# Additional file 1: Semi-structured interview guide

## Interview guide

- Introduction
  - o Introduction of the interviewer
  - Consent for audio recording
  - Explanation of participants' rights
  - Aim of the interview: The aim is to explore personal experiences (both barriers and facilitators) regarding the new way of working and the use of the new tools and methods.
  - Procedure, duration etc.
  - o Emphasizing that all information is relevant

Introduction question: What did you think of the interprofessional team meeting which has just taken place?

#### Subquestions:

- Can you give an example?
- Can you explain in detail what you mean by...?
- Why do you perceive ...as a relevant factor/barrier/facilitator?

#### Main questions:

Questions concern the use, experience, handling, added value and suggestions for improvement of the different components of the programme.

- 1. To what extent do the team members know each other?
  - a. What do you think of this?
  - b. How would you suggest to improve this?
- 2. To what extent did the meeting go according to the agreed rules for the meetings?
  - a. How were the rules applied according to you?
  - b. How did you personally feel about handling these rules?
  - c. What are barriers and facilitators regarding the application of these rules?
  - d. What do you need to apply these rules?
  - e. In your view, did working according to these rules provide added value?
  - f. Did you miss any topics on which agreements and rules have to be made?
  - g. Do you have any suggestions for improvement?
- 3. Which roles were fulfilled during the team meeting? What was your role? (Chairperson, secretary, presenter, participant)
  - a. How did feel about fulfilling your role?
  - b. What do you need in order to fulfil your role properly? (What factors influence the fulfilment of roles?)
  - c. In your view, did the division of roles offer added value? And why?
  - d. Do you have any suggestions to improve the way roles are fulfilled?
- 4. What can you tell us about working according to the new meeting structure?
  - a. What did you think about working according to the new meeting structure?
  - b. What parts of the structure did or didn't you adhere to? And why?
  - c. What did you perceive as barriers to working according to the new meeting structure? What factors influence this?
  - d. In your view, did working according to this new meeting structure offer added value? And why?
  - e. Do you have any suggestions for adjustment and improvement of the meeting structure?

### 5. How did you prepare for the discussion of a patient?

- a. What did you think of preparing the meeting?
- b. To what extent did you use the form to prepare your presentation of a patient?
- c. What can you tell us about the way you used the form?
- d. Were there parts of this form that you found difficult to fill in? If yes, why?
- e. What helped you to prepare the meeting properly? (What factors influence this?)
- f. In your view, did preparing your presentation of the patient case using this form offer added value? And why?
- g. How would you suggest the preparation of the meeting could be further improved?
- 6. How did you discuss patients?
  - a. What did you think of the use of the six-step plan to discuss patients?
  - b. What steps did you go through? (And if you skipped certain steps, why did you do so?)
  - c. What factors influence the process of following the different steps?

- d. In your view, did the use of this six-step plan to discuss patients offer added value?
- e. Do you have any suggestions to improve the six-step plan?
- 7. Did you evaluate and reflect together? If yes, what did you think of this and what can you tell us about it?
  - a. On which topics did you reflect/ evaluate? (content, procedure, interaction)
  - b. What does a team need in order to make reflection possible?
  - c. In your view, did periodic reflection and evaluation offer added value?
  - d. Do you have any suggestions to improve the team reflection effort?
- 8. How was it to feed back the suggested agreements to the patient?
  - a. What bottlenecks did you experience?b. How did the patient react?
- 9. To what extent was the patient's perspective (goals and wishes) focused on during the meeting? Could you give an example?
  - a. Do you have any suggestions to improve the patient-centredness of interprofessional team meetings?

### <u>Summary:</u>

Interviewer gives a summary.

- Did I forget any relevant information in this summary?