

## Supplementary Online Content

Loehrer AP, Chang DC, Scott JW, et al. Association of the Affordable Care Act Medicaid expansion with access to and quality of care for surgical conditions. *JAMA Surg*. Published online January 24, 2018. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2017.5568

**eTable 1.** List of Medicaid Expansion and Nonexpansion States Included in Study

**eTable 2.** ICD-9 Codes Used for Inclusion in Study

**eTable 3.** Coding for Early Uncomplicated Care and Optimal Care

**eTable 4.** Trends in Outcomes Before the Full Medicaid Expansion

**eTable 5.** Changes in Rates of Early Uncomplicated Presentation and Optimal Care for Select Surgical Conditions After Medicaid Expansion, by Condition and for Full Sample

**eTable 6.** Changes in Rates of Early Uncomplicated Presentation and Optimal Care for Select Surgical Conditions After Medicaid Expansion, by Condition and for Medicaid and Uninsured Patients

**eTable 7.** Changes in Rates of Early Uncomplicated Presentation and Optimal Care for Select Surgical Conditions After Medicaid Expansion, for Hospitals Present in Database for All 6 Years

**eTable 8.** Changes in Admission Rates After Medicaid Expansion

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

**eTable 1.** List of Medicaid Expansion and Nonexpansion States Included in Study

<b>Expansion States</b>	<b>Nonexpansion States</b>
Arkansas	Alabama
Arizona	Florida
California *	Georgia
Colorado	Kansas
Connecticut *	Louisiana <sup>†</sup>
Washington, DC	Maine
Delaware	Missouri
Iowa	Mississippi
Illinois	North Carolina
Indiana	South Carolina
Massachusetts	Tennessee
Maryland	Texas
Michigan	Utah
Minnesota *	Wisconsin
New Hampshire	Wyoming
New Jersey *	
New Mexico	
New York	
Ohio	
Oregon	
Pennsylvania	
Rhode Island	
Virginia	
Washington *	
West Virginia	

\* Early adopter of Medicaid expansion

<sup>†</sup> Adopted Medicaid expansion after study period (July 1, 2016)

**eTable 2. ICD-9 Codes Used for Inclusion in Study**

<b>Diagnosis</b>	<b>ICD-9 Diagnosis Codes</b>	<b>ICD-9 Procedure Codes</b>
<b>Acute Appendicitis</b>	540.0, 540.1, 540.9, 541, 542	47.01, 47.09, 17.32, 17.39, 17.42
<b>Acute Cholecystitis</b>	574.00, 574.01, 574.10, 574.11, 574.30, 574.31, 574.40, 574.41, 574.60, 574.61, 574.70, 574.71, 574.80, 575.0, 575.12	N/A
<b>Diverticular Disease</b>	562.10, 562.11, 562.12, 562.13	N/A
<b>Peripheral Artery Disease</b>	440.20, 440.21, 440.22, 440.23, 440.24, 440.29	N/A
<b>Aortic Aneurysm</b>	441.1, 441.2, 441.3, 441.4, 441.5, 441.6, 441.7, 441.9	38.04, 38.05, 38.14, 38.15, 38.34, 38.35, 38.36, 38.44, 38.45, 38.46, 39.25, 39.71, 39.73, 39.78, 39.79, 39.90

*ICD-9* International Classification of Diseases, 9<sup>th</sup> Edition

**eTable 3.** Coding for Early Uncomplicated Care and Optimal Care

<b>Component of “Optimal Care”</b>	<b>ICD-9 Diagnosis or Procedure Codes</b>
<b>Early, Uncomplicated Presentation</b>	<b>Absence of Below Diagnosis Codes</b>
Diverticulitis	567.31, 567.22, 567.38, 567.39, 569.81, 995.91, 995.92
Aortic Aneurysm	441.1, 441.3, 441.5, 441.6
Peripheral Artery Disease	440.23, 440.24
<b>Optimal Surgical Care</b>	<b>Procedure Codes</b>
Cholecystectomy	51.21, 51.22, 51.23, 51.24
Laparoscopic Appendectomy	47.01, 17.31, 17.39, 17.42
Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy	51.23, 51.24
Absence of Amputation	84.10, 84.11, 84.12, 84.13, 84.14, 84.15, 84.16, 84.17, 84.18

*ICD-9* International Classification of Diseases, 9<sup>th</sup> Edition

**eTable 4. Trends in Outcomes Before the Full Medicaid Expansion**

<b>Outcome</b>	<b>Pre-Reform Time Trend*</b>	<b>95% CI (P-Value)</b>
<b>Uninsured</b>	-0.6 percentage-points	-1.1 to 0.0 (P=0.057)
<b>Early, Uncomplicated Presentation</b>	-0.0 percentage-points	-0.2 to 0.1 (P=0.907)
<b>Optimal Care</b>	+0.0 percentage-points	-0.3 to 0.4 (P=0.828)

\* Interaction between dichotomous Expansion State term and continuous period term, representing quarterly trend in outcomes for expansion states compared to non-expansion states prior to 2014, controlling for patient age, sex, race, comorbidity, secular trends and clustering at hospital level

**CI**—Confidence Interval

**eTable 5.** Changes in Rates of Early Uncomplicated Presentation and Optimal Care for Select Surgical Conditions After Medicaid Expansion, by Condition and for Full Sample

<b>Early/Uncomplicated Presentation</b>								
	<b>Expansion States</b>			<b>Non-Expansion States</b>			<b>Difference-in-Differences</b>	
	Pre	Post	Diff	Pre	Post	Diff	Unadjusted	Adjusted*
<b>Diverticulitis</b> (n=123,202)	89.7	88.1	-1.6	89.3	86.0	-3.3	+1.7 (P<0.001)	<b>+1.6</b> CI 0.5 to 2.7 P=0.004
<b>Aortic Aneurysm</b> (n=20,127)	93.8	94.1	+0.3	93.7	92.7	-1.0	+1.3 (P=0.091)	<b>+1.3</b> CI -1.1 to 3.8 P=0.288
<b>PAD</b> (n=46,806)	72.1	69.1	-3.0	73.1	68.2	-4.9	+1.9 (P=0.057)	<b>+2.3</b> CI -0.2 to 4.9 P=0.072
<b>Optimal Management</b>								
	<b>Expansion States</b>			<b>Non-Expansion States</b>			<b>Difference-in-Differences</b>	
	Pre	Post	Diff	Pre	Post	Diff	Unadjusted	Adjusted*
<b>Cholecystectomy for Cholecystitis</b> (n=19,093)	73.7	70.5	-3.2	73.8	67.5	-6.3	+3.1 (P=0.048)	<b>+2.8</b> CI -0.0 to 5.7 P=0.051
<b>MIS Appendectomy or Cholecystectomy</b> (n=95,500)	78.6	83.7	+5.1	79.2	82.7	+3.5	+1.5 (P=0.024)	<b>+1.9</b> CI -0.7 to 4.5 P=0.154
<b>Limb-sparing PAD</b> (n=46,806)	84.7	82.7	-2.0	82.0	78.9	-3.2	+1.2 (P=0.128)	<b>+1.5</b> CI 0.9 to 4.0 P=0.219

**Full Sample** – all patients included in study (private insurance, Medicaid, and uninsured)

\* Adjusted for patient age, sex, race, comorbidity, secular trends and clustering at hospital level

**CI** – 95% Confidence Interval

**eTable 6.** Changes in Rates of Early Uncomplicated Presentation and Optimal Care for Select Surgical Conditions After Medicaid Expansion, by Condition and for Medicaid and Uninsured Patients

<b>Early/Uncomplicated Presentation</b>								
	<b>Expansion States</b>			<b>Non-Expansion States</b>			<b>Difference-in-Differences</b>	
	Pre	Post	Diff	Pre	Post	Diff	Unadjusted	Adjusted*
<b>Diverticulitis</b> (n=40,644)	88.1	86.8	-1.3	86.7	83.6	-3.1	+1.7 (P=0.026)	<b>+1.8</b> CI -0.3 to 3.8 P=0.086
<b>Aortic Aneurysm</b> (n=4,201)	87.5	88.2	+0.7	87.3	86.1	-1.2	+2.1 P=0.369	<b>+2.2</b> CI -2.3 to 6.6 P=0.338
<b>PAD</b> (n=22,559)	66.6	65.3	-1.3	68.7	62.5	-6.2	+4.8 (P=0.001)	<b>+4.9</b> CI 1.7 to 8.1 P=0.003
<b>Optimal Management</b>								
	<b>Expansion States</b>			<b>Non-Expansion States</b>			<b>Difference-in-Differences</b>	
	Pre	Post	Diff	Pre	Post	Diff	Unadjusted	Adjusted*
<b>Cholecystectomy for Cholecystitis</b> (n=8,308)	68.9	65.5	-3.4	70.0	62.9	-7.1	+3.6 (P=0.140)	<b>+3.4</b> CI -1.1 to 8.0 P=0.140
<b>MIS Appendectomy or Cholecystectomy</b> (n=37,574)	76.9	84.0	+7.1	77.0	81.9	+4.9	+2.1 (P=0.050)	<b>+2.3</b> CI -1.8 to 6.4 P=0.265
<b>Limb-sparing PAD</b> (n=22,559)	80.7	80.2	-0.5	78.5	73.8	-4.7	+4.2 (P=0.001)	<b>+4.1</b> CI 0.5 to 7.7 P=0.027

\* Adjusted for patient age, sex, race, comorbidity, secular trends and clustering at hospital level

CI – 95% Confidence Interval

**eTable 7.** Changes in Rates of Early Uncomplicated Presentation and Optimal Care for Select Surgical Conditions After Medicaid Expansion, for Hospitals Present in Database for All 6 Years

<b>Early/Uncomplicated Presentation</b>								
	<b>Expansion States</b>			<b>Non-Expansion States</b>			<b>Difference-in-Differences</b>	
	Pre	Post	Diff	Pre	Post	Diff	Unadjusted	Adjusted*
<b>Full Sample</b> (n=160,475)	85.9	84.4	-1.5	85.5	82.3	-3.2	+1.8 (P<0.001)	<b>+1.8</b> CI 0.6 to 2.9 P=0.003
<b>Private Insurance</b> (n=105,192)	88.3	86.8	-1.5	88.6	85.5	-3.1	+1.6 (P=0.001)	<b>+1.6</b> CI 0.3 to 2.8 P=0.012
<b>Medicaid/Uninsured</b> (n=55,283)	81.1	80.0	-1.1	80.3	76.1	-4.2	+3.0 (P<0.001)	<b>+3.0</b> CI 1.1 to 4.9 P=0.002
<b>Optimal Management</b>								
	<b>Expansion States</b>			<b>Non-Expansion States</b>			<b>Difference-in-Differences</b>	
	Pre	Post	Diff	Pre	Post	Diff	Unadjusted	Adjusted*
<b>All Payers</b> (n=124,006)	81.0	82.7	+1.7	81.2	80.7	-0.5	+2.2 (P<0.001)	<b>+2.3</b> CI 0.7 to 4.0 P=0.006
<b>Private Insurance</b> (n=72,751)	83.3	84.5	+1.2	84.0	83.7	-0.3	+1.5 (P=0.035)	<b>+1.7</b> CI -0.2 to 3.5 P=0.073
<b>Medicaid/Uninsured</b> (n=51,255)	77.4	80.3	+2.9	77.7	76.7	-1.0	+4.0 (P<0.001)	<b>+3.8</b> CI 1.0 to 6.5 P=0.007

**Full Sample** – all patients included in study (private insurance, Medicaid, and uninsured)

\* Adjusted for patient age, sex, race, comorbidity, secular trends and clustering at hospital level

**CI** – 95% Confidence Interval



**eTable 8. Changes in Admission Rates After Medicaid Expansion**

Admission Rates*	Expansion States			Non-Expansion States			Difference-in-Differences	
	Pre	Post	Diff	Pre	Post	Diff	Unadjusted Rate	Adjusted IRR
<b>Full Sample</b> (n=293,529)	30.5	29.4	-1.1	27.8	27.0	-0.8	-0.3	<b>0.99</b> CI 0.97 to 1.00 P=0.135
<b>Private Insurance</b> (n=184,269)	36.2	34.6	-1.6	32.3	31.2	-0.9	-0.7	<b>0.98</b> CI 0.98 to 0.99 P<0.001
<b>Medicaid/Uninsured</b> (n=109,260)	20.4	20.6	-0.2	20.8	20.7	-0.1	-0.1	<b>1.02</b> CI 1.01 to 1.03 P<0.001

\* Admissions per hospital per quarter

**Full Sample** – all patients included in study (private insurance, Medicaid, and uninsured)

**IRR** – admission incident rate ratio, adjusted for patient age, sex, race, comorbidity, secular trends and clustering at hospital level

**CI** – 95% Confidence Interval