

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (http://bmjopen.bmj.com).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

# **BMJ Open**

# A tailored strategy for designing the WALK-Copenhagen intervention to increase mobility in hospitalized elderly medical patients: a protocol for the qualitative part of the WALK-Copenhagen project

Journal:	BMJ Open		
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-020272		
Article Type:	Protocol		
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-Oct-2017		
Complete List of Authors:	Kirk, Jeanette; Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark, Clinical Research Centre Bodilsen, Ann Christine; Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark, Clinical Research Centre; Exercise and Health, Roskilde Municipality, Roskilde, Denmark Tjørnhøj-Thomsen, Tine; National Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark Pedersen, Mette Merete; Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark, Clinical Research Centre; Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation Research-Copenhagen, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Bandholm, Thomas; Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark, Clinical Research Centre; Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation Research-Copenhagen, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark Husted, Rasmus; Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark, Clinical Research Centre; Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation Research-Copenhagen, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark, Clinical Research Centre Poulsen, Lise; Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark, Clinical Research Centre Petersen, Janne; Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark, Clinical Research Centre; Section of Biostatistics, Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark Andersen, Ove; Copenhagen University Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark, Clinical Research Centre Nilsen, Per; Division of Community Medicine, Department of Medical and Health Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden		
Keywords:	Elderly medical patients, critical realism, implementation, study protocol		

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

- A tailored strategy for designing the WALK-Copenhagen
- 2 intervention to increase mobility in hospitalized elderly medical
- patients: a protocol for the qualitative part of the WALK-
- 4 Copenhagen project
- 5 Jeanette Wassar Kirk, Ann Christine Bodilsen, <sup>1,2</sup> Tine Tjørnhøj-Thomsen, <sup>3</sup> Mette
- 6 Merete Pedersen, 1,4,5 Thomas Bandholm, 1,4,5,6 Rasmus Skov Husted, 1,4,5 Lise
- 7 Kronborg, <sup>1</sup> Janne Petersen, <sup>1,7</sup> Ove Andersen, <sup>1</sup> Per Nilsen<sup>8</sup>
- 8 <sup>1</sup>Optimed, Clinical Research Centre, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre,
- 9 Denmark
- 10 <sup>2</sup>Exercise and Health, Roskilde Municipality, Roskilde, Denmark
- <sup>3</sup>National Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark
- <sup>4</sup>Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation Research-Copenhagen, Copenhagen University Hospital
- 13 Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark
- <sup>5</sup>Department of Physical and Occupational Therapy, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre,
- 15 Hvidovre, Denmark
- <sup>6</sup>Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre,
- 17 Denmark
- <sup>7</sup>Section of Biostatistics, Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen,
- 19 Denmark

- <sup>8</sup>Division of Community Medicine, Department of Medical and Health Sciences, Linköping
- 21 University, Linköping, Sweden
- 22 Correspondence to: Jeanette Wassar Kirk, PhD, Optimed, Clinical Research Centre, Copenhagen
- 23 University Hospital Hvidovre, Kettegaard Allé 30, 2650 Hvidovre, Denmark. Tel: +45 38626195.
- 24 E-mail: jeanette.wassar.kirk@regionh.dk
- **Keywords:** Study protocol, elderly medical patient, mobility, qualitative methods, critical
- 26 realism, implementation.
- 27 Word count: 4691

## **ABSTRACT**

Introduction Elderly medical patients (>65 years) represent 54% of the admissions to Danish medical and emergency departments. Acute admissions and bed rest during hospitalization are independent risk factors for death and dependency in elderly patients. Even short hospitalizations are associated with increased dependency in activities of daily living after discharge. Interventions that reduce low mobility during hospitalization are therefore important. The aim of this article is to describe the design of the intervention in the WALK-Copenhagen project, which aims to increase the 24-hour mobility in older medical patients during acute hospitalizations and after discharge.

Methods and analysis This study is based on ethnographic fieldwork and interviews. Workshops will be used to develop and co-design the intervention in collaboration with key stakeholders (patients, relatives, health professionals and researchers). Cultural learning processes, the theory of common knowledge and cultural historical activity theory will be used to help us understand the collaboration between health professionals, structures and artefacts, in relation to mobility in the medical departments.

**Ethics and dissemination** The project adheres to the directives of the Helsinki Declaration. Ethical approval was not required for the study because formal ethical approval is not mandatory for studies that do not involve biomedical issues according to Danish law. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. The results will be disseminated to health professionals, managers, patients and relatives, who will be invited to afternoon meetings where the project will be discussed. The results will be published in peer-reviewed scientific journals and presented at scientific conferences.

# Strengths and limitations of this study

- Research shows that it is challenging to achieve increased mobility during hospitalization in elderly patients and many barriers to achieving increased mobility behaviour have been identified.
- Interventions that increase mobility during hospitalization are important.
- User engagement is not common when designing interventions even though research has shown that an intervention that is developed from a user perspective and is adapted to the local context is more likely to be successful.
  - In this study, the intervention is tailored to the local context by developing and co-designing the
    intervention in collaboration with key stakeholders such as patients, relatives, health care
    practitioners and researchers, according to the BMJ campaign "Partnering with patients"

    (<a href="http://www.bmj.com/company/qip\_examples/partnering-with-patients/">http://www.bmj.com/company/qip\_examples/partnering-with-patients/</a>
  - The intervention design is based on findings from a series of qualitative studies because these methods are well suited for providing in-depth relational knowledge for designing the most appropriate patient intervention.

# INTRODUCTION

It is well recognized that complete bed rest and physical inactivity in hospitalized patients can have serious health consequences.[1] This knowledge is not new, but physical inactivity in hospital settings still remains a challenge. Older medical patients (aged 65 years or more) represent 54% of the annual admissions to Danish medical and emergency departments.[2] Acute admissions and bed rest during hospitalization are independent risk factors for death and dependency in older patients,[3-5] and even short hospital stays are associated with increased dependency in activities of daily living after discharge.[5, 7] A previous Danish study showed that older medical patients who walked independently (with or without walking aids) at admission spent a median of 17 hours a day in bed and walked less than 1 hour a day during hospitalization.[8] This low level of mobility during hospitalization in older medical patients poses a high risk of self-reported functional decline.[9] Studies of older medical patients have shown that patients who lose functional capacity during hospitalization have reduced ability to recover the lost function.[5, 10] Accordingly, many older medical patients experience sustained functional limitations after hospitalization, placing them at increased risk of further functional decline, which can lead to dependency in activities of daily living, increased fall episodes and mortality.[5, 7, 11]

Interventions that improve mobility during hospitalization are therefore important.

However, research has shown that it is a challenge to achieve increased mobility during hospitalization in older patients.[4] Studies report several reasons for these difficulties: lack of space, medical equipment restricting out of bed mobility, lack of assistive devices and help from staff, lack of patient motivation, patient weakness and pain, and different views on health professionals' roles concerning the task of mobilizing patients.[12]

Being mobile is complex and influenced by environmental factors (such as weather conditions and access to infrastructure), internal factors (such as level of motivation, fear of falling), and social support (by peers or family).[13] Systematic reviews investigating determinants for increased mobility in older people have shown that older people believe that increased mobility can improve physical and mental well-being.[14, 15] However, many barriers to achieving increased mobility behaviour have been identified, such as lack of knowledge about the importance of mobility, insufficient social support, negative attitudes towards mobility, competing priorities, unfavourable beliefs and various personality traits.[14, 15]

Addressing mobility is considered to be a core task for physiotherapists working with older hospitalized patients.[16] However, the complexity of physiotherapy practice has increased as a result of changes in health care, for example, high patient turnover places higher demands on physiotherapists to ensure effective management of patients.[17] Thus, time and temporality become barriers to continuously support the mobility of older medical patients during hospitalization.

In most Danish hospitals, physiotherapists are not part of the permanent staff employed in the medical departments. Therefore, the physiotherapists only visit these departments in the daytime to carry out tasks related to the mobility of referred patients. Thus, surveillance of ongoing mobility in hospitalized patients tends to be lowered after the physiotherapist has left the department. [4, 12, 18] Hence, nurses hold a key position in supporting mobility in older patients. However, nurses do not consider mobility a prioritized nursing care activity [19] or part of their core tasks. [12] Numerous barriers to nurses and physicians' efforts to improve mobility in patients have been identified. [4] These include concerns about mobility-related falls or fall injuries and doubts regarding the patients' motivation for mobility during acute illness. Thus, nurses and physicians do

not encourage older medical patients to be mobile.[4] At the organizational level, the barriers include lack of staff and time.[12] Thus, based on different professional perspectives and priorities, there seems to be a paradox regarding mobility of older medical patient: physiotherapists perceive improved mobility as an important task to prevent functional decline, but nurses and other health professionals, who spend the most time with patients, do not consider patient mobility a core task and tend to focus on medical procedures and patient flow.[20] Hence, patient mobility is dependent on several factors, such as the efforts and beliefs of more than one group of health professionals, and on complex factors such as patients' knowledge, motivation and attitude.

Consequently, interventions that take the multiple barriers to older patients' mobility into account are needed. An intervention that is developed from a user perspective and is adapted to the local context is more likely to be successful.[21] A growing body of evidence shows that patient engagement can yield better health outcomes,[22] contribute to improvements in health care quality and patient safety,[23] and lead to research findings that are more pertinent to the users' concerns and dilemmas.[21] Nevertheless, user engagement is not common at the design phase of interventions. Instead, an intervention is typically designed on the basis of the literature, and only rarely incorporates knowledge, skills and experiences from, for example, health professionals.[24]

The aim of this protocol paper is to describe the intervention design of WALK-Copenhagen (WALK-Cph), a mixed-methods clinical project aimed at increasing mobility in older medical patients during acute hospitalizations and after discharge. The qualitative part of the study began in January 2017. The intervention design is based on a series of qualitative studies that are outlined below. A schematic presentation of the whole WALK-Cph project is provided in figure 1.

# PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE

WALK-Cph is inspired by a critical realistic approach that focuses our attention on the search for generative mechanisms that explain the social world. Realism as a philosophy of science is situated between the extremes of positivism and relativism[25] and acknowledges that the world is an open system with structures and layers that interact to form mechanisms and contexts. Thus, we are interested in (1) identifying, analysing and understanding the social world of health professionals regarding mobilization of older medical patients and (2) health professionals' responses to different resources offered within new interventions. The focus is on understanding the complicated layers that exist below the surface and explaining health professionals' reasoning in their actions and reactions. Ontologically, critical realism builds on the assumption that features that form our world are not essentially visible and that reality exists independently of what is perceived.

In a critical realistic view, the world, i.e. in this study the medical departments, is divided into three domains: (1) the empirical domain (events and phenomena that can be perceived objectively); (2) the actual domain (events and phenomena that take place regardless of whether they can be perceived or not, but which are affecting the empirical domain); and (3) the real domain (structures and generative mechanisms, for example, power, political decisions, and relationships). Thus, reality is layered like an iceberg extending beneath the surface where it is not visible to the eye. In a critical realistic approach, science is about exploring the third domain, the real domain. It is about going from experiencing a phenomenon and what is immediately perceived to understanding and explaining which structures and mechanisms create the phenomenon. However, interdependency exists, which means that our interpretation of the real world influences our actions, which in turn can influence reality. [25]

Adopting a critical realism position allows us to focus on the interaction between actors and structures over time. A realistic methodology consists of a number of phases. In connection with mobility of older medical patients, the first phase will be to clarify the purpose of the research to understand what constitutes mobility of older medical patients. Second, empirical data on the phenomenon, i.e. mobility (movement sensor data, field study and barrier screening) will be collected. The third step will be to abduct the structures, the potential forces as well as the mechanisms that tend to trigger the forces of the inherent phenomenon (i.e. mobility). Abduction, in the sense of this protocol, means creating new concepts, hypotheses, models or theories about mobility that are not known in advance. In this process, the three domains will be combined. Finally, the preliminary new knowledge will be presented at workshops for health professionals and other researchers as a verification process. If the participants can associate with the new concepts, models or hypotheses, a sound basis for the new knowledge to be used in practice will have been created. In a classic critical realistic research process, this approach is quite linear, but in this project, the process will appear cyclically, to further support the possibilities for implementation.

# The concept of mobility

In WALK-Cph, mobility is defined in accordance with Satariano et al.,[26] who state that mobility refers to "Movement in all of its forms, including basic ambulation, transferring from a bed to a chair, walking for leisure and the completion of daily tasks, engaging in activities associated with work and play, exercising, driving a car, and using various forms of public transport." In addition, our definition of mobility includes mobilization, as many activities in and around patients in a medical department also relate to passive transfer. Therefore, in WALK-Cph, mobility refers to situations where the individual is actively involved in movement and situations where the individual is passively moved, for example, moved around in the bed.

# STUDY DESIGN

The WALK-Cph is a pragmatic cross-sectoral and mixed-methods project with the overall aim of increasing 24-hour mobility in older patients during acute hospitalization and after discharge. The primary outcome for the WALK-Cph study is increased mobility and will be estimated as steps, transitions, or upright time, based on thigh-worn accelerometry using activPAL3 activity monitors (PAL Technologies Ltd., Glasgow, UK). Whether the primary outcome will be expressed as steps, transitions, or upright time depends on the initial pilot and feasibility testing of the intervention, the outcomes and other trial procedures (study 2a and 2c, figure 1) to help qualify the randomized controlled trial (RCT; study 3a, figure 1) of the WALK-Cph project. The intervention is based on an assumption that by tailoring the intervention to the local context, the likelihood of successful implementation will increase.[21,27] This will be done by developing and co-designing the intervention in collaboration with key stakeholders such as patients, relatives, health professionals and researchers, in accordance with the BMJ campaign "Partnering with patients" (http://www.bmj.com/company/qip\_examples/partnering-with-patients/). A tailored intervention is defined as an intervention in which the identification of barriers has been undertaken before the design and delivery of the intervention. [21]

The design of the intervention was inspired by the Medical Research Council (MRC) framework for the development and evaluation of complex interventions to improve health.[24] However, instead of first conducting an intervention study to ascertain clinical effectiveness and then considering implementation, WALK-Cph is designed both as an intervention and an implementation study, a so-called hybrid design.[28] This means that the implementation study is planned from the outset. Hybrid design has been advocated to improve the speed of generating new knowledge and to increase the benefit and uptake of clinical research. [28]

In four studies, we will design (phase 1), fidelity test (phase 2), impact test (phase 3) and measure adoption (phase 4) of the intervention (figure 1). After an initial observational study, the health professionals from two intervention departments will be asked to participate in workshops and focus group interviews during the full study period (figure 1).

In order to achieve the overall project aim, the following research questions will be addressed in the qualitative studies of WALK-Cph:

- What current cultural practices exist for mobility of older medical patients in the medicaldepartments?
- 207 2. What are the roles of different professional cultures both regarding mobility of older patients
  208 and regarding collaboration between different professional groups to achieve increased mobility
  209 in older patients during and after hospitalization?
- 3. How can we develop a patient intervention that is tailored to local cultural practices and based on a high degree of user engagement by health professionals, patients and their relatives?
  - 4. How does a tailored patient intervention influence the extent to which health professionals and patients adhere to recommendations for managing increased mobility in older patients during and after hospitalization?

# **Study setting**

WALK-Cph will be carried out in Denmark where the health care system is public funded by tax payers. The Danish welfare state provides free treatment for primary medical care, hospitals, and home-based care services for all citizens. WALK-Cph will be conducted at four medical departments in three public hospitals in the capital region of Copenhagen, Denmark. In addition, physical therapy departments, a municipality and a municipality-based rehabilitation centre will participate.

WALK-Cph will use a purposeful sampling approach[29] to reflect the diversity in medical specialties and to obtain rich information concerning the mobility practice for medical patients. We will select departments that reflect many facets of the medical specialty rather than focusing on one specialty. We will include medical departments where older medical patients are admitted and where increased mobility is expected to be one of the core tasks of care and treatment. Four different departments will be chosen to participate: (1) a department of endocrinology; (2) a department of infectious and pulmonary diseases; (3) a department of gastroenterology; and (4) a general medical department. Each chosen department will have between 18 and 40 beds with similar numbers and proportions of physicians, registered nurses and certified nursing assistants. In all hospitals, the physical therapy service is centrally organized to service all wards.

# METHODS AND ANALYSES

The qualitative methods will include an ethnographic field study, participant observation, interviews and workshops. These methods have been chosen because they are well suited for providing in-depth relational knowledge for designing an appropriate patient intervention.[30] Furthermore, qualitative methods are also appropriate to obtain knowledge about the contextual circumstances with regard to the implementation, delivery and evaluation of an intervention. By using qualitative methods, we will be able to account for the context in which events occur and uncover social patterns; for example, which relationships are important for actions related to mobility and for interventions that increase mobility. Qualitative methods are also valuable for exploring the underlying assumptions in relation to the designed intervention and identifying the so-called active ingredients of a complex intervention [24] required to achieve increased mobility. Finally, qualitative studies make it possible to determine which groups of participants are most likely to respond positively to the designed intervention, whether the intervention must be modified

in different ways for different groups or departments, or whether it should not be used at all for certain groups of people.[31,32]

## Ethnographic field study

The design phase (figure 1) will begin with an ethnographic field study, including participant observation.[33-36] Our position will primarily be as observers rather than participants.[35]. The field study will enable us to generate rich descriptions of the interactions between health professionals and patients and explore the importance of contextual factors, professional identities and professional boundaries for mobility of older medical patients in the departments. This is crucial because observational knowledge relating to mobility of older medical patients in the departments is sparse. By being physically present in the department, taking part in and observing the health professionals carrying out their daily activities, we will be able to understand how mobility of older patients is practiced in the departments and how it is perceived by different participants.[31]

We have chosen a focused observation strategy, [29, 36] whereby we will follow the health professionals (physiotherapists, nurses, nursing assistants and physicians) in their daily work with a particular focus on language, actions and materialities regarding mobility of patients. We will inquire into what is being done and into arguments for decisions that the health professionals make about mobility in concrete situations.

The observations will be carried out by the researchers, two of whom are trained nurses (MSN) and two are trained physiotherapists (MHSc). By choosing researchers with different professional backgrounds, we acknowledge that the ability to gather data and generate knowledge depends on the position of the researcher. [35] However, we anticipate that by comparing our observations, we will become aware of our own positions and perspectives and how they frame our

observations. Thus, by cross-checking and discussing our observations, we can sharpen our attention on differences of significance for data generation. This process will take place continuously during meetings after each observation period to cross-check data and interpretations and will strengthen the validity of the results. [31] By systematizing the observations and creating transparency, the observations will have credibility [33, 35] and allow us to explore and understand how health professionals make decisions about mobility in interactions with the patients and each other.

We will use an observation guide to record activities and interactions. Field notes will consist of both non-verbal and verbal observations such as body language, dialogue between the health professionals and patients, and the use of material artefacts such as mobility aids. Dialogues will be written down as close to verbatim as possible.[31]

The observation guide will also include descriptive data (e.g. sex, profession and professional experience) and questions like "Who initiated mobility?", "What arguments are raised when patients' needs for mobility are rejected or accepted?", "Is any kind of material artefact used, such as a walker or a screening tool?" According to Mason,[32] field notes should also include focus areas of "subjective capabilities". The intention is that the researcher writes down reflections on his or her own actions, attitudes, location and presence. This increases the understanding of how the researchers affect the relations, underscoring that neutrality and detachment in relation to data collection, analysis and interpretation is impossible.

## Analytical perspective

We will analyse our observational data using different theories: cultural learning processes, the theory of common knowledge and cultural historical activity theory.[37-42] These theories can help us understand contradictions and transformations in the collaborations among the health

professionals, structures and artefacts, and how cultural processes that create ideas about how culturally experienced participants,[39], for example, the nurses, should interpret and act in relation to mobility in a particular cultural world (in the department).

WALK-Cph derives its concept of culture from the theories of cultural learning processes that understand culture not only as a homogeneous set of assumptions, attitudes and values that all health professionals possess.[43-45] Rather, culture is understood as something the health professionals produce in order to create links and connections between materialities and meanings in social and physical spaces.[37] This means that culture does not refer to cognitive processes only; culture is also enacted and has bodily elements embedded. This concept of culture is relevant when we use observational studies, where we can observe how the health professionals act, what they say, what materialities they include and exclude in their professional practice, and how they move in physical rooms. In this perspective, the concept of culture focuses on both what is homogeneous and what is different, for example, between professions.[38]

Data from the ethnographic field study will be analysed using both a thematic analysis and a deductive approach where we analyse the material from the perspective of different theoretical concepts, for example, as cultural models,[37] common knowledge[40] and activity systems.[41]

The results from the analysis will be presented and used as mirror data in the subsequent workshop (figure 1). Mirror data are defined as data representing the present state of work practices, providing the health professionals with a mirror reflection of their activities by presenting examples of current practice.[42]

# The workshops

After the field study, four workshops, each lasting four hours, will be used to develop the WALK-Cph intervention in collaboration with health professionals, patients, relatives and researchers. In the workshops, we will get an opportunity to bring together different forms of evidence-based knowledge, both theoretical and empirical, to accommodate increased mobility of older medical patients.[41] The workshop method has been chosen because implementation research points to the importance of involving the people who are responsible for increasing the mobility of older medical patients, in this case the health professionals.[46] Each workshop will be held in a classroom in the hospital and will be video- and audiotaped. The design phase consists of three workshops.

## Workshop I: health professionals

Health professionals from the intervention departments and the municipality will participate. The aim of this workshop is to develop a catalogue of initiatives that the health professionals believe will increase the mobility of older medical patients. The catalogue will be based on the health professional's knowledge, experience and attitudes as well as observational data from the field study. The proposed initiatives will form the basis for the development of the intervention.

## Workshop II: patients and relatives

Patients and their relatives will participate. Workshop II has two aims: (1) to generate a catalogue of initiatives that the patients and the relatives believe will increase mobility based on their knowledge, experience, attitudes and mirror data; (2) to obtain feedback from the patients and their relatives on the catalogue of initiatives suggested by the health professionals at workshop I. The initiatives suggested by patients and relatives will also contribute to the development of the intervention. The results from workshop II will have as much weight as the results from workshop I.

Workshop III: health professionals

Health professionals will participate and be introduced to the patients' and the relatives' proposals. The particular aim of workshop III will be to finalize a prototype of the intervention. A study focusing on facilitators and barriers (barrier screening) will then be performed and the intervention will be adjusted according to these results before being tested in a feasibility study (study 2, figure 1). After the design phase, one more workshop will take place.

Workshop IV: health professionals, patients and relatives.

Health professionals, patients and relatives will participate to provide feedback. Workshop IV will take place after the fidelity study (figure 1) and the aim will be to inform the health professionals, the patients and the relatives about which parts of the intervention were feasible in clinical practice and which aspects might need to be adapted, and also to receive feedback from health professionals, patients and relatives. The final intervention will then be adapted and designed before testing in an RCT.

Between the different workshops, the research team will work systematically to develop the intervention. The study follows Rothman and Edwin's[27] proposed five-phase model for design of interventions: (1) problem analysis and project planning, (2) information gathering and synthesis, (3) design, (4) pilot testing and (5) evaluation. As a part of the workshops and the barrier screening, input from health professionals, patients and relatives will be collected and the research team will score the feasibility of all incoming input based on a number of criteria: rationality, complexity, compliance with rules and regulations, required time, economic neutrality, accessibility of equipment and amelioration of patient life quality. Inspired by the Delfi method,[47] all input will be scored between 1 and 5, where 1 represents low complexity and 5 represents high complexity. All interventions that have a median score equal to or less than 2 will be included in the final

intervention. The intervention model will be presented at workshops II–IV, where input from the participants will serve to modify the design of the intervention into a final version.

# The participants

The participants in workshops II and IV will include 8–10 older medical patients (>65 years) who have been admitted to a medical department and 8–10 relatives who have had a relative, friend or family member (>65 years) admitted to a medical department. The participants in workshops I, III, IV and IV will include physicians, nurses and nursing assistants, physiotherapists, occupational therapists and leaders affiliated with the two intervention departments or the municipalities. The health professionals will be selected by their managers and will be selected based on profession, experience in the medical or physiotherapy department and being responsible for implementing the intervention. The selection is also inspired by Roger's five categories of users.[48] The five categories range from enthusiastic people who are good at initiating and managing interventions, even beyond their own department, to those who have a strong voice within the department and finally, those with the greatest resistance towards the intervention. This complexity of participants ensures a multi-voiceness perspective [41] on both possibilities and barriers in relation to designing an intervention that has the ability to match the local context. This selection will be performed in collaboration with the first-line managers from the departments.

# **Barrier screening**

Barrier screening designed as semi-structured individual interviews with health professionals will be carried out in the two intervention departments, the physiotherapy departments and the municipalities after the intervention has been designed. The aim of barrier screening is to explore and understand the health professionals' perceived barriers and facilitators regarding the intervention, once it has been designed (figure 1). Barrier screening will complement the field

studies at the organizational level and the workshop at the group level. Twenty interviews will be conducted with health professionals from the medical intervention departments who have not participated in the workshops. Barrier screening will support and ensure that the intervention is based on opinions, attitudes and perspectives from health professionals and positions other than those who participate in the workshops. Both contradictory and complementary views are relevant for identifying patterns in the participants' understanding, practices and how they relate to situations involving mobility of older medical patients.

Barrier screening will be designed and analysed based on the Theoretical Domain

Framework (TDF) [49,50] and Rogers' framework of innovation attributes. [48] The purpose of the

TDF is to identify determinants at an individual level. TDF includes 128 constructs in 12 domains

derived from 33 theories of social-cognitive behaviour change. Rogers' innovation attributes

concern a number of perceived characteristics of innovations, which influence their adoption and

use, including an innovation's relative advantage compared with routine practice, compatibility

with the pre-existing system, complexity, trialability or testability, potential for reinvention and

observed effects. Interventions that do not meet these criteria tend to be difficult to disseminate and

implement.

# ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

Before undertaking observations, interviews and workshops, all participants will be informed about the aim of the study. They will be assured that participation is voluntary and that the results will be anonymous. All participants will be asked to provide written, voluntary and informed consent before participation in the workshops and interviews. Anonymity will be ensured by assigning participants a code instead of using their full names in field notes and interviews. Only persons who are part of the research team will have access to data. The project will adhere to the

directives of the Helsinki Declaration.[51] Ethical approval was not required for the study because formal ethical approval is not mandatory for studies that do not involve biomedical issues (I-Suite no. 05078) according to Danish law.

After completing the study, the results will be disseminated to all the health professionals, managers, patients and relatives. They will be invited to afternoon meetings where the findings, the process and cooperation will be the focus. The results from this study will be published in peer-reviewed scientific journals and presented at one or more scientific conferences.

# **Summary**

This protocol paper describes the WALK-Cph project, which is aimed at developing and implementing an intervention to increase mobility in older medical patients during acute hospitalizations and after discharge. Few previous studies have reported on the contribution of qualitative research to select, design and model interventions.[24] WALK-Cph is being designed on the premise that an intervention that is developed with contributions from the perspective of the users and is adapted to the local context is more likely to be implemented.[21] Therefore, the WALK-Cph intervention will be developed in collaboration with patients, relatives, researchers and health professionals. This collaboration makes it possible to use different experiences, skills, knowledge and expertise in the study while recognizing that the participants' local knowledge improves the external validity of the intervention.[27] We therefore believe that the WALK-Cph study can make valuable methodological contributions to intervention research.

# Acknowledgements

We thank the management staff from the medical departments, the physiotherapy and occupational therapy departments and the municipality for their commitment to participate in the study and give us the opportunity to conduct field studies in their departments.

# **Contributors**

- JWK led the study, and drafted the manuscript in collaboration with ACB, TT-T, PN, MMP.
- TB, RSH, OA, JP, LK extensively revised the manuscript. All authors have approved the final
- manuscript and are willing to take responsibility for appropriate portions of the content.

#### **Funding**

- This work was funded by the Velux Fonden (Lauritz William Foundation) grant number
- [00013449].

### **Competing interests**

None.

# Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

#### **REFERENCES**

1. Dock W. The evil sequelae of complete bed rest. JAMA 1944;125:1083–5.

- Danmark, Sundhedsstyrelsen. Styrket indsats for den ældre medicinske patient: fagligt
   oplæg til en national handlingsplan. Sundhedsstyrelsen; 2011.
- Carpenter CR, Shelton E, Fowler S, et al. Risk factors and screening instruments to predict adverse outcomes for undifferentiated older emergency department patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acad Emerg Med* 2015;22:1–21.
- 444 4. Brown CJ, Williams BR, Woodby LL, et al. Barriers to mobility during hospitalization from 445 the perspectives of older patients and their nurses and physicians. *J Hosp Med* 2007;2:305– 446 13.
- Boyd CM, Landefeld CS, Counsell SR, et al. Recovery of activities of daily living in older
   adults after hospitalization for acute medical illness: functional recovery after
   hospitalization. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2008;56:2171–9.
- Gill TM, Allore HG, Holford TR, et al. Hospitalization, restricted activity, and the
   development of disability among older persons. *JAMA* 2004;292:2115–24.
- Boyd CM, Xue Q-L, Guralnik JM, Fried LP. Hospitalization and development of
   dependence in activities of daily living in a cohort of disabled older women: the Women's
   Health and Aging Study I. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2005;60:888–93.
- Pedersen MM, Bodilsen AC, Petersen J, et al. Acute twenty-four-hour mobility during acute hospitalization in older medical patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2013;68:331–7.
- Zisberg A, Shadmi E, Sinoff G, et al. Low mobility during hospitalization and functional
   decline in older adults: in-hospital mobility levels and functional decline. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2011;59:266–73.
- Brown CJ, Friedkin RJ, Inouye SK. Prevalence and outcomes of low mobility in
   hospitalized older patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2004;52:1263–70.

- 11. Callen BL, Mahoney JE, Grieves CB, et al. Frequency of hallway ambulation by
- hospitalized older adults on medical units of an academic hospital. Geriatr Nurs (Lond)
- 464 2004;25:212–17.
- Doherty-King B, Yoon JY, Pecanac K, et al. Frequency and duration of nursing care related
- to older patient mobility: nursing care related to mobility. *J Nurs Scholarsh* 2014;46:20–7.
- 467 13. Dishman RK, Sallis JF, Orenstein DR. The determinants of physical activity and exercise.
- *Public Health Rep* 1985;100:158–71.
- 469 14. Baert V, Gorus E, Mets T, et al. Motivators and barriers for physical activity in the oldest
- old: a systematic review. *Ageing Res Rev* 2011;10:464–74.
- 471 15. Franco MR, Tong A, Howard K, et al. Older people's perspectives on participation in
- physical activity: a systematic review and thematic synthesis of qualitative literature. Br J
- *Sports Med* 2015;10.1136/bjsports-2014-094015.
- How are the street and the street are street and the street are street as a street and the street are street as a street are street are street as a street are street are street as a street are street are street as a street are street are street as a street are stre
- 475 2013;310:1168.
- 476 17. Nilsen P, Bernhardsson S. Towards evidence-based physiotherapy research challenges and
- 477 needs. *J Physiother* 2013;59:143–4.
- 478 18. So C, Pierluissi E. Attitudes and expectations regarding exercise in the hospital of
- hospitalized older adults: a qualitative study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012;60:713–18.
- 480 19. Kalisch BJ, Tschannen D, Lee H, et al. Hospital variation in missed nursing care. Am J Med
- *Qual* 2011;26:291–9.
- 482 20. Kirk JW, Nilsen P. Implementing evidence-based practices in an emergency department:
- 483 contradictions exposed when prioritizing a flow culture. *J Clin Nurs* 2015;25:555–6.

- 484 21. Baker R, Camosso-Stefinovic J, Gillies C, et al. Tailored interventions to overcome
- identified barriers to change: effects on professional practice and health care outcomes.
- *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010;(3):CD005470.
- 22. Carman KL, Dardess P, Maurer M, et al. Patient and family engagement: a framework for
- 488 understanding the elements and developing interventions and policies. *Health Aff*
- *(Millwood)* 2013;32:223–31.
- 490 23. Jansen YJFM, Foets MME, de Bont AA. The contribution of qualitative research to the
- development of tailor-made community-based interventions in primary care: a review. Eur J
- *Public Health* 2010;20:220–6.
- 493 24. MRC. A Framework for the Development and Evaluation of RCTs for Complex
- Interventions to Improve Health. Report no. 200018. London: Medical Research Council;
- 495 2000.
- 496 25. Bhaskar R. A Realist Theory of Science. Hemel Hempstead, Herts: Harvester Wheatsheaf;
- 497 1975.
- 498 26. Satariano WA, Guralnik JM, Jackson RJ, et al. Mobility and aging: new directions for public
- health action. American Journal of Public Health 2012;8:1508-1515
- 500 27. Rothman J, Edwin JT. Intervention Research: Design and Development for Human Services.
- New York: Haworth Press; 1994.
- 502 28. Curran GM, Bauer M, Mittman B, et al. Effectiveness-implementation Hybrid Designs:
- 503 Combining Elements of Clinical Effectiveness and Implementation Research to Enhance
- Public Health Impact. Medical Care 2012;3:217-226
- 505 29. Wolcott HF. Confession of a "trained" observer. In: Transforming Qualitative Data.
- Description, Analysis and Interpretation. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1994.

- 30. Berg BL. Qualitative Research Methods for the Social Sciences. 3rd ed. Boston, MA: Allyn
- 508 and Bacon; 1998.
- 509 31. Hastrup K, Rubow C, Tjørnhøj-Thomsen T. Kulturanalyse. Kort fortalt. Vol. 2011.
- Frederiksberg C, København: Samfundslitteratur; 2011.
- 511 32. Mason J. Qualitative Researching. London: Sage; 1996.
- 512 33. Hastrup K. Ind i verden: en grundbog i antropologisk metode. Copenhagen: Hans Reitzel;
- 513 2003.
- 514 34. Spradley JP. The Ethnographic Interview. London: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- 515 35. Spradley JP. Participant observation. Long Grove, IL: Waveland Press; 1980.
- 516 36. Tjørnhøj-Thomsen T, Whyte SR. Fieldwork and participant observation. In: Vallgårda S,
- Koch L, editors. Research Methods in Public Health. Copenhagen: Gyldendal Akademisk;
- 518 2008, p 91–120.
- 519 37. Hasse C. Kulturanalyse i organisationer. Begreber, metoder og forbløffende læreprocesser
- Frederiksberg: Samfundslitteratur; 2011.
- 521 38. Hasse C. Kultur i bevægelse. Fra deltagerobservation til kulturanalyse i det fysiske rum.
- 522 Frederiksberg: Samfundslitteratur; 2002
- 523 39. Hasse C. An Anthropology of Learning: on Nested Frictions in Cultural Ecologies. New
- 524 York: Springer; 2014.
- 525 40. Edwards A. Building common knowledge at the boundaries between professional practices:
- relational agency and relational expertise in systems of distributed expertise. *Int J Educ Res*
- 527 2011;50:33–9.

- 528 41. Engeström Y. Learning-by-Expanding. An Activity-Theoretical Approach to Developmental 529 Research. Helsinki: Orienta-Konsultit; 1987.
- 530 42. Kerosuo H, Engeström Y, Kajamaa A. Promoting innovation and learning through Change
- Laboratory: an example from Finnish health care. *Cent Eur J Public Policy* 2010;4:110–31.
- Weick KE. Organizational culture as a source of high reliability. National Emergency
- Training Center; 1987. Available from: http://www.aml-
- safety.com.au/AMLstores/\_images/pdf-files/Weick1987.pdf (accessed 17 December 2015).
- 535 44. Schein EH. Process Consultation: Its Role in Organization Development. Reading, MA:
- Addison-Wesley; 1969.
- 537 45. Schein EH. The role of the founder in creating organizational culture. Organ Dyn
- 538 1983;12:13–28.
- 539 46. Fixsen DL, Naoom SF, Blase KA, et al. Implementation Research: A Synthesis of the
- Literature. Tampa, FL: University of South Florida; 2005.
- 541 47. Linstone HA, Turoff M. The Delphi Method. 2002. Available from:
- https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/8634/72a67f5bdc67e4782306efd883fca23e3a3d.pdf
- 543 (accessed 1 September 2017).
- 544 48. Rogers E. Diffusion of innovations. Free Press; Collier Macmillan 1083.New York; London.
- 545 49. Michie S, Abraham C, Parker D et al. Making psychological theory useful for implementing
- evidence based practice: a consensus approach. Quality and Safety in Health 2005; 1:26-33
- 547 50. Cane J, O'Connor D, Michie S. Validation of the theoretical domains framework for use in
- behaviour change and implementation research. Implement Sci. 2012; 7:37.

549 51. The Nuremberg Code. Trials of War Criminals Before the Nuernberg Military Tribunals

Under Control Council Law No. 10. 1949:181–182.



Figure 1. WALK-Cph intervention and implementation activities (contents covered by the present

protocol are in red).

	Phase 1: Phase 2:		Phase 3:	Phase 4:		
	Design		Fidelity		Intervention	Adoption
	Collaborative	~- <del>8</del>	Fidelity of	Redesign of	Effect of	1140 500011
	design of		intervention	intervention	intervention	
	intervention		(Study 2a)	(Study 2c)	(Study 3a)	
	(Study 1a)					
	Practice		Observational	Workshop IV	Randomized	
	observation study:		study to measure the fidelity of the	with users and researchers for	Controlled Trial: To measure the effect	
<b>=</b>	Observations of		intervention	further	of the invention	
jo	everyday practice		inter vention	development and	or the invention	
11. 19.	to understand the			refinement of the	Fidelity of	
7 Ve	context			intervention	intervention:	
WALK-Cph intervention grant number [00013449]	Intervention				Observations to assess delivery of	
	design study:				intervention	
h j	Workshops					
je j	I+I+III with users					
- T	and researchers to design the					
an K	intervention					
AI gr	inter y children					
$\geq$	Intervention					
	determinant					
	<b>study:</b> Focus group					
	interviews to					
	identify barriers		•			
	and facilitators for					
	the planned intervention					
		Design and	Fidelity of the	Redesign of the	Fidelity of the	Adoption study
		development of	implementatio	implementation	implementation	(Study 4)
		the	n strategies	strategies	strategies	
n c		implementation	(Study 2b)	(Study 2d)	(Study 3b)	
ţį		strategy to		· ·		
<b>ta</b>		support the intervention				
en 270		(Study 1b)				
<b>m</b> :		Implementation	Observational	Workshop with	(in case of redesign	Observations of
		strategy design	study to measure	users (managers	following 2d)	everyday practice
er []		study:	the fidelity of the	and key		and comparison
WALK-Cph implementation grant number [00017276]			implementation strategies.	implementation staff) and	Observational study to measure fidelity	with initial observations and
		Workshop with	suategies.	researchers to	to measure nuclity	semi-structured
		users (managers		redesign and		interviews.
K gra		and key		monitor relevant		
		implementation staff) and		implementation strategies based		
×		researchers to		on the fidelity		
		identify, select and		study and		
		monitor relevant		workshops.		
		implementation				
		strategies.				

TO BEET CHON

# **BMJ Open**

# A TAILORED STRATEGY FOR DESIGNING THE WALK-Copenhagen (WALK-Cph) INTERVENTION TO INCREASE MOBILITY IN HOSPITALIZED OLDER MEDICAL PATIENTS: A PROTOCOL FOR THE QUALITATIVE PART OF THE WALK-Cph PROJECT.

Journal:	BMJ Open		
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-020272.R1		
Article Type:	Protocol		
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-Dec-2017		
Complete List of Authors:	Kirk, Jeanette; Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark , Clinical Research Centre Bodilsen, Ann Christine; Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark , Clinical Research Centre; Exercise and Health, Roskilde Municipality, Roskilde, Denmark Tjørnhøj-Thomsen, Tine; National Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark Pedersen, Mette Merete; Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark , Clinical Research Centre; Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation Research-Copenhagen, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Denmark , Clinical Research Centre; Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation Research-Copenhagen, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark , Clinical Research Centre; Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation Research-Copenhagen, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark , Clinical Research Centre; Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation Research-Copenhagen, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark , Clinical Research Centre Poulsen, Lise; Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark , Clinical Research Centre Petersen, Janne; Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark , Clinical Research Centre; Section of Biostatistics, Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark Andersen, Ove; Copenhagen University Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark, Clinical Research Centre		
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Qualitative research		
Secondary Subject Heading:	Evidence based practice, Qualitative research		
Keywords:	Elderly medical patients, critical realism, implementation, study protocol		

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

# A TAILORED STRATEGY FOR DESIGNING THE WALK-Copenhagen (WALK-Cph) INTERVENTION TO INCREASE MOBILITY IN HOSPITALIZED OLDER MEDICAL PATIENTS: A PROTOCOL FOR THE QUALITATIVE PART OF THE WALK-Cph PROJECT.

Jeanette Wassar Kirk, PhD, Optimed, Clinical Research Centre, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Kettegaard Allé 30, 2650 Hvidovre, Denmark. E-mail: jeanette.wassar.kirk@regionh.dk. Tlf.nr.: +45 38626195

- 1. Ann Christine Bodilsen, PhD, Optimed, Clinical Research Centre, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Kettegaard Allé 30, 2650 Hvidovre, Denmark and. Exercise and Health, Rådhusbuen 1, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark.
- 2. Tine Tjørnhøj-Thomsen, MA, PhD, Professor, National Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- 3. Mette Merete Pedersen, PhD, Optimed, Clinical Research Centre, and Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation Research-Copenhagen (PMR-C), and Department of Physical and Occupational Therapy, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark.
- 4. Thomas Bandholm, PhD, Professor, Optimed, Clinical Research Centre, and Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation Research-Copenhagen (PMR-C), and Department of Physical and Occupational Therapy, and Department of Orthopedic Surgery ,Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark.
- 5. Rasmus Skov Husted, PhD student, Optimed, Clinical Research Centre, and Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation Research-Copenhagen (PMR-C), and Department of Physical and Occupational Therapy, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark.
- 6. Lise Kronborg, PhD, Optimed, Clinical Research Centre, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark.
- 7. Janne Petersen, PhD, Optimed, Clinical Research Centre, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark and Section of Biostatistics, Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- 8. Ove Andersen, Professor, Clinical Research Centre, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark.
- 9. Per Nilsen, Professor, Division of Community Medicine, Department of Medical and Health Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden.

**Key words:** Study protocol, elderly medical patient, mobility, qualitative methods, critical realisme

## **ABSTRACT**

## Introduction

Older medical patients (>65 years) represent 54% of the admissions to Danish medical- and emergency departments (EDs). Acute admissions and bed rest during hospitalization are independent risk factors for death and dependency in older patients. Even short hospitalizations are associated with increased dependency in activities of daily living after discharge. Interventions that increase mobility during hospitalization are therefore important. The purpose of this protocol is to describe the intervention design of the WALK-Copenhagen project, aimed at increasing 24-hour mobility in older medical patients during acute hospitalizations and following discharge.

## Methods and analysis

This study is based on ethnographic fieldwork and interviews. Workshops are used to develop and co-design the intervention in collaboration with key stakeholders (patients, relatives, health professionals and researchers). Cultural learning processes, the theory of common knowledge and the cultural historical activity theory will be used to help us understand the interaction between the health professionals, structures and artefacts, in relation to mobility in the medical departments.

## Ethics and dissemination

The project will adhere to the directives of the Helsinki Declaration. Ethical approval was not required for the study since formal ethical approval is not mandatory for studies that do not involve biomedical issues according to Danish law. Informed consent was obtained for all participants. The results will be disseminated to health professionals, managers, patients and relatives, who will be invited to afternoon meetings where the project will be discussed. The results will be published in peer-reviewed scientific journals and presented at scientific conferences.

Word count; 249

## STRENGTHS AND LIMITATION

- The external validity, i.e. generalizability of study findings, may be compromised since the results cannot be directly transferred to hospital settings elsewhere
- A strength of the study is the use of multidisciplinary teams, as it provides different perspectives on the multidimensional issue under study
- A strength of the study is the use of theoretical frameworks as it enhances the ability to understand and explain how and why certain results are achieved.

## INTRODUCTION

It has been known for a long time that complete bed rest and low mobility in hospitalized patients can have serious health consequences (1). Nonetheless, and for a number of different reasons, today's hospitalized patients are very immobile (2). In older medical patients (aged 65 years or more), acute admissions and bed rest during hospitalization are independent risk factors for death and dependency (3,4) and even short hospitalizations are associated with increased dependency in activities of daily living after discharge (5). Hence, interventions to increase mobility in older patients during hospitalization are greatly needed to avoid serious health consequences after hospitalization, such as increased dependency.

Older people admitted acutely to hospital for medical reasons represent 54% of the annual admissions to Danish Medical- and Emergency Departments (EDs) (6). These older medical patients demonstrate a very low level of mobility during hospitalization (7,8). We recently reported the degree of low mobility in these patients to amount to a median of 17 hours a day in bed and less than one hour of walking a day during hospitalization (7). To make these numbers even more alarming is the fact that all patients walked independently (with or without walking aids) at admission (7). This low level of mobility during hospitalization in older medical patients poses a high risk of self-reported functional decline (9,10). Patients, who lose functional capacity during hospitalization have reduced ability to recover the lost function (4,9). Accordingly, many older medical patients will experience sustained functional limitations after hospitalization, placing them at increased risk of further functional decline, which can lead to dependency in activities of daily

living institutionalization and death (10,11). Interventions that improve mobility during hospitalization are therefore important.

Despite great knowledge of the importance of increased mobility to counteract functional decline in older medical patients, is has proven to be difficult to achieve (2). The reported difficulties include: lack of space and staff, medical equipment restricting out of the bed mobility, lack of assistive devices and help from staff, lack of patient motivation, patient weakness and pain and different views on the health professionals' roles concerning the task of mobilizing patients (2,12,13).

Physiotherapists who work with older medical patients consider mobility to be a core task (13). However, the complexity of physiotherapy practice has increased due to changes in health care, e.g. a high patient turnover which places higher demands on physiotherapists to ensure effective management of patients (14). Thus, time and temporality become determinants to continuously support mobility of older medical patients during hospitalization. Hence, patient mobility is supported by nurses, who hold a key position in supporting mobility in older patients. However, nurses do not consider mobility as a part of their core tasks (15). Numerous determinants to nurses and physicians' efforts to improve mobility in patients have been identified (2). These include concerns about mobility related falls and doubts regarding the patients' motivation for mobility during acute illness. Thus, nurses and physicians do not encourage older medical patients to be mobilized (2). Based on different professional perspectives and priorities, there seems to be a paradox regarding older medical patients' mobility: physiotherapists perceive mobility as an important task to prevent functional decline, but nurses and other health professionals, who spend the most time with patients, do not consider patient mobility a core task and tend to focus on medical procedures and patient flow (16,17). Hence, patient mobility is dependent on several factors such as the efforts and believes of more than one group of health professionals and also on complex factors such as patients' knowledge, motivations and attitudes.

To consider the determinants of mobility reviewed above – and to facilitate intervention uptake and clinical implementation – there is a need for developing an intervention that takes the multiple determinants of older patients' mobility into account. An intervention that is developed from a user perspective and is adapted to the local context is more likely to be successful (18). A growing body of evidence shows that patient engagement can yield better health outcomes (19), contribute to improvements in health care quality and patient safety (20) and lead to research findings that are more pertinent to the users' concerns and dilemmas (18). Nevertheless, user engagement is not common at the design phase of interventions. Instead, an intervention is typically designed on the basis of the literature, only rarely incorporating knowledge, skills and experiences from, for example, health professionals (21). The WALK-Cph intervention will be developed in collaboration between patients, relatives, researchers and health professionals. This collaboration makes it possible to use different experiences, skills, knowledge and expertise in the study while recognizing that the participants' local knowledge improves the external validity of the intervention. We therefore believe that the WALK-Cph study can make valuable methodological contributions to intervention research.

Thus, the aim of this protocol paper is to describe the intervention design of WALK-Cph, which is a mixed-methods clinical project aimed at developing and implementing an intervention to increase mobility in older medical patients during acute hospitalizations and following discharge. The qualitative part of the study started in January 2017 and will end by 1<sup>st</sup> of August 2018. The intervention design is based on a series of qualitative studies that are outlined below (Figure 1).

## METHODS AND ANALYSES

## Philosophy of science

WALK-Cph is inspired by a critical realistic approach that focuses on the search for generative mechanisms that explain the social world. Realism as a philosophy of science is situated between the extremes of positivism and relativism (22) and acknowledges that the world is an open system with structures and layers that interact to form mechanisms and contexts. Thus, we are interested in: 1) identifying, analyzing and understanding the social world of the health professionals regarding mobilization of older medical patients and 2) the health professionals' responses to different resources offered within new interventions. The focus is on understanding how the interaction between visible and non-visible features forms the health practitioners' actions, reactions and way of thinking, both individually and collectively. (23).

In a critical realistic view, the world, i.e. in this study the medical departments, is divided into three domains: 1) the empirical domain (events and phenomena that can be perceived objectively); 2) the actual domain (events and phenomena that take place regardless of whether they can be perceived or not, but which are affecting the empirical domain); and 3) the real domain (structures and generative mechanisms, e.g. power, political decisions, and relationships). Thus, reality is layered like an iceberg extending beneath the surface where it is not visible to the eye. In a critical realistic approach, science is about exploring the third domain, the real domain. It is about going from experiencing a phenomenon and what is immediately perceived, to understanding and explaining which structures and mechanisms create the phenomenon (22).

Adopting a critical realism position will allow us to focus on the interaction between actors and structures over time. A realistic methodology consists of different phases. The first phase will be to clarify what constitutes mobility of older medical patients. Secondly, empirical data on the phenomenon, i.e. mobility (movement sensor data and data from field study and barrier screening), will be collected. The third step will be to create new concepts, hypotheses, models or theories about mobility (abduction). This preliminary new knowledge will be presented in workshops for the health professionals and other researchers as a verification process. If the workshop participants can associate with the new concepts, models or hypotheses, a sound basis for the new knowledge to be used in practice has been created (24).

## **Mobility**

In WALK-Cph, mobility is defined in accordance with Satariano et al (2012, p.1508), who state that

mobility refers to "Movement in all of its forms, including basic ambulation, transferring from a bed to a chair, walking for leisure and the completion of daily tasks, engaging in activities associated with work and play, exercising, driving a car, and using various forms of public transport"(25). In addition, our definition of mobility includes mobilization, as many activities in and around patients in a medical department also relate to passive transferring. Therefore, in WALK-Cph mobility refers to situations where the individual is actively involved in movement and situations where the individual is passively moved, e.g. moved around in the bed.

## Study design

WALK-Cph is a pragmatic cross-sectoral and mixed-methods project with the overall aim of increasing 24-hour mobility in older patients during acute hospitalization and following discharge. The primary outcome for the WALK-Cph study is increased mobility and will be estimated as steps, transitions, or upright time, based on thigh-worn accelerometry using activPAL3<sup>TM</sup> activity monitors (PAL Technologies Ltd., Glasgow, UK). Whether the primary outcome will be expressed as steps, transitions, or upright time depends on initial pilot and feasibility testing of the intervention, the outcomes and other trial procedures (study 2a and 2c, Figure 1) to help qualify the randomized controlled trial (study 3a, Figure 1) of the WALK-Cph project. The intervention is based on an assumption that by tailoring the intervention to the local context the likelihood of a successful implementation will increase (18,26). This will be done by developing and co-designing the intervention in collaboration with key stakeholders such as patients, relatives, health professionals and researchers, in accordance with the BMJ campaign "Partnering with patients" (<a href="http://www.bmj.com/company/qip\_examples/partnering-with-patients/">http://www.bmj.com/company/qip\_examples/partnering-with-patients/</a>). A tailored intervention is defined as an intervention in which the identification of barriers has been undertaken before the design and delivery of the intervention (18).

The design of the intervention was inspired by the Medical Research Council (MRC) framework for the development and evaluation of complex interventions to improve health (21). However, instead of first conducting an intervention study to ascertain clinical effectiveness and then, considering implementation, WALK-Cph is designed both as an intervention and an implementation study, a so-called Hybrid Design (27). This means that the implementation study is planned from the outset of the project. Hybrid Design has been advocated to improve the speed of generating new knowledge and to increase the benefit and uptake of clinical research (27).

In four studies, we will design (Phase 1), fidelity-test (Phase 2), impact-test (Phase 3) and measure adoption (Phase 4) of the intervention (Figure 1). Following an initial observational study, the health professionals from two intervention departments will be asked to participate in workshops and focus group interviews during the full study period (Figure 1).

In order to achieve the overall project aim, the following research questions will be addressed in the qualitative studies of WALK-Cph:

- 1. Which cultural practices exist for mobility of older medical patients in the medical departments?
- 2. What are the roles of different professional cultures both regarding mobility of older patients and regarding collaboration between different professional groups to achieve increased mobility in older patients during and after hospitalization?
- 3. How can we develop a patient intervention that is tailored to local cultural practices and based on a high degree of user-engagement by health professionals, patients and their relatives?
- 4. How does a tailored patient intervention influence the extent to which health professionals and patients adhere to recommendations for managing increased mobility in older patients during and after hospitalization?

#### **Study setting**

WALK-Cph will be carried out in Denmark where the health care system is publicly funded by the tax payers. The Danish welfare state provides free treatment for primary medical care, hospitals, and home-based care services for all citizens. WALK-Cph will be conducted at six medical departments in three public hospitals in the Capital region of Copenhagen, Denmark. In addition, physiotherapy departments, a municipality and a municipality-based rehabilitation center will participate.

WALK-Cph will use a purposeful sampling approach (28) to reflect the diversity in medical specialties and to obtain rich information concerning the mobility practice in medical patients. We will select departments that reflect many facets of the medical specialty rather than focusing on one specialty. Based on this, we will include medical departments where older medical patients are admitted and where increased mobility is expected to be one of the core tasks of care and treatment. Six different departments will be chosen to participate: 1) a department of endocrinology; 2) a department of infectious diseases; 3) a department of pulmonary diseases; 4) a department of gastroenterology; 5) a general medical department; and 6) an emergency department. Each chosen department will have between 18-40 beds with similar numbers and proportions of physicians, registered nurses and certified nursing assistants. In all hospitals, the physiotherapy service is centrally organized to service all wards.

## **Qualitative methods**

The qualitative methods will include an ethnographic field study (comprising participant observation and interviews) and workshops to provide in-depth relational knowledge for designing an appropriate patient intervention (20) and for obtaining knowledge about the contextual circumstances with regard to the implementation, delivery and evaluation of the intervention. These methods, will enable us to account for the context in which events occur and uncover social patterns, for example which relationships are important for actions related to mobility and for interventions that increase mobility. Qualitative methods are also valuable in exploring the

underlying assumptions in relation to the designed intervention and in identifying the so-called active ingredients of a complex intervention (18) aimed at increasing mobility. Finally, the use of qualitative studies make it possible to determine which groups of participants are most likely to respond positively to the designed intervention, and whether the intervention must be modified in different ways for different groups or departments (20,21,29).

## Ethnographic field study

The design phase (Figure 1) will begin with an ethnographic field study including participant observation and interviews (29–31). Our position will primarily be observant rather than participating (32). The field study will enable us to generate rich descriptions of the interactions between health professionals and patients and explore the importance of contextual factors, professional identities and professional boundaries for mobility of older medical patients in the departments. This is crucial since observational knowledge relating to mobility of older medical patients in the departments is sparse. By being physically present in the departments, taking part in and observing the health professionals carrying out their daily activities, we will be able to understand how mobility of older patients is practiced in the departments and how it is perceived by different participants (29).

We have chosen a focused observation strategy (28,33), whereby we will follow the health professionals (physiotherapists, nurses, nursing assistants and physicians) in their daily work with a particular focus on language, actions and materialities regarding mobility of patients. We will inquire into what is being done and into arguments for decisions that the health professionals make about mobility in concrete situations. We expect to follow between 60 and 80 health professionals depending on staffing on the days of observation and depending on who is involved in mobility of a given patient.

The observations will be carried out by the researchers, two of whom are trained nurses (Msn) while two are trained physiotherapists (MHSc). By choosing researchers with different professional backgrounds, we acknowledge that the ability to gather data and generate knowledge depends on the position of the researcher (32,34). However, we anticipate that by comparing our observations, we will become aware of our own positions and perspectives and how they frame our observations. Thus, by cross-checking and discussing our observations, we can sharpen our attention on differences of significance for data generation. This process will take place continuously during meetings after each observation period to cross-check data and interpretations and will strengthen the validity of the results (33). By systematizing the observations and creating transparency the observations will ascertain credibility (29,35) and enable exploring and understanding of how health professionals make decisions about mobility in interaction with the patients and each other.

We will use an observation guide to record activities and interactions (Appendix 1). Field notes will consist of observations of both non-verbal and verbal aspects such as body language, dialogue

between the health professionals and patients, and the use of material artefacts such as mobility aids. Dialogues will be written down as close to verbatim as possible (36).

The observation guide will also include descriptive data (e.g. sex, profession and professional experience) and questions like "Who initiated mobility?", "Which arguments are raised when patients' needs for mobility are rejected or accepted?", "Is any kind of materiel artefact used, such as a walker or a screening tool?" According to Mason (37) field notes should also include focus areas of "subjective capabilities". The intention is that the researcher writes down reflections on his or her own actions, attitudes, location and presence. This increases the understanding of how the researchers affect the relations, underscoring that neutrality and detachment in relation to data collection, analysis and interpretation is impossible.

## Analytical perspective

We will analyze our observational data using different theories: cultural learning processes and cultural historical activity theory (34,38). These theories can help us understand barriers and transformations in the interaction between the health professionals, structures and artefacts. Also, it can help us understand how the culture creates ideas about how participants, for example the nurses, should interpret and act in relation to mobility in the department (34).

WALK-Cph derives its concept of culture from the theories of cultural learning processes that understand culture not only as a homogeneous set of assumptions, attitudes and values that all health professionals possess (36–38). Rather, culture is understood as something the health professionals produce in order to create links and connections between materialities and meanings in social and physical spaces (29,34). This means that culture does not refer to cognitive processes only (39–41), culture is also enacted and have bodily elements embedded. This concept of culture is relevant when we use observational studies, where we can observe how the health professionals act, what they say, what materialities they include and exclude in their professional practice and how they move in physical rooms. In this perspective, the concept of culture focuses both on what is homogeneous and what is different, for example between professions (23).

Data from the ethnographic field study will be analyzed using both a thematic analysis and a deductive approach where we will analyze the material from the perspective of different theoretical concepts, e.g. as cultural models (23), and activity systems (38).

The results from the analysis will be presented and used as mirror data in the subsequent workshop (Figure 1). Mirror data are defined as data representing the present state of work practices and these data provide the health professionals with a mirror reflection of their activities by presenting examples of current practice (42).

## The workshops

After the field study, four workshops of four hours will be used to develop the WALK-Cph intervention in collaboration with health professionals, patients, relatives and researchers. In the workshops, we will get an opportunity to bring together different forms of evidence-based knowledge, both theoretical and empirical, to accommodate increased mobility of older medical patients (38). Furthermore, the workshop method is chosen because implementation research points to the importance of involving the persons who are responsible for increasing mobility of older medical patients, in this case the health professionals (26). Each workshop will be held in a classroom in the hospital and will be video- and audiotaped. The design phase consists of three workshops, which are described below.

## Workshop I: Health professionals.

Health professionals from the intervention departments and the municipality will participate. The aim of this workshop is to develop a catalogue of initiatives that the health professionals believe will increase mobility of older medical patients. The catalogue will be based on the health professionals' knowledge, experience and attitudes as well as the observational data from the field study. The proposed initiatives will form the basis for the development of the intervention (Appendix 2).

#### Workshop II: Patients and relatives.

Patients and their relatives will participate. Workshop II has two aims: First, to generate a catalogue of initiatives that the patients and the relatives believe will increase mobility, based on their knowledge, experience, attitudes and mirror-data. Second, to obtain feedback from the patients and their relatives on the catalogue of initiatives suggested by the health professionals at workshop I. The initiatives suggested by patients and relatives also contribute to the development of the intervention. The results from workshop II are weighted as much as the results from workshop I.

## Workshop III: Health professionals.

Health professionals will participate and be introduced to the patients' and the relatives' proposals. The particular aim of workshop III is to finalize a prototype of the intervention. Hereafter, a study focusing on facilitators and barriers (barrier screening) will be performed and the intervention will be adjusted according to the results of the barrier screening and afterwards be tested in a feasibility study (Study II, Figure 1).

Following the design phase, an additional workshop will be conducted.

## Workshop IV: Health professionals, patients and relatives.

Health professionals, patients and relatives will participate to provide feedback on the proposed intervention. The aim of workshop IV will be to adapt and design the final intervention which will be tested in the fidelity study (Figure 1) and subsequently in the RCT study Between the different workshops, the research team will work systematically to develop the intervention based on the five-phase model for design of interventions: 1) problem analysis and project planning, 2) information gathering and synthesis, 3) design, 4) pilot testing and 5) evaluation (43). As a part of the workshops and the barrier screening, input from health

professionals, patients and relatives will be collected and the research team will score the feasibility of all incoming input based on a number of criteria: rationality, complexity, compliance with rules and regulation, required time, economical neutrality, accessibility of equipment and amelioration of patient life quality. Inspired by the Delphi method (44) all input will be scored between 1 and 5, where 1 represents low complexity and 5 represents high complexity. For example: if the intervention proposal is less complex, the score will be 1 to indicate low complexity. All interventions that have a median score equal to or below 2 will be included in the final intervention.

#### The participants

The participants in workshops II and IV will be 8-10 older medical patients (+ 65 years) who have been admitted to a medical department and 8-10 relatives who have had a relative, friend or family member (+65 years) admitted to a medical department. Further, the participants in workshop I, III and IV will be physicians, nurses and nursing assistants, physiotherapists, occupational therapists and managers affiliated with the two intervention departments or the municipalities. The health professionals will be selected by their managers and will be selected both by profession, experience in the medical or physiotherapy department and for being responsible for the implementation of the intervention. The selection will range from enthusiastic people who are good at initiating and managing interventions, even beyond their own department, to those who have a strong voice within the department and finally, those with the greatest resistance towards the intervention (45). This complexity of participants ensures a multi-voiceness perspective (42) on both possibilities and barriers in relation to designing an intervention that has the ability to match the local context.

## **Barrier screening**

A barrier screening designed as semi-structured individual interviews with health professionals will be carried out in the two intervention departments, the physiotherapy departments and the municipalities after the intervention has been designed. The aim of the barrier screening is to explore and understand the health professionals' perceived barriers and facilitators regarding the intervention, once it has been designed (Figure 1). The barrier screening will complement the field studies at the organizational level and the workshops at the group level. To ensure that the intervention is based on opinions, attitudes and perspectives from health professionals with positions other than those who participate in the workshops, 20 interviews with health professionals will be conducted. Both contradictory and complementary views are relevant for identifying patterns in the participants' understanding, practices and how they relate to situations involving mobility of older medical patients.

The barrier screening will be designed and analyzed based on The Theoretical Domain Framework (TDF) (46,47) and Rogers' framework of innovation attributes (45). The purpose of the TDF is to identify determinants at an individual level.. Rogers' innovation attributes concern a number of perceived characteristics of innovations, which influence their adoption and use. Interventions that do not meet these criteria tend to be difficult to disseminate and implement. WALK-Cph is premised on the assumption that an intervention that is developed with contributions from the

perspective of the users and is adapted to the local context is more likely to be implemented (48).

#### ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

Before undertaking observations, interviews and workshops all participants will be informed about the aim of the study. They will be assured that participation is voluntary and that results will be anonymous. All participants will be asked to provide their written, voluntary and informed consent before participation in the workshops and interviews. Anonymity will be ascertained by assigning each participant with a code in the field notes and interviews. Only persons who are part of the research team will have access to data. The project will adhere to the directives of the Helsinki Declaration (49). Ethical approval was not required for the study since formal ethical approval is not mandatory for studies that do not involve biomedical issues (I-Suite no: 05078) according to Danish law.

After completing the study, the results will be disseminated to all the health professionals, managers, patients and relatives. They will be invited to afternoon meetings where the findings, the process and cooperation will be in focus. The results from the study will be published in peer-reviewed scientific journals and presented at one or more scientific conferences.

Word count; 4280 words

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Dock W. The evil sequelae of complete bed rest. J Am Med Assoc. 1944;125(16):1083–1085.
- 2. Brown CJ, Williams BR, Woodby LL, Davis LL, Allman RM. Barriers to mobility during hospitalization from the perspectives of older patients and their nurses and physicians. J Hosp Med. 2007 Sep;2(5):305–13.
- 3. Zisberg A, Shadmi E, Sinoff G, Gur-Yaish N, Srulovici E, Admi H. Low Mobility During Hospitalization and Functional Decline in Older Adults: IN-HOSPITAL MOBILITY LEVELS AND FUNCTIONAL DECLINE. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2011 Feb;59(2):266–73.
- 4. Brown CJ, Friedkin RJ, Inouye SK. Prevalence and outcomes of low mobility in hospitalized older patients. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004;52(8):1263–1270.
- 5. Henneman P, Fisher D, Henneman E, Pham T, Campbell M. Patient identification errors are common in a simulated setting. Ann Emerg Med. 2010;(55(6)):503–9.
- 6. Danmark, Sundhedsstyrelsen. Styrket indsats for den ældre medicinske patient: fagligt oplæg til en national handlingsplan. Sundhedsstyrelsen; 2011. Denmark, The Danish Health Authority. [Strengthened efforts for the older medical patient a proposal for a national action plan]. In Danish. The Danish Health Authority, 2011.

- 7. Pedersen MM, Bodilsen AC, Petersen J, Beyer N, Andersen O, Smith L, et al. Acute Twenty-Four-Hour Mobility During Acute Hospitalization in Older Medical Patients. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2013;(March;68(3):331–337.).
- 8. Brown C, Roth D, Peel C, Allman R. Predictors of regaining ambulatory ability during hospitalization. J Hosp Med. 2006;1:277-284.
- 9. Boyd CM, Landefeld CS, Counsell SR, Palmer RM, Fortinsky RH, Kresevic D, et al. Recovery of Activities of Daily Living in Older Adults After Hospitalization for Acute Medical Illness: FUNCTIONAL RECOVERY AFTER HOSPITALIZATION. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2008 Dec;56(12):2171–9.
- 10. Boyd CM, Xue Q-L, Guralnik JM, Fried LP. Hospitalization and development of dependence in activities of daily living in a cohort of disabled older women: the Women's Health and Aging Study I. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2005;60(7):888–893.
- 11. Callen BL, Mahoney JE, Grieves CB, Wells TJ, Enloe M. Frequency of hallway ambulation by hospitalized older adults on medical units of an academic hospital. Geriatr Nur (Lond). 2004;25(4):212–217.
- 12. Doherty-King B, Yoon JY, Pecanac K, Brown R, Mahoney J. Frequency and Duration of Nursing Care Related to Older Patient Mobility: Nursing Care Related to Mobility. J Nurs Scholarsh. 2014 Jan;46(1):20–7.
- 13. Brown CJ, Flood KL. Mobility Limitation in the Older Patient: A Clinical Review. JAMA. 2013 Sep 18;310(11):1168.
- 14. Nilsen P, Bernhardsson S. Towards evidence-based physiotherapy research challenges and needs. J Physiother. 2013 Sep;59(3):143–4.
- 15. Dishman RK, Sallis JF, Orenstein DR. The determinants of physical activity and exercise. Public Health Rep. 1985;100, 158–71.
- 16. Kirk W., Nilsen P. Implementing evidence-based practices in an emergency department: Contradictions exposed when prioritizing a flow culture. J Clin Nurs Accept. 2015;
- 17. Kirk JW, Nilsen P. Implementing evidence-based practices in an emergency department: contradictions exposed when prioritising a flow culture. J Clin Nurs. 2016 Feb;25(3–4):555–65.
- 18. Baker R, Camosso-Stefinovic J, Gillies C, Shaw EJ, Cheater F, Flottorp S, et al. Tailored interventions to overcome identified barriers to change: effects on professional practice and health care outcomes. In: The Cochrane Collaboration, editor. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews [Internet]. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2010 [cited 2015 Sep 16]. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/14651858.CD005470.pub2
- 19. Carman KL, Dardess P, Maurer M, Sofaer S, Adams K, Bechtel C, et al. Patient And Family Engagement: A Framework For Understanding The Elements And Developing Interventions And Policies. Health Aff (Millwood). 2013 Feb 1;32(2):223–31.

- 20. Jansen YJFM, Foets MME, de Bont AA. The contribution of qualitative research to the development of tailor-made community-based interventions in primary care: a review. Eur J Public Health. 2010 Apr 1;20(2):220–6.
- 21. MRC. A framework for the development and evaluation of RCTs for complex interventions to improve health. Rep No 200018 Lond Med Res Counc. 2000;
- 22. Bhaskar R. A Realist Theory of Science. Hemel Hempstead. Herts: Harvester Wheatsheaf; 1975.
- 23. Hasse C. An anthropology of learning: on nested frictions in cultural ecologies. New York, NY: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2014.
- 24. Archer MS, editor. Critical realism: essential readings. London; New York: Routledge; 1998. 756 p. (Critical realism--interventions).
- 25. Satariano WA, Guralnik JM, Jackson RJ, Marottoli RA, Phelan EA, Prohaska TR. Mobility and aging: new directions for public health action. Am J Public Health. 2012;102(8):1508–1515.
- Fixsen DL, Naoom SF, Blase KA, Friedman RM, Wallace F. Implementation research: A synthesis of the literature. 2005 [cited 2014 Feb 1]; Available from: http://centerforchildwelfare2.fmhi.usf.edu/kb/Implementation/Implementation%20Research% 20-%20A%20Synthesis%20of%20Literature%20%20-%202005.pdf
- 27. Curran GM, Bauer M, Mittman B, Pyne JM, Stetler C. Effectiveness-implementation Hybrid Designs: Combining Elements of Clinical Effectiveness and Implementation Research to Enhance Public Health Impact. Med Care. 2012 Mar;50(3):217–26.
- 28. Wolcott HF. Confession of a "trained" observer.In: Transforming Qualitative Data. Description, Analysis and Interpretation. Thousands Oaks: Sage Publications; 1994.
- 29. Hastrup K, Rubow C, Tjørnhøj-Thomsen T. Kulturanalyse. Kort fortalt. Vol. 2011. Frederiksberg C, København: Samfundslitteratur; 2011.
- 30. Hastrup K. Ind i verden: en grundbog i antropologisk metode. Kbh.: Hans Reitzel; 2003.
- 31. Spradley JP. The Ethnographic Interview. Holt, Rinehart & Winston, London [Internet]. 1979 [cited 2013 Oct 21]. Available from: http://www.statsbiblioteket.dk/au/index.jsp?query=Spradley+J.P.+%281979%29+The+Ethnog raphic+Interview.+Holt%2C+Rinehart+%26+Winston%2C+London&first\_search=true&keep Filter=false&delimitType=available
- 32. Spradley JP. Participant observation. Waveland, Press. Inc.; 1980.
- 33. Tjørnhøj.Thomsen T, Reynolds Whyte S. Fieldwork and partipant observation. In: Research methods in public health. Gyldendal Akademisk. In Gyldendal Akademisk; 2008.
- 34. Hasse C. Kulturanalyse i organisationer. Begreber, metoder og forbløffende læreprocesser [Internet]. 1. udgave. Vol. 2011. Frederiksberg: Samfundslitteratur, 201; 2011 [cited 2013 Oct

21]. Available from:

http://www.statsbiblioteket.dk/au/index.jsp?query=Kulturanalyse+I+organisationer.+Begreber %2C+metoder+og+forbl%C3%B8ffende+I%C3%A6reprocesser&first\_search=true&keepFilte r=false&delimitType=#/?recordId=sb 5228224

- 35. Hastrup K. Getting it right: Knowledge and evidence in anthropology. Anthropol Theory. 2004 Dec 1;4(4):455–72.
- 36. Berg BL. Qualitative Research Methods for the Social Sciences (3rd Edition). Boston: MA:Allyn and Bacon; 1998.
- 37. Mason J. Qualitative Researching. London: Sage; 1996.
- 38. Engeström Y. Learning-by-Expanding. An Activity-Theoretical Approach To Developmental Research. Helsinki: Orienta-Konsultit.; 1987.
- 39. Weick KE. Organizational culture as a source of high reliability [Internet]. National Emergency Training Center; 1987 [cited 2015 Dec 17]. Available from: http://www.amlsafety.com.au/AMLstores/\_images/pdf-files/Weick1987.pdf
- 40. Schein EH. Process consultation: its role in organization development. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley; 1969.
- 41. Schein EH. The Role of the Founder in Creating Organizational Culture. Organizational Dynamics; 1983. 13-28 p.
- 42. Kerosuo H, Engeström Y, Kajamaa A. Promoting innovation and learning through Change Laboratory: An example from Finnish health care. Cent Eur J Public Policy. 2010;(1):110–131.
- 43. Rothman J, Edwin J T. Intervention research: design and development for human services. New York: Haworth Pres; 1994.
- 44. Linstone HA, Turoff M. The Delphi Method. Tech Appl [Internet]. 2002 [cited 2017 Sep 1];53. Available from: https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/8634/72a67f5bdc67e4782306efd883fca23e3a3d.pdf
- 45. Rogers EM. Diffusion of innovations. New York; London: Free Press; Collier Macmillan; 1983.
- 46. Michie S. Making psychological theory useful for implementing evidence based practice: a consensus approach. Qual Saf Health Care. 2005 Feb 1;14(1):26–33.
- 47. Cane J, O'Connor D, Michie S, others. Validation of the theoretical domains framework for use in behaviour change and implementation research. Implement Sci. 2012;7(1):37.
- 48. McCusker. McCusker et al. 1999, JAGS 47 (10) 1229-37). 1999;
- 49. Code N. The Nuremberg Code. Trials War Crim Nuremberg Mil Trib Control Counc Law. 1949;(10):181–182.

Word count; 1173 words

**Acknowledgements:** We thank the management staff from the medical departments, the physiotherapy and occupational therapy departments and the municipalities for their commitment to participate in the study and for giving us the opportunity to conduct field studies in their departments.

**Contributors:** JWK led the study and drafted the manuscript in collaboration with CB, TTT, PN, and MMP. TB, RH, OA, JP, LK extensively revised the manuscript. All authors have approved the final manuscript and are willing to take responsibility for appropriate portions of the content.

**Funding:** This work was funded by the Velux Foundation (Lauritz William Foundation) grant number [00013449]

Competing interests: None.

Figure 1. WALK-Cph intervention and implementation activities (contents covered by the present protocol are in red).

Figure 1: WALK-Cph intervention and implementation activities (Contents covered by the present protocol are written in red).

	Phase 1: Design		Phase 2: Fidelity		Phase 3: Intervention	Phase 4: Adoption
	Collaborative design of intervention (Study 1a)		Fidelity of intervention (Study 2a)	Redesign of intervention (Study 2c)	Effect of intervention (Study 3a)	
WALK-Cph intervention grantnumber [00013449]	Practice observation study: Observations of everyday practice to understand the context  Intervention design study: Workshops I+I+III with users and researchers to design the intervention Intervention determinant study: Focus group interviews to identify barriers and facilitators for the planned intervention		Observational study to measure fidelity of the intervention	Workshop IV who were and researchers for further development and refinement of the intervention	Randomized Controlled Trial: To measure effect of the invention Fidelity of intervention: Observations to assess delivery of intervention	
nentation 7276]		Design and development of implementation strategy to support the intervention (Study 1b)	Fidelity of implementation strategies (Study 2b)	Redesign of implementation strategies (Study 2d)	Fidelity of implementation strategies (Study 3b)	Adoption study (Study 4)
WALK-Cph implementation grantnumber [00017276]	4	Implementation strategy design study: Workshow with users (managers and key implementation staff) and researchers to identify select and monitor relevant implementation strategies.	Observational study to measure fidelity of implementation strategies.	Workshop with users (managers and key implementation staff) and researchers to re- design and monitor relevant implementation strategies based on the fidelity study and workshops.	(in case of redesign following 2d) Observational study to measure fidelity	Observations of everyday practice and comparison with initial observations and semi- structured interviews.

254x179mm (96 x 96 DPI)

## Appendix 1: OBSERVATION GUIDE I TO WALK-Cph.

Profession	Sex	Work experience in general	Work experience in the department	Who initiated mobilization?	Which argument is used for rejection or acceptance? (Motive)	What is discussed with the group or physiotherapist regarding mobilization	Are material artifacts used? Which ones? How?	Patient status
			1					
				NA				
					0.			
					1/0			
						9/)/		

Appendix 2: OBSERVATION GUIDE II TO WALK-Cph (researcher reflection).

Date and time	Physical room	Verbal communication	Non-verbal communication	Social consensus. How meaning is created and shared between the health professionals.	Other things
		- h			
			1/3		
			. (7)		
				1//	

## <u>Appendix 2: QUESTIONS FOR WORKSHOP I – HEALTH PROFESSIONALS IN THE HOSPITAL</u>

1. What do you think of what you have heard - both regarding the preliminary analyzes of accelerometer data and the preliminary data from the observations? What had made the biggest impression on you? And why? (about 10 minutes).

Data from the observation study show that nurses and doctors do not perceive mobilization as part of the treatment for. Therapists' perceived mobilization / physical activities as part of the treatment, but prioritized respiratory physiotherapy and rehabilitation plans over mobilization (about 10 minutes).

- 2. What do you think your core task is? And does mobilization fit in your core task?
- 3. What is needed for mobilization and training to be perceived as and becoming an integral part of treatment for all groups?
- 4. What are the possibilities for supporting physical activity in patients during hospitalization? In answering this question, we would ask you to consider the following points:
  - The possibilities for interdisciplinary cooperation
  - The possibilities for cross-sectoral cooperation
- 5. During the observation study, you mentioned that physical space is central to mobilization. Despite this, we did not see that they were used extensively.

What is needed for the physical space to be used - whether large, small or the hallway?

- 6. What concrete ideas might work in your department? Please include the following in your discussion:
  - Standardization of mobilization, e.g. as in fast track of surgical patients
  - The use of e.g. "lung paths" or coffee gymnastics
  - The use of verbal communication from both nurses, doctors and therapists performing motivational conversation
  - The use of self-training

# QUESTIONS FOR WORKSHOP I – STAFF IN THE MUNICIPALITY AND IN THE MUNICIPALITY-BASED REHABILITATION CENTER

- 7. Are there any differences between professions in relation to mobilization of patients in the municipality? How do these differences appear? And what is the consequence of these differences? (about 10 minutes).
- 8. Which interdisciplinary cooperation exists in the municipality regarding mobilization and training of citizens? (about 10 minutes).
- 9. How do you work to support citizens who have been hospitalized to return to previous activity levels (both in the municipality and in the municipality-based rehabilitation center) (about 10 minutes)?
- 10. What are the possibilities of supporting physical activity in patients during hospitalization? In answering this question, we would ask you to consider the following points:
  - The possibilities for interdisciplinary cooperation
  - The possibilities for cross-sectoral cooperation
- 11. In addition to the above questions, we would like you to discuss concrete ideas for how a municipal effort could be implemented? Please include the following in your discussion:
  - The use of welfare technology solutions like motivational SMS messages and "screen training"
- The use of verbal communication from both nurses, doctors, and therapists performing motivational conversation
  - The use of self-training