Supplementary material

Site-Selective Bromination of sp³ C–H Bonds

Shyam Sathyamoorthi¹, Shibdas Banerjee^{1,2}, J. Du Bois¹*, Noah Z. Burns¹*, Richard N. Zare¹*

¹Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-5080

²Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Tirupati, Karakambadi Road, Tirupati-517507, India. **General.** All reagents were obtained commercially unless otherwise noted. Reactions were performed using glassware that was oven-dried. Air- and moisture-sensitive liquids and solutions were transferred via syringe or stainless steel cannula. Organic solutions were concentrated under reduced pressure (~15 Torr) by rotary evaporation. Solvents were purified by passage under 12 psi through activated alumina columns. Chromatography was performed on Silicycle Silia-P Silica Gel (40-63 μ m). Compounds purified by chromatography were typically applied to the adsorbent bed using the indicated solvent conditions with a minimum amount of added methylene chloride as needed for solubility. Thin layer chromatography was performed on either Whatman Partisil K6F Silica Gel 60 Å plates (250 μ m) or EMD Chemicals Silica Gel (250 μ m). Visualization of the developed chromatogram was accomplished by fluorescence quenching and/or by staining with butanolic ninhydrin, aqueous potassium permanganate, aqueous ceric ammonium molybdate (CAM), or ethanolic anisaldehyde.

Continuous UV/Vis reaction monitoring (Figure 2a) was performed on a Varian Cary 50 Scan spectrophotometer with fiber-optic leads to a custom-designed quartz immersion probe (Hellma) of 0.1 cm optical path length in a custom-designed sample cell (ChemGlass). Spectra were recorded in 1.5 min interval until a reaction time of 15 min. After 15 min, spectra were recorded in 15 min intervals over a reaction time of 3 h.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were acquired on either a Varian Inova-600 operating at 600 and 150 MHz, a Varian Inova-300 operating at 300 and 75 MHz, a Varian Mercury-400 operating at 400 and 100 MHz, or a Varian Inova-500 operating at 500 and 125 MHz, and are referenced internally according to residual solvent signals. Data for NMR are recorded as follows: chemical shift (δ , ppm), multiplicity (s, singlet; br s, broad singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; quint, quintet; sext, sextet; m, multiplet), integration, coupling constant (Hz). Data are reported in terms of chemical shift (δ , ppm). Infrared spectra were recorded on either a Thermo-Nicolet IR100 spectrometer or a Thermo-Nicolet IR300 spectrometer as thin films using NaCl salt plates and are reported in frequency of absorption. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained from the Vincent Coates Foundation Mass Spectrometry Laboratory at Stanford University.

Electrospray ionization mass spectrometric (ESI-MS) studies were performed on a high-resolution mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific LTQ Orbitrap XL Hybrid Ion Trap-Orbitrap mass spectrometer) using a homebuilt ESI source. Nitrogen (120 psi) was used as a sheath gas. Electrospray of the analyte solution was performed in either positive (+5 kV) or negative (-5 kV) ion mode. The heated capillary (MS inlet) temperature and voltage were maintained at 275 °C and 44 V respectively. Helium was used as the collision gas in the collision induced dissociation cell (CID cell; an ion trap). CID spectra (MS/MS) were acquired using an isolation width of 0.9 m/z unit with activation Q and activation time set to 0.25 and 30 ms respectively. All experiments were carried out under identical conditions, unless otherwise stated. The ion optics were tuned to get maximum ion count. Data acquisition was performed using XCalibur software (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

A. Preparation of methylsulfamoyl chloride

MeHNSO₂Cl. A 10 mL reaction flask was charged with methylsulfamic acid (300 mg, 2.70 mmol), PCl₅ (618 mg, 2.97 mmol, 1.1 equiv) and 5 mL of toluene. The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 5 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction contents were filtered through a small plug of cotton. The cotton plug was rinsed with an additional 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂, and the combined filtrates were concentrated under reduced pressure to a light brown oil (304 mg, 87%). This material was used immediately and without further purification in the subsequent sulfamoylation reaction.

B. General procedure for preparation of methylsulfamate substrates

To a mixture of alcohol starting material (2.1 mmol) in 5 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was added methylsulfamoyl chloride (304 mg, 2.35 mmol, 1.1 equiv). To the resulting light yellow solution was added dropwise Et_3N (330 µL, 2.35 mmol, 1.1 equiv). After stirring for 2 h, the reaction was quenched by slow addition of 10 mL of 1.0 M aqueous HCl. The reaction contents were transferred to a 60 mL separatory funnel with 10 mL of CH_2Cl_2 . The organic layer was collected and the aqueous fraction was extracted with 1 x 10 mL of CH_2Cl_2 and 1 x 10 mL of EtOAc. The combined organic fractions were dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Pure material was obtained following chromatography on silica gel or by reversed phase HPLC (conditions given below).

C. General procedure for oxidative bromination

To a mixture of methylsulfamate starting material (0.125 mmol) in 1.2 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was added $Rh_2(oct)_4$ (5 mg, 6.0 µmol, 0.05 equiv) and NaBr (39 mg, 0.375 mmol, 3.0 equiv). To the resulting cloudy green mixture was added 1.2 mL of saturated aqueous Na₂HPO₄ followed by aqueous NaOCl (10-15% active chlorine, 0.230 mL, 0.375 mmol, 3.0 equiv). After stirring for 15 h, the reaction was quenched by the addition of 10 mL of saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃. The reaction contents were transferred to a 60 mL separatory funnel with 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and 1 X 10 mL of EtOAc. The combined organic fractions were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Pure material was obtained following chromatography on silica gel or by reversed phase HPLC (conditions given below).

D. General procedure for oxidative chlorination

To a mixture of methylsulfamate starting material (0.125 mmol) in 1.2 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was added $Rh_2(oct)_4$ (5 mg, 6.0 µmol, 0.05 equiv). To the resulting cloudy green mixture was added 1.2 mL of saturated aqueous Na₂HPO₄ followed by aqueous NaOCl (10-15% active chlorine, 0.230 mL, 0.375 mmol, 3 equiv). After stirring for 15 h, the reaction was quenched by the addition of 10 mL of saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃. The reaction contents were transferred to a 60 mL separatory funnel with 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and 1 x 10 mL of EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Pure material was obtained following chromatography on silica gel or by reversed phase HPLC (conditions given below).

Characterization data for sulfamate substrates

Me OSO₂NHMe

Table 2, Entry 1: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure B; purified by chromatography on silica gel (100:1 CH₂Cl₂/acetone); clear oil (90%): TLC R_f = 0.33 (11:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 4.33-4.27 (br m, 1H), 4.17 (t, 2H, J = 6.6 Hz), 2.81 (d, 3H, J = 4.0 Hz), 1.77 (sept, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 1.65-1.60 (m, 2H), 0.94 (d, 6H, J = 8.0 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 69.6, 37.7, 29.9, 24.9, 22.5 ppm; IR v 2960, 1470, 1176, 950 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₆H₁₅NO₃SNa⁺ 204.0665 found 204.0661 (MNa⁺).

Me OSO₂NHMe

Table 2, Entry 1: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure B; purified by chromatography on silica gel (50:1 CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc); clear oil (87%): TLC $R_f = 0.35$ (11:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 4.54-4.49 (br m, 1H), 4.21-4.10 (m, 2H), 2.80 (d, 3H, J = 4.0 Hz), 1.82-1.72 (m, 1H), 1.54-1.47 (m, 2H), 1.44-1.31 (m, 1H), 1.27-1.13 (m, 1H), 0.91-0.84 (m, 6H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 69.4, 35.3, 30.9, 29.8, 29.2, 18.8, 11.2 ppm; IR v 2962, 1344, 1174, 947 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₇H₁₇NO₃SNa⁺ 218.0821 found 218.0818 (MNa⁺).

OSO₂NHMe

Ph

Table 2, Entry 2: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure B; purified by chromatography on silica gel (100:1 CH₂Cl₂/acetone); light yellow solid (59%): TLC R_f = 0.21 (11:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.36-7.26 (m, 5H), 4.35-4.30 (br m, 1H), 4.18 (t, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 2.84 (d, 3H, J = 8.0 Hz), 2.78 (t, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 2.14-2.05 (m, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 140.8, 128.8, 128.7, 126.5, 70.2, 31.9, 30.7, 30.1 ppm; IR v 3320, 2949, 1497, 1079 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₀H₁₆NO₃S⁺ 230.0845 found 230.0848 (MH⁺).

Me QSO₂NHMe

Table 2, Entry 3: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure B; purified by reversedphase HPLC (Alltima C18, 10 μm, 22 x 250 mm, eluting with gradient flow over 65 min of 0:100 →100:0 MeCN/H₂O, retention time of 40-42 min at a flow rate of 12 mL/min); clear oil (45%): TLC R_f = 0.28 (20:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 4.90 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0, 4.0 Hz), 4.58-4.54 (br m, 1H), 4.24 (q, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 2.86 (d, 3H, J = 4.0 Hz), 1.87-1.80 (m, 2H), 1.68-1.61 (m, 1H), 1.31 (t, 3H, J = 8.0 Hz), 0.98 (d, 3H, J = 8.0 Hz), 0.97 (d, 3H, J = 8.0 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 170.6, 77.2, 62.1, 40.9, 30.2, 24.2, 23.2, 21.5, 14.3 ppm; IR v 3317, 2962, 1743, 1352, 1024 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₉H₂₀NO₅S⁺ 254.1057 found 254.1060 (MH⁺).

Me OSO₂NHMe

Table 2, Entry 3: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure B; purified by reversedphase HPLC (Alltima C18, 10 μ m, 22 x 250 mm, eluting with gradient flow over 65 min of 0:100 \rightarrow 100:0 MeCN/H₂O, retention time of 45-47 min at a flow rate of 12 mL/min); white solid (53%): TLC R_f = 0.22 (5:1 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 4.91 (dd, 1H, J = 12.0, 6.0 Hz), 4.63-4.60 (br m, 1H), 3.98-3.93 (m, 2H), 2.86 (d, 3H, J = 6.0 Hz), 2.00-1.93 (m, 1H), 1.88-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.67-1.62 (m, 1H), 0.99-0.94 (m, 12H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 170.6, 77.5, 72.0, 41.0. 30.3, 27.9, 24.5, 23.1, 21.6, 19.2 ppm; IR v 3315, 2962, 1741, 1354, 1005 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₂₄NO₅S⁺ 282.1370 found 282.1367 (MH⁺).



Table 2, Entry 3: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure B; purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: $20:1\rightarrow1:1$ hexanes/EtOAc); light yellow solid (39%): TLC R_f = 0.44 (10:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.29 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.24 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 5.17 (s, 2H), 4.94-4.91 (m, 1H), 4.77-4.76 (m, 1H), 2.92 (sept, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 2.73 (d, 3H, J = 4.0 Hz), 1.87-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.67-1.61 (m, 1H), 1.25 (d, 6H, J = 8.0 Hz), 0.96-0.93 (m, 6H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 170.1, 149.6, 132.3, 128.6, 126.7, 77.1, 67.5, 40.6, 33.9, 29.9, 24.2, 23.9, 22.9, 21.3 ppm; IR v 3298, 2959, 1738, 1362, 1008 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₇H₂₈NO₅S⁺ 358.1683 found 358.1688 (MH⁺).



Table 2, Entry 4: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure B; a portion was purified by reversed-phase HPLC (Alltima C18, 10 μ m, 22 x 250 mm, eluting with gradient flow over 65 min of 0:100 \rightarrow 100:0 MeCN/H₂O, retention time of 37-38 min at a flow rate of 12 mL/min); light yellow oil; TLC R_f = 0.27 (5:2 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 4.62-4.57 (br m, 1H), 4.21-4.14 (m, 2H), 2.79 (d, 3H, J = 6.0 Hz), 2.70-2.68 (m, 1H), 1.82-1.75 (m, 1H), 1.71-1.64 (m, 1H), 1.61-1.50 (m, 3H), 1.49-1.40 (m, 1H), 1.39-1.35 (m, 1H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 0.94 (d, 3H, J = 12.0 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 69.0, 68.9, 64.6, 64.5, 58.6, 58.4, 35.6, 35.4, 33.4, 33.3, 29.8, 29.3, 29.2, 26.3, 26.0, 24.9, 19.2, 19.1, 18.7, 18.6 ppm; IR v 2929, 1461, 1177 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₂₄NO₄S⁺ 266.1421 found 266.1425 (MH⁺).



Table 2, Entry 5: To a solution of Cl₃CCH₂SO₃NH₂ (120 mg, 0.53 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in 2.0 mL of CH₂Cl₂ were added sequentially olefin (100 mg, 0.43 mmol), MgO (30 mg, 0.74 mmol, 1.7 equiv), and Rh₂(tfacam)₄ (10 mg, 15.0 µmol, 0.04 equiv). The resulting purple mixture was cooled to 0 °C and PhI(OAc)₂ (180 mg, 0.56 mmol, 1.3 equiv) was added. The suspension quickly turned orange after the addition of PhI(OAc)₂ and was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature over 2 h. After 6 h of stirring, during which time the solution color faded to pale yellow, the reaction was diluted with 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and filtered through Celite. The flask and filter cake were washed thoroughly with CH₂Cl₂ and the combined filtrates were concentrated under reduced pressure. The isolated material was purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: 4:1→1:1 hexanes/EtOAc) to afford the desired aziridine as a clear oil (82 mg, 42%); TLC R_f = 0.36 (10:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 4.83 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.79 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.53-4.46 (br m, 1H), 4.21-4.07 (m, 2H), 2.90-2.85 (m, 1H), 2.79 (d, 3H, J = 4.0 Hz),

2.73 (d, 1H, J = 4.0 Hz), 2.27 (d, 1H, J = 4.0 Hz), 1.81-1.72 (m, 1H), 1.62-1.35 (m, 7H), 1.28-1.18 (m, 1H), 0.93 (d, 3H, J = 8.0 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 93.2, 79.7, 69.2, 42.4, 36.2, 36.1, 35.8, 35.7, 31.5, 30.1, 29.5, 29.4, 24.2, 24.1, 19.4, 19.3 ppm; IR 3000, 1363, 1179, 949 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₂H₂₃Cl₃N₂O₆S₂Na⁺ 482.9955 found 482.9966 (MNa⁺).



Table 2, Entry 6: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure B; a portion of material was purified by purified by reversed-phase HPLC (Alltima C18, 10 μ m, 22 x 250 mm, eluting with gradient flow over 65 min of 0:100 \rightarrow 100:0 MeCN/H₂O, retention time of 60-61 min at a flow rate of 12 mL/min); clear oil; TLC R_f = 0.22 (3:1 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 7.71-7.68 (m, 4H), 7.49-7.39 (m, 6H), 4.39-4.38 (br m, 1H), 4.21-4.17 (m, 2H), 3.77-3.69 (m, 2H), 2.79 (d, 3H, J = 6.0 Hz), 1.91-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.70-1.38 (m, 3H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 0.92 (d, 3H, J = 6.0 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 135.8, 134.1, 129.9, 127.9, 69.4, 61.9, 39.5, 36.0, 30.1, 27.1, 26.5, 19.6, 19.5 ppm; IR v 2931, 1347, 1111, 951 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₂₃H₃₆NO₄SSi⁺ 450.2129 found 450.2125 (MH⁺).



Table 2, Entry 7: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure B; purified by reversedphase HPLC (Alltima C18, 10 μm, 22 x 250 mm, eluting with gradient flow over 65 min of 0:100 →100:0 MeCN/H₂O, retention time of 49-50 min at a flow rate of 12 mL/min); white solid (32%); TLC R_f = 0.5 (10:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 4.52-4.51 (m, 1H), 4.41-4.36 (m, 1H), 2.83 (d, 3H, J = 5.0 Hz), 2.35-2.32 (m, 1H), 2.19-2.12 (m, 1H), 1.74-1.68 (m, 2H), 1.52-1.40 (m, 2H), 1.26-1.18 (m, 1H), 1.10-1.03 (m, 1H), 0.96-0.84 (m, 10H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 83.9, 47.9, 41.7, 34.1, 31.9, 30.2, 25.8, 23.2, 22.2, 21.2, 15.8 ppm; IR v 3313, 2817, 1456, 1175 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₂₃NO₃SNa⁺ 272.1291 found 272.1288 (MNa⁺).



Substrate synthesized according to general procedure B; a portion of material was purified by reversed-phase HPLC (Alltima C18, 10 μ m, 22 x 250 mm, eluting with gradient flow over 65 min of 0:100 \rightarrow 100:0 MeCN/H₂O, retention time of 44-46 min at a flow rate of 12 mL/min); clear oil; TLC R_f = 0.5 (5:1 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 5.00-4.98 (br m, 1H), 4.56-4.50 (br m, 1H), 2.82-2.81 (d, 3H, J = 5.0 Hz), 2.33-2.29 (m, 1H), 1.84-1.71 (m, 3H), 1.67-1.59 (m, 1H), 1.38-1.29 (m, 1H), 1.12-1.06 (m, 1H), 1.03-0.88 (m, 11H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 81.6, 48.1, 39.7, 34.8, 30.1, 28.9, 26.3, 24.5, 22.3, 21.1, 21.0 ppm; IR v 3316, 2950, 1339, 1174 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₂₃NO₃SNa⁺272.1291 found 272.1295 (MNa⁺).

Table 2, Entry 8: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure B; purified by chromatography on silica gel (100:1 CH₂Cl₂/acetone); white solid (80%); TLC R_f = 0.29 (5:1 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 7.37-7.19 (m, 5H), 4.48-4.36 (m, 2H), 4.10-4.03 (br m, 1H), 2.78-2.71 (m, 1H), 2.50 (d, 3H, J = 6.0 Hz), 2.11-1.99 (m, 1H), 1.05 (d, 3H, J = 6.0 Hz), 0.80 (d, 3H, J = 6.0 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 140.7, 128.8, 128.6, 127.1, 72.9, 52.1, 30.1, 29.7, 21.1, 20.7 ppm; IR v 3318, 2962, 1454, 969 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₂H₂₀NO₃S⁺ 258.1158 found 258.1157 (MH⁺).



Table 2, Entry 9: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure B; purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: $10:1 \rightarrow 5:1$ hexanes/EtOAc); white solid (49%); TLC R_f = 0.38 (10:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 7.42 (d, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz), 7.04 (d, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz), 4.37 (dd, 1H, J = 6.0, 6.0 Hz), 4.33-4.28 (m, 2H), 2.76-2.71 (m, 1H), 2.54 (d, 3H, J = 6.0 Hz), 1.87-1.85 (m, 1H), 1.76-1.73 (m, 1H), 1.67-1.61 (m, 3H), 1.43-1.41 (m, 1H), 1.28-1.21 (m, 1H), 1.15-1.07 (m, 2H), 1.03-0.96 (m, 1H), 0.82-0.77 (m, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 139.6, 131.4, 130.2, 120.6, 71.7, 50.4, 39.4, 31.0, 30.7, 29.6, 26.18, 26.15, 26.1 ppm; IR v 2926, 1488, 1348, 969 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₅H₂₂BrNO₃SNa⁺ 398.0396 found 398.0392 (MNa⁺).

OSO₂NHMe

Table 2, Entry 10: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure B; purified by chromatography on silica gel (100:1 CH₂Cl₂/acetone); clear oil (23%); TLC R_f = 0.39 (10:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.35-7.16 (m, 5H), 4.29-4.27 (br m, 1H), 4.21-4.12 (m, 2H), 2.79 (d, 3H, J = 8.0 Hz), 2.68-2.64 (m, 2H), 1.82-1.68 (m, 4H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 141.9, 128.7, 126.2, 70.9, 35.5, 30.0, 28.6, 27.6 ppm; IR v 3319, 2943, 1412, 1175, 1081 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₁₇NO₃SNa⁺ 266.0821 found 266.0817 (MNa⁺).



Table 2, Entry 11: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure B; a portion of material was purified by reversed-phase HPLC (Alltima C18, 10 μ m, 22 x 250 mm, eluting with gradient flow over 65 min of 0:100 \rightarrow 100:0 MeCN/H₂O); clear oil; TLC R_f = 0.33 (20:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 4.26-4.22 (br m, 1H), 4.21-4.14 (m, 2H), 2.82 (d, 3H, J = 6.0 Hz), 1.80-1.02 (m, 24H), 0.92 (d, 3H, J = 6.0 Hz), 0.87-0.84 (m, 12H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 69.3, 39.3, 37.6, 37.4, 37.34, 37.26, 37.23, 37.21, 37.14, 37.12, 35.8, 35.7, 32.8, 29.7, 29.4, 27.9, 24.8, 24.4, 24.2, 22.7, 22.6, 19.7, 19.6, 19.3, 19.2 ppm; IR v 3317, 2868, 1433, 1349, 1178 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₂₁H₄₅NO₃SNa⁺ 414.3012 found 414.3019 (MNa⁺).



Table 2, Entry 12: A solution of olefin (255 mg, 1.01 mmol) in 10 mL of MeOH was charged with 5% Pd/C (212 mg, 10 mol%). The suspension was sparged with gentle stream of H₂ gas for 15 min. The flask was then fitted with a balloon of H₂ and the contents were stirred for 12 h. Following this time, the mixture was sparged with N₂ gas for 15 min, diluted with 30 mL of MeOH, and filtered through a pad of Celite. The flask and filter cake were rinsed with 30 mL of EtOAc, and the combined filtrates were concentrated under reduced pressure to a clear oil (228 mg, 90%). The product was determined by ¹H NMR to be sufficiently pure for use in the subsequent reaction; TLC R_f = 0.28 (5:1 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 4.27-4.13 (m, 3H), 2.82 (d, 3H, J = 4.0 Hz), 1.82-1.73 (m, 1H), 1.65-1.46 (m, 3H), 1.36-1.10 (m, 6H), 0.91 (d, 3H, J = 4.0 Hz), 0.87 (d, 6H, J = 4.0 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 69.6, 39.4, 37.3, 36.0, 30.1, 29.6, 28.2, 24.8, 22.9, 22.8, 19.5 ppm; IR v 3316, 2927, 1345, 1175, 1080 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₂₅NO₃SNa⁺ 274.1447 found 274.1445 (MNa⁺).

Characterization data for halogenated products

Br OSO₂NHMe

Table 2, Entry 1: Synthesized according to general procedure C; purified by chromatography on silica gel (20:3 hexanes/EtOAc); clear oil (20 mg, 61%); TLC $R_f = 0.14$ (5:1 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 4.49-4.45 (m, 1H), 4.42 (t, 2H, J = 6.9 Hz), 2.85 (d, 3H, J = 5.0 Hz), 2.28 (t, 2H, J = 6.90 Hz), 1.84 (s, 6H) ppm; ¹³C NMR δ 69.0, 63.8, 45.5, 34.9, 30.1 ppm; IR v 3318, 2962, 1414, 1341 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₆H₁₄BrNO₃SNa⁺ 281.9770 found 281.9765 (MNa⁺).

Br OSO₂NHMe

Table 2, Entry 1: Synthesized according to general procedure C; purified by chromatography on silica gel (20:3 hexanes/EtOAc); clear oil (26 mg, 75%); TLC $R_f = 0.19$ (5:1 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 4.42-4.38 (m, 3H), 2.83 (d, 3H, J = 4.0 Hz), 2.36-2.29 (m, 1H), 2.23-2.16 (m, 1H), 1.99-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.06 (t, 3H, J = 8.0 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 70.0, 68.9, 43.3, 39.1, 31.3, 30.1, 10.4 ppm; IR v 3316, 2921, 1995, 1618, 1175 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for $C_7H_{16}BrNO_3SNa^+$ 295.9926 found 295.9926 (MNa⁺).

Br OSO₂NHMe

Table 2, Entry 3: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure C; purified by chromatography on silica gel (3:1 hexanes/EtOAc); white solid (29 mg, 70%); TLC $R_f = 0.16$ (20:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 5.23 (dd, 1H, J = 10.0, 5.0 Hz), 4.71-4.66 (m, 1H), 4.34-4.24 (m, 2H), 2.92 (d, 3H, J = 5.0 Hz), 2.54 (dd, 1H, J = 15.8, 2.4 Hz), 2.34 (dd, 1H, J = 15.0, 5.0 Hz), 1.90 (s, 3H), 1.89 (s, 3H), 1.35 (t, 3H, J = 5.0 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 170.0, 77.9, 63.6, 62.6, 48.5, 35.8, 33.7, 30.5, 14.3 ppm; IR v 3319, 2983, 1745, 1351, 900 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₉H₁₉BrNO₅S⁺ 322.0162 found 322.0172 (MH⁺).

Br OSO₂NHMe Me Me COOⁱBu **Table 2, Entry 3:** Substrate synthesized according to general procedure C; purified by chromatography on silica gel (10:1 hexanes/EtOAc); white solid (33 mg, 76%); TLC $R_f = 0.27$ (5:1 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 5.22 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0, 2.4 Hz), 4.79-4.68 (br m, 1H), 4.03-3.93 (m, 2H), 2.87 (d, 3H, J = 4.0 Hz), 2.51 (dd, 1H, J = 15.2, 1.4 Hz), 2.31 (dd, 1H, J = 12.0, 8.0 Hz), 2.06-1.93 (m, 1H), 1.87 (s, 3H), 1.86 (s, 3H), 0.96 (d, 6H, J = 8.0 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 169.8, 77.6, 72.2, 63.4, 48.4, 35.6, 33.3, 30.2, 27.7, 18.9 ppm; IR v 3319, 2983, 1745, 1351, 900 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₂₂BrNO₅SNa⁺ 382.0294 found 382.0289 (MNa⁺).



Table 2, Entry 3: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure C; purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: $10:1\rightarrow4:1$ hexanes/EtOAc); white solid (35 mg, 64%); TLC R_f = 0.41 (10:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 7.34 (d, 2H, J = 5.0 Hz), 7.28 (d, 2H, J = 5.0 Hz), 5.28-5.19 (m, 3H), 4.55-4.48 (br m, 1H), 2.93 (sept, 1H, J = 6.9 Hz), 2.75 (d, 3H, J = 5.0 Hz), 2.55 (dd, 1H, J = 15.0, 5.0 Hz), 2.34 (dd, 1H, J = 15.0, 5.0 Hz), 1.88 (s, 3H), 1.87 (s, 3H), 1.27 (d, 6H, J = 5.0 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 169.8, 150.0, 132.2, 128.9, 127.1, 77.8, 68.2, 63.5, 48.4, 35.8, 34.2, 33.7, 30.3, 24.2 ppm; IR v 3322, 2961, 1750, 1352, 1033 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₇H₂₆BrNO₅SNa⁺ 458.0613 found 458.0617 (MNa⁺).



Table 2, Entry 4: Synthesized according to general procedure C; purified by chromatography on silica gel (3:1 hexanes/EtOAc); light yellow oil (31 mg, 71%); TLC $R_f = 0.29$ (10:3 pentane/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 4.80-4.75 (m, 2H), 4.46-4.42 (m, 2H), 4.40-4.37 (m, 2H), 2.86 (d, 3H, J = 5.0 Hz), 2.85 (d, 3H, J = 5.0 Hz), 2.79-2.76 (m, 2H), 2.40-1.65 (m, 18 H), 1.35 (s, 6H), 1.33 (s, 6H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 68.5, 68.32, 68.29, 68.2, 64.1, 59.1, 43.8, 43.3, 42.8, 42.7, 32.2, 31.7, 30.2, 25.9, 25.8, 25.1, 19.02, 18.99 ppm; IR v 2972, 1349, 1176, 974 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES⁺) calcd for C₁₁H₂₃BrNO₄S⁺ 344.0526 found 344.0523 (MH⁺).



Table 2, Entry 5: Synthesized according to general procedure C; purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: $10:1 \rightarrow 1:1$ hexanes/EtOAc); clear oil (51 mg, 75%); TLC R_f = 0.3 (10:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 4.86-4.80 (m, 2H), 4.50-4.44 (m, 1H), 4.40-4.36 (m, 2H), 2.93-2.87 (m, 1H), 2.83 (d, 3H, J = 4.0 Hz), 2.76-2.74 (m, 1H), 2.37-2.21 (m, 3H), 2.01-1.84 (m, 2H), 1.80-1.68 (m, 6H), 1.55-1.45 (m, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 93.2, 79.7, 68.6, 68.4, 45.2, 43.7, 43.6, 42.1, 42.0, 35.75, 35.72, 32.0, 31.9, 31.2, 30.1, 23.2, 23.2 ppm; IR v 3321, 1418, 1362 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES⁺) calcd for C₁₂H₂₃BrCl₃N₂O₆S₂⁺ 538.9241 found 538.9218 (MH⁺).



Table 2, Entry 6: Synthesized according to general procedure C; purified by reversed-phase HPLC (Alltima C18, 10 μ m, 22 x 250 mm, eluting with gradient flow over 65 min of 0:100 \rightarrow 100:0 MeCN/H₂O, retention time of 61 min at a flow rate of 12 mL/min); clear oil (40 mg, 61%); TLC R_f = 0.28 (3:1 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 7.75-7.64 (m, 4H), 7.52-7.40 (m, 6H), 4.39 (t, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz), 4.32-4.27 (m, 1H), 3.91 (t, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz), 2.82 (d, 3H, J = 6.0 Hz), 2.44-2.11 (m, 4H), 1.80 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 9H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 135.8, 133.6, 130.1, 127.9, 68.8, 67.2, 61.8, 48.1, 44.2, 32.5, 30.1, 27.1, 19.4 ppm; IR v 2587, 1472, 1177, 938 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₂₃H₃₄BrNO₄SSiNa⁺ 550.1053 found 550.1065 (MNa⁺).



Following general procedure C, (1S,2R,5R)-2-(2-bromopropan-2-yl)-5-methylcyclohexyl methylsulfamate was obtained as an impure yellow oil. This material was dissolved in a 0.9 mL of a 1:1:1 mixture of H₂O/acetone/THF to which Ag₂CO₃ (52 mg, 0.189 mmol, ~1.5 equiv) was then added. After stirring the suspension for 1 h, the reaction mixture was filtered through a small plug of Celite. The flaks and filter cake were rinsed thoroughly with EtOAc and the combined filtrates were concentrated under reduced pressure to a yellow oil. Purification of this material by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: 10:1→5:1 hexanes/EtOAc) afforded the alcohol product as a clear oil; TLC R_f = 0.30 (1:1 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 5.23-5.21 (m, 1H), 4.73-4.66 (m, 1H), 2.86 (d, 3H, J = 5.0 Hz), 2.27-2.22 (m, 1H), 1.87-1.80 (m, 2H), 1.70-1.56 (m, 2H), 1.48-1.43 (m, 1H), 1.31-1.28 (m, 6H), 1.18-1.12 (m, 1H), 1.06-0.97 (m, 1H), 0.94 (d, 3H, J = 5.0 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 80.6, 72.3, 51.2, 40.1, 34.8, 30.1, 29.5, 27.3, 26.6, 22.5, 22.2 ppm; IR v 3312, 2949, 1457, 1337, 1174 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₂₄NO₄S⁺ 266.1421 found 266.1426 (MH⁺).

Br OSO₂NHMe Me Me Ph

Table 2, Entry 8: Synthesized according to general procedure C; purified by chromatography on silica gel (3:1 hexanes/EtOAc); clear oil (27 mg, 65%); TLC $R_f = 0.15$ (5:1 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 7.43-7.34 (m, 5H), 4.87 (dd, 1H, J = 10.0, 5.0 Hz), 4.69-4.65 (m, 1H), 4.17-4.12 (m, 1H), 3.26 (dd, 1H, J = 10.0, 4.1 Hz), 2.63 (d, 3H, J = 5.0 Hz), 1.88 (s, 3H), 1.65 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 137.4, 129.6, 128.6, 128.2, 72.8, 66.9, 57.6, 35.3, 32.6, 29.8 ppm; IR v 2750, 1345, 1102 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₂H₁₇BrNO₃S⁻ 334.0118 found 334.0130 (M⁻).



Table 2, Entry 9: Synthesized according to general procedure C; purified by reversed-phase HPLC (Alltima C18, 10 μ m, 22 x 250 mm, eluting with gradient flow over 65 min of 0:100 \rightarrow 100:0

MeCN/H₂O, retention time of 53-54 min at a flow rate of 12 ml/min); white foam (34 mg, 60%); TLC R_f = 0.31 (5:1 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 7.47 (d, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz), 7.30 (d, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz), 4.81 (dd, 1H, J = 12.0, 6.0 Hz), 4.61-4.59 (m, 1H), 4.24-4.19 (m, 1H), 3.22 (dd, 1H, J = 12.0, 6.0 Hz), 2.52 (d, 3H, J = 6.0 Hz), 2.35-2.29 (m, 1H), 1.87-1.78 (m, 1H), 1.70-1.48 (m, 6H), 1.41-1.34 (m, 1H), 1.20-1.08 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 136.4, 131.7, 131.6, 122.2, 75.5, 72.3, 57.0, 41.2, 39.5, 29.8, 25.1, 22.9, 22.7 ppm; IR v 2933, 196, 1489 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₅H₂₀Br₂NO₃S⁻ 453.9516 found 453.9536 (M⁻).

Br OSO₂NHMe

Table 2, Entry 10: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure C; purified by reversedphase HPLC (Alltima C18, 10 μ m, 22 x 250 mm, eluting with gradient flow over 65 min of 0:100 \rightarrow 100:0 MeCN/H₂O, retention time of 45 min at a flow rate of 12 ml/min); clear oil (11 mg, 27%); TLC R_f = 0.39 (10:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 7.42-7.24 (m, 5H), 4.40-4.34 (m, 4H), 3.28 (dd, 1H, J = 15.0, 5.0 Hz), 3.21 (dd, 1H, J = 15.0, 5.0 Hz), 2.81 (d, 3H, J = 5.0 Hz), 2.40-2.33 (m, 1H), 2.12-2.05 (m, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 137.8, 129.5, 128.8, 127.4, 68.7, 52.3, 45.9, 37.2, 30.2 ppm; IR v 3319, 1413, 148, 1175, 1081 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₁₆BrNO₃SNa⁺ 343.9926 found 343.9934 (MNa⁺).



Table 2, Entry 11: Synthesized according to general procedure C; purified by chromatography on silica gel (10:1 pentane/EtOAc); clear oil (47 mg, 80%); TLC $R_f = 0.2$ (20:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 4.45-4.34 (m, 3H), 2.87 (d, 3H, J = 3.0 Hz), 2.41-2.21 (m, 2H), 2.00-0.78 (m, 36H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 69.5, 68.9, 46.7, 43.7, 39.6, 37.7, 37.6, 37.5, 37.1, 33.1, 32.9, 31.9, 30.1, 28.2, 25.1, 24.7, 23.4, 23.0, 22.9, 20.0, 19.9 ppm; IR v 2926, 1462, 1350, 1178, 978 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ES⁻) calcd for C₂₁H₄₃BrNO₃S⁻468.2147 found 468.2145 (M⁻).



Table 2, Entry 12: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure C; purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elutionL 20:1 \rightarrow 10:1 hexanes/EtOAc); light yellow oil (30 mg, 72%); TLC R_f = 0.35 (10:3 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 4.41-4.34 (m, 3H), 2.84 (d, 3H, J = 4.0 Hz), 2.37-2.29 (m, 1H), 2.24-2.17 (m, 1H), 1.90-1.71 (m, 5H), 1.61-1.43 (m, 3H), 1.25-1.16 (m, 2H), 0.89 (d, 6H, J = 8.0 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 69.5, 68.9, 46.6, 43.7, 38.9, 31.9, 30.1, 28.1, 23.7, 22.8 ppm; IR v 3319, 2955, 1350, 1177, 980 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₂₃BrNO₃S⁻ 328.0588 found 328.0589 (M⁻).



Table 2, Entry 12: Substrate synthesized according to general procedure D; purified by reversedphase HPLC (Alltima C18, 10 μ m, 22 x 250 mm, eluting with gradient flow over 65 min of 0:100 \rightarrow 100:0 MeCN/H₂O, retention time of 52 min at a flow rate of 12 ml/min); clear oil (14 mg, 40%); TLC $R_f = 0.32$ (5:1 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 4.38-4.36 (m, 2H), 4.33-4.30 (m, 1H), 2.83 (d, 3H, J = 6.0 Hz), 2.26-2.25 (m, 1H), 2.22-2.19 (m, 1H), 1.79-1.71 (m, 2H), 1.57-1.56 (m, 4H), 1.48-1.42 (m, 2H), 1.20-1.16 (m, 2H), 0.89 (d, 6H, J = 6.0 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 72.1, 67.9, 45.2, 42.5, 39.1, 30.2, 30.1, 28.1, 22.8, 22.6 ppm; IR v 2954, 1348, 1177, 979 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₁H₂₃ClNO₃S⁻ 284.1093 found 284.1107 (M⁻).

Figure S1. Conversion of (1*R*,2*R*,5*R*)-2-(2-bromopropan-2-yl)-5-methylcyclohexyl methylsulfamate to the *N*-methyl sulfamate of isopulegol induced by silica gel chromatography.



- (1R, 2R, 5R)-2-(2-bromopropan-2-yl)-5-methylcyclohexyl methylsulfamate
- (a) ${}^{1}\text{H}$ NMR of unpurified reaction mixture (CDCl₃, 600 MHz)



(c) ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) (authentic sample)







(a) HPLC trace of racemic product



(b) HPLC trace of reaction product



Scheme 1. Nucleophilic displacement of *N*-methyl sulfamate auxiliary.



To a solution of (7R,11R)-3-bromo-3,7,11,15-tetramethylhexadecyl methylsulfamate (47 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 1.0 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added Boc₂O (30 mg, 0.14 mmol, 1.4 equiv) and DMAP (13 mg, 0.110 mmol, 1.10 equiv). After stirring for 1 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to a light yellow oil. The product was redissolved 1.0 mL of DMSO and to this solution was added NaN₃ (20 mg, 0.31 mmol, 3.1 equiv). After stirring this mixture for 2 h, the reaction contents were transferred to a 150 mL separatory funnel with 30 mL of Et₂O. The organic layer was washed with 100 mL of saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure to a yellow oil. Purification of this material by chromatography on silica gel afforded the desired product as a clear oil (23 mg, 59%); TLC R_f = 0.5 (20:1 hexanes/EtOAc); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 3.56-3.50 (m, 2H), 2.19-2.12 (m, 1H), 2.07-1.99 (m, 1H), 1.89-1.04 (m, 24H), 0.93-0.82 (m, 12H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 70.0, 49.2, 46.5, 43.8, 39.6, 37.7, 37.6, 37.5, 37.1, 33.1, 32.9, 31.8, 28.2, 25.1, 24.7, 23.4, 23.0, 22.9, 20.0, 19.9 ppm; IR v 2926, 2096, 1379, 1261 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₂₀H₄₀BrN₃K⁺ 440.2037 found 440.2050 (MK⁺).



To a solution of 3-bromo-3-methylpentyl methylsulfamate (17 mg, 61 µmol) in 1.0 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added Boc₂O (20 mg, 92 µmol, 1.50 equiv) and DMAP (9 mg, 74 µmol, 1.2 equiv). After stirring for 1 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to a light yellow residue. The product was redissolved in 1.0 mL of acetone and to this solution was added NaI (30 mg, 0.20 mmol, 3.3 equiv). After stirring this mixture for 5 h at 35 °C, the reaction was cooled and the contents were transferred to a 60 mL separatory funnel with 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was washed with 15 mL of H₂O, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure to a yellow oil. Purification of this material by chromatography on silica gel (hexanes) afforded the desired product as a clear oil (9 mg, 52%); TLC R_f = 0.5 (hexanes); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 3.40-3.30 (m, 2H), 2.52-2.46 (m, 1H), 2.37-2.32 (m, 1H), 1.95-1.90 (m, 1H), 1.86-1.78 (m, 1H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 1.06 (t, 3H, J = 7.4 Hz) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 73.4, 49.9, 38.3, 30.6, 10.4, -0.1 ppm; IR v 2922, 1558, 1380, 1156 cm⁻¹.

Figure S3. Stirring *N*-bromo sulfamate 5 with Rh₂(oct)₄ yields 40% of halogenated product.

Synthesis of *N*-bromo sulfamate **5**:



To a solution of 3-methylpentyl methylsulfamate (24 mg, 0.122 mmol) in 1.2 mL of saturated aqueous Na_2HPO_4 and 1.2 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was added NaBr (38 mg, 0.37 mmol, 3.0 equiv). The reaction flask was wrapped in aluminum foil, and aqueous NaOCl (10-15% active chlorine, 230 μ L, 0.37 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added. After stirring for 1 h, the reaction mixture was transferred to a 60 mL separatory funnel with 10 mL of CH_2Cl_2 and 10 mL of H_2O . The organic layer was collected, dried over Na_2SO_4 , and concentrated under reduced pressure to a light yellow oil, which was used without further purification in subsequent experiments.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of unpurified **5**





 1 H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) of the unpurified reaction mixture of **5** and Rh₂(oct)₂



Figure S4. (a) UV/vis shows decomposition of Rh₂(oct)₄ within 30 min of NaOCl addition.

(b) 1 H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) after 30 min of reaction shows ~30 % yield.



Figure S5. (a) Synthesis of N-chlorosulfamate 10



To a solution of 1 (24 mg, 0.122 mmol) in 1.2 mL of saturated aqueous Na₂HPO₄ and 1.2 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added aqueous NaOCl (10-15% active chlorine, 230 μ L, 0.37 mmol, 3.0 equiv). The reaction flask was wrapped in aluminum foil. After stirring for 1 h, the reaction mixture was transferred to a 60 mL separatory funnel with 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and 10 mL of H₂O. The organic layer was collected, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure to a light yellow oil which was used without further purification in subsequent experiments.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of unpurified **10**



Figure S5. (b) Collision-induced dissociation (MS/MS) data of the halogenated species using ESI-MS in negative ion mode.

Observed m/z for [M-H] ⁻	Chemical formula (M)	Theoretical m/z for [M-H] ⁻	Deviation (ppm)	Attribution [#]	Characteristic CID fragments* (m/z)
257.9808	C ₆ H ₁₄ BrNO ₃ S	257.9805	1.16	Br OSO ₂ NHMe	196.7767, 189.9479, 178.0537, 165.0222, 157.9219, 109.9916, 96.9601
228.0471	C7H17CINO3S	228.0467	1.75	Et Me	196.7834, 192.0329, 177.8944, 175.8974, 147.9041, 146.9047, 145.9083, 143.9012, 134.8986, 123.9708, 109.9916, 98.9559, 97.9595, 96.9601, 79.9574

[#]Species are attributed based on their isotopic distribution patterns in the MS1 spectra and CID studies.

*CID fragments matched with the fragments of the corresponding standards, which were synthesized separately as described in the experimental section.

Figure S6. KIE measurement.

The reaction was performed according to the general procedure for oxidative bromination (procedure C). The ratio of protiated to deuterated compounds was determined to be 3:1 based on HRMS ion counts.



Figure S7. CuBr₂/1,10-phenanthroline initiates radical chain C–H bromination.



¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of the unpurified reaction mixture







¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) of the unpurified reaction mixture



¹H and ¹³C NMR Spectra

































































200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 f1 (ppm)



