

Supplementary Materials

Patient Selection for Transarterial Chemoembolization in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Importance of Benefit/Risk Assessment

Fabio Piscaglia, Sadahisa Ogasawara

Supplemental Table 1. An overview of trials comparing TACE with control (conservative management or suboptimal therapies) in hepatocellular carcinoma, updated with permission from Lencioni et al [11] copyright © 2012 Karger Publishers, Basel, Switzerland.

Reference	Design	Treatment	Patients, n	Key inclusion criteria	Survival (%)				p value
					1	2	3		
Pelletier et al. 1990 [12]	RCT	TACE	21	Cirrhosis;	24	---	---	---	NS
		Conservative management	21	Okuda stage I–III	31				
GETCH 1995 [13]	RCT	TACE	50	Cirrhosis;	62	38	---	---	NS
		Conservative management	46	Child–Pugh A; Okuda I–II	44	26			
Pelletier et al. 1998 [14]	RCT	TACE	37	Cirrhosis;	51	24	---	---	NS
		Suboptimal therapy	36	Child–Pugh A and B; Okuda I–II	55	26			
Lo et al. 2002 [15]	RCT	TACE	40	Hepatitis	57	31	26	0.002	
		Conservative management	39	B; Okuda I–II	32	11	3		
Llovet et al. 2002 [16]	RCT	TACE	40	Hepatitis	82	63	29	0.009	
		Conservative management	35	C; Child–Pugh A and B;	63	27	17		

BCLC stages B and C; Okuda I-II							
Luo et al. 2011 [17]	Prospective	TACE Conservative management	84 80	Hepatitis B; portal vein tumor thrombosis	30.9 3.8	9.2 0	--- <0.001
Dai et al. 2014 [18]	Retrospective	TACE Conservative management	131 156	Hepatitis B C; Child– Pugh A and B; BCLC stages B and C	18.5 12.1	2.3 0	--- <0.001
Song et al. 2015 [19]	Retrospective	TACE Conservative management	119 27	Hepatitis B; UICC III–IV A; portal vein thrombosis	43.0 3.7	23.5 0	--- <0.001

BCLC=Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; NS=not significant; RCT=randomized controlled trial;

TACE=transarterial chemoembolization; UICC=Union for International Cancer Control.