## **Extended Methods:**

## **Patient consent and cohort**

Tumor tissue acquisition was performed under an Institutional Review Board (IRB) protocol approved at UT Southwestern Medical Center. Informed written consent was obtained from all patients in accordance with institutional and NIH/NCI regulations. Tumor tissue was triaged for snap freezing, histology, and models generation. For model generation, fresh tumor tissue was placed in sterile 15 ml falcon tubes in RPMI-1640 media containing gentamycin and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin and transported on wet ice. Primary cell line isolation and PDX generation were initiated within two hours of resection.

## **Establishing cell lines from patient tumor tissue and PDX models**

Cell lines were developed from patient tumor tissue or PDX models using the same protocol. Tumor tissue was minced with twin sterile razor blades until it had the consistency of a smooth paste. Tissue was re-suspended in 10 ml of sterile tissue culture trypsin (0.05%, 0.53 mM EDTA; Corning) in a 15 ml Falcon tube and incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C with agitation to dissociate the tumor tissue from collagenous stroma. Undigested tissue (sediment) and tumor cell aggregates (supernatant) were separated by gravity. The undigested tissue was serially exposed to trypsin for 6x30 minute cycles of trypsin digestion. The tumor cell aggregates recovered were added to 1 ml of fetal bovine serum (FBS) to neutralize the trypsin and concentrated by centrifugation at 2,000 RPM for 2 minutes. The tumor cell aggregates were then re-suspended in growth media consisting of Keratinocyte-SFM (Life Technologies) supplemented with prequalified recombinant Epidermal Growth Factor and Bovine Pituitary Extract (Life Technologies), 2% FBS (Sigma), and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic (Life Technologies). The cells were cultured on plates pre-coated with collagen solution. Collagen solution was of rat-tail type I collagen (Millipore) at a final concentration of 50 ug/ml in 10 mM acetic acid. Plates coated with collagen were allowed to incubate for several minutes and subsequently washed with complete media prior to cell seeding. Cells were incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C with  $5\%$  CO<sub>2</sub>. Tumor cells on the plates were readily apparent by morphology relative to stromal elements (e.g. fibroblasts). Contaminating stromal cells were removed by differential trypsinization or selective scraping of the plates as necessary. Cell lines were routinely evaluated for the absence of mycoplasma contamination by DAPI staining. This isolation method was compared against the method of conditionally reprogrammed cells (1) and was found to be superior for the time required for derivation of the cell line and the ability to isolate pure tumor cell populations (n=3). That finding is consistent with a recent study, in which conditionally reprogrammed culture was not effective in supporting growth of primary ovarian cell lines (2). We also used an established protocol for organoid development (3) followed by plating on collagen coated plates. We did not observe an additional benefit of this approach on the cases tested (n=2), although it did yield cell lines comparable to the optimized approach (not shown). The development of pure cell lines required 8-12 weeks on average. Instances where we failed to develop cell lines were due to lack of sufficient tumor tissue, overgrowth by stromal cells, contamination or a very slow tumor cell growth.

#### **Establishing patient derived xenografts**

NOD-SCID IL2Rγ null (NSG) mice were maintained in a barrier facility under HEPA-filtered air with food and water available as desired. Food, water and cage bedding were sterilized prior to use. Animal experiments fulfilled National Institutes of Health and UT Southwestern requirements for humane animal care and all procedures were approved by the UT Southwestern Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. NSG mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and, once sedated, subjected to survival surgery. For subcutaneous patient derived xenograft (PDX) generation, the mouse flank was shaved and cleansed with 70% alcohol and Betadine. A 0.5-1 cm incision was made through the skin and subcutaneous tissue. A tumor fragment (approximately 1x3 mm) was then implanted into the flank and the incision was closed

with 4-0 Vicryl suture. For orthotopic PDX, a small incision was made through the abdominal wall and peritoneum. The tail of the pancreas was gently extruded with blunt forceps, and a tumor fragment (approximately 1x3 mm) was sutured to the pancreas using 6-0 sterile silk black braided suture. The tail of the pancreas was then placed back inside the peritoneal cavity, and incision site was closed in two layers:  $1^{st}$  peritoneum with 6-0 silk sterile suture, and  $2^{nd}$  skin with 6-0 braided sterile silk suture. Mice were provided with buprenorphine as an analgesic and monitored daily for two consecutive days after surgery with particular attention paid to animal distress, wound dehiscence, and signs of infections. Thereafter, they were examined 2-3 times per week. Monitoring for tumor growth was performed up to nine months post implantation. Tumor size was assessed by measurement with calipers once a week. Tumor volume was approximated using measurements of tumor length and width using the formula: (length  $\times$ (width2))/2. The mice were euthanized by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  inhalation, and tumors were harvested once they reached volume ~1500mm<sup>3</sup>. PDX tumor tissue was divided for: snap freezing, fixation in 10% buffered formalin for paraffin embedding/histology, cryopreservation, PDX cell line development and passaging.

## **Whole exome sequencing alignment and quality metrics**

A total of 25 primary tumors/normal pairs, 56 primary and PDX cell lines and 54 PDX tumors were subjected to paired-end whole exome sequencing and run on Illumina HiSeq2500. The sequencing libraries were obtained using commercially available exome capture kits as per the manufacturer's protocol. The sequences were demultiplexed using CASAVA and FASTQ files were obtained. The sequences were aligned using BWA tool to the human genome (UCSChg19 build) and the aligned files were obtained in BAM format (4). Duplicates were removed using PICARD (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/), and reads with quality less than a phred score of 10 were filtered out. Picard HsMetrics was used to capture coverage and alignment

metrics for the BAM files. An average coverage of 120±34x was obtained across the cases/models with 94% of unique reads aligned and 94% of the targeted bases having at least 20x coverage. The exome sequencing data is deposited at dbGaP.

## **Somatic Mutation calling and post-filtering**

Somatic point mutations and insertions/deletion in the sequenced tumors tissue/cell lines/PDXs were obtained using MuTect and VarScan, respectively with default settings, as we have previously reported (5). The tumor cases and models were compared against the respective normal tissue. The mutations were annotated using Oncotator tool from the Broad Institute. For known PDA mutations; KRAS, TP53 and SMAD4, MuTect cut-offs were relaxed to tumor reference count <=5 and IGV was used to confirm those mutations. Indels with reference allele counts greater than 8 and tumor variant allele counts greater than 3 were only considered. All mutations were subjected to a post-filtering mouse and paralog removal (MAPEX) algorithm where the sequences containing the mutations were Blast-filtered against both the human (GRCh37, hg19) and mouse (GRCm38, mm10) databases. Percent identity scores for the sequences were captured. Any read with 100% identity in mouse or percentage identity greater in mouse was considered as 'potential mouse read'; 100% identity in both human and mouse was considered a 'possible paralog' and was flagged as 'rejected' and given a score of 0. A somatic mutation was 'accepted' only when the aggregate score for all its sequences was > 50%. This rigorous post-filtering algorithm effectively removed all the potential mouse mutations and known paralogous calls.

## **Copy number analysis**

GATK 'DepthOfCoverage' algorithm was used to obtain coverage across all the sequenced cases. ExomeCNV and DNAcopy packages in R/Bioconductor were used to obtain the log ratio between tumor and normal and to normalize the data by circular binary segmentation algorithm to generate segment files. The copy number alteration per gene was then obtained using the GISTIC2 program (6). The joint segment size was set to 4 and the confidence level was set to 95%. The data were summarized and evaluated as we have previously published (5).

### **RNA sequencing analysis**

PDA cell lines and PDX models were subjected to 50 bp paired-end RNA sequencing. The data were demultiplexed and the raw Fastg files were aligned to the human genome (UCSC-hg19 build) using TopHat2 (6) alignment algorithm to generate aligned BAM files. HTseq-count (7) algorithm was used to obtain the counts per gene for each sample. EdgeR (8) a Bioconductor/R package, was used to normalize and remove batch effects in the count data.

The analyses for the gene expression were carried out using standard Euclidean based clustering with clinical cases of defined subtypes (9). Alternatively, the top 200 genes with high variance in the cell lines were used to cluster with the PDXs based on Euclidean distance.

## **Phylogenetic Analysis**

Phylogenetic tree reconstruction was performed similar to the algorithms defined in Gerlinger et al (10). Briefly, a binary matrix of mutations was constructed for the models. MEGA5 software was used to obtain the maximum parsimony tree using the subtree-pruning regrafting algorithm (11). The normal were used to root the phylogenetic trees. The trees were re-plotted using Adobe Illustrator keeping the branch lengths conserved.

## **Analysis of DNA/RNA sequencing data**

Statistical analyses of exome and RNA sequencing were performed using packages in R statistical software. Correlations between PDA models were obtained using Spearman correlation method. Heatmaps and oncoprints were obtained using gplots and pheatmap packages in R. Venn diagrams showing the number of mutations conserved among models were obtained using the VennDiagram package in R. Alleleic balance in the RNA sequencing data was determined by using IGV focused over mutational events identified by exome sequencing.

#### **Tumor clonality and hierarchical sample clustering**

Tumor cellularity and heterogeneity for both tumor and cell lines of samples EMC226, EMC519, and EMC114 was estimated using THetA2 to verify zygosity of clonal mutations. Variant allele frequencies (VAF) were then normalized by dividing called VAF by the highest reported VAF for a clonal homozygous mutation in each sample. We obtained TCGA sample VAF's from the TCGA website and normalized by dividing called VAF by the provided purity estimate. Hierarchical clustering of tumor, pdx, and cell line samples was performed using the function hclust [2] in R with method=average, with squared Euclidean distances computed on the presence/absence matrix of called mutations in each sample.

### **Tumorgenic potential of primary cell lines**

Between  $1-2x10^6$  cells suspended in 50 $\mu$ l of Matrigel were injected into the pancreas of anesthetized NSG mice using incision and surgical closure techniques employed for orthotopic PDX generation. Mice were monitored for tumor growth as described above.

## **Immunohistochemistry:**

Patient tumors and xenografts were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and processed for paraffin embedding. 5 µm sections were used for immunohistochemical stains on automated DAKO stainer as previously described (12). The primary mouse monoclonal anti-Ki67 (DAKO, prediluted, IR62661-2) was employed.

## **Western blotting, RPPA, RNAi transfection**

Drugs used in the study were purchased from Selleck Chemical and diluted in DMSO as vehicle. Antibodies against GAPDH (SC-25778) were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Antip16INK4a (AF5779) was from R&D Systems, while the RB antibody (G3-235) was from BD. Cells were trypsinized and lysed in RIPA buffer (10% NP-40, 1% SDS, 5% sodium deoxycholate, 500 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 1.5 M NaCl, 10 mM EDTA) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and Pierce™ phosphatase inhibitor tablets for 1 hour on ice. Lysate was collected after centrifuging samples at 14,000 RPM for 20 minutes. Protein concentration was assessed using DC™ protein assay (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Absorbance and subsequent protein concentration was determined by a BIOTEK plate reader and Gen5 software. RNAi transfection and measurement of survival by cell-titer-glow was performed as previously described (13). The KRAS RNAi pool was from Dharmacon.

## **Immunofluorescence**

Cells or tissues were fixed with methanol. For the detection of nuclear antigens extraction was performed with 1X PBS containing 0.5% Triton X-100 for 10 minutes. Staining was performed using primary antibodies diluted in PBS supplemented with 5% BSA and 0.4% NP-40. Fixed cells or tissues were then incubated with primary antibodies according to the vendor specifications for 30-60 minutes at room temperature. Anti-cytokeratin 8 was from Invitrogen, anti-vimentin was from Cell Signaling, and anti-p53 was from Santa Cruz. Cells were washed with 1X PBS and incubated with fluorescent conjugated secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor® 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG 1:500; Life Technologies, and Rhodamine anti-mouse IgG 1:500; Life Technologies) and counterstained with Hoechst (1:250; Life Technologies) for 30 minutes at room temperature. Images were taken at 40X magnification and edited using ImageJ software.

#### **Flow cytometry**

Cells were incubated with fresh media containing 1:100 BrdU labeling solution (Invitrogen) for 90 minutes. Trypsin was then added and cells washed with PBS following BrdU incubation. Cells were fixed with 70% ethanol for 1 hour at 4°C. Samples were incubated with 2M HCl containing 0.3 mg/ml pepsin solution for 30 minutes. Acid was neutralized with 0.1M sodium tetraborate pH 8.5 and cells washed twice with IFA buffer (10mM HEPES pH 7.4, 25 mM NaCl, 4% FBS). Samples were incubated with FITC labeled anti-BrdU solution (1:50; BD Pharmingen™) for 30 minutes at room temperature. Samples were washed with IFA buffer and resuspended in PBS containing propidium iodide (20 µg/ml) and RNase (4 µg/ml) and allowed to incubate for 20 minutes in the dark. Cell cycle was assessed using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer and data analysis conducted on FloJo software.

**Drug Sensitivity Analysis:** The cell models were drug screened with a compound library of 305 agents as we have recently described in detail(14). Area under the curve (AUC) values for single-drug responses were z-score normalized. Subtype groups defined by the gene expression signature found in Collisson et al. were compared using a two-tailed, heteroscedastic t-test. Drugs that had significantly different sensitivities (p<0.05) between the quasi-mesenchymal group and the other subtypes were then clustered within both subtype groups using unsupervised hierarchal clustering methods in R. These subtype clusters were assembled into a single heatmap for comparison.

## **REFERENCES:**

1. Liu X, Ory V, Chapman S, Yuan H, Albanese C, Kallakury B, et al. ROCK inhibitor and feeder cells induce the conditional reprogramming of epithelial cells. Am J Pathol. 2012;180:599-607. 2. Ince TA, Sousa AD, Jones MA, Harrell JC, Agoston ES, Krohn M, et al. Characterization of twenty-five ovarian tumour cell lines that phenocopy primary tumours. Nature communications. 2015;6:7419.

3. Boj SF, Hwang CI, Baker LA, Chio, II, Engle DD, Corbo V, et al. Organoid models of human and mouse ductal pancreatic cancer. Cell. 2015;160:324-38.

4. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:1754-60.

5. Witkiewicz AK, McMillan EA, Balaji U, Baek G, Lin WC, Mansour J, et al. Whole-exome sequencing of pancreatic cancer defines genetic diversity and therapeutic targets. Nature communications. 2015;6:6744.

6. Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome Biol. 2013;14:R36.

7. Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2015;31:166-9.

8. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics. 2010;26:139-40.

9. Collisson EA, Sadanandam A, Olson P, Gibb WJ, Truitt M, Gu S, et al. Subtypes of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and their differing responses to therapy. Nature medicine. 2011;17:500-3.

10. Gerlinger M, Horswell S, Larkin J, Rowan AJ, Salm MP, Varela I, et al. Genomic architecture and evolution of clear cell renal cell carcinomas defined by multiregion sequencing. Nature genetics. 2014;46:225-33.

11. Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Molecular biology and evolution. 2011;28:2731-9.

12. Witkiewicz AK, Borja NA, Franco J, Brody JR, Yeo CJ, Mansour J, et al. Selective impact of CDK4/6 suppression on patient-derived models of pancreatic cancer. Oncotarget. 2015;6:15788-801.

13. Franco J, Balaji U, Freinkman E, Witkiewicz AK, Knudsen ES. Metabolic Reprogramming of Pancreatic Cancer Mediated by CDK4/6 Inhibition Elicits Unique Vulnerabilities. Cell reports. 2016. 14. Witkiewicz AK, Balaji U, Eslinger C, McMillan E, Conway W, Posner B, et al. Integrated Patient-Derived Models Delineate Individualized Therapeutic Vulnerabilities of Pancreatic Cancer. Cell reports. 2016;16:2017-31.

## **Supplemental Figure Legends:**

**Figure S1.** (A) Summary of the cases and abbreviated clinical pathological information. (B) Summary of copy number alterations in the sequenced tumor cohort as determined by GISTIC analysis, the positions of CDKN2A and SMAD4 deletions are indicated. (C) The copy number alterations defined in the present sequencing cohort (green) were subjected to unsupervised clustering with a previously published cohort of 109 cases (blue). (D) Oncoprints of core signaling pathways disrupted in the sequenced cohort. Blue denotes homozygous deletion, red denotes amplification, orange denotes tumor specific INDEL and green indicates tumor specific SNV. (E) Overall distribution of genetic events targeting oncogenic pathways in a previously published cohort of 109 cases.

**Figure S2.** (A) Summary of the cell lines and PDX models developed for each case. (B) Representative phase contrast images of cell lines derived from independent PDAC clinical cases (designated as case identifier " C") and/or PDX models (designated as PDX identifier " C"). Scale bar is 400 µm (C) Representative immunofluorescence staining of CK8 and vimentin for cell lines developed. Scale bar is 400 µm. Summary of staining is provided in the table. Staining was repeated on selected late passage models that retained the expression of CK8. (D) Representative images of CK8 and p53 immunofluorescence staining. Summary of p53 staining relative to the TP53 mutation in the primary tumor is shown in the table. (E) Pedigree charts of PDX development. The derivation of cell lines and exome sequencing of the models are indicated by the legend. (E) Representative hematoxylin and eosin stained sections of the primary tumor and PDX tissue from three passages. Scale bar is 100 µm.

**Figure S3.** (A) Table summarizes the classification of the indicated models using the = Collisson et al. classifier. (B) Venn diagrams summarize the overlap in SNVs between the representative primary tumors and indicated cell lines. Allele frequency plots summarize the conservation of high frequency genetic events between the primary tumor and cell lines. Green bars denote genes in common. Fuchsia, red, and blue bars denote SMAD4, KRAS and TP53 mutations, respectively. Gray bars are unique to either the tumor or the cell line. (C) Specific integrated genome view analysis of variants that were not called by MuTect. The variants in these cases were not called due to strand bias or low reads in the specific region. (D) Heatmap of the Spearman correlation of all SNV events in the primary tumor and indicated cell line models. (E) Venn diagram, allele frequency plots and Spearman correlation of somatic single nucleotide variants from the indicated comparisons of primary tumor with various PDX within same passage, metastatic deposits and PDX derived cell lines. (F) The EMC1229 case was very challenging to sequence due to distinct histological features of the primary tumor. This resulted in overall low tumor-specific allele frequencies, which likely underlie the larger discordance between this case and the resultant models.

## **Figure S4.**

(A) Summary of the number of genes that are common or unique to the tumor vs. PDX. (B) Mutant allele frequencies plots from the primary tumor and PDX. Common genes are shown in green and unique genes are depicted in gray. Color bar highlights specific cancer associated genes as indicated. The Y-axis is the allele frequency of the mutation in the model, the X-axis is the allele frequency of mutation in the patient tumor. (C)Summary of the number of genes that are common or unique to the tumor vs. cell lines. (D) Mutant allele frequencies plots from the primary tumor and indicated cell lines. Common genes are shown in green and unique genes are depicted in gray. Color bar highlights specific cancer associated genes as indicated. The Y-axis is the allele frequency of the mutation in the model, the X-axis is the allele frequency of mutation in the patient tumor.

## **Figure S5**

Gene ontology analysis of all unique variants identified was performed. This revealed an over-representation of events that target transcription or cell differentiation pathways. The p-value and gene names are provided.

## **Figure S6**

(A) Summary of drugs that are selective for established cell lines vs. quasimesenchymal (QM) primary cell lines vs. other subtypes primary cell lines. P-value and number of events are shown. (B) Representative drugs that exhibit selectivity for established cell lines (MiaPaca2, Panc1, PL45, PL5, Capan2, Capan1, Hs766t, BxPC3, ASPC1) vs. primary cell lines of either QM or other subtypes \*\*\*denotes p<0.001 for the combined cell line models across the doses.







**CNV Heatmap of PDA 109 with all models Primary Tumor** 







Hedg<br>MYC



**TERRITORIALE**<br>TERRITORIALE<br>RRANANANANA H n de la calendarie de la calendarie<br><mark>La calendarie de</mark> la calendarie de la cale ┝╅┼╁┝╅┾┼┼┼╄╝┼┼┼┼┼┼┼<br>┍╄┼┼╄╄┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼ <u> 2222. 222. 22</u> <u> 992. pr</u> <u> HII</u> <u>Titlerin</u> המתקדשות המוסימים המתקדשות המוסימים המוסימים המוסימים המוסימים המוסימים לאוליקים לאורים המוסימים המוסימים המוס<br>המוסימים המוסימים המוסימים המוסימים המוסימים להתאידים המוסימים לאורים לאוליקים לאוליקים המוסימים המוסימים המוס ,っㅎथ्छैछन्थरहे थथ्छथ्छछ। अस्य स्थान पर्वर पर्वरहि संस्था पर्वर पर्वर संस्था पर्वर संस्था पर्वर संस्था पर्वरहि बन्न अस्त संस्था पर्वर संस्था पर् A. 



B. 











EMC41o3b3\_C 

























## **Late passage CK8**













**EMC114 EMC43** 







**EMC1229** 





**EMC93** 



**EMC62** 



**EMC41** 



**EMC519 EMC129** 









**Time (days)**



250









o3a1-5 x3a1-5

 $\frac{1}{250}$ 







## **Alterations between passages & Comparison to primary T**







The case has two mutations in KRAS effecting codon 12<sup>\*\*</sup>





B. 

## C.

## **Analysis of tumor variants present in tumor and LP, but not EP**

## EMC1222\_EP EMC114\_EP



In model EMC1222 a SNV in the TMEM128 gene is not called in the early passage cell line due strand bias.



In model EMC114 an indel in the RPL14 gene is not called in the early passage cell line due to low coverage at this site.

D. 

## **Correlation heatmap between primary T and primary Cell lines**



### **Alterations between mice (same passage different mice)**



## **EMC828\_T**   $0.8$ <br>0.6<br>0.6<br>0.4<br>0.2 -------**EMC828x2a3**  <u> Listen van de Ste</u> **EMC828x2b2**  <u> I Timber e</u>

## **Correlation heatmap between primary T and PDX**



#### **Alterations with passage and metastasis**



## **Correlation heatmap between primary T and metastasis**







 $0.8$ <br>0.6<br>0.4<br>0.4

## Correlation heatmap between primary T, PDX and PDX derived Cell lines



ili il incon

## **PDX derived Cell lines**

F. 

## **Alterations between passages & Comparison to primary T**



## **Correlation heatmap between primary T and PDX derived Cell lines**



## **Heterogeneity of EMC12\_29 histology**



A. 



B. 

#### PDX Mutant Allele Frequency Scatterplots EMC226 ă Unique to O<br>Common<br>KRAS<br>SMAD4 ă  $\Xi$ ă EMC226x1a2 EMC226x1a2 EMC226o1a5Met EMC226x1a1 EMC226x1a1 å  $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ s 9 ă  $\vec{\tilde{\sigma}}$ g ã å 9 ٦  $0.0$  $1.0$  $0.2$ α4 0.6  $0.8$ 1.0 αo  $0.2$ 0.4 0.6 0.8 **EMC226\_T** EMC226\_T ă ă EMC226o1a5Met  $\Xi$ å EMC226o1a5 EMC226o1a5 g 8 8 g ă  $\vec{a}$ å å 9 9  $\frac{1}{0.0}$ ٦  $0.2$ αø 0.4  $0.6\,$  $0.8\,$  $1.0$  $0.2$ 0.4 0.6 0.8  $1.0\,$ EMC226\_T EMC226\_T













S4 









S4 

# Cell Line Mutant Allele Frequency Scatterplots











S4 





S4 





A. 





B. 



- П Quasi-mesenchymal<br>Other วิเมลรi-m
- n. Other
- Established