mouse metabolism and particularly on brown adipose tissue. Significantly similar data were recently reported from Villarroya's laboratory, which agree with many of the observations here. There are two more unique points, one of which is a concern, the other potentially of interest provided the necessary controls confirm an effect.

1) The first point that worries me is the decrease in lean body mass that the authors report but subsequently ignore, since they say that the loss of lean is only 10 %, while that of lipid is much greater. While this is mathematically correct, I think the authors should be considerably more concerned, since most of the lean mass is unlikely to change (bones, brain, kidney etc.). They demonstrate a decrease in liver weight but have apparently not measured skeletal muscle. This would be very important to measure to evaluate potential negative effects of the treatment.

2) The second point refers to the observation of an acute stimulation of respiration by TUG in isolated adipocytes. This could be an interesting observation but its validity must be checked. There are several possible reasons for this result. The agonist could stimulate increases in cyclic AMP and the respiration would then follow as it does with e.g. norepinephrine. This is probably not very likely. However, was the effect inhibited by AH7614? If so, this would add credibility to it being a somewhat specific effect.

The agonist could be a direct activator of UCP1, in a similar manner to e.g. fatty acids and retinoic acid. This would be interesting and potentially of therapeutic significance. However, to investigate this further, the authors need to convince me that the effect is not an artifact of the system. Thus, they should repeat the experiments under conditions as indicated in e.g. Li et al. EMBO Rep. 2014 in the presence of 2 % BSA. It would also help if UCP1 KO cells could be used to demonstrate some specificity. Essentially all carboxylic acids can uncouple any mitochondria given sufficient concentration!

#### Other points:

3) The observation of an increase in intracellular calcium following TUG treatment in isolated adipocytes is an entirely expected response to a Gq-coupled agonist, similarly to the response to an alpha1-adrenergic agonist. Note however that negative effects of BAPTA do not show that the increased oxygen consumption is stimulated through calcium, merely that cytosolic and mitochondrial calcium homeostasis is necessary for most responses in cells and that matrix calcium is required for several citric acid cycle dehydrogenases.

4) The authors note on p. 14 that "little is known about the effects of Ca in brown adipocytes"; this is hardly a scientifically valid statement. If the authors put in "brown fat" and "calcium" in PubMed, they will obtain  $\approx 250$  references, many of which, starting in the earlier 1970s through to the late 1990s, investigate a role of calcium in brown fat mitochondria and isolated adipocytes!

5) There is also something of a conundrum regarding energy expenditure: the wildtype mice lose body weight and decrease food intake without a change in energy expenditure, while the KO mice also decrease food intake but do not decrease body weight. Any comment?

6) Regarding Fig. 4, the authors should show the uptake per organ/depot since the decrease in weight is presumably only triglyceride so that the active components are still present, such that even an unchanged actual uptake per depot would appear to be an increase given per g tissue.

7) The reference to Quesada-López is incomplete.

1st Revision - authors' response

20 October 2017

(begins on next page)

# Detailed response to the reviewers' comments

# Referee #1:

Schilperoort et al. investigate the role of GPR120 in BAT. They find that TUG-891, a selective agonist for GPR120, reduces body weight in mice and promotes adipogenesis in brown adipocytes. Interestingly, they find that O2 consumption in brown adipocytes is induced in a calcium-dependent manner. This is a very interesting ms. However, several crucial points have to be addressed - especially in the light of previously published papers on GPR120 and Gq signaling in BAT.

- The authors observe lower EE, but increased fat oxidation in the TUG treated mice. However, this was calculated only by a formula. Thus, it would be very informative to analyse lipogenesis and lipolysis markers (since the authors postulate that lipogenesis is increased). Thermogenic markers in BAT and WAT (GPR120 implicated in browning) should be measured, as well as serum TG levels.

### Authors' reply:

We have now measured plasma TG levels in the mice, and observed increased TG levels in TUG-891-treated mice (Appendix Fig S3A). Potentially, this is due to increased lipolysis in WAT to fuel to highly active BAT in these animals.

In addition, we measured expression of the lipolytic genes *Atgl* and *Hsl*, lipogenic genes *Fasn*, *Acc1*, *Acc2*, *Dgat2* and *Scd1*, proliferation genes *Ccna*, *Ccnb* and *Mki67* and the thermogenic genes *Ucp1*, *Cidea*, *Pparα* and *Pparγ*, in BAT and/or WAT (Appendix Fig S3F-H). Expression of most genes was unaffected by TUG-891 treatment in BAT.

In WAT, lipogenesis markers were downregulated while proliferation markers were upregulated. Additionally, *Ucp1* expression was markedly increased in gWAT (Appendix Fig S3H), suggesting browning of this fat depot. UCP1 staining of adipose tissues confirmed increased UCP1 levels in gWAT (Appendix Fig S4).

# Changes made to the manuscript:

- These data have been added to the Results section (page 11, lines 324-329):

"Plasma TG levels were increased at endpoint, possibly as a result of increased lipolysis (Appendix Fig S3A). Protein (Appendix Fig S3B-E) and gene (Appendix Fig S3F) expression of markers for lipolysis, adipogenesis, proliferation and thermogenesis were largely unaffected in BAT. However, Ucp1 gene expression (Appendix Fig S3H) and protein staining (Appendix Fig S4) was increased in gWAT of TUG-891-treated animals, suggesting GPR120mediated browning."

- GPR120 signaling in brown adipocytes is still not clear. The authors should use the in vitro model to address this crucial point:

1. GPR120 KO cells differentiate less. It is unclear why. If GPR120 is Gq-coupled, one would expect the opposite. How many wt and ko mice were used to isolate cells, how many independent biological replicates were performed for the differentiation experiments? To address this important point, the authors should at least study the effect of chronic TUG treatment during brown adipocyte differentiation in wt and ko cells (adipogenic and thermogenic markers as well as Oil Red O have to be quantified).

Authors' reply:

Indeed, a recent study showed that the Gq signaling pathway can have a negative effect on brown adipogenesis (Klepac *et al*, 2016). However, the fact that multiple GPCRs can be coupled to the same type of G-protein does not necessarily mean they have the same mechanism of action. There have been various examples in literature in which Gq coupled receptors have been found to have a positive effect on thermogenic activity of brown adipocytes (Ootsuka & Blessing, 2006, Zhao *et al*, 1997). Also, two independent studies have already shown an impaired differentiation of 3T3-L1 cells after knockdown of *Gpr120* (Gotoh *et al*, 2007, Liu *et al*, 2012). Their results were very comparable with ours, also showing reduced lipid droplet accumulation and *aP2* expression in GPR120-deficient adipocytes. We performed our experiments with three biological replicates per condition. According to the reviewer's suggestion, we now also studied effects of chronic TUG-891 treatment in wildtype and GPR120 KO cells (Fig 6C&D). Again, we observed a decreased *aP2* expression in GPR120 KO adipocytes as compared to wildtype cells. In addition, *Ucp1* expression and lipid droplet accumulation (quantified Oil Red O staining) were decreased in KO cells throughout the differentiation period. Continuous treatment with TUG-891 trended to increase *Ucp1* expression after 7 or 9 days of differentiation in wildtype cells, but not in KO cells.

# Changes made to the manuscript:

- These data have been added to the Results section (page 13, lines 398-405):

"To study whether GPR120 is directly involved in adipocyte differentiation, brown adipocyte cell lines were generated from WT and GPR120 KO mice. Both cell lines differentiated to mature brown adipocytes when exposed to a standard hormone differentiation treatment. However, GPR120 KO adipocytes accumulated a lower amount of lipids as evidenced by Oil Red O staining (Fig 6C), and exhibited lower expression of the adipocyte differentiation marker aP2 and Ucp1 (Fig 6D), suggesting impaired differentiation in GPR120 KO cells. Treatment with TUG-891 throughout differentiation tended to increase Ucp1 expression in WT but not GPR120 KO cells (Fig 6D)."

# 2. Does GPR120 signal via Gs in brown adipocytes? Acute treatment with TUG and its effect on cellular cAMP should be measured.

# Authors' reply:

To our knowledge, there is no evidence that GPR120 can signal via Gs in any cell type. To confirm whether this is true for brown adipocytes, we have treated cells with vehicle, TUG-891, or forskolin and measured intracellular cAMP levels. As expected, we found that our positive control forskolin increased cAMP levels, while TUG-891 did not (Appendix Fig S9D).

Also, phosphorylation of PKA substrates and HSL (Ser563) was decreased in BAT of TUG-891-treated mice compared to controls (Appendix Fig S3C-E), suggesting cAMP signaling does not contribute to effects of TUG-891 *in vivo*.

# Changes made to the manuscript:

- *In vitro* data concerning cAMP has been added to the Results section (page 14, lines 430-432):

"To ensure that GPR120 is Gαq coupled and does not signal via Gαs in brown adipocytes, the effect of TUG-891 on intracellular cAMP levels was determined. As expected, TUG-891 had no effect on cAMP production (Appendix Fig S9D)."

- Data on protein phosphorylation of downstream cAMP targets *in vivo* have been added to the Results section (page 11, lines 325-327):

"Protein Appendix Fig S3B-E) and gene (Appendix Fig S3F) expression of markers for lipolysis, adipogenesis, proliferation and thermogenesis were largely unaffected in BAT."

### **Minor points:**

### - Make sure that the recent literature on Gq signaling and GPCRs in BAT is cited correctly.

We apologize for this oversight. The citations of Gorski *et al*. and Quesada-López *et al*. have now been completed.

- Hudson et al., 2013, Mol Pharmacol show that TUG-891 is a potent agonist of FFA4 with only limited selectivity for mouse FFA1, complicating its use in vivo. Therefore the authors use GPR120 knockout mice. They state (line252) "In GPR120 KO mice, TUG-891 failed to significantly reduce total body weight (Fig. 3A) and fat mass (Fig 3B),..." The authors should rephrase this, because TUG clearly reduces both body weight and fat mass, albeit not significantly.

### Authors' reply:

We agree with the reviewer that there is a mild effect of TUG-891 on body weight and fat mass in GPR120 KO mice. We have rephrased this section as shown below.

### Changes made to the manuscript:

- This part in the Result section has been altered (page 11, lines 333-334):

"In GPR120 KO mice, TUG-891 non-significantly reduced body weight (Fig 3A) and fat mass (Fig 3B), but not to the same extent as in WT mice."

- TUG treated mice have reduced food intake. However, the authors did not discuss whether increased fat turnover could be centrally induced as well. This should be discussed. A tissue-specific deletion of GPR120 only in BAT would be nice to have, but is clearly beyond the scope of this study.

# Authors' reply:

To our knowledge, it has not been studied whether TUG-891 is able to pass the blood brain barrier. However, carboxylic acids similar to TUG-891 show difficulty penetrating the CNS, making it unlikely for TUG-891 to exert metabolic effects by affecting the brain. Nevertheless, we discuss this possibility in the revised manuscript and propose using tissue-specific GPR120 KO mice for future experiments.

#### Changes made to the manuscript:

- Information on this topic has been added to the Discussion section (page 17, lines 517-528):

"This indicates that the effects of TUG-891 in vivo could all have been mediated by direct BAT activation. However, we cannot exclude involvement of other tissues, as GPR120 is not exclusively expressed on brown adipocytes. For example, GPR120 is expressed in the hypothalamus and central agonism of GPR120 has been shown to affect energy metabolism (Auguste et al, 2016, Dragano et al, 2017). However, as carboxylic acids similar to TUG-891 have difficulty penetrating the blood brain barrier, this is not very likely (Pajouhesh & Lenz, 2005). ... Future experiments with tissue-specific GPR120 KO mice would be valuable to assess tissue specificity of TUG-891."

# Referee #2:

The paper describes targeting of Gpr120 to increase BAT function and thereby improve metabolism. Use of a Gpr120 agonist leads to a protection from diet induced obesity together with an improved metabolism. They furthermore show that activation of Gpr120 leads to increased brown fat adipogenesis in a Ca dependent manner.

The paper is technically well done and presented. It has to be noted that the effect of Gpr120 has already been described by the group of Villaroya, the novelty and clinical translation of this work is based on the use of a Gpr120 agonist which might also be utilized clinically. Therefore, in my opinion it fits very well to the scope of EMBO Molecular Medicine.

There are a few points which need to be addressed in my opinion:

1. The data in Fig.1 needs to be normalized to lean body mass. This might actually change the statement about reduced utilization of glucose.

# Authors' reply:

We have now normalized all figures depicting energy expenditure, fat oxidation and glucose oxidation to lean body mass. This normalization did not affect the results of Figure 1, as the mice were housed in metabolic cages during the first week of treatment when lean mass was equal in both groups.

2. The data presented in Fig. 2 on inguinal WAT suggests the presence of more beige cells, is this correct. Did the authors look at gene expression of thermogenic genes? How do the authors envisage that these cells are formed (see point 5) and do the authors expect that this contributes to the overall phenotype. This should be discussed in detail (see also point 4).

# Authors' reply:

Indeed, *Ucp1* expression was markedly increased in gWAT of TUG-891-treated mice (Appendix Fig S3H). UCP1 staining of adipose tissues confirmed increased UCP1 levels in gWAT and showed a trend towards increased UCP1 in sWAT (Appendix Fig S4). The increased UCP1 expression in white fat could be due to either transdifferentiation of white adipocytes into beige adipocytes, or proliferation of progenitor cells and their differentiation into beige adipocytes. Increased expression of proliferation markers (i.e. *Ccna*, *Ccnb* and *Mki67*) in white fat of TUG-891-treated mice supports the latter possibility (Appendix Fig S3G-H). These data are consistent with an earlier report on the role of GPR120 in browning of WAT (Quesada-López et al, 2016), however, it remains to be determined to what extent this contributes to thermogenesis (Kalinovich et al, 2017).

# Changes made to the manuscript:

- These data have been added to the Results section (page 11, lines 325-329):

"Protein (Appendix Fig S3B-E) and gene (Appendix Fig S3F) expression of markers for lipolysis, adipogenesis, proliferation and thermogenesis were largely unaffected in BAT. However, Ucp1 gene expression (Appendix Fig S3H) and protein staining (Appendix Fig S4) was increased in gWAT of TUG-891-treated animals, suggesting GPR120mediated browning." 3. The food intake is interesting especially since the use of ko mice shows a similar trend in response to treatment (albeit not significant), suggesting that at least a small part of the phenotype is mediated by off-target effects. This should be discussed.

# Authors' reply:

Our results indeed show a reduction in food intake in both wildtype and GPR120 KO mice, indicating an effect of TUG-891 that is not mediated through GPR120. TUG-891 also has some affinity for another G protein-coupled receptor, namely GPR40, which has been shown to affect food intake in mice (Gorski *et al*, 2017). Therefore, we explain in the Discussion section of the manuscript that effects of TUG-891 on food intake might be GPR40-mediated (pages 17, lines 505-510):

"GPR40 is involved in insulin secretion and glucose metabolism (El-Azzouny et al, 2014, Itoh et al, 2003), and GPR40 KO mice develop obesity, glucose intolerance and insulin resistance (Kebede et al, 2008). Also, activation of GPR40 has recently been shown to reduce food intake and body weight in mice (Gorski et al, 2017). In our study, food intake was reduced in both wild type and GPR120 KO mice treated with TUG-891. Therefore, this effect might be mediated through GPR40 instead of GPR120."

4. In general, it is problematic to make the link between BAT activation and the observed phenotype without experiments at thermoneutrality. I am happy that the authors have phrased their manuscript very carefully in that regard, nevertheless this point should be mentioned.

# Authors' reply:

We agree with the reviewer that it would be interesting to perform these experiments at thermoneutrality. We believe that this would result in even greater differences between the vehicle- and TUG-891-treated mice, as there is little to no basal BAT activation at thermoneutrality. We have suggested performing experiments at thermoneutrality as future research in the Discussion section.

# Changes made to the manuscript:

 The possibility of performing experiments at themoneutrality has been suggested as future research in the Discussion section (page 17 lines 528-530):

"In addition, it would be interesting to repeat our in vivo experiments at thermoneutrality, to substantiate the link between BAT activation and the observed phenotype."

5. The role of Gpr120 in adipogenesis is problematic in my opinion. The only data which supports this notion is the Ap2 expression and maybe the fact that there are more brown adipocytes. Based on the presented data the authors should be able to calculate the overall number of brown adipocytes in BAT from their average cell size data and organ weight. Is this number increased? If yes it would strengthen their point on adipogenesis, if not this part should either be removed or discussed very critically.

# Authors' reply:

In the manuscript, we have used the word 'adipogenesis' interchangeably with 'differentiation' to discuss the process of preadipocytes maturing to brown adipocytes (Fig 6). However, this does not apply when you define adipogenesis as the formation of new adipocytes through cell divisions. We have insufficient evidence to support a role of GPR120 in proliferation of brown adipocytes. An increase in proliferation markers (*Ccna, Ccnb* and *Mki67*) was observed in WAT of TUG-891-treated mice (Appendix Fig S3G-H), but this was not the case for BAT

(Appendix Fig S3F). Also, TUG-891 treatment reduced iBAT weight and lipid droplet content quite comparably, with -31% and -28% respectively (Fig 2). A larger relative decrease in lipid droplet content compared to relative decreased total iBAT weight would suggest an increased number of brown adipocytes. However, this was not the case. To avoid confusion regarding semantics, we have changed 'adipogenesis' in the manuscript to 'differentia-tion'.

# 6. From my point of view, it looks like TUG might mainly regulate BAT activity (based on the outcome of the calculation in point 6). This should be better reflected in the abstract.

# Authors' reply:

We agree that our data suggest that TUG-891 mainly regulates BAT activity. However, as GPR120 is not exclusively expressed in BAT, we cannot exclude the involvement of other tissues in metabolic effects of TUG-891. This point was also raised by the other reviewers. Therefore, we refrain from suggesting that metabolic effects of TUG-891 were all due to increased BAT activity, and now suggest the possibility of using tissue-specific KO mice for future experiments to assess specificity.

# Changes made to the manuscript:

The suggestion of using tissue-specific GPR120 KO mice to evaluate specificity of TUG-891 has been added to the Discussion section (page 17, lines 517-528):

"This indicates that the effects of TUG-891 in vivo could all have been mediated by direct BAT activation. However, we cannot exclude involvement of other tissues, as GPR120 is not exclusively expressed on brown adipocytes. ... Future experiments with tissue-specific GPR120 KO mice would be valuable to assess tissue specificity of TUG-891."

# Referee #3:

The manuscript by Schilperoort and colleagues presents results of investigations of the effects of the GPR120 agonist TUG891 on mouse metabolism and particularly on brown adipose tissue. Significantly similar data were recently reported from Villarroya's laboratory, which agree with many of the observations here. There are two more unique points, one of which is a concern, the other potentially of interest provided the necessary controls confirm an effect.

1) The first point that worries me is the decrease in lean body mass that the authors report but subsequently ignore, since they say that the loss of lean is only 10 %, while that of lipid is much greater. While this is mathematically correct, I think the authors should be considerably more concerned, since most of the lean mass is unlikely to change (bones, brain, kidney etc.). They demonstrate a decrease in liver weight but have apparently not measured skeletal muscle. This would be very important to measure to evaluate potential negative effects of the treatment.

# Authors' reply:

Unfortunately, we did not measure the skeletal muscle weight. To assess whether the treatment affected muscle tissue we examined gene expression of various markers of inflammation, fibrosis, atrophy and regeneration. While markers for inflammation and fibrosis were not affected by treatment, markers for both muscle atrophy and regeneration tended to be increased. These results suggest a higher muscle turnover which could be caused by off-target effects of TUG-891. Alternatively, effects on muscle could have been mediated through GPR120, as literature is still divided on whether GPR120 signaling plays a role in muscle cells.

# Changes made to the manuscript:

These data have been added to the Results section (page 10, lines 309-311):

"The reduced lean mass could be due to increased muscle turnover, as TUG-891 non-significantly increased expression of markers for both muscle atrophy and regeneration (Appendix Fig S1)."

Information on this topic has been added to the Discussion section (page 17, lines 523-527):

"Also, conflicting reports exist on whether GPR120 plays a role in muscle physiology and metabolism (Kim et al, 2015, Oh et al, 2010). In our study, expression of Gadd45a, Murf1 and Myog in skeletal muscle tissue was mildly affected by TUG-891 treatment. Whether this is an off-target effect or GPR120-mediated effect, which could affect muscle function, remains to be investigated."

2) The second point refers to the observation of an acute stimulation of respiration by TUG in isolated adipocytes. This could be an interesting observation but its validity must be checked. There are several possible reasons for this result. The agonist could stimulate increases in cyclic AMP and the respiration would then follow as it does with e.g. norepinephrine. This is probably not very likely. However, was the effect inhibited by AH7614? If so, this would add credibility to it being a somewhat specific effect.

# Authors' reply:

To exclude potential involvement of cAMP mediated signaling, we have treated cells with vehicle, TUG-891, or forskolin and measured intracellular cAMP levels. As expected, we found that our positive control forskolin increased cAMP levels, while TUG-891 did not (Appendix Fig S9D). Also, we have pretreated cells with AH7614 to study the specificity of the TUG-891-induced respiration. AH7614 reduced the stimulated respiration by approx-

imately -50% (Fig 7A), indicating that the effect of TUG-891 is both GPR120-dependent and GPR120-independent (see next remark).

# Changes made to the manuscript:

- Data involving cAMP have been added to the Results section (page 14, lines 430-432):

"To ensure that GPR120 is Gαq coupled and does not signal via Gαs in brown adipocytes, the effect of TUG-891 on intracellular cAMP levels was determined. As expected, TUG-891 had no effect on cAMP production (Appendix Fig S9D)."

- Data from the new AH7614 experiment have been added to the Results section (page 14, lines 410-413):

"Strikingly, TUG-891 acutely increased the  $O_2$  consumption rate of brown adipocytes by more than twofold (Fig 7A). Pretreatment with the GPR120 antagonist AH7614 reduced rather than abolished this response (Fig 7A), indicating that TUG-891 exhibits both GPR120-dependent and GPR120-independent activity."

The agonist could be a direct activator of UCP1, in a similar manner to e.g. fatty acids and retinoic acid. This would be interesting and potentially of therapeutic significance. However, to investigate this further, the authors need to convince me that the effect is not an artifact of the system. Thus, they should repeat the experiments under conditions as indicated in e.g. Li et al. EMBO Rep. 2014 in the presence of 2 % BSA. It would also help if UCP1 KO cells could be used to demonstrate some specificity. Essentially all carboxylic acids can uncouple any mitochondria given sufficient concentration!

# Authors' reply:

The reviewer makes a very good point. We have now measured TUG-891-induced respiration in isolated mitochondria from wild type and UCP1-deficient mice. Interestingly, at concentrations ranging from 10-40  $\mu$ M (10  $\mu$ M TUG-891 has been used in all *in vitro* experiments), TUG-891 increased respiration in wild type but not UCP1deficient mitochondria (Fig 7B&C). These results indicate that TUG-891 indeed activates UCP1 directly, presumably without involvement of GPR120 signaling. This also explains the partial but not full inhibition of respiration following AH7614 pretreatment, and suggests mechanisms of action both dependent and independent of GPR120.

# Changes made to the manuscript:

This data has been added to the Results section (page 14, lines 414-427):

"We investigated whether TUG-891 functions in a manner similar to LCFAs which can directly activate UCP1 by measuring  $O_2$  consumption in isolated BAT mitochondria in conditions mimicking a cellular environment with high purine nucleotide (GDP) content and inhibited UCP1 (Matthias et al, 2000). Indeed, TUG-891 ( $\geq$  10 µM) increased  $O_2$  consumption in mitochondria isolated from WT mice (Fig 7B), suggesting that TUG-891 has the capacity to overcome purine nucleotide inhibition and activate UCP1 in brown adipocytes. TUG-891 also increased  $O_2$ consumption in mitochondria from UCP1 KO mice, but this effect was smaller and occurred at higher concentrations ( $\geq$  90 µM) as compared to WT mitochondria (Fig 7C), a response that is also observed with oleate (Shabalina et al, 2004). As oxidative capacity (FCCP response, Fig 7B&C) of WT and UCP1 KO mitochondria was equal, these results suggest that TUG-891 increases mitochondrial respiration through activation of UCP1 (Appendix Fig S9A). TUG-891 exhibited a competitive interaction with GDP in WT but not UCP1 KO mitochondria (Appendix Fig S9B&C), further supporting the effect of TUG-891 on UCP1."

- Information on this topic has been added to the Discussion section (pages 17-18, lines 531-540):

"Using a GPR120 antagonist, we discovered that the TUG-891-induced increase in O<sub>2</sub> consumption in brown adipocytes is only partly mediated by GPR120. The GPR120-independent effect of TUG-891 could be due to direct activation of mitochondrial UCP1. TUG-891 relieves the natural inhibition of UCP1 by GDP (Matthias et al, 2000), similar to oleate and other LCFAs (Fedorenko et al, 2012, Shabalina et al, 2004), thereby leading to increased UCP1 activity and uncoupled mitochondrial respiration. This could explain the moderately decreased fat mass and increased FA uptake by BAT in TUG-891-treated GPR120 KO mice. However, as most metabolic effects of TUG-891 were largely attenuated or abolished in GPR120 KO mice, BAT activation by TUG-891 in vivo is mainly dependent on GPR120 signaling."

# Other points:

3) The observation of an increase in intracellular calcium following TUG treatment in isolated adipocytes is an entirely expected response to a Gq-coupled agonist, similarly to the response to an alpha1-adrenergic agonist. Note however that negative effects of BAPTA do not show that the increased oxygen consumption is stimulated through calcium, merely that cytosolic and mitochondrial calcium homeostasis is necessary for most responses in cells and that matrix calcium is required for several citric acid cycle dehydrogenases.

# Authors' reply:

Indeed, we cannot be sure whether calcium availability is necessary for the response to TUG-891, or whether calcium is directly mediating this response. Therefore, we have formulated our results more careful in this regard. Also, to substantiate the role of calcium in GPR120-mediated activation of brown adipocytes, we have further examined the potential mechanism through which the induction of calcium could increase respiration. Experiments using both membrane potential dependent and independent MitoTracker dyes suggest the involvement of calcium-induced mitochondrial depolarization, and subsequently fragmentation. We elaborate on this potential mechanism in the revised manuscript.

# Changes made to the manuscript:

New mechanistic data has been added to the Results section (page 15, lines 442-453):

"As Ca<sup>2+</sup> could affect mitochondrial polarization, effects of TUG-891 on mitochondrial membrane potential was investigated. Cells were incubated with MitoTracker Green FM (MTG) and MitoTracker Red CMXRos (MTR), which stain mitochondria independent of and dependent on membrane potential, respectively. Relative intensity (MTR/MTG) of these stainings can be used as a measure for mitochondrial polarization. Stimulation with TUG-891 resulted in fading of the MTR signal while the MTG signal remained intense, indicative of mitochondrial depolarization (Fig 7F). In addition, mitochondria were more fragmented following TUG-891 stimulation (Fig 7G), pointing towards increased mitochondrial fission, which could explain the GPR120-dependent increase in respiration. Of note, the timing of TUG-891-induced changes in mitochondrial morphology coincide with increases in intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>, suggesting this effect is mediated through Ca<sup>2+</sup>."

# Changes made to the manuscript:

Information on this topic has been added to the Discussion section (page 18, lines 545-559):

"A recent study showed that Ca<sup>2+</sup> could increase respiration in brown adipocytes by decreasing the mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) (Hou et al, 2017). Evidently, the  $\beta$  receptor agonist isoprenaline induces Ca<sup>2+</sup> release from the endoplasmic reticulum of brown adipocytes resulting in mitochondrial depolarization and fission (Hou et al, 2017), the latter being a process required for NA-induced uncoupled respiration (Wikstrom et al, 2014). Therefore, we studied whether this Ca<sup>2+</sup>-mediated pathway of mitochondrial depolarization and fission could also underlie GPR120-mediated activation of brown adipocytes. Mitochondria were co-stained with the MMP sensitive MitoTracker CMXRos (MTR) and the MMP insensitive MitoTracker Green (MTG) (Pendergrass et al, 2004), and

the relative ratio of MTR/MTG was used as a measure for mitochondrial depolarization (as seen in (Wikstrom et al, 2014) in which TMRE was used instead of MTR). TUG-891 stimulation resulted in a reduction in the MTR/MTG ratio, indicative of mitochondrial depolarization. Also, TUG-891 increased mitochondrial fragmentation, presumably secondary to Ca<sup>2+</sup>-induced mitochondrial depolarization. These results suggest that GPR120 signaling could increase metabolic activity of brown adipocytes by stimulation of mitochondrial fission in a Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent manner."

4) The authors note on p. 14 that "little is known about the effects of Ca in brown adipocytes"; this is hardly a scientifically valid statement. If the authors put in "brown fat" and "calcium" in PubMed, they will obtain â‰<sup>2</sup> 250 references, many of which, starting in the earlier 1970s through to the late 1990s, investigate a role of calcium in brown fat mitochondria and isolated adipocytes!

We have removed the above-mentioned statement from the revised manuscript.

5) There is also something of a conundrum regarding energy expenditure: the wildtype mice lose body weight and decrease food intake without a change in energy expenditure, while the KO mice also decrease food intake but do not decrease body weight. Any comment?

Indeed, using our automated metabolic cage system we did not observe a difference in energy expenditure between TUG-891 treated wild type and GPR120 KO mice. This would suggest the difference in body weight and fat mass between the animals is entirely due to a difference in food intake. However, five days of treatment with TUG-891 did significantly reduce fat mass without affecting food intake (Figure 1), indicating increased energy expenditure. This difference in energy expenditure was not detected using our metabolic cage system, most likely due to a lack in sensitivity.

6) Regarding Fig. 4, the authors should show the uptake per organ/depot since the decrease in weight is presumably only triglyceride so that the active components are still present, such that even an unchanged actual uptake per depot would appear to be an increase given per g tissue.

We have now also included the uptake per organ in the manuscript in addition to the uptake per gram tissue (Appendix Fig S6). This figure does not include data for sWAT, sBAT and skeletal muscle, as these organs cannot not be taken out quantitatively within an acceptable time frame. As can be appreciated from the new figure, FA uptake per whole iBAT remains significantly increased, consistent with increased BAT activity.

# Changes made to the manuscript:

- These data have been added to the Results section (page 12, lines 349-352):

"However, when uptake data were corrected for organ weight (for organs that could be removed quantitatively within an acceptable time frame), this difference in glucose uptake was lost. FA uptake in whole iBAT remained approximately twice as high in treated WT mice versus controls (Appendix Fig S6), showing an independency of organ weight."

# 7) The reference to Quesada-LÃ<sup>3</sup>pez is incomplete.

# Authors' reply:

We apologize for this oversight. The reference has now been completed.

# **References**

Auguste S, Fisette A, Fernandes MF, Hryhorczuk C, Poitout V, Alquier T, Fulton S (2016) Central Agonism of GPR120 Acutely Inhibits Food Intake and Food Reward and Chronically Suppresses Anxiety-Like Behavior in Mice. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 19

Dragano NRV, Solon C, Ramalho AF, de Moura RF, Razolli DS, Christiansen E, Azevedo C, Ulven T, Velloso LA (2017) Polyunsaturated fatty acid receptors, GPR40 and GPR120, are expressed in the hypothalamus and control energy homeostasis and inflammation. J Neuroinflammation 14: 91

El-Azzouny M, Evans CR, Treutelaar MK, Kennedy RT, Burant CF (2014) Increased glucose metabolism and glycerolipid formation by fatty acids and GPR40 receptor signaling underlies the fatty acid potentiation of insulin secretion. J Biol Chem 289: 13575-88

Fedorenko A, Lishko PV, Kirichok Y (2012) Mechanism of fatty-acid-dependent UCP1 uncoupling in brown fat mitochondria. Cell 151: 400-13

Gorski JN, Pachanski MJ, Mane J, Plummer CW, Souza S, Thomas-Fowlkes BS, Ogawa AM, Weinglass AB, Di Salvo J, Cheewatrakoolpong B, Howard AD, Colletti SL, Trujillo ME (2017) GPR40 reduces food intake and body weight through GLP-1. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 313: E37-E47

Gotoh C, Hong YH, Iga T, Hishikawa D, Suzuki Y, Song SH, Choi KC, Adachi T, Hirasawa A, Tsujimoto G, Sasaki S, Roh SG (2007) The regulation of adipogenesis through GPR120. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 354: 591-7

Hou Y, Kitaguchi T, Kriszt R, Tseng YH, Raghunath M, Suzuki M (2017) Ca2+-associated triphasic pH changes in mitochondria during brown adipocyte activation. Mol Metab 6: 797-808

Itoh Y, Kawamata Y, Harada M, Kobayashi M, Fujii R, Fukusumi S, Ogi K, Hosoya M, Tanaka Y, Uejima H, Tanaka H, Maruyama M, Satoh R, Okubo S, Kizawa H, Komatsu H, Matsumura F, Noguchi Y, Shinohara T, Hinuma S et al. (2003) Free fatty acids regulate insulin secretion from pancreatic beta cells through GPR40. Nature 422: 173-6

Kalinovich AV, de Jong JM, Cannon B, Nedergaard J (2017) UCP1 in adipose tissues: two steps to full browning. Biochimie 134: 127-137

Kebede M, Alquier T, Latour MG, Semache M, Tremblay C, Poitout V (2008) The fatty acid receptor GPR40 plays a role in insulin secretion in vivo after high-fat feeding. Diabetes 57: 2432-7

Kim N, Lee JO, Lee HJ, Kim HI, Kim JK, Lee YW, Lee SK, Kim SJ, Park SH, Kim HS (2015) Endogenous Ligand for GPR120, Docosahexaenoic Acid, Exerts Benign Metabolic Effects on the Skeletal Muscles via AMP-activated Protein Kinase Pathway. J Biol Chem 290: 20438-47

Klepac K, Kilic A, Gnad T, Brown LM, Herrmann B, Wilderman A, Balkow A, Glode A, Simon K, Lidell ME, Betz MJ, Enerback S, Wess J, Freichel M, Bluher M, Konig G, Kostenis E, Insel PA, Pfeifer A (2016) The Gq signalling pathway inhibits brown and beige adipose tissue. Nat Commun 7: 10895

Liu D, Wang L, Meng Q, Kuang H, Liu X (2012) G-protein coupled receptor 120 is involved in glucose metabolism in fat cells. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand) 58: 1757-62

Matthias A, Ohlson KB, Fredriksson JM, Jacobsson A, Nedergaard J, Cannon B (2000) Thermogenic responses in brown fat cells are fully UCP1-dependent. UCP2 or UCP3 do not substitute for UCP1 in adrenergically or fatty scid-induced thermogenesis. J Biol Chem 275: 25073-81

Oh DY, Talukdar S, Bae EJ, Imamura T, Morinaga H, Fan W, Li P, Lu WJ, Watkins SM, Olefsky JM (2010) GPR120 is an omega-3 fatty acid receptor mediating potent anti-inflammatory and insulin-sensitizing effects. Cell 142: 687-98

Ootsuka Y, Blessing WW (2006) Thermogenesis in brown adipose tissue: increase by 5-HT2A receptor activation and decrease by 5-HT1A receptor activation in conscious rats. Neurosci Lett 395: 170-4

Pajouhesh H, Lenz GR (2005) Medicinal chemical properties of successful central nervous system drugs. NeuroRx 2: 541-53

Pendergrass W, Wolf N, Poot M (2004) Efficacy of MitoTracker Green and CMXrosamine to measure changes in mitochondrial membrane potentials in living cells and tissues. Cytometry A 61: 162-9

Quesada-López T, Cereijo R, Turatsinze JV, Planavila A, Cairó M, Gavaldà-Navarro A, Peyrou M, Moure R, Iglesias R, Giralt M, Eizirik DL, Villarroya F (2016) The lipid sensor GPR120 promotes brown fat activation and FGF21 release from adipocytes. Nat Commun 7: 13479

Shabalina IG, Jacobsson A, Cannon B, Nedergaard J (2004) Native UCP1 displays simple competitive kinetics between the regulators purine nucleotides and fatty acids. J Biol Chem 279: 38236-48

Wikstrom JD, Mahdaviani K, Liesa M, Sereda SB, Si Y, Las G, Twig G, Petrovic N, Zingaretti C, Graham A, Cinti S, Corkey BE, Cannon B, Nedergaard J, Shirihai OS (2014) Hormone-induced mitochondrial fission is utilized by brown adipocytes as an amplification pathway for energy expenditure. Embo j 33: 418-36

Zhao J, Cannon B, Nedergaard J (1997) alpha1-Adrenergic stimulation potentiates the thermogenic action of beta3-adrenoreceptor-generated cAMP in brown fat cells. J Biol Chem 272: 32847-56

Thank you for the submission of your revised manuscript to EMBO Molecular Medicine. We have now received the enclosed report from the referee who was asked to re-assess it. As you will see, reviewer 1 remains supportive while still requesting additional data. Therefore, I would like to encourage you to address the following:

1) Please address referee 1's comments about GPR120 signalling, experimentally in BAT, and make sure to discuss the findings appropriately, citing correct references.

\*\*\*\*\* Reviewer's comments \*\*\*\*\*

Referee #1 (Remarks for Author):

The authors need to show that GPR120 is coupled to Gq in brown adipocytes. Previous studies have shown that activation of Gq in brown adipocytes inhibits differentiation. Moroever, the authors now state"These results indicate that TUG-891 indeed activates UCP1 directly, presuma-bly without involvement of GPR120 signaling." This is a crucial point to strengthen the major findings of this manuscript.

The discussion on the role of Gq in brwon adipocytes should be more balanced.

2nd Revision - authors' response

20 December 2017

(begins on next page)

# Detailed response to the editors' and reviewers' comments

1) Please address referee 1's comments about GPR120 signalling, experimentally in BAT, and make sure to discuss the findings appropriately, citing correct references. Please provide a letter INCLUDING the reviewer's reports and your detailed responses to their comments (as Word file).

# Referee #1 (Remarks for Author):

The authors need to show that GPR120 is coupled to Gq in brown adipocytes. Previous studies have shown that activation of Gq in brown adipocytes inhibits differentiation. Moreover, the authors now state "These results indicate that TUG-891 indeed activates UCP1 directly, presumably without involvement of GPR120 signaling." This is a crucial point to strengthen the major findings of this manuscript. The discussion on the role of Gq in brown adipocytes should be more balanced.

# Authors' reply:

Indeed, our results suggest that TUG-891 directly activates UCP1, which could contribute to beneficial metabolic effects of the compound. However, the fact that effects of TUG-891 on body weight, fat mass and fat oxidation *in vivo* were largely reduced or absent in GPR120 KO mice indicates that GPR120 signaling is important for these therapeutic effects of TUG-891. To substantiate involvement of Gaq signaling, we have now examined the GPR120-induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> release in brown adipocytes *in vitro* following incubation with the Gaq inhibitor YM-254890. Stimulation with TUG-891 did not induce Ca<sup>2+</sup> release in adipocytes pretreated with YM-254890 (Appendix Fig S9H), confirming dependency on Gaq signaling.

# Changes made to the manuscript:

- These data have been added to the Results section (pages 8-9, lines 244-246):

'The Gaq inhibitor YM-254890 also blocked the Ca<sup>2+</sup> response (Appendix Fig S9H), indicating that this effect of GPR120 activation is indeed mediated via Gaq signaling.'

#### **EMBO PRESS**

# YOU MUST COMPLETE ALL CELLS WITH A PINK BACKGROUND

PLEASE NOTE THAT THIS CHECKLIST WILL BE PUBLISHED ALONGSIDE YOUR PAPER

Corresponding Authors: Maaike Schilperoort and Mark Christian Journal Submitted to: EMBO Molecular Medicine Manuscript Number: EMM-2017-08047

#### Reporting Checklist For Life Sciences Articles (Rev. June 2017)

This checklist is used to ensure good reporting standards and to improve the reproducibility of published results. These guidelines are consistent with the Principles and Guidelines for Reporting Preclinical Research issued by the NIH in 2014. Please follow the journal's authorship guidelines in preparing your manuscript.

#### A- Figures

1. Data

#### The data shown in figures should satisfy the following conditions:

- → the data were obtained and processed according to the field's best practice and are presented to reflect the results of the experiments in an accurate and unbiased manner.
- ➔ figure panels include only data points, measurements or observations that can be compared to each other in a scientifically meaningful way. → graphs include clearly labeled error bars for independent experiments and sample sizes. Unless justified, error bars should
- not be shown for technical replicates.
- → if n< 5, the individual data points from each experiment should be plotted and any statistical test employed should be justified
- Source Data should be included to report the data underlying graphs. Please follow the guidelines set out in the author ship guidelines on Data Presentation

#### 2. Captions

#### Each figure caption should contain the following information, for each panel where they are relevant:

- ➔ a specification of the experimental system investigated (eg cell line, species name).
- the assay(s) and method(s) used to carry out the reported observations and measurements
- an explicit mention of the biological and chemical entity(ies) that are being measured. an explicit mention of the biological and chemical entity(ies) that are altered/varied/perturbed in a controlled manner.
- ➔ the exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a number, not a range;
- a description of the sample collection allowing the reader to understand whether the samples represent technical or biological replicates (including how many animals, litters, cultures, etc.).
- a statement of how many times the experiment shown was independently replicated in the laboratory
   definitions of statistical methods and measures:
- common tests, such as t-test (please specify whether paired vs. unpaired), simple χ2 tests, Wilcoxon and Mann-Whitney
  tests, can be unambiguously identified by name only, but more complex techniques should be described in the methods section:
- are tests one-sided or two-sided?
- are there adjustments for multiple comparisons?
- exact statistical test results, e.g., P values = x but not P values < x;</li> definition of 'center values' as median or average;
- definition of error bars as s.d. or s.e.m

Any descriptions too long for the figure legend should be included in the methods section and/or with the source data.

he pink boxes below, please ensure that the answers to the following questions are reported in the manuscript its ry question should be answered. If the question is not relevant to your research, please write NA (non applicable). e encourage you to include a specific subsection in the methods section for statistics, reagents, animal models and h

#### **B-** Statistics and general methods

### ease fill out these boxes 🖖 (Do not worry if you cannot see all yo 1.a. How was the sample size chosen to ensure adequate power to detect a pre-specified effect size? wer calculations were performed to ensure adequate sample size or our mouse experiments, power calculations were performed in accordance with animal ethics committees (significance level of 0.05 and power of 90%) to ensure adequate sample sizes 1.b. For animal studies, include a statement about sample size estimate even if no statistical methods were used 2. Describe inclusion/exclusion criteria if samples or animals were excluded from the analysis. Were the criteria pre-All samples and animals were included for analysis established? Were any steps taken to minimize the effects of subjective bias when allocating animals/samples to treatment (e.g. randomization procedure)? If yes, please describe. Yes, samples and animals were randomly assigned to different treatment groups For animal studies, include a statement about randomization even if no randomization was used Vice were randomized to different treatment groups. 4.a. Were any steps taken to minimize the effects of subjective bias during group allocation or/and when assessing results Group allocation was performed at random, and histological analysis of the adipose tissues was (e.g. blinding of the investigator)? If yes please describe rmed by a blinded investigator Freatment could not be blinded, but data analysis of subjective measures (such as histological analysis) was performed by a blinded investigator. 4.b. For animal studies, include a statement about blinding even if no blinding was done 5. For every figure, are statistical tests justified as appropriate? es, a two-tailed unpaired Student's T test or ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test was perform vere appropriate. Do the data meet the assumptions of the tests (e.g., normal distribution)? Describe any methods used to assess it. ns and QQ plots were used to assess normality of the data, but are not very informativ vith relatively low sample sizes in our study (n=3-8 per group)

#### USEFUL LINKS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM

http://www.antibodypedia.com

http://1degreebio.org http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improving-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-reporting-guidelines/improvin

http://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/olaw.htm http://www.mrc.ac.uk/Ourresearch/Ethicsresearchguidance/Useofanimals/index.htm http://ClinicalTrials.gov http://www.consort-statement.org http://www.consort-statement.org/checklists/view/32-consort/66-title

http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/reporting-recommendations-for-tur

http://datadryad.org

http://figshare.com

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap

http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega

http://biomodels.net/

http://biomodels.net/miriam/ http://jjj.biochem.sun.ac.za http://oba.od.nih.gov/biosecurity/biosecurity\_documents.html http://www.selectagents.gov/

Is there an estimate of variation within each group of data?	All data are expressed as means ± SEM
Is the variance similar between the groups that are being statistically compared?	Yes, groups show relatively similar variances.

#### C- Reagents

- 6	5. To show that antibodies were profiled for use in the system under study (assay and species), provide a citation, catalog	Each antibody used in our study is mentioned in the materials and methods including a reference
	number and/or clone number, supplementary information or reference to an antibody validation profile. e.g.,	or catalog number.
,	Antibodypedia (see link list at top right), 1DegreeBio (see link list at top right).	
	7. Identify the source of cell lines and report if they were recently authenticated (e.g., by STR profiling) and tested for	Previously described immortalized cell lines of primary cultures of BAT and sWAT were used for
	mycoplasma contamination.	experiments (Rosel et al, Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab, 2014). In addition, we created a GPR120
		KO cell line as described in our methods. Cell lines were not recently authenticated by STR profiling
		or tested for mycoplasma contamination.

\* for all hyperlinks, please see the table at the top right of the document

### **D- Animal Models**

<ol> <li>Report species, strain, gender, age of animals and genetic modification status where applicable. Please detail housing and husbandry conditions and the source of animals.</li> </ol>	All this data is described in the methods of our manuscript
9. For experiments involving live vertebrates, include a statement of compliance with ethical regulations and identify the committee(s) approving the experiments.	This information is included in our manuscript
10. We recommend consulting the ARRIVE guidelines (see link list at top right) (PLoS Biol. 8(6), e1000412, 2010) to ensure that other relevant aspects of animal studies are adequately reported. See author guidelines, under 'Reporting Guidelines'. See also: NIH (see link list at top right) and MRC (see link list at top right) recommendations. Please confirm compliance.	We believe that our manuscript is in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines

#### E- Human Subjects

11. Identify the committee(s) approving the study protocol.	NA
12. Include a statement confirming that informed consent was obtained from all subjects and that the experiments	NA
conformed to the principles set out in the WMA Declaration of Helsinki and the Department of Health and Human Services Belmont Report.	
13. For publication of patient photos, include a statement confirming that consent to publish was obtained.	NA
14. Report any restrictions on the availability (and/or on the use) of human data or samples.	NA
15. Report the clinical trial registration number (at ClinicalTrials.gov or equivalent), where applicable.	NA
16. For phase II and III randomized controlled trials, please refer to the CONSORT flow diagram (see link list at top right)	NA
and submit the CONSORT checklist (see link list at top right) with your submission. See author guidelines, under	
'Reporting Guidelines'. Please confirm you have submitted this list.	
17. For tumor marker prognostic studies, we recommend that you follow the REMARK reporting guidelines (see link list at	NA
top right). See author guidelines, under 'Reporting Guidelines'. Please confirm you have followed these guidelines.	

# F- Data Accessibility

18: Provide a "Data Availability" section at the end of the Materials & Methods, listing the accession codes for data	Our manuscript contains microarray data which have been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression
generated in this study and deposited in a public database (e.g. RNA-Seq data: Gene Expression Omnibus GSE39462,	Omnibus and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE97145
Proteomics data: PRIDE PXD000208 etc.) Please refer to our author guidelines for 'Data Deposition'.	(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE97145).
Data deposition in a public repository is mandatory for:	
a. Protein, DNA and RNA sequences	
b. Macromolecular structures	
c. Crystallographic data for small molecules	
d. Functional genomics data	
e. Proteomics and molecular interactions	
19. Deposition is strongly recommended for any datasets that are central and integral to the study; please consider the	All datasets used in this study are accessible
journal's data policy. If no structured public repository exists for a given data type, we encourage the provision of	
datasets in the manuscript as a Supplementary Document (see author guidelines under 'Expanded View' or in	
unstructured repositories such as Dryad (see link list at top right) or Figshare (see link list at top right).	
20. Access to human clinical and genomic datasets should be provided with as few restrictions as possible while	NA
respecting ethical obligations to the patients and relevant medical and legal issues. If practically possible and compatible	
with the individual consent agreement used in the study, such data should be deposited in one of the major public access-	
controlled repositories such as dbGAP (see link list at top right) or EGA (see link list at top right).	
21. Computational models that are central and integral to a study should be shared without restrictions and provided in a	NA
machine-readable form. The relevant accession numbers or links should be provided. When possible, standardized	
format (SBML, CellML) should be used instead of scripts (e.g. MATLAB). Authors are strongly encouraged to follow the	
MIRIAM guidelines (see link list at top right) and deposit their model in a public database such as Biomodels (see link list	
at top right) or JWS Online (see link list at top right). If computer source code is provided with the paper, it should be	
deposited in a public repository or included in supplementary information.	

#### G- Dual use research of concern

22. Could your study fall under dual use research restrictions? Please check biosecurity documents (see link list at top	NA
right) and list of select agents and toxins (APHIS/CDC) (see link list at top right). According to our biosecurity guidelines,	
provide a statement only if it could.	