

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

# **BMJ Open**

# Interaction of diabetes and smoking on stroke: A population-based cross-sectional survey in China

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-017706
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	12-May-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Lou, Heqing Dong, Zongmei Zhang, Pan Shao, Xiaoping Li, Ting Zhao, Chunyan Zhang, Xunbao Lou, Peian
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Cardiovascular medicine
Keywords:	STROKE MEDICINE, DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, EPIDEMIOLOGY



**BMJ** Open

Interaction of diabetes and smoking on stroke: A population-based cross-sectional survey in China

Heqing Lou<sup>1</sup>, Zongmei Dong<sup>2</sup>, Pan Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Xiaoping Shao,<sup>1</sup> Ting Li<sup>2</sup>, Chunyan Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Xunbao Zhang<sup>\*1</sup>, Peian Lou<sup>\*1.2</sup>

 The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China
 Department of Non-communicable Disease Control, Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China

\*Corresponding authors:

Xunbao Zhang, 209 Tongshan Road, Xuzhou City, Jiangsu Province, China Phone: +86 516-83262018; Fax: +86 516-82702478; E-mail: 437335090@qq.com

Peian Lou, 142 West Erhuan Road, Xuzhou City, Jiangsu Province, China Phone: +86 516-85956785; Fax: +86 516-89596797; E-mail: lpa82835415@126.com

Manuscript category: Research article

Keywords: type 2 diabetes mellitus; smoking; interaction; stroke

### Abstract

**Objectives:** Diabetes and smoking are known independent risk factors for stroke, but their interaction with relation to stroke is less clear. We aimed to explore the interaction and its influence on stroke in Chinese adults.

**Design:** Cross-sectional study.

**Setting:** Community-based investigation in Xuzhou, China.

**Participants:** A total of 39,887 Chinese adults who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were included.

**Methods:** Participants were selected using a multi-stage stratified cluster method, and completed self-reported questionnaires on stroke and smoking. Type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) was assessed by fasting blood glucose or use of antidiabetic medication. Interaction, relative excess risk owing to interaction (RERI), the attributable proportion (AP), and the synergy index (S) were evaluated using a logistic regression model.

**Results:** After adjustment for age, gender, marital status, educational level, occupation, physical activity, body mass index, hypertension, stroke family history, alcohol use, and blood lipids, the relationships between DM2 and stroke, and between smoking and stroke, were still significant: odds ratios (OR) were 2.75 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.03–3.73) and 1.70 (95% CI: 1.38–2.10), respectively. In subjects with DM2 who smoked, the RERI, AP, and S values (and 95% CIs) were 1.80 (1.24–3.83), 0.52 (0.37–0.73), and 1.50 (1.18–1.84), respectively.

**Conclusions:** The results suggest there are additive interactions between DM2 and smoking and that these affect stroke in Chinese adults.

**BMJ** Open

# Article summary: Strengths and limitations of this study

• This study describes the status of stroke, and how it relates with diabetes and

smoking, in people in Xuzhou, China.

- Stroke was found to be related to diabetes and smoking.
- People with diabetes and who smoke were found to have a higher risk of stroke.
- The large sample was a strength of this study.
- The cross-sectional design was a study limitation.

#### INTRODUCTION

Stroke is an ongoing global health problem. In 2015, there were 6 326.2 thousand deaths from stroke worldwide. It was also the second most common cause of premature mortality and secondary disability.<sup>1</sup> The 2015 Report on Chinese Stroke Prevention indicates stroke as the leading cause of death in China, with incidence increasing by 8.7% every year.<sup>2</sup> Stroke rates in China are higher than those in Western countries and other Asian countries.<sup>3,4</sup> Moreover, the number of stroke patients is likely to increase because of lifestyle, demographic changes, and inadequate control of major risk factors for stroke in China.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, it is important to identify and prevent these risk factors.

The major risk factors for stroke are hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia, smoking, physical inactivity, obesity, and a family history of stroke.<sup>5-8</sup> More than 90% of the global burden of stroke in 2013 was attributable to the combined effect of all modifiable risk factors.<sup>8</sup> Although these risk factors play a role in the development of stroke, the disease results from the interaction between genetic and environmental factors. The more risk factors a person has, the more likely they are to incur a stroke.<sup>7</sup>

There is also little understanding of the combined effect of multiple factors on stroke. To our knowledge, while prevalence of smoking and diabetes are very high in China, there are no studies on the interaction of diabetes and smoking on stroke in Chinese adults. Therefore, the primary aim of this cross-sectional study was to examine the interaction of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) and smoking on stroke in Chinese adults. A secondary aim was to assess the associations between DM2 and stroke, and between smoking and stroke.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

# Study design and recruitment criteria

This population-based, cross-sectional survey was conducted in Xuzhou City, Jiangsu Province, China, from February to June 2013. The sample was selected with probability proportional to size from all 11 regions in Xuzhou. In the first stage, five subdistricts/townships in urban/rural areas were selected from each region. In the second stage, five communities/villages were selected from each subdistrict/township. In the final stage, one person  $\geq$ 18 years old and who had lived in his or her current residence for  $\geq 5$  years was selected from each household using a Kish selection table. Participants who met one of the following criteria were excluded: (1) previous diagnosis of neuropathy, psychosis, or unclear speech or (2) member of the floating population or temporary residents. A total of  $\geq$ 13,500 people were selected, assuming an estimation incidence of stroke of 2.0%,<sup>9</sup> with 90% power,  $\alpha$  level of 0.05, and allowing for a dropout rate of 15%. Trained interviewers interviewed participants face-to-face on the day of the participants' regular medical appointments. All participants underwent 12-h overnight fasting and blood sampling to test basic fasting plasma glucose. After blood sampling, each received a health check and completed a structured questionnaire covering demographic information, medical history, medication history, and smoking, alcohol consumption, and exercise habits.

# Key measurements

Stroke was assessed using subjects' self-reported responses, and defined as an acute disturbance of focal areas in the brain lasting for  $\geq 24$  h and that was thought to be

caused by intracranial hemorrhage or ischemia.<sup>10</sup> The investigators examined the medical records of participants reporting a diagnosis of stroke to check that they satisfied this definition. The diagnosis was also confirmed by computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging scans. Detailed clinical information about stroke was based on the International Classification of Disease, 10th Revision, codes 160–164.

DM2 was defined as fasting blood glucose  $\geq$ 7.0 mmol/L, any use of antidiabetic medication, or self-reported history of DM2.<sup>11</sup> Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure  $\geq$ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq$ 90 mmHg, any use of antihypertensive medication, or self-reported history of hypertension.<sup>12</sup>

#### Covariates

Age, sex, current employment status, marital status, level of education, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and family history of diseases including DM2, hypertension, and stroke were assessed using a standardized questionnaire. Employment status was categorized as manual, non-manual, unemployed, or retired. Education was categorized as below high school, high school, or above high school. Lifestyle variables included cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity level. Cigarette smoking was defined as having smoked at least 100 cigarettes in one's lifetime. Information was obtained on the amount and type of alcohol consumed during the previous year, and alcohol drinking was defined as consumption of  $\geq$ 30 g of alcohol per week for  $\geq$ 1 year. Regular leisure-time physical activity was defined as participation in moderate or vigorous activity for  $\leq$ 30 min per day,  $\geq$ 3 days a week. Each participant's height (to the nearest 0.1 cm) and weight (to the nearest 0.1 kg) in light indoor clothing were

### **BMJ** Open

measured. Body mass index (BMI; in  $kg/m^2$ ) was calculated; categorized as underweight (<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), normal weight (18.5–24.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and overweight/obese (>24.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>).<sup>13</sup> Dyslipidemia was defined as use of any lipid-lowering medication or self-reported history of the condition. Statistical analysis Participants were divided into four groups in accordance with their smoking status and DM2: non-smokers with no DM2, smokers with no DM2, non-smokers with DM2, and smokers with DM2. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS for Windows, Version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The general characteristics of continuous variables were compared across the four subgroups using analysis of variance. The categorical variables were expressed as a percentage and the groups were compared using a chi-squared test. Logistic regression analysis was performed to estimate the probability of having a stroke and 95% confidence interval (CI) for each risk factor category stratified by DM2 and smoking, adjusting for age, sex, occupation, education, marital status, BMI, physical activity, drinking status, hypertension status, and family history of disease including DM2, hypertension, and stroke.

Biological interactions should be based on an additive scale rather than a multiplication scale.<sup>14,15</sup> Therefore, we used three measures to estimate biological interactions between DM2 and smoking: relative excess risk owing to interaction (RERI), attributable proportion (AP) owing to interaction, and synergy index (S). RERI is the excess risk attributed to interaction relative to the risk without exposure to diabetes and smoking. AP refers to the attributable proportion of disease caused by interaction in subjects with exposure to both variables. S is the excess risk from

exposure to both variables when there is a biological interaction relative to the risk from exposure to both variables without interaction. In the absence of additive interactions, RERI and AP are equal to  $0.^{14,16}$  In the current study, RERI >0, AP >0, and S >0 indicate statistical significance. A *p*-value <0.05 (two-tailed) was considered statistically significant.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention. All participants provided written informed consent.

Page 9 of 27

**BMJ** Open

# RESULTS

# **General characteristics of participants**

Of the 41,658 individuals initially sampled, 1253 did not respond to the smoking question or complete the blood glucose tests, and 518 did not meet our study criteria. A final total of 39,887 adults (19,178 men and 20,709 women) with complete data were included in the analysis (response rate of 95.7%). Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study population. The proportion of participants with DM2 was 7.00% (2783/39,887), of stroke subjects with DM2 was 16.67%, and of non-stroke subjects with DM2 was only 6.75%; there was a significant statistical difference between the two groups ( $\chi^2$  = 135.92, *p* <0.001). The proportion of smoked was 32.24%, and the proportion of non-stroke participants who smoked was 19.98%; there was a significant statistical difference in smoking between stroke and non-stroke patients ( $\chi^2$  = 83.49, *p* <0.001).

### Association of diabetes and smoking with stroke

The 5.50% incidence of stroke in subjects with DM2 was higher than the 2.06% incidence in subjects with no DM2 ( $\chi^2 = 139.11$ , p < 0.001). Individuals who smoked had a higher stroke incidence compared with non-smokers (3.36% *vs.* 1.96%;  $\chi^2 = 83.49$ , p < 0.001; see Table 2). Individuals with DM2 had a significantly increased risk of stroke compared with those with no DM2 (OR: 2.65, 95% CI: 1.70–4.41, p < 0.001) after adjusting for confounders (see Table 3). Smokers had a significantly increased risk of stroke compared with non-smokers (OR: 1.83, 95% CI: 1.59–2.14, p < 0.001) after adjusting for confounders (see Table 3).

# Interaction between diabetes and smoking with relation to stroke

Individuals who only had DM2 or only smoked had a significantly increased risk of stroke compared with those who did not have DM2 and did not smoke (OR: 2.00, 95% CI: 1.56–2.56; OR: 1.65, 95% CI: 1.36–2.00; respectively; all *p* <0.001) after adjusting for confounders. Table 3 shows the results from the multiple logistic regression models. The incidence of stroke was greatest in those who had DM2 and smoked (OR: 3.45, 95% CI: 2.30–5.16, *p* <0.001), after adjusting for confounders.

# Sensitivity analysis

There was a strong additive interaction between DM2 and smoking (RERI: 1.80, 95% CI: 1.24–2.14; AP: 0.52, 95% CI: 0.37–0.73; and S: 1.50, 95% CI: 1.18–1.84, respectively.); 52% of occurring stroke was attributed to the interaction between (e wu

DM2 and smoking (Table 4).

### **BMJ** Open

### DISCUSSION

There were two main findings in this study. First, there was an interaction of DM2 and smoking with relation to the incidence of stroke. Second, DM2 and smoking were found to be significantly associated with increased risk of stroke in Chinese adults, independent of potential confounders such as age, sex, occupation, education, marital status, BMI, physical activity, drinking status, hypertension status, and family history of diseases including diabetes, hypertension, or stroke.

The proportion of participants with DM2 in this study was 19.67%, which is lower than that reported in the China National Stroke Registry Study (27.0%),<sup>17</sup> lower than that reported in a comparison of risk factors for ischemic stroke in Chinese versus Caucasian individuals (25.0%),<sup>18</sup> and higher than that reported in a study of the Chinese National Health Insurance program (3.8%)<sup>19</sup> and a review of stroke in China (4–15%).<sup>20</sup> Our results are also inconsistent with figures for diabetes in stroke patients reported for other countries.<sup>21,22</sup> This discrepancy may be because of differences in study design, sampling size, region, diabetes diagnosis, and the clinical features of stroke, but could also be a result of ethnic group differences, as many studies have shown that different ethnic groups have different stroke incidence rates.<sup>23</sup>

Numerous epidemiologic studies, including cross-sectional studies and prospective cohort studies, have demonstrated associations between diabetes and stroke.<sup>22,24-27</sup> A population-based study of 173,979 discharged patients admitted with hemorrhagic stroke was conducted in Spain from 2003 to 2012. The authors reported a positive association between diabetes and stroke (incidence rate ratio: 1.38, 95% CI: 1.35–1.40 for men; incidence rate ratio: 1.31, 95% CI: 1.29–1.34 for women).<sup>22</sup>

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Folsom et al. reported that the relative risk (RR) of ischemic stroke was 2.22 (95% CI: 1.5–3.2) for individuals with diabetes after adjustment for other stroke risk factors in a 6–8-year follow-up.<sup>24</sup> Iso et al.<sup>25</sup> reported that the association between non-embolic ischemic stroke and diabetes was particularly strong among non-hypertensive subjects with higher subscapular skinfold thickness values: the multivariate RR was 4.9 (95% CI: 2.5–9.5) in a 17-year prospective cohort study of 10,582 Japanese individuals (4287 men and 6295 women) aged 40–69 years. A systematic review and meta-analysis of 64 cohort studies with 775,385 individuals showed that diabetes is consistently associated with increased risk of stroke; the pooled maximum-adjusted RR of stroke associated with diabetes was 2.28 (95% CI: 1.93–2.69) for women and 1.83 (1.60–2.08) for men.<sup>26</sup> Liao et al. have also reported that diabetic patients have an increased risk of stroke (adjusted hazard ratio: 1.75, 95% CI: 1.64–1.86) compared with those without diabetes; associations between diabetes and stroke risk were significant for both sexes and all age groups.<sup>27</sup> Our findings also demonstrate an association between diabetes and stroke.

Robson et al.<sup>28</sup> confirmed that poor blood sugar control increases the risk of stroke. One prospective cohort study of 467,508 men and women aged 30–79 years with no history of diabetes or stroke showed that each 1-mmol/L (18-mg/dL) higher than usual increase of random plasma glucose level above 5.9 mmol/L (106 mg/dL) was associated with ischemic stroke (adjusted hazard ratio: 1.08, 95% CI: 1.07–1.09).<sup>29</sup> Moreover, a study of 4669 patients who had had a minor stroke revealed that stroke patients with diabetes experienced stroke recurrence and disability during a 3-month follow-up.<sup>30</sup> Therefore, effective glycemic control not only reduces the incidence of stroke but also can reduce stroke recurrence and

### **BMJ** Open

associated disability.

Stroke patients tend to contain a higher proportion of smokers than non-stroke patients. Wang et al. reported that 48% of stroke patients smoked.<sup>31</sup> Tsai et al.<sup>18</sup> reported a figure of 38% and we found that 32.24% of stroke patients smoked. Although these proportions differ, they are all quite high. This discrepancy may reflect a bias in the reporting of smoking status among study participants.

Many studies have shown that smoking is a strong risk factor for development of stroke.<sup>32-34</sup> The British Regional Heart Study, which included 7735 men aged 40–59 years, showed that after full adjustment for other risk factors, current smokers had a nearly fourfold RR of stroke compared with never-smokers (RR: 3.7, 95% CI: 2.0–6.9). Ex-cigarette smokers showed lower risk than current smokers but showed excess risk compared with never-smokers (RR: 1.7, 95% CI: 0.9–3.3, p = 0.11).<sup>33</sup> During a mean follow-up of 8.5 years, the risk for all strokes significantly increased (RR: 0.9–3.3, 95% CI: 1.4–24) and increased for ischemic strokes (RR: 4.8, 95% CI: 1.2–20) among cigarette-smoking women with a cigarette-smoking spouse compared with those with a non-smoking spouse after adjusting for other cardiovascular risk factors.<sup>34</sup> However, a systematic review and meta-analysis of 81 cohorts in Asia, including 3,980,359 individuals and 42,401 strokes, showed smoking does not contribute to the risk of stroke.<sup>35</sup> The proportion of Chinese stroke patients who smoke is higher than that of Caucasians.<sup>18</sup> One systematic review and meta-analysis of 15 cohort studies and 178 case-control studies found smoking was an independent risk factor for stroke (pooled RRs: 1.27, 95% CI: 1.21–1.35) in the Chinese population.<sup>7</sup> Individuals who smoke more are more likely to have strokes.<sup>36,37</sup> A meta-analysis that included 16,886 men and 18,539 women without known diabetes revealed

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

hemoglobin A1c was 0.10% (95% CI: 0.08–0.12, 1.1 mmol/mol [0.9–1.3]) higher in current smokers and 0.03% (95% CI: 0.01–0.05, 0.3 mmol/mol [0.1–0.5]) higher in ex-smokers than in never-smokers.<sup>38</sup> Therefore, our findings support previous evidence smoking is associated with stroke in Chinese populations.<sup>4</sup>

The pathophysiological mechanisms of hyperglycemia induce oxidative stress; promote formation of advanced glycosylation end products;<sup>39,40</sup> increase blood–brain barrier permeability and inflammatory responses;<sup>41</sup> lead to accumulation of reactive oxygen species/reactive nitrogen, inflammation, and mitochondrial dysfunction;<sup>42</sup> lead to cellular dysfunctions; damage vascular tissue; inhibit endogenous vascular protective factors; alter vascular homeostasis;<sup>43</sup> raise levels of reactive oxygen species and advanced glycation end products; decrease levels of mitochondrial superoxide dismutase;<sup>40</sup> and correlate with endothelial cell dysfunction and nitric oxide production.<sup>44</sup> All these actions contribute to accelerating the atherosclerotic process. Therefore, subjects with diabetes are more prone to develop stroke.

Cigarette smoking is associated with increased reactive oxygen species, oxidative stress, blood–brain barrier permeability, sympathetic activation and nitric oxide production, reduced cerebral blood flow and serum superoxide dismutase levels, attenuation of the vasodilation of cerebral arterioles, and induction of atherosclerosis and thrombosis.<sup>45-48</sup> Moreover, cigarette smoke elevates serum levels of advanced glycation end products and reduces soluble receptors for advanced glycation end products, resulting in the development of atherosclerosis and related stroke.<sup>49-51</sup> Smoking is therefore correlated with increased risk of stroke.

Collectively, diabetes and smoking induce oxidative stress and nitric oxide

### **BMJ** Open

production; increase reactive oxygen species, blood-brain barrier permeability, and the level of advanced glycation end products; and reduce cerebral blood flow and serum superoxide dismutase. Therefore, diabetic patients who smoke have a greater risk of stroke.

The strengths of the current study are that we used a community-based multistage sampling design, large sample size, and randomly selected participants. However, the study has several limitations. First, because of the cross-sectional design, we could not determine a causal relationship between DM2, smoking, and stroke. Second, we were unable to control for some important and well-known risk factors of stroke, such as heart rate<sup>52</sup> and cardiac causes.<sup>6</sup> Third, we did not measure fresh fruit consumption, <sup>53</sup> which is causally related to stroke.

### Conclusion

The results of this cross-sectional study indicate subjects with diabetes who smoke are 3.5 times more likely to develop stroke than non-diabetics who do not smoke. Diabetes and smoking had a combined positive influence on stroke. Our results have important public health implications. Among Chinese adults, the current rate of smoking is as high as 28.3% <sup>54</sup> and DM2 prevalence is 11.6%.<sup>55</sup> Therefore, it is important for stroke prevention to reduce smoking and improve glycemic control in diabetic patients in China.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# Acknowledgments

We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration.

# Funding

This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu Province Health Department in 2015 (Y2015010) and the Science and Technology projects of Xuzhou City in 2015 (KC15SM046). The researchers were independent from the funders. The study funders had no influence on the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation of data, writing of the report, or decision to submit the article for publication.

# Duality of interest

The authors declare there is no duality of interest associated with this manuscript. Authors' contributions

HL wrote/edited the manuscript and created tables. ZD, PZ, XH, PC, TL, CQ, CH, XH, and PL contributed to the discussion and reviewed/edited the manuscript. XH conceptualized the study. PL is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and accuracy of the data analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# Availability of data and materials

All data relevant to the given manuscript have been stored in a separate file that can

1	
2	he made freely available to external investigators upon request
4	be made neery available to external investigators upon request.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9 10	
10	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
1/	
10	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44 45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52 53	
54	
55	
56	
57	17
58	
99 60	For peer review only - http://bmiopen.bmi.com/site/about/auidelines.xhtml
00	

# References

- GBD 2015 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015.Lancet. 2016;388(10053):1459-1544.
- Stroke Control Project Committee of National Health and Family Planning Commission of the People's Republic of China. Report on the Chinese Stroke Prevention (2015) 1st ed. Beijing: China Pecking Union Medical College Press, 2015.
- 3.Yang G, Wang Y, Zeng Y, Gao GF, Liang X, Zhou M, et al. Rapid health transition in China, 1990-2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010.Lancet. 2013;381(9882):1987-2015.
- 4. Hata J, Kiyohara Y. Epidemiology of Stroke and Coronary Artery Disease in Asia. Circ J. 2013; 77(8):1923-32.
- Mi T, Sun S, Du Y, Guo S, Cong L, Cao M, et al. Differences in the distribution of risk factors for stroke among the high-risk population in urban and rural areas of Eastern China. Brain Behav. 2016;6(5):e00461.
- O'Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, Chin SL, Rao-Melacini P, et al. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. Lancet. 2010;376(9735):112-23.
- Wang J, Wen X, Li W, Li X, Wang Y, Lu W. Risk Factors for Stroke in the Chinese Population: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2016; pii: S1052-3057(16)30599-7.
- Feigin VL, Roth GA, Naghavi M, Parmar P, Krishnamurthi R, Chugh S, et al. Global burden of stroke and risk factors in 188 countries, during 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet Neurol. 2016;15(9):913-24.
- Zhang P, Jiao S, Zhou Y, Li G, Shi Y, Li H, et al. Studies on prevalence and control of several common chronic diseases among Beijing adults in 2005. Chin J Epidemiol, 2007;28(7):625-630.
- Sacco RL, Kasner SE, Broderick JP, Caplan LR, Connors JJ, Culebras A, et al. An updated definition of stroke for the 21st century: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association.

Stroke 2013; 44:2064-89.

- 11.Chinese Diabetes Society. Chinese guidelines for the prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (2010 Edition). Chinese Journal of Diabetes, 2012;20(1): 1-36.
- 12. China hypertension prevention guidelines revision committee. Guidelines for prevention and treatment of hypertension in China[2010 Edition]. Chinese Journal of hypertension,2011;1919(8):701-743.
- 13. Zhou BF. Predictive values of body mass index and waist circumference for risk factors of certain related diseases in Chinese adults--study on optimal cut-off points of body mass index and waist circumference in Chinese adults. Biomed Environ Sci 2002;15, 83-96.
- Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S . Confidence interval estimation of interaction. Epidemiology 1992; 3(5):452-456.
- 15.Knol MJ, van der Tweel I, Grobbee DE, Numans ME, Geerlings MI. Estimating interaction on an additive scale between continuous determinants in a logistic regression model. Int J Epidemiol. 2007;36(5):1111-8.
- Knol MJ, VanderWeele TJ, Groenwold RH, Klungel OH, Rovers MM, Grobbee DE. Estimating measures of interaction on an additive scale for preventive exposures. Eur J Epidemiol 2011; 26(6):433–438.
- 17. Pan Y, Wang Y, Li H, Gaisano HY, Wang Y, He Y. Association of Diabetes and Prognosis of Minor Stroke and Its Subtypes: A Prospective Observational Study. PLoS One. 20162;11(4):e0153178.
  - Tsai CF, Anderson N, Thomas B, Sudlow CL. Risk factors for ischemic stroke and its subtypes in Chinese vs. Caucasians: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Stroke. 2015;10(4):485-93.
- Guo Y, Wang H, Tao T, Tian Y, Wang Y, Chen Y, et al. Determinants and Time Trends for Ischaemic and Haemorrhagic Stroke in a Large Chinese Population. PLoS ONE 2016; 11(9):e0163171.
- Shi FL, Hart RG, Sherman DG, Tegeler CH. Stroke in the People's Republic of China. Stroke. 1989;20(11):1581-5.
- Chang T, Gajasinghe S, Arambepola C. Prevalence of Stroke and Its Risk Factors in Urban Sri Lanka Population-Based Study. Stroke. 2015;46(10):2965-8.
- 22. Muñoz-Rivas N, Méndez-Bailón M, Hernández-Barrera V, de Miguel-Yanes JM,

Jimenez-Garcia R, Esteban-Hernández J, et al.Type 2 Diabetes and Hemorrhagic Stroke: A Population-Based Study in Spain from 2003 to 2012. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2016;25(6):1431-43.

- 23. Tsai CF, Thomas B, Sudlow CL. Epidemiology of stroke and its subtypes in Chinese vs white populations. Neurology. 2013;81(3):264-72.
- 24. Folsom AR, Rasmussen ML, Chambless LE, Howard G, Cooper LS, Schmidt MI, et al. Prospective associations of fasting insulin, body fat distribution, and diabetes with risk of ischemic stroke. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study Investigators. Diabetes Care. 1999;22(7):1077-83
- 25. Iso H, Imano H, Kitamura A, Sato S, Naito Y, Tanigawa T, et al. Type 2 diabetes and risk of non-embolic ischaemic stroke in Japanese men and women. Diabetologia. 2004;47(12):2137-44.
- 26. Peters SA, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Diabetes as a risk factor for stroke in women compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 64 cohorts, including 775 385 individuals and 12 539 strokes. Lancet. 2014;383(9933):1973-80.
- 27. Liao CC, Shih CC, Yeh CC, Chang YC, Hu CJ, Lin JG, et al. Impact of Diabetes on Stroke Risk and Outcomes: Two Nationwide Retrospective Cohort Studies. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94(52):e2282.
- Robson R, Lacey AS, Luzio SD, Van Woerden H, Heaven ML, Wani M, et al. HbA1c measurement and relationship to incident stroke. Diab Med. 2016;33:459–62.
- 29. Bragg F, Li L, Bennett D, Guo Y, Lewington S, Bian Z, et al. Association of Random Plasma Glucose Levels With the Risk for Cardiovascular Disease Among Chinese Adults Without Known Diabetes. JAMA Cardiol. 2016;1(7):813-823.
- Wu L, Wang A, Wang X, Zhao X, Wang C, Liu L, et al. Factors for short-term outcomes in patients with a minor stroke: results from China National Stroke Registry. BMC Neurol. 2015;15:253.
- 31. Wang W, Jiang B, Sun H, Ru X, Sun D, Wang L, et al. Prevalence, Incidence and Mortality of Stroke in China: Results from a Nationwide Population-Based Survey of 480,687 Adults. Circulation. 2017;135(8):759-771.
- 32 Wolf PA, D'Agostino RB, Kannel WB, Bonita R, Belanger AJ. Cigarette smoking as risk factor for stroke the framingham study. JAMA. 1988;259(7):1025-9.
- 33. Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG, Whincup PH, Walker M. Smoking cessation and  $^{20}$

ו ר	
2 3	the risk of stroke in middle-aged men. J AMA. 1995;274(2):155-60.
4	34. Qureshi AI, Suri MF, Kirmani JF, Divani AA. Cigarette smoking among spouses:
5 6	another risk factor for stroke in women. Stroke, 2005;36(9):e74-6.
7 8	35.Peters SA, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Smoking as a Risk Factor for Stroke in
9	Women Compared With Men A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of 81
10 11	Cohorts Including 3 980 359 Individuals and 42 401 Strokes Stroke
12	
13	2013;44(10):2821-8.
14 15	36. Shah RS, Cole JW. Smoking and stroke: the more you smoke the more you stroke.
16	Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther. 2010;8:917–932. doi: 10.1586/erc.10.56.
17 18	37. Chang S, Kim H, Kim V, Lee K, Jeong H, Lee JH, et al. Association Between
19	Smoking and Physician-Diagnosed Stroke and Myocardial Infarction in Male
20 21	Adults in Korea. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2016;13(2):158.
22	38. Soulimane S, Simon D, Herman WH, Lange C, Lee CM, Colagiuri S, et al.
23 24	HbA1c, fasting and 2 h plasma glucose in current, ex- and never-smokers: a
25 26	meta-analysis. Diabetologia. 2014;57(1):30-9.
27	39. Aronson D, Rayfield EJ. How hyperglycemia promotes atherosclerosis: molecular
28 29	mechanisms. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2002:1:1.
30	40 Pahni AK, Nautival N, Paraz Pinzan MA, Dava KB, Hymorghyaamia /
31	40. Kenni AK, Nautiyai N, Ferez-Tinzon MA, Dave KK. Hypergrycenna /
32 33	hypoglycemia-induced mitochondrial dysfunction and cerebral ischemic damage in
34	diabetics. Metab Brain Dis. 2015;30(2):437-47.
35 36	41. Shukla V, Shakya AK, Perez-Pinzon MA, Dave KR. Cerebral ischemic damage in
37	diabetes: an inflammatory perspective. J Neuroinflammation. 2017;14(1):21.
38	42. Zhang Z, Yan J, Shi H. Hyperglycemia as a Risk Factor of Ischemic Stroke. J
39 40	Drug Metab Toxicol 2013;4(4) pii: 153
41	42 Kitada M. Zhang Z. Mima A. King CL. Malagular maghanisms of dispatia
42 43	45. Khada W, Zhang Z, Willia A, King OL. Molecular mechanisms of diabetic
44	vascular complications. J Diabetes Investig. 2010;1(3):77-89.
45 46	44. Kemeny SF, Figueroa DS, Clyne AM. Hypo- and Hyperglycemia Impair
46 47	Endothelial Cell Actin Alignment and Nitric Oxide Synthase Activation in
48	Response to Shear Stress. PLoS ONE, 2013;8(6): e66176.
49 50	45. Iida M, Iida H, Dohi S, Takenaka M, Fujiwara H. Mechanisms underlying
51 52	cerebrovascular effects of cigarette smoking in rats in vivo. Stroke,
52 53	1998;29(8):1656-65.
54	46 Barua RS Ambrose IA Srivastava S DeVoe MC Fales-Revnolds LI Reactive
55 56	
57	oxygen species are involved in smoking-induced dysfunction of nitric oxide
58 50	
60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

biosynthesis and upregulation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase: an in vitro demonstration in human coronary artery endothelial cells. Circulation 2003;107(18):2342–2347.

- 47. Benowitz NL. Cigarette smoking and cardiovascular disease: pathophysiology and implications for treatment. Prog Cardiovasc Dis., 2003;46(1):91–111.
- 48. Chen S, Wu P, Zhou L, Shen Y, Li Y, Song H. Relationship between increase of serum homocysteine caused by smoking and oxidative damage in elderly patients with cardiovascular disease. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2015;8(3):4446-54.
- 49. Prasad K, Dhar I, Caspar-Bell G. Role of Advanced Glycation End Products and Its Receptors in the Pathogenesis of Cigarette Smoke-Induced Cardiovascular Disease. Int J Angiol. 2015;24(2):75-80.
- Ottum MS, Mistry AM. Advanced glycation end products: modifiable environmental factors profoundly mediate insulin resistance. J Clin Biochem Nutr. 2015;57(1):1-12.
- 51. Biswas SK, Mudi SR, Mollah FH, Bierhaus A, Arslan MI. Serum soluble receptor for advanced glycation end products (sRAGE) is independently associated with cigarette smoking in non-diabetic healthy subjects. Vasc Dis Res. 2013; 10(4):380-2.
- 52. Zhong C, Zhong X, Xu T, Peng H, Li H, Zhang M, et al. Combined effects of hypertension and heart rate on the risk of stroke and coronary heart disease: a population-based prospective cohort study among Inner Mongolians in China. Hypertens Res. 2015;38(12):883-8.
- Du H, Li L, Bennett D, Guo Y, Key TJ, Bian Z, et al. Fresh Fruit Consumption and Major Cardiovascular Disease in China. N Engl J Med. 2016; 374(14): 1332–1343.
- 54. Ding L, Xu Y, Wang LM, Jiang Y, Zhang M, Li YC, et al. Smoking and Its Relation to Metabolic Status among Chinese Adults: Analysis of a Nationwide Survey. Biomed Environ Sci. 2016;29(9):619-627.
- 55.. Xu Y, Wang L, He J, Bi Y, Li M, Wang T, et mal. Prevalence and Control of Diabetes in Chinese Adults. JAMA. 2013;310(9):948-59.

Total         29568         7536         2237         546           Gender(man)         11087         6890         726         475           Age(years)         46.93±17.13         49.64±15.97         61.52±12.71         59.03±11.4           Marred (living with partners)         24290         6622         1844         506           Below high school         21906         5757         1832         409           high school         2978         544         142         43           Manual         20702         5538         1382         326           Non-manual         3664         909         145         59           Retired         2277         589         563         124           Unemployed         2925         500         147         37           alcohol use         1832         4061         156         284           Regular exercise         5943         1519         580         172           Family history of DM2         350         128         120         26           Family history of Stroke         574         209         32         13           Hypertension         6339         2004         759	Reported variable	Non-smoking /non-DM2	Smoking /non-DM2	Non-smoking /DM2	Smoking /DM2
Gender (man)110876890726475Age (years) $46.93\pm17.13$ $49.64\pm15.97$ $61.52\pm12.71$ $59.03\pm11.97$ Marred (living with partners) $24290$ $6622$ $1844$ $506$ Below high school $21906$ $5757$ $1832$ $409$ high school $4684$ $1235$ $263$ $94$ Above high school $2978$ $544$ $142$ $433$ Manual $20702$ $5538$ $1382$ $326$ Non-manual $3664$ $909$ $145$ $59$ Retired $2277$ $589$ $563$ $124$ Unemployed $2925$ $500$ $147$ $37$ akohol use $1832$ $4061$ $156$ $284$ Regular exercise $5943$ $1519$ $580$ $172$ Family history of $20702$ $350$ $128$ $120$ $26$ Family history of DM2 $350$ $128$ $120$ $26$ Family history of Stroke $574$ $209$ $32$ $13$ Hypertension $6339$ $2004$ $759$ $255$	Total	29568	7536	2237	546
Age(years) $46.93\pm17.13$ $49.64\pm15.97$ $61.52\pm12.71$ $59.03\pm11.9$ Marred (living with partners) $24290$ $6622$ $1844$ $506$ Below high school $21906$ $5757$ $1832$ $409$ high school $4684$ $1235$ $263$ $94$ Above high school $2978$ $544$ $142$ $43$ Manual $20702$ $5538$ $1382$ $326$ Non-manual $3664$ $909$ $145$ $59$ Retired $2277$ $589$ $563$ $124$ Unemployed $2925$ $500$ $147$ $37$ akohol use $1832$ $4061$ $156$ $284$ Regular exercise $5943$ $1519$ $580$ $172$ Family history of Hypertension $2007$ $740$ $218$ $56$ Family history of DM2 $350$ $128$ $120$ $26$ Family history of stroke $574$ $209$ $32$ $13$ Hypertension $6339$ $2004$ $759$ $255$	Gender(man)	11087	6890	726	475
Marred (living with partners)       24290       6622       1844       506         Below high school       21906       5757       1832       409         high school       4684       1235       263       94         Above high school       2978       544       142       43         Manual       20702       5538       1382       326         Non-manual       3664       909       145       59         Retired       2277       589       563       124         Unemployed       2925       500       147       37         akohol use       1832       4061       156       284         Regular exercise       5943       1519       580       172         Family history of       2007       740       218       56         Hypertension       2007       740       218       56         Family history of DM2       350       128       120       26         Family history of stroke       574       209       32       13         Hypertension       6339       2004       759       255	Age(years)	46.93±17.13	49.64±15.97	61.52±12.71	59.03±11.95
Note         Note <th< td=""><td>Marred (living with partners)</td><td>24290</td><td>6622</td><td>1844</td><td>506</td></th<>	Marred (living with partners)	24290	6622	1844	506
high school $4684$ $1235$ $263$ $94$ Above high school $2978$ $544$ $142$ $43$ Manual $20702$ $5538$ $1382$ $326$ Non-manual $3664$ $909$ $145$ $59$ Retired $2277$ $589$ $563$ $124$ Unemployed $2925$ $500$ $147$ $37$ akohol use $1832$ $4061$ $156$ $284$ Regular exercise $5943$ $1519$ $580$ $172$ Family history of $2007$ $740$ $218$ $56$ Hypertension $2007$ $740$ $218$ $56$ Family history of DM2 $350$ $128$ $120$ $26$ Family history of Stroke $574$ $209$ $32$ $13$ Hypertension $6339$ $2004$ $759$ $255$	Below high school	21906	5757	1832	409
Above high school297854414243Manual2070255381382326Non-manual366490914559Retired2277589563124Unemployed292550014737akohol use18324061156284Regular exercise59431519580172Family history of200774021856Hypertension25512812026Family history of DM235012812026Family history of stroke5742093213Hypertension63392004759255	high school	4684	1235	263	94
Manual       20702       5538       1382       326         Non-manual       3664       909       145       59         Retired       2277       589       563       124         Unemployed       2925       500       147       37         akohol use       1832       4061       156       284         Regular exercise       5943       1519       580       172         Family history of       2007       740       218       56         Hypertension       250       128       120       26         Family history of DM2       350       128       120       26         Family history of stroke       574       209       32       13         Hypertension       6339       2004       759       255	Above high school	2978	544	142	43
Non-manual $3664$ $909$ $145$ $59$ Retired $2277$ $589$ $563$ $124$ Unemployed $2925$ $500$ $147$ $37$ akohol use $1832$ $4061$ $156$ $284$ Regular exercise $5943$ $1519$ $580$ $172$ Family history of $2007$ $740$ $218$ $56$ Hypertension $574$ $209$ $32$ $13$ Hypertension $6339$ $2004$ $759$ $255$	Manual	20702	5538	1382	326
Retired2277589563124Unemployed292550014737akohol use18324061156284Regular exercise59431519580172Family history of200774021856Hypertension25012812026Family history of DM235012812026Family history of stroke5742093213Hypertension63392004759255	Non-manual	3664	909	145	59
Unemployed292550014737akohol use18324061156284Regular exercise59431519580172Family history of $2007$ 74021856Hypertension25012812026Family history of DM235012812026Family history of stroke5742093213Hypertension63392004759255	Retired	2277	589	563	124
akohol use18324061156284Regular exercise59431519580172Family history of Hypertension200774021856Family history of DM235012812026Family history of stroke5742093213Hypertension63392004759255	Unemployed	2925	500	147	37
Regular exercise59431519580172Family history of Hypertension200774021856Family history of DM235012812026Family history of stroke5742093213Hypertension63392004759255	alcohol use	1832	4061	156	284
Family history of Hypertension200774021856Family history of DM235012812026Family history of stroke5742093213Hypertension63392004759255	Regular exercise	5943	1519	580	172
Hypertension200774021030Family history of DM235012812026Family history of stroke5742093213Hypertension63392004759255	Family history of	2007	740	218	56
Family history of DM235012812026Family history of stroke5742093213Hypertension63392004759255	Hypertension	2007	740	210	50
Family history of stroke         574         209         32         13           Hypertension         6339         2004         759         255	Family history of DM2	350	128	120	26
Hypertension         6339         2004         759         255	Family history of stroke	574	209	32	13
	Hypertension	6339	2004	759	255
BMI(≥24kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) 12532 3536 1382 335	BMI(≥24kg/m²)	12532	3536	1382	335
Dyslipidemia 3602 1134 456 137	Dyslipidemia	3602	1134	456	137

			, ,		
Variables		Stroke	Non-stroke	OR (95%CI)	Р
Smoking	No	622	31183	4.02 (4.50.2.44)	-0.01
	Yes	296	7786	1.83 (1.59-2.14)	<0.01
DM2	No	765	36339		
	Yes	153	2630	2.65 (1.70-4.41)	<0.01

Adjusted for age, sex, education, marriage status, employment, family history of diabetes, family history of hypertension, family history of stroke, alcohol consumption, physical activity, hypertension, diabetes or smoking, body mass index, and lipids.

# **Table 3** Odds ratios (ORs) for the association between smoking and stroke by diabetes among participants

Smoking	Diabetes	No stroke	Stroke	OR (95%CI)	Ρ
No	No	29056	512	1	
	Yes	2127	110	2.00 (1.56-2.56)	<0.01
Yes	No	7283	253	1.65 (1.36-2.00)	<0.01
	Yes	503	43	3.45 (2.30-5.16)	<0.01

Adjusted for age, sex, education, marriage status, employment, family history of diabetes, family history of hypertension, family history of stroke, alcohol consumption, physical activity, hypertension, body mass index, and lipids.

1	
2	
3 4	
5	
6	
7 0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
26	
27 28	
20	
30	
31	
33	
34	
35 36	
37	
38	
39 40	
41	
42 42	
43 44	
45	
46 47	
48	
49	
50 51	
52	
53	
54 55	
56	

58 59

60

Table 4 Measures for estimating biological interaction between smoking and
diabetes for prevalence of stroke in participants

Measures of biological interaction	Estimate (95% CI)
RERI	1.80(1.24-3.84)
AP	0.52(0.37-0.73)
S	1.50(1.18-1.84)

Reference group is no smoking with non-diabetes.

 

 Juing wi.

 Juing wi.

 Juing wi.

 Juing wi.

 Juing wi.

 Juing will

 Adjusted for age, sex, education, marriage status, employment, family history of diabetes, family history of hypertension, family history of stroke, alcohol consumption, physical activity, hypertension, body mass index, and lipids.

**STROBE Statement** Checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

Section/Topic	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
	1	(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5
		(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the	
Participants	6	rationale for the choice of cases and controls         Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants       5	
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	6-7
Data sources/measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	6-7
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6-7
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
		(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	7-8
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
Statistical methods	12	(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	
		Case-control study-If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy 7-8	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
· · ·		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	1

47

BMJ Open

2 3 4	Section/Topic	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page No	
5	Results				
6 7 8			(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	8	
9 10	Participants	13*	(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram		
11 12 13	Descriptive data	14*	<ul> <li>(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders</li> <li>(b) Indicatements of forentiation and the forentiation of the social statement of t</li></ul>	8	
15			(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest		
16 17			<i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (cg, average and total amount)		
18	Outcome data	15*	Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure		
19	Section/TopicIfResultsIParticipantsIDescriptive dataIOutcome dataIOutcome dataIMain resultsIOther analysesIDiscussionIKey resultsILimitationsIInterpretationIGeneralisabilityIOther Information separately forNote: An Explanation and Elaboolbest used in conjunction with thisEpidemiology at http://www.epid		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	8	
20 21 22	Main results	16	( <i>a</i> ) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	9-10	
23		16	(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized		
24 25			(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period		
26	Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	11	
27	Discussion				
28 29	Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	11	
30 31	Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	16	
32 33 34	Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	15-16	
35	Generalisability	21	Discuss the general is ability (external validity) of the study results	16	
36	<b>Other Information</b>				
38 39	Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	17	
40	*Give information separately	for cases	and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.		
41 42 43	Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Enidemiology at http://www.enidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org				
44 45 46	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml 46			2	

# **BMJ Open**

# Interaction of diabetes and smoking on stroke: A population-based cross-sectional survey in China

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-017706.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	03-Nov-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Lou, Heqing; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China Dong, Zongmei; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China; Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China Zhang, Pan; Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China, Department of Non-communicable Disease Control Shao, Xiaoping ; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China Li, Ting; Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China Li, Ting; Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China Zhao, Chunyan; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China Zhang, Xunbao; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China Lou, Peian; Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China, Department of Non-communicable Disease Control; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou, China
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Cardiovascular medicine
Keywords:	STROKE MEDICINE, DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, EPIDEMIOLOGY

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

# BMJ Open

	survey in China
Heqing Lou <sup>1</sup> , Zongmei	Dong <sup>1.2</sup> , Pan Zhang <sup>2</sup> , Xiaoping Shao, <sup>1</sup> Ting Li <sup>2</sup> , Chunyan Zh
Xunbao Zhang <sup>*1</sup> , Peian	Lou <sup>*1.2</sup>
1. The School of Public I	Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China
2. Department of Non-c	communicable Disease Control, Xuzhou Center for Disease
Control and Prevention,	, Xuzhou, China
*Corresponding author	's:
Xunbao Zhang, 209 Ton	gshan Road, Xuzhou City, Jiangsu Province, China
Phone: +86 516-832620	018; Fax: +86 516-82702478; E-mail: 437335090@qq.com
Peian Lou 142 West Fr	huan Road, Xuzhou City, Jiangsu Province, China

Phone: +86 516-85956785; Fax: +86 516-89596797; E-mail: lpa82835415@126.com

Manuscript category: Research article

Keywords: type 2 diabetes mellitus; smoking; interaction; stroke

### Abstract

**Objectives:** Diabetes and smoking are known independent risk factors for stroke, but their interaction with relation to stroke is less clear. We aimed to explore the interaction and its influence on stroke in Chinese adults.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

**Setting:** Community-based investigation in Xuzhou, China.

**Participants:** A total of 39,887 Chinese adults who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were included.

**Methods:** Participants were selected using a multi-stage stratified cluster method, and completed self-reported questionnaires on stroke and smoking. Type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) was assessed by fasting blood glucose or use of antidiabetic medication. Interaction, relative excess risk owing to interaction (RERI), the attributable proportion (AP), and the synergy index (S) were evaluated using a logistic regression model.

**Results:** After adjustment for age, gender, marital status, educational level, occupation, physical activity, body mass index, hypertension, stroke family history, alcohol use, and blood lipids, the relationships between DM2 and stroke, and between smoking and stroke, were still significant: odds ratios (OR) were 2.75 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.03–3.73) and 1.70 (95% CI: 1.38–2.10), respectively. In subjects with DM2 who smoked, the RERI, AP, and S values (and 95% CIs) were 1.80 (1.24–3.83), 0.52 (0.37–0.73), and 1.50 (1.18–1.84), respectively.

**Conclusions:** The results suggest there are additive interactions between DM2 and smoking and that these affect stroke in Chinese adults.

BMJ Open

3	
4	
5	
6	Article summary: Strengths and limitations of this study
/	
8	• The strengths of this study were that participants of a large sample were
9	
10	randomly selected from the general nonulation of Yuzhou, and many
17	randomly selected from the general population of Auzhou, and many
12	
14	confounding risk factors were adjusted for.
15	
16	<ul> <li>Owing to the cross-sectional design, we could not determine a causal</li> </ul>
17	
18	combined relationship between diabetes smoking and stroke
19	combined relationship between didbetes, smoking and stroke.
20	
21	<ul> <li>We were not able to control for some important and well-known risk</li> </ul>
22	
23	factors of diabetes—for example, heart rate and cardiac causes
24	
25	• We did not measure fresh fruit consumption, which is causally related to
26	
27	atvolvo A
20	stroke.
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
50	
J/	2

#### INTRODUCTION

Stroke is an ongoing global health problem. In 2015, there were 6 326.2 thousand deaths from stroke worldwide. It was also the second most common cause of premature mortality and secondary disability.<sup>1</sup> The 2015 Report on Chinese Stroke Prevention indicates stroke as the leading cause of death in China, with incidence increasing by 8.7% every year.<sup>2</sup> Stroke rates in China are higher than those in Western countries and other Asian countries.<sup>3,4</sup> Moreover, the number of stroke patients is likely to increase because of lifestyle, demographic changes, and inadequate control of major risk factors for stroke in China.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, it is important to identify and prevent these risk factors.

The major risk factors for stroke are hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia, smoking, physical inactivity, obesity, and a family history of stroke.<sup>5-8</sup> More than 90% of the global burden of stroke in 2013 was attributable to the combined effect of all modifiable risk factors.<sup>8</sup> Although these risk factors play a role in the development of stroke, the disease results from the interaction between genetic and environmental factors. The more risk factors a person has, the more likely they are to incur a stroke.<sup>7</sup>

People with comorbid diabetes and smoking might represent a subgroup with high risk of developing stroke. However, there are a few studies on the interaction of diabetes and smoking on stroke. Therefore, the primary aim of this cross-sectional study was to examine the interaction of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) and smoking on stroke in Chinese adults. A secondary aim was to assess the associations between DM2 and stroke, and between smoking and stroke.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **BMJ** Open

# Study design and recruitment criteria

This population-based, cross-sectional survey was conducted in Xuzhou City, Jiangsu Province, China, from February to June 2013. The sample was selected with two-stage probability proportional to size from all 11 regions in Xuzhou. In the first stage, five subdistricts/townships in urban/rural areas were selected from each region with probability proportional to size sampling. In the second stage, five communities/villages were selected from each subdistrict/township with probability proportional to size sampling. In the final stage, one person  $\geq 18$ years old and who had lived in his or her current residence for ≥5 years was selected from each household using a Kish selection table. Participants who met one of the following criteria were excluded: (1) previous diagnosis of neuropathy, psychosis, or unclear speech or (2) member of the floating population or temporary residents. A total of ≥13,500 people were selected, assuming an estimation incidence of stroke of 2.0%,<sup>9</sup> with 90% power,  $\alpha$  level of 0.05, and allowing for a dropout rate of 15%. Trained interviewers interviewed participants face-to-face on the day of the participants' regular medical appointments. All participants underwent 12-h overnight fasting and blood sampling to test basic fasting plasma glucose. After blood sampling, each received a health check and completed a structured questionnaire covering demographic information, medical history, medication history, and smoking, alcohol consumption, and exercise habits.

# Key measurements

Stroke was assessed using subjects' self-reported responses, and defined as an acute disturbance of focal areas in the brain lasting for  $\geq 24$  h and that was thought to be

caused by intracranial hemorrhage or ischemia.<sup>10</sup> The investigators examined the medical records of participants reporting a diagnosis of stroke to check that they satisfied this definition. The diagnosis was also confirmed by computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging scans. Detailed clinical information about stroke was based on the International Classification of Disease, 10th Revision, codes 160–164.

DM2 was defined as fasting blood glucose  $\geq$ 7.0 mmol/L, any use of antidiabetic medication, or self-reported history of DM2.<sup>11</sup> Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure  $\geq$ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq$ 90 mmHg, any use of antihypertensive medication, or self-reported history of hypertension.<sup>12</sup>

#### Covariates

Age, sex, current employment status, marital status, level of education, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and family history of diseases including DM2, hypertension, and stroke were assessed using a standardized questionnaire. Employment status was categorized as manual, non-manual, unemployed, or retired. Education was categorized as below high school, high school, or above high school. Lifestyle variables included cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity level. Cigarette smoking was defined as having smoked at least 100 cigarettes in one's lifetime. Information was obtained on the amount and type of alcohol consumed during the previous year, and alcohol drinking was defined as consumption of  $\geq$ 30 g of alcohol per week for  $\geq$ 1 year. Regular leisure-time physical activity was defined as participation in moderate or vigorous activity for  $\leq$ 30 min per day,  $\geq$ 3 days a week. Each participant's height (to the nearest 0.1 cm) and weight (to the nearest 0.1 kg) in light indoor clothing were
#### **BMJ** Open

measured. Body mass index (BMI; in kg/m<sup>2</sup>) was calculated; categorized as underweight (<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), normal weight (18.5–24.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and overweight/obese (>24.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>).<sup>13</sup> Dyslipidemia was defined as use of any lipid-lowering medication or self-reported history of the condition.

### **Statistical analysis**

Participants were divided into four groups in accordance with their smoking status and DM2: non-smokers with no DM2, smokers with no DM2, non-smokers with DM2, and smokers with DM2. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS for Windows, Version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The general characteristics of continuous variables were compared across the four subgroups using analysis of variance. The categorical variables were expressed as a percentage and the groups were compared using a chi-squared test. Logistic regression analysis was performed to estimate the probability of having a stroke and 95% confidence interval (CI) for each risk factor category stratified by DM2 and smoking, adjusting for age, sex, occupation, education, marital status, BMI, physical activity, drinking status, hypertension status, and family history of disease including DM2, hypertension, and stroke.

Biological interactions should be based on an additive scale rather than a multiplication scale.<sup>14,15</sup> Therefore, we used three measures to estimate biological interactions between DM2 and smoking: relative excess risk owing to interaction (RERI), attributable proportion (AP) owing to interaction, and synergy index (S). RERI is the excess risk attributed to interaction relative to the risk without exposure to diabetes and smoking. AP refers to the attributable proportion of disease caused by interaction in subjects with exposure to both variables. S is the excess risk from

exposure to both variables when there is a biological interaction relative to the risk from exposure to both variables without interaction. In the absence of additive interactions, RERI and AP are equal to 0.<sup>14,16</sup> In the current study, RERI >0, AP >0, and S >0 indicate statistical significance. A p-value <0.05 (two-tailed) was considered statistically significant.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

•

The study protocol was approved by Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention. The procedures followed were in accordance with the standards of the ethics committee of Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention and with the Declaration of Helsinki (1975, revised 2000). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Page 9 of 28

**BMJ** Open

# RESULTS

# **General characteristics of participants**

Of the 41,658 individuals initially sampled, 1253 did not respond to the smoking question or complete the blood glucose tests, and 518 did not meet our study criteria. A final total of 39,887 adults (19,178 men and 20,709 women) with complete data were included in the analysis (response rate of 95.7%). Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study population. The proportion of participants with DM2 was 7.00% (2783/39,887), of stroke subjects with DM2 was 16.67%, and of non-stroke subjects with DM2 was only 6.75%; there was a significant statistical difference between the two groups ( $\chi^2$  = 135.92, *p* <0.001). The proportion of smoked was 32.24%, and the proportion of non-stroke participants who smoked was 19.98%; there was a significant statistical difference in smoking between stroke and non-stroke patients ( $\chi^2$  = 83.49, *p* <0.001).

### Association of diabetes and smoking with stroke

The 5.50% incidence of stroke in subjects with DM2 was higher than the 2.06% incidence in subjects with no DM2 ( $\chi^2 = 139.11$ , p < 0.001). Individuals who smoked had a higher stroke incidence compared with non-smokers (3.36% vs. 1.96%;  $\chi^2 = 83.49$ , p < 0.001; see Table 2). Individuals with DM2 had a significantly increased risk of stroke compared with those with no DM2 (OR: 2.65, 95% CI: 1.70–4.41, p < 0.001) after adjusting for confounders (see Table 3). The risk of ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke were all increased by DM2 after adjusting for confounders, the OR's were 2.71( 95% CI: 1.72–4.49) and 1.82(95% CI: 1.34–3.35), respectively. Smokers had a

significantly increased risk of stroke compared with non-smokers (OR: 1.83, 95% CI: 1.59–2.14, p < 0.001) after adjusting for confounders (see Table 3). The risk of ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke were all increased by smoking after adjusting for confounders, the OR's were 1.32(95% CI: 1.12–2.53) and 1.95(95% CI: 1.40–3.41), respectively.

# Interaction between diabetes and smoking with relation to stroke

Individuals who only had DM2 or only smoked had a significantly increased risk of stroke compared with those who did not have DM2 and did not smoke (OR: 2.00, 95% CI: 1.56–2.56; OR: 1.65, 95% CI: 1.36–2.00; respectively; all p <0.001) after adjusting for confounders. Table 3 shows the results from the multiple logistic regression models. The incidence of stroke was greatest in those who had DM2 and smoked (OR: 3.45, 95% CI: 2.30–5.16, p <0.001), after adjusting for confounders. **Sensitivity analysis** 

There was a strong additive interaction between DM2 and smoking (RERI: 1.80, 95% CI: 1.24–2.14; AP: 0.52, 95% CI: 0.37–0.73; and S: 1.50, 95% CI: 1.18–1.84, respectively.); 52% of occurring stroke was attributed to the interaction between DM2 and smoking (Table 4).

#### **BMJ** Open

#### DISCUSSION

There were two main findings in this study. First, there was an interaction of DM2 and smoking with relation to the incidence of stroke. Second, DM2 and smoking were found to be significantly associated with increased risk of stroke in Chinese adults, independent of potential confounders such as age, sex, occupation, education, marital status, BMI, physical activity, drinking status, hypertension status, and family history of diseases including diabetes, hypertension, or stroke.

The proportion of participants with DM2 in this study was 19.67%, which is lower than that reported in the China National Stroke Registry Study (27.0%),<sup>17</sup> lower than that reported in a comparison of risk factors for ischemic stroke in Chinese versus Caucasian individuals (25.0%),<sup>18</sup> and higher than that reported in a study of the Chinese National Health Insurance program (3.8%)<sup>19</sup> and a review of stroke in China (4–15%).<sup>20</sup> Our results are also inconsistent with figures for diabetes in stroke patients reported for other countries.<sup>21,22</sup> This discrepancy may be because of differences in study design, sampling size, region, diabetes diagnosis, and the clinical features of stroke, but could also be a result of ethnic group differences, as many studies have shown that different ethnic groups have different stroke incidence rates.<sup>23</sup>

Numerous epidemiologic studies, including cross-sectional studies and prospective cohort studies, have demonstrated associations between diabetes and stroke.<sup>22,24-27</sup> A population-based study of 173,979 discharged patients admitted with hemorrhagic stroke was conducted in Spain from 2003 to 2012. The authors reported a positive association between diabetes and stroke (incidence rate ratio: 1.38, 95% CI: 1.35–1.40 for men; incidence rate ratio: 1.31, 95% CI: 1.29–1.34 for women).<sup>22</sup>

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

#### **BMJ** Open

Folsom et al. reported that the relative risk (RR) of ischemic stroke was 2.22 (95% CI: 1.5–3.2) for individuals with diabetes after adjustment for other stroke risk factors in a 6–8-year follow-up.<sup>24</sup> Iso et al.<sup>25</sup> reported that the association between non-embolic ischemic stroke and diabetes was particularly strong among non-hypertensive subjects with higher subscapular skinfold thickness values: the multivariate RR was 4.9 (95% CI: 2.5–9.5) in a 17-year prospective cohort study of 10,582 Japanese individuals (4287 men and 6295 women) aged 40–69 years. A systematic review and meta-analysis of 64 cohort studies with 775,385 individuals showed that diabetes is consistently associated with increased risk of stroke; the pooled maximum-adjusted RR of stroke associated with diabetes was 2.28 (95% CI: 1.93–2.69) for women and 1.83 (1.60–2.08) for men.<sup>26</sup> Liao et al. have also reported that diabetic patients have an increased risk of stroke (adjusted hazard ratio: 1.75, 95% CI: 1.64–1.86) compared with those without diabetes; associations between diabetes and stroke risk were significant for both sexes and all age groups.<sup>27</sup> Our findings also demonstrate an association between diabetes and stroke.

Robson et al.<sup>28</sup> confirmed that poor blood sugar control increases the risk of stroke. One prospective cohort study of 467,508 men and women aged 30–79 years with no history of diabetes or stroke showed that each 1-mmol/L (18-mg/dL) higher than usual increase of random plasma glucose level above 5.9 mmol/L (106 mg/dL) was associated with ischemic stroke (adjusted hazard ratio: 1.08, 95% CI: 1.07–1.09).<sup>29</sup> Moreover, a study of 4669 patients who had had a minor stroke revealed that stroke patients with diabetes experienced stroke recurrence and disability during a 3-month follow-up.<sup>30</sup> Therefore, effective glycemic control not only reduces the incidence of stroke but also can reduce stroke recurrence and

#### **BMJ** Open

associated disability.

Stroke patients tend to contain a higher proportion of smokers than non-stroke patients. Wang et al. reported that 48% of stroke patients smoked.<sup>31</sup> Tsai et al.<sup>18</sup> reported a figure of 38% and we found that 32.24% of stroke patients smoked. Although these proportions differ, they are all quite high. This discrepancy may reflect a bias in the reporting of smoking status among study participants.

Many studies have shown that smoking is a strong risk factor for development of stroke.<sup>32-34</sup> The British Regional Heart Study, which included 7735 men aged 40–59 years, showed that after full adjustment for other risk factors, current smokers had a nearly fourfold RR of stroke compared with never-smokers (RR: 3.7, 95% CI: 2.0–6.9). Ex-cigarette smokers showed lower risk than current smokers but showed excess risk compared with never-smokers (RR: 1.7, 95% CI: 0.9–3.3, p = 0.11).<sup>33</sup> During a mean follow-up of 8.5 years, the risk for all strokes significantly increased (RR: 0.9–3.3, 95% CI: 1.4–24) and increased for ischemic strokes (RR: 4.8, 95% CI: 1.2–20) among cigarette-smoking women with a cigarette-smoking spouse compared with those with a non-smoking spouse after adjusting for other cardiovascular risk factors.<sup>34</sup> However, a systematic review and meta-analysis of 81 cohorts in Asia, including 3,980,359 individuals and 42,401 strokes, showed smoking does not contribute to the risk of stroke.<sup>35</sup> The proportion of Chinese stroke patients who smoke is higher than that of Caucasians.<sup>18</sup> One systematic review and meta-analysis of 15 cohort studies and 178 case-control studies found smoking was an independent risk factor for stroke (pooled RRs: 1.27, 95% CI: 1.21–1.35) in the Chinese population.<sup>7</sup> Individuals who smoke more are more likely to have strokes.<sup>36,37</sup> A meta-analysis that included 16,886 men and 18,539 women without known diabetes revealed

hemoglobin A1c was 0.10% (95% CI: 0.08–0.12, 1.1 mmol/mol [0.9–1.3]) higher in current smokers and 0.03% (95% CI: 0.01–0.05, 0.3 mmol/mol [0.1–0.5]) higher in ex-smokers than in never-smokers.<sup>38</sup> Therefore, our findings support previous evidence smoking is associated with stroke in Chinese populations.<sup>4</sup> However, the present study only wanted to observe the interaction of smoking and diabetes on stroke, the cigarette smokers were not categorized as current, former and never smokers. Therefore, when compared our results with others should be carefully.

Papademetriou and colleagues reported that comparison with nonsmoking patients with no diabetes mellitus or hypertension, patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension and smoking had a 3-fold increase in the prevalence of peripheral vascular disease and a 3.5-fold increase in cerebrovascular disease<sup>[39]</sup>. This evidence is strengthened by our results.

The pathophysiological mechanisms of hyperglycemia induce oxidative stress; promote formation of advanced glycosylation end products;<sup>40,41</sup>increase blood–brain barrier permeability and inflammatory responses;<sup>42</sup> lead to accumulation of reactive oxygen species/reactive nitrogen, inflammation, and mitochondrial dysfunction;<sup>43</sup> lead to cellular dysfunctions; damage vascular tissue; inhibit endogenous vascular protective factors; alter vascular homeostasis;<sup>44</sup> raise levels of reactive oxygen species and advanced glycation end products; decrease levels of mitochondrial superoxide dismutase;<sup>41</sup> and correlate with endothelial cell dysfunction and nitric oxide production.<sup>45</sup> All these actions contribute to accelerating the atherosclerotic process. Therefore, subjects with diabetes are more prone to develop stroke.

Cigarette smoking is associated with increased reactive oxygen species, oxidative stress, blood-brain barrier permeability, sympathetic activation and nitric oxide

#### **BMJ** Open

production, reduced cerebral blood flow and serum superoxide dismutase levels, attenuation of the vasodilation of cerebral arterioles, and induction of atherosclerosis and thrombosis.<sup>46-49</sup> Moreover, cigarette smoke elevates serum levels of advanced glycation end products and reduces soluble receptors for advanced glycation end products, resulting in the development of atherosclerosis and related stroke.<sup>50-52</sup> Smoking is therefore correlated with increased risk of stroke.

Collectively, diabetes and smoking induce oxidative stress and nitric oxide production; increase reactive oxygen species, blood–brain barrier permeability, and the level of advanced glycation end products; and reduce cerebral blood flow and serum superoxide dismutase. Therefore, diabetic patients who smoke have a greater risk of stroke.

The strengths of the current study are that we used a community-based multistage sampling design, large sample size, and randomly selected participants. However, the study has several limitations. First, because of the cross-sectional design, we could not determine a causal relationship between DM2, smoking, and stroke. Second, we were unable to control for some important and well-known risk factors of stroke, such as heart rate<sup>53</sup> and cardiac causes.<sup>6</sup> Third, we did not measure fresh fruit consumption, <sup>54</sup> which is causally related to stroke. Fourth, the number of cigarettes smoking was recalled by participants, therefore, the risk of misclassification and recall bias with the definition of smoking could not be avoid.

# Conclusion

The results of this cross-sectional study indicate subjects with diabetes who smoke are 3.5 times more likely to develop stroke than non-diabetics who do not smoke. Diabetes and smoking had a combined positive influence on stroke. Our

results have important public health implications. Among Chinese adults, the current rate of smoking is as high as 28.3% <sup>55</sup> and DM2 prevalence is 11.6%.<sup>56</sup> Therefore, it is important for stroke prevention to reduce smoking and improve glycemic control in diabetic patients in China.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# Acknowledgments

We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration.

# Funding

This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu Province Health Department in 2015 (Y2015010) and the Science and Technology projects of Xuzhou City in 2015 (KC15SM046). The researchers were independent from the funders. The study funders had no influence on the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation of data, writing of the report, or decision to submit the article for publication.

#### Duality of interest

The authors declare there is no duality of interest associated with this manuscript. Authors' contributions

HL wrote/edited the manuscript and created tables. ZD, PZ, XS, TL, CZ, XZ, and PL contributed to the discussion and reviewed/edited the manuscript. XZ conceptualized the study. PL is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data

BMJ Open

1	
2	
3	and accuracy of the data analysis. All authors read and approved the final
4	
5	manuscript.
6	
7	Availability of data and materials
8	Availability of uata and materials
9	
10	All data relevant to the given manuscript have been stored in a separate file that can
11	
12	be made freely available to external investigators upon request.
13	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
3/	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
46	
40	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	47
58	1/
59	
60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

# References

- GBD 2015 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015.Lancet. 2016;388(10053):1459-1544.
- Stroke Control Project Committee of National Health and Family Planning Commission of the People's Republic of China. Report on the Chinese Stroke Prevention (2015) 1st ed. Beijing: China Pecking Union Medical College Press, 2015.
- 3.Yang G, Wang Y, Zeng Y, Gao GF, Liang X, Zhou M, et al. Rapid health transition in China, 1990-2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010.Lancet. 2013;381(9882):1987-2015.
- Hata J, Kiyohara Y. Epidemiology of Stroke and Coronary Artery Disease in Asia. Circ J. 2013; 77(8):1923-32.
- Mi T, Sun S, Du Y, Guo S, Cong L, Cao M, et al. Differences in the distribution of risk factors for stroke among the high-risk population in urban and rural areas of Eastern China. Brain Behav. 2016;6(5):e00461.
- O'Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, Chin SL, Rao-Melacini P, et al. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. Lancet. 2010;376(9735):112-23.
- Wang J, Wen X, Li W, Li X, Wang Y, Lu W. Risk Factors for Stroke in the Chinese Population: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2016; pii: S1052-3057(16)30599-7.
- Feigin VL, Roth GA, Naghavi M, Parmar P, Krishnamurthi R, Chugh S, et al. Global burden of stroke and risk factors in 188 countries, during 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet Neurol. 2016;15(9):913-24.
- Zhang P, Jiao S, Zhou Y, Li G, Shi Y, Li H, et al. Studies on prevalence and control of several common chronic diseases among Beijing adults in 2005. Chin J Epidemiol, 2007;28(7):625-630.
- Sacco RL, Kasner SE, Broderick JP, Caplan LR, Connors JJ, Culebras A, et al. An updated definition of stroke for the 21st century: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association.

Stroke 2013; 44:2064-89.

- 11.Chinese Diabetes Society. Chinese guidelines for the prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (2010 Edition). Chinese Journal of Diabetes, 2012;20(1): 1-36.
- 12. China hypertension prevention guidelines revision committee. Guidelines for prevention and treatment of hypertension in China[2010 Edition]. Chinese Journal of hypertension,2011;1919(8):701-743.
- 13. Zhou BF. Predictive values of body mass index and waist circumference for risk factors of certain related diseases in Chinese adults--study on optimal cut-off points of body mass index and waist circumference in Chinese adults. Biomed Environ Sci 2002;15, 83-96.
- Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S . Confidence interval estimation of interaction. Epidemiology 1992; 3(5):452-456.
- 15.Knol MJ, van der Tweel I, Grobbee DE, Numans ME, Geerlings MI. Estimating interaction on an additive scale between continuous determinants in a logistic regression model. Int J Epidemiol. 2007;36(5):1111-8.
- Knol MJ, VanderWeele TJ, Groenwold RH, Klungel OH, Rovers MM, Grobbee DE. Estimating measures of interaction on an additive scale for preventive exposures. Eur J Epidemiol 2011; 26(6):433–438.
- 17. Pan Y, Wang Y, Li H, Gaisano HY, Wang Y, He Y. Association of Diabetes and Prognosis of Minor Stroke and Its Subtypes: A Prospective Observational Study. PLoS One. 20162;11(4):e0153178.
  - Tsai CF, Anderson N, Thomas B, Sudlow CL. Risk factors for ischemic stroke and its subtypes in Chinese vs. Caucasians: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Stroke. 2015;10(4):485-93.
- Guo Y, Wang H, Tao T, Tian Y, Wang Y, Chen Y, et al. Determinants and Time Trends for Ischaemic and Haemorrhagic Stroke in a Large Chinese Population. PLoS ONE 2016; 11(9):e0163171.
- Shi FL, Hart RG, Sherman DG, Tegeler CH. Stroke in the People's Republic of China. Stroke. 1989;20(11):1581-5.
- Chang T, Gajasinghe S, Arambepola C. Prevalence of Stroke and Its Risk Factors in Urban Sri Lanka Population-Based Study. Stroke. 2015;46(10):2965-8.
- 22. Muñoz-Rivas N, Méndez-Bailón M, Hernández-Barrera V, de Miguel-Yanes JM,

Jimenez-Garcia R, Esteban-Hernández J, et al.Type 2 Diabetes and Hemorrhagic Stroke: A Population-Based Study in Spain from 2003 to 2012. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2016;25(6):1431-43.

- 23. Tsai CF, Thomas B, Sudlow CL. Epidemiology of stroke and its subtypes in Chinese vs white populations. Neurology. 2013;81(3):264-72.
- 24. Folsom AR, Rasmussen ML, Chambless LE, Howard G, Cooper LS, Schmidt MI, et al. Prospective associations of fasting insulin, body fat distribution, and diabetes with risk of ischemic stroke. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study Investigators. Diabetes Care. 1999;22(7):1077-83
- 25. Iso H, Imano H, Kitamura A, Sato S, Naito Y, Tanigawa T, et al. Type 2 diabetes and risk of non-embolic ischaemic stroke in Japanese men and women. Diabetologia. 2004;47(12):2137-44.
- 26. Peters SA, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Diabetes as a risk factor for stroke in women compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 64 cohorts, including 775 385 individuals and 12 539 strokes. Lancet. 2014;383(9933):1973-80.
- 27. Liao CC, Shih CC, Yeh CC, Chang YC, Hu CJ, Lin JG, et al. Impact of Diabetes on Stroke Risk and Outcomes: Two Nationwide Retrospective Cohort Studies. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94(52):e2282.
- Robson R, Lacey AS, Luzio SD, Van Woerden H, Heaven ML, Wani M, et al. HbA1c measurement and relationship to incident stroke. Diab Med. 2016;33:459–62.
- 29. Bragg F, Li L, Bennett D, Guo Y, Lewington S, Bian Z, et al. Association of Random Plasma Glucose Levels With the Risk for Cardiovascular Disease Among Chinese Adults Without Known Diabetes. JAMA Cardiol. 2016;1(7):813-823.
- 30. Wu L, Wang A, Wang X, Zhao X, Wang C, Liu L, et al. Factors for short-term outcomes in patients with a minor stroke: results from China National Stroke Registry. BMC Neurol. 2015;15:253.
- 31. Wang W, Jiang B, Sun H, Ru X, Sun D, Wang L, et al. Prevalence, Incidence and Mortality of Stroke in China: Results from a Nationwide Population-Based Survey of 480,687 Adults. Circulation. 2017;135(8):759-771.
- 32 Wolf PA, D'Agostino RB, Kannel WB, Bonita R, Belanger AJ. Cigarette smoking as risk factor for stroke the framingham study. JAMA. 1988;259(7):1025-9.
- 33. Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG, Whincup PH, Walker M. Smoking cessation and  $^{20}$

#### **BMJ** Open

2	
3	the risk of stroke in middle-aged men. J AMA. 1995;274(2):155-60.
4 5	34. Qureshi AI, Suri MF, Kirmani JF, Divani AA. Cigarette smoking among spouses:
6	another risk factor for stroke in women. Stroke, 2005;36(9):e74-6.
/ 8	35.Peters SA, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Smoking as a Risk Factor for Stroke in
9	Women Compared With Men A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of 81
10 11	Cohorts Including 3 980 359 Individuals and 42 401 Strokes Stroke
12	2012.44(10).2021.9
13	2013;44(10):2821-8.
14 15	36. Shah RS, Cole JW. Smoking and stroke: the more you smoke the more you stroke.
16	Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther. 2010;8:917–932. doi: 10.1586/erc.10.56.
17 18	37. Chang S, Kim H, Kim V, Lee K, Jeong H, Lee JH, et al. Association Between
19	Smoking and Physician-Diagnosed Stroke and Myocardial Infarction in Male
20 21	Adults in Korea. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2016;13(2):158.
22	38. Soulimane S, Simon D, Herman WH, Lange C, Lee CM, Colagiuri S, et al.
23 24	HbA1c, fasting and 2 h plasma glucose in current, ex- and never-smokers: a
25	meta-analysis Diabetologia 2014.57(1):30-9
26 27	20 Denedemetricu V. Nersuer D. Dubine U. Celline D. Debine S. Influence of rick factors
28	39.Papademetriou V, Narayan P, Rubins H, Collins D, Robins S.Innuence of risk factors
29	on peripheral and cerebrovascular disease in men with coronary artery disease,
30 31	low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels, and desirable low-density
32	lipoprotein cholesterol levels. HIT Investigators. Department of Veterans Affairs
33 34	HDL Intervention Trial.Am Heart J. 1998;136(4 Pt 1):734-40.
35 36	40. Aronson D, Rayfield EJ. How hyperglycemia promotes atherosclerosis: molecular
37	mechanisms. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2002:1:1.
38 39	11 Rehni AK, Nautival N, Perez Pinzon MA, Dave KR, Hyperglycemia /
40	41. Keinin AK, Nautiyai N, Ferez-1 nizon WA, Dave KK. Hypergrycenna /
41	hypoglycemia-induced mitochondrial dysfunction and cerebral ischemic damage in
42 43	diabetics. Metab Brain Dis. 2015;30(2):437-47.
44	42. Shukla V, Shakya AK, Perez-Pinzon MA, Dave KR. Cerebral ischemic damage in
45 46	diabetes: an inflammatory perspective. J Neuroinflammation. 2017;14(1):21.
47	43. Zhang Z. Yan J. Shi H. Hyperglycemia as a Risk Factor of Ischemic Stroke, J
48 40	Drug Metab Toxicol $2013.4(4)$ pii: 153
49 50	44 Kitada M. Zhang Z. Ming A. King GL. Molecular mechanisms of disbetic
51 52	vescular complications. J Disbates Investig. 2010:1(2):77-80
53	vascular complications. J Diabetes Investig. 2010,1(3).77-89.
54	45. Kemeny SF, Figueroa DS, Clyne AM. Hypo- and Hyperglycemia Impair
55 56	Endothelial Cell Actin Alignment and Nitric Oxide Synthase Activation in
57	21
58 59	
60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Response to Shear Stress. PLoS ONE, 2013;8(6): e66176.

- 46. Iida M, Iida H, Dohi S, Takenaka M, Fujiwara H. Mechanisms underlying cerebrovascular effects of cigarette smoking in rats in vivo. Stroke, 1998;29(8):1656-65.
- 47. Barua RS, Ambrose JA, Srivastava S, DeVoe MC, Eales-Reynolds LJ. Reactive oxygen species are involved in smoking-induced dysfunction of nitric oxide biosynthesis and upregulation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase: an in vitro demonstration in human coronary artery endothelial cells. Circulation 2003;107(18):2342–2347.
- 48. Benowitz NL. Cigarette smoking and cardiovascular disease: pathophysiology and implications for treatment. Prog Cardiovasc Dis., 2003;46(1):91–111.
- 49. Chen S, Wu P, Zhou L, Shen Y, Li Y, Song H. Relationship between increase of serum homocysteine caused by smoking and oxidative damage in elderly patients with cardiovascular disease. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2015;8(3):4446-54.
- Prasad K, Dhar I, Caspar-Bell G. Role of Advanced Glycation End Products and Its Receptors in the Pathogenesis of Cigarette Smoke-Induced Cardiovascular Disease. Int J Angiol. 2015;24(2):75-80.
- Ottum MS, Mistry AM. Advanced glycation end products: modifiable environmental factors profoundly mediate insulin resistance. J Clin Biochem Nutr. 2015;57(1):1-12.
- 52. Biswas SK, Mudi SR, Mollah FH, Bierhaus A, Arslan MI. Serum soluble receptor for advanced glycation end products (sRAGE) is independently associated with cigarette smoking in non-diabetic healthy subjects. Vasc Dis Res. 2013; 10(4):380-2.
- 53. Zhong C, Zhong X, Xu T, Peng H, Li H, Zhang M, et al. Combined effects of hypertension and heart rate on the risk of stroke and coronary heart disease: a population-based prospective cohort study among Inner Mongolians in China. Hypertens Res. 2015;38(12):883-8.
- 54. Du H, Li L, Bennett D, Guo Y, Key TJ, Bian Z, et al. Fresh Fruit Consumption and Major Cardiovascular Disease in China. N Engl J Med. 2016; 374(14): 1332–1343.
- 55. Ding L, Xu Y, Wang LM, Jiang Y, Zhang M, Li YC, et al. Smoking and Its Relation to Metabolic Status among Chinese Adults: Analysis of a Nationwide Survey. Biomed Environ Sci. 2016;29(9):619-627.

1	
2	
3 56 <i>X</i>	au Y, Wang L, He J, Bi Y, Li M, Wang T, et mal. Prevalence and Control of
4 Dia	abetes in Chinese Adults. JAMA. 2013;310(9):948-59.
5	
7	
, 8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
27	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43 44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54 55	
56	
57	
58	23
59	
60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Reported variable	Non-smoking /non-DM2	Smoking /non-DM2	Non-smoking /DM2	Smoking /DM2	Р
Total	29568	7536	2237	546	
Gender(man)	11087(37.50%)	6890(91.43%)	726(32.45%)	475(87.00%)	<0.0
Age(years)	46.93±17.13	49.64±15.97	61.52±12.71	59.03±11.95	<0.0
Marred (living with partners)	24290(82.15%)	6622(87.87%)	1844(82.43%)	506(92.67%)	<0.0
Below high school	21906(74.09%)	5757(76.39%)	1832(81.90%)	409(74.91%)	
high school	4684(15.84%)	1235(16.39%)	263(11.76%)	94(17.22%)	<0.0
Above high school	2978(10.07%)	544(7.22%)	142(6.34%)	43(7.87%)	
Manual	20702(70.01%)	5538(73.49%)	1382(61.78%)	326(59.71%)	
Non-manual	3664(12.39%)	909(12.06%)	145(6.48%)	59(10.80%)	
Retired	2277(7.70%)	589(7.82%)	563(25.17%)	124(22.71%)	<0.0
Unemployed	2925(9.89%)	500(6.63%)	147(6.57%)	37(6.78%)	
alcohol use	1832(6.20%)	4061(53.89%)	156(6.97%)	284(52.01%)	<0.0
Regular exercise	5943(20.10%)	1519(20.16%)	580(25.93%)	172(31.50%)	
Family history of	2007(6.79%)	740(9.82%)	218(9.75%)	56(10.26%)	<0.0
Hypertension					
Family history of DM2	350(1.18%)	128(1.70%)	120(5.36%)	26(4.76%)	<0.0
Family history of stroke	574(1.94%)	209(2.77%)	32(1.43%)	13(2.38%)	
Hypertension	6339(21.44%)	2004(26.59%)	759(33.93%)	255(46.70%)	
BMI(≥24kg/m²)	12532(42.38%)	3536(46.92%)	1382(61.78%)	335(65.02%)	<0.0
Dyslipidemia	3602(12.18%)	1134(15.05%)	456(20.38%)	137(25.09%)	< 0.0

Table 1 General characteristics of diabetes and smoking in the study population (n

Table 2 Associations between	smoking, diabetes, and stroke
------------------------------	-------------------------------

Table 2 As	ssocia	tions between	smoking, diabetes	s, and stroke		
Variable	20	Stroko	Non stroko	Unadjusted	Adjusted OR	D
Variable	25	Sticke	NOT-SUDRE	OR(95%CI)	(95%CI)	F
	No	622(67.76%)	31183(80.02%)	1.91	1.83	
Smoking	Yes	296(32.24%)	7786(19.98%)	(1.63-2.31)	(1.59-2.14)	<0.01
D142	No	765(83.33%)	36339(93.25%)	2.76	2.65	-0.01
DIVI2	Yes	153(16.67%)	2630(6.75%)	(1.77-4.68)	(1.70-4.41)	<0.01

Adjusted for age, sex, education, marriage status, employment, family history of diabetes, family history of hypertension, family history of stroke, alcohol consumption, physical activity, hypertension, diabetes or smoking, body mass index, and lipids.

Table 3 Odds ratios (ORs) for the association between smoking and stroke by diabetes among participants

	01					
Smoking	Diabetes	No stroke	Stroke	Unadjusted OR(95%CI)	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	Р
	No	29056	512	1	1	
No	Yes	2127	110	2.93(1.73-3.15)	2.00 (1.56-2.56)	<0.01
Vac	No	7283	253	1.97(1.54-2.37)	1.65 (1.36-2.00)	<0.01
res	Yes	503	43	4.85(2.65-6.21)	3.45 (2.30-5.16)	<0.01

Adjusted for age, sex, education, marriage status, employment, family history of diabetes, family history of hypertension, family history of stroke, alcohol consumption, physical activity, hypertension, body mass index, and lipids.

Measures of biological interaction	Estimate (95% CI)
RERI	1.80(1.24-3.84)
AP	0.52(0.37-0.73)
S	1.50(1.18-1.84)

Reference group is no smoking with non-diabetes.

Adjusted for age, sex, education, marriage status, employment, family history of diabetes, family history of hypertension, family history of stroke, alcohol consumption, physical activity, hypertension, body mass index, and lipids.

**BMJ** Open

**STROBE Statement** Checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

2			Checknist of hems that should be included in reports of observational studies	
3 4	Section/Topic	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page No
5	Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
7_	The and abstract	I	(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	
8	Introduction			
9 10—	Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4
11_	Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
12	Methods			
13 <del>-</del> 14	Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	6
15 16	Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up         Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls         Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants       5         (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed       5	
25			<i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	
26 27 28	Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	6-7
29 30	Data sources/measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	6-7
31	Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6-7
33_	Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5
34	Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
35 36			(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	7-8
37			(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	
38			(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
39 40	Statistical methods	12	(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	
41			Case-control study-If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	
42			<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy 7-8	
43			(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
44 45 46 47			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	1

BMJ Open

1 2 3 4	Section/Topic	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page No
5	Results			
6 7 8 9 10	Participants	13*	<ul> <li>(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed</li> <li>(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage</li> <li>(c) Consider use of a flow diagram</li> </ul>	8
11 12 13 14 15	Descriptive data	14*	<ul> <li>(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders</li> <li>(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest</li> </ul>	8
16			(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	
17 18 19	Outcome data	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	8
20 21 22	Main results	16	<ul> <li>(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval).</li> <li>Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included</li> </ul>	9-10
23 24 25			(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized         (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
26	Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	11
27	Discussion			
20 29	Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	11
30 31	Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	16
32 33 34	Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	15-16
35	Generalisability	21	Discuss the general is ability (external validity) of the study results	16
36	Other Information			
38 39	Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	17
40	*Give information separately j	for cases	and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.	
41 42 43 44	<b>Note:</b> An Explanation and Ela best used in conjunction with t Epidemiology at http://www.e	boration this articl pidem.co	article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE ch le (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org om/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.	necklist is g/, and
45 46			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	2

BMJ Open

# **BMJ Open**

# Interaction of diabetes and smoking on stroke: A population-based cross-sectional survey in China

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-017706.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	08-Dec-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Lou, Heqing; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China Dong, Zongmei; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China; Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China Zhang, Pan; Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China, Department of Non-communicable Disease Control Shao, Xiaoping ; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China Li, Ting; Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China Li, Ting; Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China Zhao, Chunyan; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China Zhang, Xunbao; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China Lou, Peian; Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China, Department of Non-communicable Disease Control; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou, China
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Cardiovascular medicine
Keywords:	STROKE MEDICINE, DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, EPIDEMIOLOGY

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

# BMJ Open

	survey in China
Heqing Lou <sup>1</sup> , Zongmei	Dong <sup>1.2</sup> , Pan Zhang <sup>2</sup> , Xiaoping Shao, <sup>1</sup> Ting Li <sup>2</sup> , Chunyan Zh
Xunbao Zhang <sup>*1</sup> , Peian	Lou <sup>*1.2</sup>
1. The School of Public I	Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China
2. Department of Non-c	communicable Disease Control, Xuzhou Center for Disease
Control and Prevention,	, Xuzhou, China
*Corresponding author	's:
Xunbao Zhang, 209 Ton	gshan Road, Xuzhou City, Jiangsu Province, China
Phone: +86 516-832620	018; Fax: +86 516-82702478; E-mail: 437335090@qq.com
Peian Lou 142 West Fr	huan Road, Xuzhou City, Jiangsu Province, China

Phone: +86 516-85956785; Fax: +86 516-89596797; E-mail: lpa82835415@126.com

Manuscript category: Research article

Keywords: type 2 diabetes mellitus; smoking; interaction; stroke

#### Abstract

**Objectives:** Diabetes and smoking are known independent risk factors for stroke, but their interaction with relation to stroke is less clear. We aimed to explore the interaction and its influence on stroke in Chinese adults.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

**Setting:** Community-based investigation in Xuzhou, China.

**Participants:** A total of 39,887 Chinese adults who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were included.

**Methods:** Participants were selected using a multi-stage stratified cluster method, and completed self-reported questionnaires on stroke and smoking. Type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) was assessed by fasting blood glucose or use of antidiabetic medication. Interaction, relative excess risk owing to interaction (RERI), the attributable proportion (AP), and the synergy index (S) were evaluated using a logistic regression model.

**Results:** After adjustment for age, gender, marital status, educational level, occupation, physical activity, body mass index, hypertension, stroke family history, alcohol use, and blood lipids, the relationships between DM2 and stroke, and between smoking and stroke, were still significant: odds ratios (OR) were 2.75 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.03–3.73) and 1.70 (95% CI: 1.38–2.10), respectively. In subjects with DM2 who smoked, the RERI, AP, and S values (and 95% CIs) were 1.80 (1.24–3.83), 0.52 (0.37–0.73), and 1.50 (1.18–1.84), respectively.

**Conclusions:** The results suggest there are additive interactions between DM2 and smoking and that these affect stroke in Chinese adults.

BMJ Open

3	
4	
5	
6	Article summary: Strengths and limitations of this study
/	
8	• The strengths of this study were that participants of a large sample were
9	
10	randomly selected from the general nonulation of Yuzhou, and many
17	randomly selected from the general population of Auzhou, and many
12	
14	confounding risk factors were adjusted for.
15	
16	<ul> <li>Owing to the cross-sectional design, we could not determine a causal</li> </ul>
17	
18	combined relationship between diabetes smoking and stroke
19	combined relationship between didbetes, smoking and stroke.
20	
21	<ul> <li>We were not able to control for some important and well-known risk</li> </ul>
22	
23	factors of diabetes—for example, heart rate and cardiac causes
24	
25	• We did not measure fresh fruit consumption, which is causally related to
26	
27	atvolvo A
20	stroke.
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
50	
J/	2

#### INTRODUCTION

Stroke is an ongoing global health problem. In 2015, there were 6 326.2 thousand deaths from stroke worldwide. It was also the second most common cause of premature mortality and secondary disability.<sup>1</sup> The 2015 Report on Chinese Stroke Prevention indicates stroke as the leading cause of death in China, with incidence increasing by 8.7% every year.<sup>2</sup> Stroke rates in China are higher than those in Western countries and other Asian countries.<sup>3,4</sup> Moreover, the number of stroke patients is likely to increase because of lifestyle, demographic changes, and inadequate control of major risk factors for stroke in China.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, it is important to identify and prevent these risk factors.

The major risk factors for stroke are hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia, smoking, physical inactivity, obesity, and a family history of stroke.<sup>5-8</sup> More than 90% of the global burden of stroke in 2013 was attributable to the combined effect of all modifiable risk factors.<sup>8</sup> Although these risk factors play a role in the development of stroke, the disease results from the interaction between genetic and environmental factors. The more risk factors a person has, the more likely they are to incur a stroke.<sup>7</sup>

People with comorbid diabetes and smoking might represent a subgroup with high risk of developing stroke. However, there are a few studies on the interaction of diabetes and smoking on stroke. Therefore, the primary aim of this cross-sectional study was to examine the interaction of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) and smoking on stroke in Chinese adults. A secondary aim was to assess the associations between DM2 and stroke, and between smoking and stroke.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **BMJ** Open

# Study design and recruitment criteria

This population-based, cross-sectional survey was conducted in Xuzhou City, Jiangsu Province, China, from February to June 2013. The sample was selected with two-stage probability proportional to size from all 11 regions in Xuzhou. In the first stage, five subdistricts/townships in urban/rural areas were selected according to the population of each subdistrict/township from each region with probability proportional to size sampling. In the second stage, five communities/villages were selected according to the population of each community/village from each subdistrict/township with probability proportional to size sampling. In the final stage, one person  $\geq 18$  years old and who had lived in his or her current residence for ≥5 years was selected from each household using a Kish selection table. Participants who met one of the following criteria were excluded: (1) previous diagnosis of neuropathy, psychosis, or unclear speech or (2) member of the floating population or temporary residents. A total of ≥13,500 people were selected, assuming an estimation incidence of stroke of 2.0%,<sup>9</sup> with 90% power,  $\alpha$  level of 0.05, and allowing for a dropout rate of 15%. Trained interviewers interviewed participants face-to-face on the day of the participants' regular medical appointments. All participants underwent 12-h overnight fasting and blood sampling to test basic fasting plasma glucose. After blood sampling, each received a health check and completed a structured questionnaire covering demographic information, medical history, medication history, and smoking, alcohol consumption, and exercise habits.

#### Key measurements

Stroke was assessed using subjects' self-reported responses, and defined as an acute

### **BMJ** Open

disturbance of focal areas in the brain lasting for  $\geq$ 24 h and that was thought to be caused by intracranial hemorrhage or ischemia.<sup>10</sup> The investigators examined the medical records of participants reporting a diagnosis of stroke to check that they satisfied this definition. The diagnosis was also confirmed by computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging scans. Detailed clinical information about stroke was based on the International Classification of Disease, 10th Revision, codes 160–164.

DM2 was defined as fasting blood glucose  $\geq$ 7.0 mmol/L, any use of antidiabetic medication, or self-reported history of DM2.<sup>11</sup> Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure  $\geq$ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq$ 90 mmHg, any use of antihypertensive medication, or self-reported history of hypertension.<sup>12</sup>

#### Covariates

Age, sex, current employment status, marital status, level of education, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and family history of diseases including DM2, hypertension, and stroke were assessed using a standardized questionnaire. Employment status was categorized as manual, non-manual, unemployed, or retired. Education was categorized as below high school, high school, or above high school. Lifestyle variables included cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity level. Cigarette smoking was defined as having smoked at least 100 cigarettes in one's lifetime. Information was obtained on the amount and type of alcohol consumed during the previous year, and alcohol drinking was defined as consumption of  $\geq$  30 g of alcohol per week for  $\geq$  1 year. Regular leisure-time physical activity was defined as participation in moderate or vigorous activity for  $\leq$  30 min per day,  $\geq$  3 days a week. Each participant's height (to

#### **BMJ** Open

the nearest 0.1 cm) and weight (to the nearest 0.1 kg) in light indoor clothing were measured. Body mass index (BMI; in kg/m<sup>2</sup>) was calculated; categorized as underweight (<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), normal weight (18.5–24.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and overweight/obese (>24.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>).<sup>13</sup> Dyslipidemia was defined as use of any lipid-lowering medication or self-reported history of the condition.

# Statistical analysis

Participants were divided into four groups in accordance with their smoking status and DM2: non-smokers with no DM2, smokers with no DM2, non-smokers with DM2, and smokers with DM2. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS for Windows, Version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The general characteristics of continuous variables were compared across the four subgroups using analysis of variance. The categorical variables were expressed as a percentage and the groups were compared using a chi-squared test. Logistic regression analysis was performed to estimate the probability of having a stroke and 95% confidence interval (CI) for each risk factor category stratified by DM2 and smoking, adjusting for age, sex, occupation, education, marital status, BMI, physical activity, drinking status, hypertension status, and family history of disease including DM2, hypertension, and stroke.

Biological interactions should be based on an additive scale rather than a multiplication scale.<sup>14,15</sup> Therefore, we used three measures to estimate biological interactions between DM2 and smoking: relative excess risk owing to interaction (RERI), attributable proportion (AP) owing to interaction, and synergy index (S). RERI is the excess risk attributed to interaction relative to the risk without exposure to diabetes and smoking. AP refers to the attributable proportion of disease caused by

# **BMJ** Open

interaction in subjects with exposure to both variables. S is the excess risk from exposure to both variables when there is a biological interaction relative to the risk from exposure to both variables without interaction. In the absence of additive interactions, RERI and AP are equal to 0.<sup>14,16</sup> In the current study, RERI >0, AP >0, and S >0 indicate statistical significance. A p-value <0.05 (two-tailed) was considered statistically significant.

# Ethics approval and consent to participate

.

The study protocol was approved by Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention. The procedures followed were in accordance with the standards of the ethics committee of Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention and with the Declaration of Helsinki (1975, revised 2000). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Page 9 of 28

**BMJ** Open

# RESULTS

# **General characteristics of participants**

Of the 41,658 individuals initially sampled, 1253 did not respond to the smoking question or complete the blood glucose tests, and 518 did not meet our study criteria. A final total of 39,887 adults (19,178 men and 20,709 women) with complete data were included in the analysis (response rate of 95.7%). Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study population. The proportion of participants with DM2 was 7.00% (2783/39,887), of stroke subjects with DM2 was 16.67%, and of non-stroke subjects with DM2 was only 6.75%; there was a significant statistical difference between the two groups ( $\chi^2$  = 135.92, *p* <0.001). The proportion of smoked was 32.24%, and the proportion of non-stroke participants who smoked was 19.98%; there was a significant statistical difference in smoking between stroke and non-stroke patients ( $\chi^2$  = 83.49, *p* <0.001).

# Association of diabetes and smoking with stroke

The 5.50% incidence of stroke in subjects with DM2 was higher than the 2.06% incidence in subjects with no DM2 ( $\chi^2 = 139.11$ , p < 0.001). Individuals who smoked had a higher stroke incidence compared with non-smokers (3.36% *vs.* 1.96%;  $\chi^2 = 83.49$ , p < 0.001; see Table 2). Individuals with DM2 had a significantly increased risk of stroke compared with those with no DM2 (OR: 2.65, 95% CI: 1.70–4.41, p < 0.001) after adjusting for confounders (see Table 3). The risk of ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke were all increased by DM2 after adjusting for confounders, the OR's were 2.71(95% CI: 1.72–4.49) and 1.82(95% CI: 1.34–3.35), respectively. Smokers had a

significantly increased risk of stroke compared with non-smokers (OR: 1.83, 95% CI: 1.59–2.14, p < 0.001) after adjusting for confounders (see Table 3). The risk of ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke were all increased by smoking after adjusting for confounders, the OR's were 1.32( 95% CI: 1.12–2.53) and 1.95(95% CI: 1.40–3.41), respectively.

# Interaction between diabetes and smoking with relation to stroke

Individuals who only had DM2 or only smoked had a significantly increased risk of stroke compared with those who did not have DM2 and did not smoke (OR: 2.00, 95% CI: 1.56–2.56; OR: 1.65, 95% CI: 1.36–2.00; respectively; all p <0.001) after adjusting for confounders. Table 3 shows the results from the multiple logistic regression models. The incidence of stroke was greatest in those who had DM2 and smoked (OR: 3.45, 95% CI: 2.30–5.16, p <0.001), after adjusting for confounders. **Sensitivity analysis** 

There was a strong additive interaction between DM2 and smoking (RERI: 1.80, 95% CI: 1.24–2.14; AP: 0.52, 95% CI: 0.37–0.73; and S: 1.50, 95% CI: 1.18–1.84, respectively.); 52% of occurring stroke was attributed to the interaction between DM2 and smoking (Table 4).

#### **BMJ** Open

#### DISCUSSION

There were two main findings in this study. First, there was an interaction of DM2 and smoking with relation to the incidence of stroke. Second, DM2 and smoking were found to be significantly associated with increased risk of stroke in Chinese adults, independent of potential confounders such as age, sex, occupation, education, marital status, BMI, physical activity, drinking status, hypertension status, and family history of diseases including diabetes, hypertension, or stroke.

The proportion of participants with DM2 in this study was 19.67%, which is lower than that reported in the China National Stroke Registry Study (27.0%),<sup>17</sup> lower than that reported in a comparison of risk factors for ischemic stroke in Chinese versus Caucasian individuals (25.0%),<sup>18</sup> and higher than that reported in a study of the Chinese National Health Insurance program (3.8%)<sup>19</sup> and a review of stroke in China (4–15%).<sup>20</sup> Our results are also inconsistent with figures for diabetes in stroke patients reported for other countries.<sup>21,22</sup> This discrepancy may be because of differences in study design, sampling size, region, diabetes diagnosis, and the clinical features of stroke, but could also be a result of ethnic group differences, as many studies have shown that different ethnic groups have different stroke incidence rates.<sup>23</sup>

Numerous epidemiologic studies, including cross-sectional studies and prospective cohort studies, have demonstrated associations between diabetes and stroke.<sup>22,24-27</sup> A population-based study of 173,979 discharged patients admitted with hemorrhagic stroke was conducted in Spain from 2003 to 2012. The authors reported a positive association between diabetes and stroke (incidence rate ratio: 1.38, 95% CI: 1.35–1.40 for men; incidence rate ratio: 1.31, 95% CI: 1.29–1.34 for women).<sup>22</sup>

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

#### **BMJ** Open

Folsom et al. reported that the relative risk (RR) of ischemic stroke was 2.22 (95% CI: 1.5–3.2) for individuals with diabetes after adjustment for other stroke risk factors in a 6–8-year follow-up.<sup>24</sup> Iso et al.<sup>25</sup> reported that the association between non-embolic ischemic stroke and diabetes was particularly strong among non-hypertensive subjects with higher subscapular skinfold thickness values: the multivariate RR was 4.9 (95% CI: 2.5–9.5) in a 17-year prospective cohort study of 10,582 Japanese individuals (4287 men and 6295 women) aged 40–69 years. A systematic review and meta-analysis of 64 cohort studies with 775,385 individuals showed that diabetes is consistently associated with increased risk of stroke; the pooled maximum-adjusted RR of stroke associated with diabetes was 2.28 (95% CI: 1.93–2.69) for women and 1.83 (1.60–2.08) for men.<sup>26</sup> Liao et al. have also reported that diabetic patients have an increased risk of stroke (adjusted hazard ratio: 1.75, 95% CI: 1.64–1.86) compared with those without diabetes; associations between diabetes and stroke risk were significant for both sexes and all age groups.<sup>27</sup> Our findings also demonstrate an association between diabetes and stroke.

Robson et al.<sup>28</sup> confirmed that poor blood sugar control increases the risk of stroke. One prospective cohort study of 467,508 men and women aged 30–79 years with no history of diabetes or stroke showed that each 1-mmol/L (18-mg/dL) higher than usual increase of random plasma glucose level above 5.9 mmol/L (106 mg/dL) was associated with ischemic stroke (adjusted hazard ratio: 1.08, 95% CI: 1.07–1.09).<sup>29</sup> Moreover, a study of 4669 patients who had had a minor stroke revealed that stroke patients with diabetes experienced stroke recurrence and disability during a 3-month follow-up.<sup>30</sup> Therefore, effective glycemic control not only reduces the incidence of stroke but also can reduce stroke recurrence and

#### **BMJ** Open

associated disability.

Stroke patients tend to contain a higher proportion of smokers than non-stroke patients. Wang et al. reported that 48% of stroke patients smoked.<sup>31</sup> Tsai et al.<sup>18</sup> reported a figure of 38% and we found that 32.24% of stroke patients smoked. Although these proportions differ, they are all quite high. This discrepancy may reflect a bias in the reporting of smoking status among study participants.

Many studies have shown that smoking is a strong risk factor for development of stroke.<sup>32-34</sup> The British Regional Heart Study, which included 7735 men aged 40–59 years, showed that after full adjustment for other risk factors, current smokers had a nearly fourfold RR of stroke compared with never-smokers (RR: 3.7, 95% CI: 2.0–6.9). Ex-cigarette smokers showed lower risk than current smokers but showed excess risk compared with never-smokers (RR: 1.7, 95% CI: 0.9–3.3, p = 0.11).<sup>33</sup> During a mean follow-up of 8.5 years, the risk for all strokes significantly increased (RR: 0.9–3.3, 95% CI: 1.4–24) and increased for ischemic strokes (RR: 4.8, 95% CI: 1.2–20) among cigarette-smoking women with a cigarette-smoking spouse compared with those with a non-smoking spouse after adjusting for other cardiovascular risk factors.<sup>34</sup> However, a systematic review and meta-analysis of 81 cohorts in Asia, including 3,980,359 individuals and 42,401 strokes, showed smoking does not contribute to the risk of stroke.<sup>35</sup> The proportion of Chinese stroke patients who smoke is higher than that of Caucasians.<sup>18</sup> One systematic review and meta-analysis of 15 cohort studies and 178 case-control studies found smoking was an independent risk factor for stroke (pooled RRs: 1.27, 95% CI: 1.21–1.35) in the Chinese population.<sup>7</sup> Individuals who smoke more are more likely to have strokes.<sup>36,37</sup> A meta-analysis that included 16,886 men and 18,539 women without known diabetes revealed
hemoglobin A1c was 0.10% (95% CI: 0.08–0.12, 1.1 mmol/mol [0.9–1.3]) higher in current smokers and 0.03% (95% CI: 0.01–0.05, 0.3 mmol/mol [0.1–0.5]) higher in ex-smokers than in never-smokers.<sup>38</sup> Therefore, our findings support previous evidence smoking is associated with stroke in Chinese populations.<sup>4</sup> However, the present study only wanted to observe the interaction of smoking and diabetes on stroke, the cigarette smokers were not categorized as current, former and never smokers. Therefore, when compared the association between smoking and stroke of our study with that of others should be carefully.

Papademetriou and colleagues reported that comparison with nonsmoking patients with no diabetes mellitus or hypertension, patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension and smoking had a 3-fold increase in the prevalence of peripheral vascular disease and a 3.5-fold increase in cerebrovascular disease<sup>[39]</sup>. This evidence is strengthened by our results.

The pathophysiological mechanisms of hyperglycemia induce oxidative stress; promote formation of advanced glycosylation end products;<sup>40,41</sup>increase blood–brain barrier permeability and inflammatory responses;<sup>42</sup> lead to accumulation of reactive oxygen species/reactive nitrogen, inflammation, and mitochondrial dysfunction;<sup>43</sup> lead to cellular dysfunctions; damage vascular tissue; inhibit endogenous vascular protective factors; alter vascular homeostasis;<sup>44</sup> raise levels of reactive oxygen species and advanced glycation end products; decrease levels of mitochondrial superoxide dismutase;<sup>41</sup> and correlate with endothelial cell dysfunction and nitric oxide production.<sup>45</sup> All these actions contribute to accelerating the atherosclerotic process. Therefore, subjects with diabetes are more prone to develop stroke.

Cigarette smoking is associated with increased reactive oxygen species, oxidative

#### **BMJ** Open

stress, blood–brain barrier permeability, sympathetic activation and nitric oxide production, reduced cerebral blood flow and serum superoxide dismutase levels, attenuation of the vasodilation of cerebral arterioles, and induction of atherosclerosis and thrombosis.<sup>46-49</sup> Moreover, cigarette smoke elevates serum levels of advanced glycation end products and reduces soluble receptors for advanced glycation end products, resulting in the development of atherosclerosis and related stroke.<sup>50-52</sup> Smoking is therefore correlated with increased risk of stroke.

Collectively, diabetes and smoking induce oxidative stress and nitric oxide production; increase reactive oxygen species, blood–brain barrier permeability, and the level of advanced glycation end products; and reduce cerebral blood flow and serum superoxide dismutase. Therefore, diabetic patients who smoke have a greater risk of stroke.

The strengths of the current study are that we used a community-based multistage sampling design, large sample size, and randomly selected participants. However, the study has several limitations. First, because of the cross-sectional design, we could not determine a causal relationship between DM2, smoking, and stroke. Second, we were unable to control for some important and well-known risk factors of stroke, such as heart rate<sup>53</sup> and cardiac causes.<sup>6</sup> Third, we did not measure fresh fruit consumption, <sup>54</sup> which is causally related to stroke. Fourth, the number of cigarettes smoking was recalled by participants, therefore, the risk of misclassification and recall bias with the definition of smoking could not be avoid.

#### Conclusion

The results of this cross-sectional study indicate subjects with diabetes who smoke are 3.5 times more likely to develop stroke than non-diabetics who do not

smoke. Diabetes and smoking had a combined positive influence on stroke. Our results have important public health implications. Among Chinese adults, the current rate of smoking is as high as 28.3% <sup>55</sup> and DM2 prevalence is 11.6%.<sup>56</sup> Therefore, it is important for stroke prevention to reduce smoking and improve glycemic control in diabetic patients in China.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration.

#### Funding

This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu Province Health Department in 2015 (Y2015010) and the Science and Technology projects of Xuzhou City in 2015 (KC15SM046). The researchers were independent from the funders. The study funders had no influence on the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation of data, writing of the report, or decision to submit the article for publication.

#### **Duality of interest**

The authors declare there is no duality of interest associated with this manuscript. Authors' contributions

HL wrote/edited the manuscript and created tables. ZD, PZ, XS, TL, CZ, XZ, and PL contributed to the discussion and reviewed/edited the manuscript. XZ conceptualized the study. PL is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full

1	
2	
3 A	access to an data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data
5	and accuracy of the data analysis. All authors road and approved the final
6	and accuracy of the data analysis. An authors read and approved the final
7	manuscript
8	manuscript.
9	Availability of data and materials
10	Availability of data and materials
12	All data relevant to the given manuscript have been stored in a separate file that car
13	
14	he made freely available to external investigators upon request
15	
16	
17	
18	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20 27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33 34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40 41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48 49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55 56	
57	
58	17
59	

#### References

- GBD 2015 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015.Lancet. 2016;388(10053):1459-1544.
- Stroke Control Project Committee of National Health and Family Planning Commission of the People's Republic of China. Report on the Chinese Stroke Prevention (2015) 1st ed. Beijing: China Pecking Union Medical College Press, 2015.
- 3.Yang G, Wang Y, Zeng Y, Gao GF, Liang X, Zhou M, et al. Rapid health transition in China, 1990-2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010.Lancet. 2013;381(9882):1987-2015.
- 4. Hata J, Kiyohara Y. Epidemiology of Stroke and Coronary Artery Disease in Asia. Circ J. 2013; 77(8):1923-32.
- Mi T, Sun S, Du Y, Guo S, Cong L, Cao M, et al. Differences in the distribution of risk factors for stroke among the high-risk population in urban and rural areas of Eastern China. Brain Behav. 2016;6(5):e00461.
- 6. O'Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, Chin SL, Rao-Melacini P, et al. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. Lancet. 2010;376(9735):112-23.
- Wang J, Wen X, Li W, Li X, Wang Y, Lu W. Risk Factors for Stroke in the Chinese Population: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2016; pii: S1052-3057(16)30599-7.
- Feigin VL, Roth GA, Naghavi M, Parmar P, Krishnamurthi R, Chugh S, et al. Global burden of stroke and risk factors in 188 countries, during 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet Neurol. 2016;15(9):913-24.
- Zhang P, Jiao S, Zhou Y, Li G, Shi Y, Li H, et al. Studies on prevalence and control of several common chronic diseases among Beijing adults in 2005. Chin J Epidemiol, 2007;28(7):625-630.
- 10. Sacco RL, Kasner SE, Broderick JP, Caplan LR, Connors JJ, Culebras A, et al. An updated definition of stroke for the 21st century: a statement for healthcare

### **BMJ** Open

pro	ofessionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association.
Str	roke 2013; 44:2064-89.
11.Ch	inese Diabetes Society. Chinese guidelines for the prevention and treatment of
tyj	pe 2 diabetes mellitus (2010 Edition). Chinese Journal of Diabetes, 2012;20(1):
1-3	36.
12. C	hina hypertension prevention guidelines revision committee. Guidelines for
prev	vention and treatment of hypertension in China[2010 Edition]. Chinese Journal
of h	ypertension,2011;1919(8):701-743.
13. Zł	nou BF. Predictive values of body mass index and waist circumference for risk
fac	ctors of certain related diseases in Chinese adultsstudy on optimal cut-off
ро	ints of body mass index and waist circumference in Chinese adults. Biomed
En	nviron Sci 2002;15, 83-96.
14. H	losmer DW, Lemeshow S . Confidence interval estimation of interaction.
Ep	pidemiology 1992; 3(5):452-456.
15.Kn	ol MJ, van der Tweel I, Grobbee DE, Numans ME, Geerlings MI. Estimating
int	teraction on an additive scale between continuous determinants in a logistic
reg	gression model. Int J Epidemiol. 2007;36(5):1111-8.
16. Ki	nol MJ, VanderWeele TJ, Groenwold RH, Klungel OH, Rovers MM, Grobbee
DI	E. Estimating measures of interaction on an additive scale for preventive
ex	posures. Eur J Epidemiol 2011; 26(6):433–438.
17. Pa	n Y, Wang Y, Li H, Gaisano HY, Wang Y, He Y. Association of Diabetes and
Pro	gnosis of Minor Stroke and Its Subtypes: A Prospective Observational Study.
PLo	oS One. 20162;11(4):e0153178.
18. T	sai CF, Anderson N, Thomas B, Sudlow CL. Risk factors for ischemic stroke
an	d its subtypes in Chinese vs. Caucasians: Systematic review and meta-analysis.
Int	t J Stroke. 2015;10(4):485-93.
19. Gi	to Y, Wang H, Tao T, Tian Y, Wang Y, Chen Y, et al. Determinants and Time
Tr	ends for Ischaemic and Haemorrhagic Stroke in a Large Chinese Population.
PL	LoS ONE 2016; 11(9):e0163171.
20. S	hi FL, Hart RG, Sherman DG, Tegeler CH. Stroke in the People's Republic of
C	China. Stroke. 1989;20(11):1581-5.
21. Ch	ang T, Gajasinghe S, Arambepola C. Prevalence of Stroke and Its Risk Factors
in	Urban Sri Lanka Population-Based Study. Stroke. 2015;46(10):2965-8.
	19

22. Muñoz-Rivas N, Méndez-Bailón M, Hernández-Barrera V, de Miguel-Yanes JM,
Jimenez-Garcia R, Esteban-Hernández J, et al. Type 2 Diabetes and
Hemorrhagic Stroke: A Population-Based Study in Spain from 2003 to 2012. J
Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2016;25(6):1431-43.
23. Tsai CF, Thomas B, Sudlow CL. Epidemiology of stroke and its subtypes in
Chinese vs white populations. Neurology. 2013;81(3):264-72.
24. Folsom AR, Rasmussen ML, Chambless LE, Howard G, Cooper LS, Schmidt MI,
et al. Prospective associations of fasting insulin, body fat distribution, and diabetes
with risk of ischemic stroke. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC)
Study Investigators. Diabetes Care. 1999;22(7):1077-83
25. Iso H, Imano H, Kitamura A, Sato S, Naito Y, Tanigawa T, et al. Type 2 diabetes
and risk of non-embolic ischaemic stroke in Japanese men and women.
Diabetologia. 2004;47(12):2137-44.
26. Peters SA, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Diabetes as a risk factor for stroke in
women compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 64 cohorts,
including 775 385 individuals and 12 539 strokes. Lancet.
2014;383(9933):1973-80.
27. Liao CC, Shih CC, Yeh CC, Chang YC, Hu CJ, Lin JG, et al. Impact of Diabetes
on Stroke Risk and Outcomes: Two Nationwide Retrospective Cohort Studies.
Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94(52):e2282.
28. Robson R, Lacey AS, Luzio SD, Van Woerden H, Heaven ML, Wani M, et al.
HbA1c measurement and relationship to incident stroke. Diab Med.
2016;33:459–62.
29. Bragg F, Li L, Bennett D, Guo Y, Lewington S, Bian Z, et al. Association of
Random Plasma Glucose Levels With the Risk for Cardiovascular Disease Among
Chinese Adults Without Known Diabetes. JAMA Cardiol. 2016;1(7):813-823.
30. Wu L, Wang A, Wang X, Zhao X, Wang C, Liu L, et al. Factors for short-term
outcomes in patients with a minor stroke: results from China National Stroke
Registry. BMC Neurol. 2015;15:253.
31. Wang W, Jiang B, Sun H, Ru X, Sun D, Wang L, et al. Prevalence, Incidence and
Mortality of Stroke in China: Results from a Nationwide Population-Based Survey
of 480,687 Adults. Circulation. 2017;135(8):759-771.
32 Wolf PA, D'Agostino RB, Kannel WB, Bonita R, Belanger AJ. Cigarette smoking
as risk factor for stroke the framingham study. JAMA. 1988;259(7):1025-9.
20

#### BMJ Open

C	
2	33. Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG, Whincup PH, Walker M, Smoking cessation and
4	the risk of stroke in middle aged mon IAMA 1005.274(2).155.60
5	the fisk of subke in indule-aged men. J AWA. 1995,274(2):155-00.
6 7	34. Qureshi AI, Suri MF, Kirmani JF, Divani AA. Cigarette smoking among spouses:
8	another risk factor for stroke in women. Stroke, 2005;36(9):e74-6.
9	35 Peters SA Huxley RR Woodward M Smoking as a Risk Factor for Stroke in
10	We we we Common of With Mary A Containing the Wetter and Mater and States of 91
 12	women Compared with Men A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of 81
13	Cohorts, Including 3 980 359 Individuals and 42 401 Strokes. Stroke.
14	2013;44(10):2821-8.
15	26 Shah PS Cale IW Smaking and strake: the more you smake the more you strake
16 17	50. Shah K5, Cole 5W. Shloking and subke. the more you shoke the more you subke.
18	Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther. 2010;8:917–932. doi: 10.1586/erc.10.56.
19	37. Chang S, Kim H, Kim V, Lee K, Jeong H, Lee JH, et al. Association Between
20 21	Smoking and Physician-Diagnosed Stroke and Myocardial Infarction in Male
22	Adults in Koroo, Int I Environ Bos Dublie Health 2016:12(2):158
23	Adults in Korea. Int J Environ Kes Fubile Health. 2010,15(2).158.
24 25	38. Soulimane S, Simon D, Herman WH, Lange C, Lee CM, Colagiuri S, et al.
25	HbA1c, fasting and 2 h plasma glucose in current, ex- and never-smokers: a
27	meta-analysis. Diabetologia. 2014;57(1):30-9.
28	20 Panadometricu V, Naravan P, Publins H, Collins D, Poblins S, Influence of risk factors
29 30	59. Papademetriou V, Narayan P, Rubins H, Collins D, Robins S. Influence of fisk factors
31	on peripheral and cerebrovascular disease in men with coronary artery disease,
32	low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels, and desirable low-density
34	lipoprotein cholesterol levels. HIT Investigators. Department of Veterans Affairs
35	HDI Intervention Trial Am Heart I 1998:136(4 Pt 1):734-40
30 37	
38	40. Aronson D, Rayfield EJ. How hyperglycemia promotes atherosclerosis: molecular
39	mechanisms. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2002;1:1.
40 41	41. Rehni AK, Nautiyal N, Perez-Pinzon MA, Dave KR. Hyperglycemia /
42	hypoglycemia-induced mitochondrial dysfunction and cerebral ischemic damage in
43	
44 45	diabetics. Metab Brain Dis. $2015;30(2):437-47$ .
46	42. Shukla V, Shakya AK, Perez-Pinzon MA, Dave KR. Cerebral ischemic damage in
47	diabetes: an inflammatory perspective. J Neuroinflammation. 2017;14(1):21.
48	43 Zhang Z. Yan I. Shi H. Hyperglycemia as a Risk Factor of Ischemic Stroke. I
49 50	D = M + 1 T = 1.2012 A(A) = 1.152
51	Drug Metab Toxicol. $2013;4(4)$ . pil: 153.
52	44. Kitada M, Zhang Z, Mima A, King GL. Molecular mechanisms of diabetic
53 54	vascular complications. J Diabetes Investig. 2010;1(3):77-89.
55	45 Kemeny SF Figueroa DS Clyne AM Hypo- and Hyperglycemia Impair
56	ie. Remeny St, Eigheren 28, ergne fint. Hyper und HyperBrycelina impair
57 58	21
59	
60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Endothelial Cell Actin Alignment and Nitric Oxide Synthase Activation in Response to Shear Stress. PLoS ONE, 2013;8(6): e66176.

- Iida M, Iida H, Dohi S, Takenaka M, Fujiwara H. Mechanisms underlying cerebrovascular effects of cigarette smoking in rats in vivo. Stroke, 1998;29(8):1656-65.
- 47. Barua RS, Ambrose JA, Srivastava S, DeVoe MC, Eales-Reynolds LJ. Reactive oxygen species are involved in smoking-induced dysfunction of nitric oxide biosynthesis and upregulation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase: an in vitro demonstration in human coronary artery endothelial cells. Circulation 2003;107(18):2342–2347.
- 48. Benowitz NL. Cigarette smoking and cardiovascular disease: pathophysiology and implications for treatment. Prog Cardiovasc Dis., 2003;46(1):91–111.
- 49. Chen S, Wu P, Zhou L, Shen Y, Li Y, Song H. Relationship between increase of serum homocysteine caused by smoking and oxidative damage in elderly patients with cardiovascular disease. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2015;8(3):4446-54.
- 50. Prasad K, Dhar I, Caspar-Bell G. Role of Advanced Glycation End Products and Its Receptors in the Pathogenesis of Cigarette Smoke-Induced Cardiovascular Disease. Int J Angiol. 2015;24(2):75-80.
- Ottum MS, Mistry AM. Advanced glycation end products: modifiable environmental factors profoundly mediate insulin resistance. J Clin Biochem Nutr. 2015;57(1):1-12.
- 52. Biswas SK, Mudi SR, Mollah FH, Bierhaus A, Arslan MI. Serum soluble receptor for advanced glycation end products (sRAGE) is independently associated with cigarette smoking in non-diabetic healthy subjects. Vasc Dis Res. 2013; 10(4):380-2.
- 53. Zhong C, Zhong X, Xu T, Peng H, Li H, Zhang M, et al. Combined effects of hypertension and heart rate on the risk of stroke and coronary heart disease: a population-based prospective cohort study among Inner Mongolians in China. Hypertens Res. 2015;38(12):883-8.
- 54. Du H, Li L, Bennett D, Guo Y, Key TJ, Bian Z, et al. Fresh Fruit Consumption and Major Cardiovascular Disease in China. N Engl J Med. 2016; 374(14): 1332–1343.
- 55. Ding L, Xu Y, Wang LM, Jiang Y, Zhang M, Li YC, et al. Smoking and Its Relation to Metabolic Status among Chinese Adults: Analysis of a Nationwide

1	
2	Survey Biomed Environ Sci 2016:29(9):619-627
4	Survey. Diolica Environ Sei. 2010;29(7):017-027.
5 56	b Xu Y, Wang L, He J, Bi Y, Li M, Wang T, et mal. Prevalence and Control of
6	Diabetes in Chinese Adults. JAMA. 2013;310(9):948-59.
7	
8	
9 10	
10	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
32	
33	
34	
35	
30	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55 56	
57	
58	23
59	
60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xntml

2	
2	
3	
4	
5	
5	
6	
7	
, 0	
ð	
9	
10	
10	
11	
12	
12	
15	
14	
15	
16	
10	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
23	
24	
27	
25	
26	
27	
2/	
28	
29	
20	
30	
31	
32	
22	
33	
34	
25	
22	
36	
37	
20	
38	
39	
40	
11	
41	
42	
43	
11	
44	
45	
46	
47	
4/	
48	
ΔQ	
72	
50	
51	
50	
52	
53	
54	
55	
22	
56	
57	
50	
58	

60

1

**Table 1** General characteristics of diabetes and smoking in the study population (n =39,887)

Deventeduquiable	Non-smoking	Smoking	Non-smoking	Smoking	р
Reported variable	/non-DM2	/non-DM2	/DM2	/DM2	Р
Total	29568	7536	2237	546	
Gender(man)	11087(37.50%)	6890(91.43%)	726(32.45%)	475(87.00%)	< 0.01
Age(years)	46.93±17.13	49.64±15.97	61.52±12.71	59.03±11.95	< 0.01
Marred (living with partners)	24290(82.15%)	6622(87.87%)	1844(82.43%)	506(92.67%)	< 0.01
Below high school	21906(74.09%)	5757(76.39%)	1832(81.90%)	409(74.91%)	
high school	4684(15.84%)	1235(16.39%)	263(11.76%)	94(17.22%)	< 0.01
Above high school	2978(10.07%)	544(7.22%)	142(6.34%)	43(7.87%)	
Manual	20702(70.01%)	5538(73.49%)	1382(61.78%)	326(59.71%)	
Non-manual	3664(12.39%)	909(12.06%)	145(6.48%)	59(10.80%)	-0.01
Retired	2277(7.70%)	589(7.82%)	563(25.17%)	124(22.71%)	<0.01
Unemployed	2925(9.89%)	500(6.63%)	147(6.57%)	37(6.78%)	
alcohol use	1832(6.20%)	4061(53.89%)	156(6.97%)	284(52.01%)	< 0.01
Regular exercise	5943(20.10%)	1519(20.16%)	580(25.93%)	172(31.50%)	
Family history of	2007(6.79%)	740(9.82%)	218(9.75%)	56(10.26%)	< 0.01
Hypertension					
Family history of DM2	350(1.18%)	128(1.70%)	120(5.36%)	26(4.76%)	< 0.01
Family history of stroke	574(1.94%)	209(2.77%)	32(1.43%)	13(2.38%)	
Hypertension	6339(21.44%)	2004(26.59%)	759(33.93%)	255(46.70%)	
BMI(≥24kg/m²)	12532(42.38%)	3536(46.92%)	1382(61.78%)	335(65.02%)	< 0.01
Dyslipidemia	3602(12.18%)	1134(15.05%)	456(20.38%)	137(25.09%)	< 0.01
			0		

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/ 0	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
1.4	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
22	
22	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
16	
40	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
50	
59	

60

Table 2 Associations	s between smokin	g, diabetes, and stroke
----------------------	------------------	-------------------------

Variables S		Stroke	Non-stroke	Unadjusted OR(95%Cl)	Adjusted OR (95%Cl)	Р
	No	622(67.76%)	31183(80.02%)	1.91	1.83	
Smoking	Yes	296(32.24%)	7786(19.98%)	(1.63-2.31)	(1.59-2.14)	<0.01
	No	765(83.33%)	36339(93.25%)	2.76	2.65	
DM2	Yes	153(16.67%)	2630(6.75%)	(1.77-4.68)	(1.70-4.41)	<0.01

Adjusted for age, sex, education, marriage status, employment, family history of diabetes, family history of hypertension, family history of stroke, alcohol consumption, physical activity, hypertension, diabetes or smoking, body mass index, and lipids.

**Table 3** Odds ratios (ORs) for the association between smoking and stroke by diabetes among participants

Smoking	Diabetes	No stroke	Stroke	Unadjusted OR(95%CI)	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	Р
	No	29056	512	1	1	
No	Yes	2127	110	2.93(1.73-3.15)	2.00 (1.56-2.56)	<0.01
Voc	No	7283	253	1.97(1.54-2.37)	1.65 (1.36-2.00)	<0.01
res	Yes	503	43	4.85(2.65-6.21)	3.45	<0.01

Adjusted for age, sex, education, marriage status, employment, family history of diabetes, family history of hypertension, family history of stroke, alcohol consumption, physical activity, hypertension, body mass index, and lipids.

**Table 4** Measures for estimating biological interaction between smoking and diabetes for prevalence of stroke in participants

habeles for prevalence of stroke in participants	
Measures of biological interaction	Estimate (95% CI)
RERI	1.80(1.24-3.84)
AP	0.52(0.37-0.73)
S	1.50(1.18-1.84)

Reference group is no smoking with non-diabetes.

Adjusted for age, sex, education, marriage status, employment, family history of diabetes, family history of hypertension, family history of stroke, alcohol consumption, physical activity, hypertension, body mass index, and lipids.

**BMJ** Open

**STROBE Statement** Checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

2		Checknist of hems that should be included in reports of observational studies	
3 4 Section/Topic	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page No
5 6 Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
7	1	(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	
8 Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4
11 Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
<sup>12</sup> Methods			
13 14 Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	6
15 16 Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5
17 18 19 20 21 Participants 22 23 24	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up         Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls         Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants       5         (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed       5	
25		<i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	
26 27 Variables 28	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	6-7
29 30 Data sources/measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	6-7
32 Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6-7
33 Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5
34 Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
35		(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	7-8
37		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	
38		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
<sup>39</sup> Statistical methods	12	(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	
41		Case-control study-If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	
42		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy 7-8	
43		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
44 45 46 47		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	1

1 2 3 4	Section/Topic	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page No
5	Results			
6 7 8 9 10	Participants	13*	<ul> <li>(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed</li> <li>(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage</li> <li>(c) Consider use of a flow diagram</li> </ul>	8
11 12 13 14 15	Descriptive data	14*	<ul> <li>(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders</li> <li>(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest</li> </ul>	8
16			(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	
17 18 19	Outcome data	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	8
20 21 22	Main results	16	<ul> <li>(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval).</li> <li>Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included</li> </ul>	9-10
23 24 25			(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized         (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
26	Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	11
27	Discussion			
20 29	Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	11
30 31	Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	16
32 33 34	Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	15-16
35	Generalisability	21	Discuss the general is ability (external validity) of the study results	16
36	Other Information			
38 39	Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	17
40	*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.			
41 42 43	<ul> <li>Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and</li> <li>Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.</li> </ul>			necklist is g/, and
44 45 46	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml 2			2

# **BMJ Open**

# Interaction of diabetes and smoking on stroke: A population-based cross-sectional survey in China

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-017706.R3
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	23-Jan-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Lou, Heqing; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China Dong, Zongmei; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China; Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China Zhang, Pan; Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China, Department of Non-communicable Disease Control Shao, Xiaoping ; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China Li, Ting; Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China Li, Ting; Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China Zhao, Chunyan; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China Zhang, Xunbao; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China Lou, Peian; Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China, Department of Non-communicable Disease Control; The School of Public Health, Xuzhou, China
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Cardiovascular medicine
Keywords:	STROKE MEDICINE, DIABETES & ENDOCRINOLOGY, EPIDEMIOLOGY

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

### **BMJ** Open

1	
2	
3	Interaction of diabetes and smoking on stroke: A population-based cross-sectional
4	
5	survey in China
7	Heqing Lou <sup>1</sup> , Zongmei Dong <sup>1.2</sup> , Pan Zhang <sup>2</sup> , Xiaoping Shao, <sup>1</sup> Ting Li <sup>2</sup> , Chunyan Zhao <sup>1</sup> ,
8	Yunhao 7hang <sup>*1</sup> Dejan Lou <sup>*1.2</sup>
9	
10	
11	
12	1. The School of Public Health, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China
14	2. Department of Non-communicable Disease Control, Xuzhou Center for Disease
15	
16	Control and Prevention, Xuzhou, China
17	
18	
20	*Corresponding authors:
21	Xunbao Zhang, 209 Tongshan Road, Xuzhou City, Jiangsu Province, China
22	
23	Phone: +86 516-83262018; Fax: +86 516-82702478; E-mail: 437335090@qq.com
24	
25	Deien Ley, 142 West Erburn Deed, Withou City, Janzey Drewings, China
20	Pelan Lou, 142 West Emuan Road, Xuzhou City, Jiangsu Province, China
28	Phone: +86 516-85956785; Fax: +86 516-89596797; E-mail: lpa82835415@126.com
29	
30	
31	Manuscript category: Research article
32	
33 34	<b>Keywords:</b> type 2 diabetes mellitus; smoking; interaction; stroke
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46 47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53 54	
55	

#### Abstract

**Objectives:** Diabetes and smoking are known independent risk factors for stroke; however, their interaction concerning stroke is less clear. We aimed to explore such interaction and its influence on stroke in Chinese adults.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Setting: Community-based investigation in Xuzhou, China.

**Participants:** A total of 39,887 Chinese adults who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were included.

**Methods:** Participants were selected using a multi-stage stratified cluster method, and completed self-reported questionnaires on stroke and smoking. Type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) was assessed by fasting blood glucose or use of antidiabetic medication. Interaction, relative excess risk owing to interaction (RERI), attributable proportion (AP), and synergy index (S) were evaluated using a logistic regression model.

**Results:** After adjustment for age, sex, marital status, educational level, occupation, physical activity, body mass index, hypertension, family history of stroke, alcohol use, and blood lipids, the relationships between DM2 and stroke, and between smoking and stroke, were still significant: odds ratios were 2.75 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.03–3.73) and 1.70 (95% CI: 1.38–2.10), respectively. In subjects with DM2 who smoked, the RERI, AP, and S values (and 95% CIs) were 1.80 (1.24–3.83), 0.52 (0.37–0.73), and 1.50 (1.18–1.84), respectively.

**Conclusions:** The results suggest there are additive interactions between DM2 and smoking and that these affect stroke in Chinese adults.

**BMJ** Open

3	
4	
5	
6	Article Summary: Strengths and limitations of this study
7	
8	• The strengths of this study were that a large sample population was
9	
10	randomly collected from the general negulation of Vythey and many
11	randomly selected from the general population of Auzhou and many
12	
13	confounding risk factors were adjusted for.
15	
16	<ul> <li>Owing to the cross-sectional design, we could not determine a causal</li> </ul>
17	
18	combined relationship among diabates, smaking and stroke
19	combined relationship among diabetes, smoking and stroke.
20	
21	<ul> <li>We were not able to control for some important and well-known risk</li> </ul>
22	
23	factors of diabetes, such as heart rate and cardiovascular causes.
24	
25	• We did not measure fresh fruit consumption, which is causally related to
26	• We did not measure fresh fruit consumption, which is causally related to
27	
28	stroke.
29	
30	
31	
32	
20	
24 25	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51 52	
JZ 52	
55	
55	

#### INTRODUCTION

Stroke is an ongoing global health problem. In 2016, there were 5.53 million from stroke worldwide. Stroke was also the second most common cause of premature mortality and secondary disability.<sup>1</sup> The 2015 Report on Chinese Stroke Prevention indicates stroke as the leading cause of death in China, with incidence increasing by 8.7% per annum.<sup>2</sup> Stroke rates in China are higher than those in Western and other Asian countries.<sup>3,4</sup> Moreover, the number of stroke patients in China is likely to increase because of lifestyles, demographic changes, and inadequate control of major risk factors for stroke.<sup>2</sup> It is therefore important to identify and prevent these risk factors.

The major risk factors for stroke are hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia, smoking, physical inactivity, obesity, and a family history of stroke.<sup>5-8</sup> Although these play a role in the development of stroke, its formation is not entirely caused by a single risk factor. The more risk factors a person has, the greater the likelihood of incurring a stroke.<sup>7</sup> In fact, >90% of the global burden of stroke in 2013 was attributable to the combined effect of all modifiable risk factors.<sup>8</sup>

People with comorbid diabetes and smoking may represent a subgroup with high risk of developing stroke; however, few studies have examined the interaction of diabetes and smoking with regard to stroke. The primary aim of this cross-sectional study was to examine the interaction of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) and smoking on stroke in Chinese adults. A secondary aim was to evaluate the associations between DM2 and stroke, and between smoking and stroke.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study design and recruitment criteria

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

#### **BMJ** Open

This population-based, cross-sectional survey was conducted in Xuzhou City, Jiangsu Province, China, from February to June 2013. The sample was selected with two-stage probability proportional to size, from all 11 regions in Xuzhou. In the first stage, five subdistricts/townships in urban/rural areas were selected in accordance with the population of each subdistrict/township from each region, with probability proportional to size sampling. In the second stage, five communities/villages were selected in accordance with the population of each community/village from each subdistrict/township with probability proportional to size sampling. In the final stage, one person  $\geq 18$  years old and who had lived in his or her current residence for ≥5 years was selected from each household through use of a Kish selection table. Those who met either or both of the following criteria were excluded: (1) previous diagnosis of neuropathy, psychosis, or unclear speech or (2) member of the floating population or temporary residents. A total of  $\geq$ 13,500 people were selected, assuming an estimation incidence of stroke of 2.0%,<sup>9</sup> with 90% power,  $\alpha$ =0.05, and allowing for a dropout rate of 15%. Trained interviewers interviewed participants face-to-face on the day of the participants' regular medical appointments. All participants underwent 12-h overnight fasting and blood sampling to test basic fasting plasma glucose. After blood sampling, each received a health examination and completed a structured questionnaire inquiring on demographic information, medical history, medication history, and smoking, alcohol consumption, and exercise habits.

#### **Key measurements**

Stroke was assessed using subjects' self-reported responses, and defined as an acute

disturbance of focal areas in the brain lasting for  $\geq$ 24 h and thought to be caused by intracranial hemorrhage or ischemia.<sup>10</sup> We examined the medical records of participants reporting a diagnosis of stroke to check that participants satisfied this definition. The diagnosis was also confirmed through computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging scans. Detailed clinical information about stroke was based on the International Classification of Disease, 10th Revision, codes I60–I64. DM2 was defined as fasting blood glucose  $\geq$ 7.0 mmol/L, any use of antidiabetic medication, or self-reported history of DM2.<sup>11</sup> Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure  $\geq$ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq$ 90 mmHg, any use of antihypertensive medication, or self-reported history of hypertension.<sup>12</sup>

#### Covariates

Age, sex, current employment status, marital status, level of education, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and family history of diseases, including DM2, hypertension, and stroke, were assessed using a standardized questionnaire. Employment status was categorized as manual, non-manual, unemployed, or retired. Education was categorized as below high school, high school, or above high school. Lifestyle variables included cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical activity level. Cigarette smoking was defined as having smoked at least 100 cigarettes in one's lifetime. Information was obtained on the amount and type of alcohol consumed during the previous year, and alcohol drinking was defined as consumption of  $\geq$ 30 g per week for  $\geq$ 1 year. Regular leisure-time physical activity was defined as participation in moderate or vigorous activity for  $\leq$ 30 min per day,  $\geq$ 3 days a week. Each participant's height (to the nearest 0.1 cm) and weight (to the nearest 0.1 kg) in light indoor clothing were measured.

#### **BMJ** Open

Body mass index (BMI; in kg/m<sup>2</sup>) was calculated; categorized as underweight (<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), normal weight (18.5–24.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), or overweight/obese (>24.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>).<sup>13</sup> Dyslipidemia was defined as use of any lipid-lowering medication or self-reported history of the condition.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Participants were divided into four groups in accordance with their smoking status and DM2: non-smokers with no DM2, smokers with no DM2, non-smokers with DM2, and smokers with DM2. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS for Windows, Version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The general characteristics of continuous variables were compared across the four subgroups using analysis of variance. The categorical variables were expressed as a percentage and the groups were compared using a chi-squared test. Logistic regression analysis was performed to estimate the probability of having a stroke and 95% confidence interval (CI) for each risk factor category stratified by DM2 and smoking, adjusting for age, sex, occupation, education, marital status, BMI, physical activity, drinking status, hypertension status, and family history of disease, including DM2, hypertension, and stroke.

Biological interactions should be based on an additive scale rather than a multiplication scale.<sup>14,15</sup> We therefore used three measures to estimate biological interactions between DM2 and smoking: relative excess risk owing to interaction (RERI), attributable proportion (AP) owing to interaction, and synergy index (S). RERI is the excess risk attributed to interaction relative to the risk without exposure to diabetes and smoking. AP refers to the attributable proportion of disease caused by interaction in subjects with exposure to both variables. S is the excess risk from

exposure to both variables when there is a biological interaction relative to the risk from exposure to both variables without interaction. In the absence of additive interactions, RERI and AP equal 0.<sup>14,16</sup> In the current study, RERI >0, AP >0, and S >0 indicated statistical significance, set at p < 0.05 (two-tailed).

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention. The procedures followed were in accordance with the standards of the ethics committee of the Xuzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention and with the Declaration of Helsinki (1975, revised 2000). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. .e.i.e

#### RESULTS

#### General characteristics of participants

Of the 41,658 individuals initially sampled, 1253 did not respond to the smoking question or complete the blood glucose tests, and 518 did not meet our study criteria. A final total of 39,887 adults (19,178 men and 20,709 women) with complete data were included in the analysis (response rate: 95.7%). Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study population. The proportion of participants with DM2 was 7.00% (2783/39,887), of stroke subjects with DM2 was 16.67%, and of non-stroke subjects with DM2 was only 6.75%; there was a statistically significant difference between the two groups ( $\chi^2$  = 135.92, *p* <0.001). The proportion of smokers was 20.26% (8082/39,887), stroke participants who smoked was 32.24%,

#### **BMJ** Open

and non-stroke participants who smoked was 19.98%; there was a statistically significant difference in smoking between stroke and non-stroke patients ( $\chi^2$  = 83.49, *p* <0.001).

#### Association of diabetes and smoking with stroke

The 5.50% incidence of stroke in subjects with DM2 exceeded the 2.06% incidence in those with no DM2 ( $\chi^2 = 139.11$ , *p* <0.001). Individuals who smoked had a higher stroke incidence compared with non-smokers (3.36% vs. 1.96%;  $\chi^2 = 83.49$ , *p* <0.001; see Table 2). Subjects with DM2 had a significantly increased risk of stroke compared with those with no DM2 (OR: 2.65, 95% CI: 1.70–4.41, *p* < 0.001), after adjusting for confounders (see Table 3). The risks of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke were increased by DM2, after adjusting for confounders; the ORs were 2.71 (95% CI: 1.72–4.49) and 1.82 (95% CI: 1.34–3.35), respectively. Smokers had a significantly increased risk of stroke compared with non-smokers (OR: 1.83, 95% CI: 1.59–2.14, *p* <0.001), after adjusting for confounders (see Table 3). The risks of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke were increased by smoking, after adjusting for confounders; the ORs were 1.32 (95% CI: 1.12–2.53) and 1.95 (95% CI: 1.40–3.41), respectively.

#### Interaction between diabetes and smoking in relation to stroke

Individuals who only had DM2 or only smoked had a significantly increased risk of stroke compared with those who did not have DM2 and did not smoke (OR: 2.00, 95% CI: 1.56–2.56; OR: 1.65, 95% CI: 1.36–2.00; respectively; all p <0.001), after adjusting for confounders. Table 3 shows the results from the multiple logistic regression models. The incidence of stroke was greatest in those who had DM2 and smoked (OR: 3.45, 95% CI: 2.30–5.16, p <0.001), after adjusting for confounders.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

#### Sensitivity analysis

There was a strong additive interaction between DM2 and smoking (RERI: 1.80, 95% CI: 1.24–2.14; AP: 0.52, 95% CI: 0.37–0.73; and S: 1.50, 95% CI: 1.18–1.84, respectively); 52% of stroke occurrence was attributed to the interaction between DM2 and smoking (Table 4).

#### DISCUSSION

There were two main findings in this study. First, there was an interaction of DM2 and smoking with relation to the incidence of stroke. Second, DM2 and smoking were found to be significantly associated with increased risk of stroke in Chinese adults, independent of potential confounders such as age, sex, occupation, education, marital status, BMI, physical activity, drinking status, hypertension status, and family history of diseases including diabetes, hypertension, or stroke.

The proportion of participants with DM2 in this study was 19.67%, which is lower than that reported in the China National Stroke Registry Study (27.0%),<sup>17</sup> lower than that reported in a comparison of risk factors for ischemic stroke in Chinese versus Caucasian individuals (25.0%),<sup>18</sup> and higher than that reported in a study of the Chinese National Health Insurance program (3.8%)<sup>19</sup> and a review of stroke in China (4%–15%).<sup>20</sup> The present results are also inconsistent with figures for diabetes in stroke patients reported for other countries.<sup>21,22</sup> This discrepancy may be due to differences in study design, sampling size, region, diabetes diagnosis, and the clinical features of stroke, but could also be a result of ethnic group differences, as many studies have shown that stroke incidence rates differ among ethnic groups.<sup>23</sup>

Numerous epidemiologic studies, including cross-sectional studies and

#### **BMJ** Open

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
a	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
31	
25	
26	
30	
3/	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
/ /Q	
40 40	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
50	
29	
60	

prospective cohort studies, have demonstrated associations between diabetes and stroke.<sup>22,24-27</sup> A population-based study of 173,979 discharged patients admitted with hemorrhagic stroke was conducted in Spain from 2003 to 2012. The authors of that study reported a positive association between diabetes and stroke (incidence rate ratio: 1.38, 95% CI: 1.35–1.40 for men; incidence rate ratio: 1.31, 95% CI: 1.29–1.34 for women).<sup>22</sup> Folsom et al. reported that the relative risk (RR) of ischemic stroke was 2.22 (95% CI: 1.5–3.2) for individuals with diabetes after adjustment for other stroke risk factors in a 6–8-year follow-up.<sup>24</sup> Iso et al.<sup>25</sup> reported that the association between non-embolic ischemic stroke and diabetes was particularly strong among non-hypertensive subjects with higher subscapular skinfold thickness values; the multivariate RR was 4.9 (95% CI: 2.5–9.5) in a 17-year prospective cohort study of 10,582 Japanese individuals (4287 men and 6295 women) aged 40–69 years. A systematic review and meta-analysis of 64 cohort studies with 775,385 individuals showed that diabetes is consistently associated with increased risk of stroke; the pooled maximum-adjusted RR of stroke associated with diabetes was 2.28 (95% CI: 1.93–2.69) for women and 1.83 (95% CI: 1.60–2.08) for men.<sup>26</sup> Liao et al. also reported that diabetic patients have an increased risk of stroke (adjusted hazard ratio: 1.75, 95% CI: 1.64–1.86) compared with those without diabetes; associations between diabetes and stroke risk were significant for both sexes and all age groups.<sup>27</sup> The present findings also demonstrated an association between diabetes and stroke.

Robson et al.<sup>28</sup> confirmed that poor blood sugar control increases the risk of stroke. One prospective cohort study of 467,508 men and women aged 30–79 years with no history of diabetes or stroke showed that each 1-mmol/L (18-mg/dL) higher than usual increase of random plasma glucose level above 5.9 mmol/L (106 mg/dL)

was associated with ischemic stroke (adjusted hazard ratio: 1.08, 95% CI: 1.07–1.09).<sup>29</sup> Moreover, a study of 4669 patients who had incurred a minor stroke revealed during a 3-month follow-up that stroke patients with diabetes experienced stroke recurrence and disability.<sup>30</sup> Therefore, effective glycemic control not only reduces the incidence of stroke but also can reduce stroke recurrence and associated disability.

A higher proportion of stroke than non-stroke patients tend to be smokers. Indeed, Wang et al. reported that 48% of stroke patients smoked.<sup>31</sup> Tsai et al.<sup>18</sup> reported a figure of 38% and our results showed 32.24%. Although the proportions differ, they are all quite high. The discrepancy may reflect a bias in the reporting of smoking status among study participants.

Many studies have shown that smoking is a strong risk factor for development of stroke.<sup>32-34</sup> The British Regional Heart Study, which included 7735 men aged 40–59 years, showed that after full adjustment for other risk factors, current smokers had a nearly fourfold RR of stroke compared with never-smokers (RR: 3.7, 95% CI: 2.0–6.9). Ex-cigarette smokers showed lower risk than current smokers, but showed excess risk compared with never-smokers (RR: 1.7, 95% CI: 0.9–3.3, p = 0.11).<sup>33</sup> During a mean follow-up of 8.5 years, the risk for all forms of stroke significantly increased (RR: 0.9–3.3, 95% CI: 1.4–24) and increased for ischemic strokes (RR: 4.8, 95% CI: 1.2–20) among cigarette-smoking women with a cigarette-smoking spouse compared with those with a non-smoking spouse and after adjusting for other cardiovascular risk factors.<sup>34</sup> However, a systematic review and meta-analysis of 81 cohorts in Asia, including 3,980,359 individuals and 42,401 strokes, showed smoking did not contribute to the risk of stroke.<sup>35</sup> The proportion of Chinese stroke patients

#### **BMJ** Open

who smoke is higher than that of Caucasians.<sup>18</sup> One systematic review and meta-analysis of 15 cohort studies and 178 case-control studies found smoking was an independent risk factor for stroke (pooled RRs: 1.27, 95% Cl: 1.21–1.35) in a Chinese population.<sup>7</sup> More frequent smokers are more likely to incur a stroke.<sup>36,37</sup> A meta-analysis that included 16,886 men and 18,539 women without known diabetes revealed hemoglobin A1c was 0.10% (95% Cl: 0.08–0.12, 1.1 mmol/mol [0.9–1.3]) higher in current smokers and 0.03% (95% Cl: 0.01–0.05, 0.3 mmol/mol [0.1–0.5]) higher in ex-smokers than in never-smokers.<sup>38</sup> Therefore, our findings support previous evidence smoking is associated with stroke in Chinese populations.<sup>4</sup> However, the present study only wanted to observe the interaction of smoking and diabetes on stroke, the cigarette smokers were not categorized as current, former and never smokers. Therefore, when compared the association between smoking and stroke of our study with that of others should be carefully.

One study reported that in comparison with nonsmoking patients with no diabetes mellitus or hypertension, patients with those conditions and who smoked had a threefold increase in prevalence of peripheral vascular disease and a 3.5-fold increase in cerebrovascular disease<sup>[39]</sup>. Our results reinforce such findings.

The pathophysiological mechanisms of hyperglycemia induce oxidative stress; promote formation of advanced glycosylation end products;<sup>40,41</sup> increase blood–brain barrier permeability and inflammatory responses;<sup>42</sup> lead to accumulation of reactive oxygen species/reactive nitrogen, inflammation, and mitochondrial dysfunction;<sup>43</sup> lead to cellular dysfunction; damage vascular tissue; inhibit endogenous vascular protective factors; alter vascular homeostasis;<sup>44</sup> raise levels of reactive oxygen species and advanced glycation end products; decrease

levels of mitochondrial superoxide dismutase;<sup>41</sup> and correlate with endothelial cell dysfunction and nitric oxide production.<sup>45</sup> All these actions contribute to accelerating the atherosclerotic process. Therefore, diabetic patients are more prone to incurring stroke.

Cigarette smoking is associated with increased reactive oxygen species, oxidative stress, blood–brain barrier permeability, sympathetic activation and nitric oxide production, reduced cerebral blood flow and serum superoxide dismutase levels, attenuation of the vasodilation of cerebral arterioles, and induction of atherosclerosis and thrombosis.<sup>46-49</sup> Moreover, cigarette smoke elevates serum levels of advanced glycation end products and reduces soluble receptors for those end products, resulting in development of atherosclerosis and related stroke.<sup>50-52</sup> Smoking is therefore correlated with increased risk of stroke.

Collectively, diabetes and smoking induce oxidative stress and nitric oxide production; increase reactive oxygen species, blood–brain barrier permeability, and the level of advanced glycation end products; and reduce cerebral blood flow and serum superoxide dismutase. Therefore, diabetic patients who smoke also have a greater risk of stroke.

The strengths of the current study are that we used a community-based multistage sampling design, large sample size, and randomly selected participants. However, the study has several limitations. First, because of the cross-sectional design, we could not determine a causal relationship between DM2, smoking, and stroke. Second, we were unable to control for some important and well-known risk factors of stroke, such as heart rate<sup>53</sup> and cardiac causes.<sup>6</sup> Third, we did not measure fresh fruit consumption, <sup>54</sup> which is causally negative related to stroke. Finally,

-			
2	notion to calf non-output their size watto an alging status, therefore, the visit of		
3	patients sen-reported their cigarette smoking status; therefore, the risk of		
4 E			
5	misclassification and recall bias with the definition of smoking could not be avoid.		
0			
/	Conclusion		
0			
9	The results of this cross-sectional study indicate diabetic natients who smoke are		
10	The results of this cross sectional study indicate addetic patients who shoke are		
11			
12	3.5 times more likely to develop stroke than non-diabetics who do not smoke.		
15			
14	Diabetes and smoking had a combined positive correlation with stroke. These results		
15			
10	have important public health implications. Among Chinese adults, the current rate of		
12			
10	smoking is as high as 28.3% $^{55}$ and DM2 provalence is 11.6% $^{56}$ Therefore, it is		
20	shoking is as high as 28.5% and Diviz prevalence is 11.0%. Therefore, it is		
20			
21	important to implement stroke-prevention measures aimed at reducing smoking and		
22			
25	improving glycemic control in diabetic patients in China.		
25			
26			
27	COMPETING INTERESTS		
28			
29	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.		
30			
31	Acknowledgments		
31 32	Acknowledgments		
31 32 33	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional		
31 32 33 34	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional		
31 32 33 34 35	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional		
31 32 33 34 35 36	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their		
31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their		
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration.		
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration.		
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration. Funding		
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration. Funding		
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration. Funding This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu		
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration. Funding This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu		
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration. Funding This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu Dravinge Health Department in 2015 (Y2015010) and the Science and Technology.		
31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration. Funding This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu Province Health Department in 2015 (Y2015010) and the Science and Technology		
31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45         46	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration. Funding This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu Province Health Department in 2015 (Y2015010) and the Science and Technology		
31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45         46         47	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration. Funding This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu Province Health Department in 2015 (Y2015010) and the Science and Technology projects of Xuzhou City in 2015 (KC15SM046). The researchers were independent		
31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45         46         47         48	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration. Funding This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu Province Health Department in 2015 (Y2015010) and the Science and Technology projects of Xuzhou City in 2015 (KC15SM046). The researchers were independent		
31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45         46         47         48         49	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration. Funding This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu Province Health Department in 2015 (Y2015010) and the Science and Technology projects of Xuzhou City in 2015 (KC15SM046). The researchers were independent from the funders. The study funders had no influence on the study design, data		
31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45         46         47         48         49         50	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration. Funding This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu Province Health Department in 2015 (Y2015010) and the Science and Technology projects of Xuzhou City in 2015 (KC15SM046). The researchers were independent from the funders. The study funders had no influence on the study design, data		
31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45         46         47         48         49         50         51	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration. Funding This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu Province Health Department in 2015 (Y2015010) and the Science and Technology projects of Xuzhou City in 2015 (KC15SM046). The researchers were independent from the funders. The study funders had no influence on the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation of data, writing of the report, or decision to		
31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45         46         47         48         49         50         51         52	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration. Funding This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu Province Health Department in 2015 (Y2015010) and the Science and Technology projects of Xuzhou City in 2015 (KC15SM046). The researchers were independent from the funders. The study funders had no influence on the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation of data, writing of the report, or decision to		
31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45         46         47         48         49         50         51         52         53	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration. Funding This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu Province Health Department in 2015 (Y2015010) and the Science and Technology projects of Xuzhou City in 2015 (KC15SM046). The researchers were independent from the funders. The study funders had no influence on the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation of data, writing of the report, or decision to submit the article for publication		
31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45         46         47         48         49         50         51         52         53         54	Acknowledgments We thank all the participants involved in the survey. We also thank the Regional Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as well as clinics in Xuzhou for their collaboration. Funding This research was funded by the Preventive Medicine research projects of Jiangsu Province Health Department in 2015 (Y2015010) and the Science and Technology projects of Xuzhou City in 2015 (KC15SM046). The researchers were independent from the funders. The study funders had no influence on the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation of data, writing of the report, or decision to submit the article for publication.		

# **Duality of interest**

56

57

58 59

The authors declare there is no duality of interest associated with this manuscript. **Authors' contributions** 

HL wrote/edited the manuscript and created tables. ZD, PZ, XS, TL, CZ, XZ, and PL

contributed to the discussion and reviewed/edited the manuscript. XZ

conceptualized the study. PL is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full

access to all data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data

and accuracy of the data analysis. All authors read and approved the final

manuscript.

# Availability of data and materials

All data relevant to the given manuscript have been stored in a separate file that can be made freely available to external investigators upon request.

2	
3 4	
5 6	
7	
9	
10 11	
12 13	
14	
16	
17 18	
19 20	
21 22	
23	
24 25	
26 27	
28 29	
30 31	
32	
33 34	
35 36	
37 38	
39 40	
41	
42 43	
44 45	
46 47	
48 49	
50 51	
52	
53 54	
55 56	
57 58	
59 60	
00	

### References

- GBD 2016 Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific mortality for 264 causes of death, 1980-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016.Lancet. 2017;390(10100):1151-1210.
- Stroke Control Project Committee of National Health and Family Planning Commission of the People's Republic of China. Report on the Chinese Stroke Prevention (2015) 1st ed. Beijing: China Pecking Union Medical College Press, 2015.
- 3.Yang G, Wang Y, Zeng Y, Gao GF, Liang X, Zhou M, et al. Rapid health transition in China, 1990-2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010.Lancet. 2013;381(9882):1987-2015.
- 4. Hata J, Kiyohara Y. Epidemiology of Stroke and Coronary Artery Disease in Asia. Circ J. 2013; 77(8):1923-32.
- Mi T, Sun S, Du Y, Guo S, Cong L, Cao M, et al. Differences in the distribution of risk factors for stroke among the high-risk population in urban and rural areas of Eastern China. Brain Behav. 2016;6(5):e00461.
- O'Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, Chin SL, Rao-Melacini P, et al. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. Lancet. 2010;376(9735):112-23.
- Wang J, Wen X, Li W, Li X, Wang Y, Lu W. Risk Factors for Stroke in the Chinese Population: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2016; pii: S1052-3057(16)30599-7.
- Feigin VL, Roth GA, Naghavi M, Parmar P, Krishnamurthi R, Chugh S, et al. Global burden of stroke and risk factors in 188 countries, during 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet Neurol. 2016;15(9):913-24.
- Zhang P, Jiao S, Zhou Y, Li G, Shi Y, Li H, et al. Studies on prevalence and control of several common chronic diseases among Beijing adults in 2005. Chin J Epidemiol, 2007;28(7):625-630.
- Sacco RL, Kasner SE, Broderick JP, Caplan LR, Connors JJ, Culebras A, et al. An updated definition of stroke for the 21st century: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke 2013; 44:2064-89.

- 11.Chinese Diabetes Society. Chinese guidelines for the prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (2010 Edition). Chinese Journal of Diabetes, 2012;20(1): 1-36.
- 12. China hypertension prevention guidelines revision committee. Guidelines for prevention and treatment of hypertension in China[2010 Edition]. Chinese Journal of hypertension,2011;1919(8):701-743.
- 13. Zhou BF. Predictive values of body mass index and waist circumference for risk factors of certain related diseases in Chinese adults--study on optimal cut-off points of body mass index and waist circumference in Chinese adults. Biomed Environ Sci 2002;15, 83-96.
- Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S . Confidence interval estimation of interaction. Epidemiology 1992; 3(5):452-456.
- 15.Knol MJ, van der Tweel I, Grobbee DE, Numans ME, Geerlings MI. Estimating interaction on an additive scale between continuous determinants in a logistic regression model. Int J Epidemiol. 2007;36(5):1111-8.
- Knol MJ, VanderWeele TJ, Groenwold RH, Klungel OH, Rovers MM, Grobbee DE. Estimating measures of interaction on an additive scale for preventive exposures. Eur J Epidemiol 2011; 26(6):433–438.
- Pan Y, Wang Y, Li H, Gaisano HY, Wang Y, He Y. Association of Diabetes and Prognosis of Minor Stroke and Its Subtypes: A Prospective Observational Study. PLoS One. 20162;11(4):e0153178.
- Tsai CF, Anderson N, Thomas B, Sudlow CL. Risk factors for ischemic stroke and its subtypes in Chinese vs. Caucasians: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Stroke. 2015;10(4):485-93.
- Guo Y, Wang H, Tao T, Tian Y, Wang Y, Chen Y, et al. Determinants and Time Trends for Ischaemic and Haemorrhagic Stroke in a Large Chinese Population. PLoS ONE 2016; 11(9):e0163171.
- Shi FL, Hart RG, Sherman DG, Tegeler CH. Stroke in the People's Republic of China. Stroke. 1989;20(11):1581-5.
- Chang T, Gajasinghe S, Arambepola C. Prevalence of Stroke and Its Risk Factors in Urban Sri Lanka Population-Based Study. Stroke. 2015;46(10):2965-8.
- 22. Muñoz-Rivas N, Méndez-Bailón M, Hernández-Barrera V, de Miguel-Yanes JM, Jimenez-Garcia R, Esteban-Hernández J, et al. Type 2 Diabetes and

### BMJ Open

Hemorrhagic Stroke: A Population-Based Study in Spain from 2003 to 2012. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2016;25(6):1431-43.
23. Tsai CF, Thomas B, Sudlow CL. Epidemiology of stroke and its subtypes in
Chinese vs white populations. Neurology. 2013;81(3):264-72.
24. Folsom AR, Rasmussen ML, Chambless LE, Howard G, Cooper LS, Schmidt MI,
et al. Prospective associations of fasting insulin, body fat distribution, and diabetes
with risk of ischemic stroke. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC)
Study Investigators. Diabetes Care. 1999;22(7):1077-83
25. Iso H, Imano H, Kitamura A, Sato S, Naito Y, Tanigawa T, et al. Type 2 diabetes
and risk of non-embolic ischaemic stroke in Japanese men and women.
Diabetologia. 2004;47(12):2137-44.
26. Peters SA, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Diabetes as a risk factor for stroke in
women compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 64 cohorts,
including 775 385 individuals and 12 539 strokes. Lancet.
2014;383(9933):1973-80.
27. Liao CC, Shih CC, Yeh CC, Chang YC, Hu CJ, Lin JG, et al. Impact of Diabetes
on Stroke Risk and Outcomes: Two Nationwide Retrospective Cohort Studies.
Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94(52):e2282.
28. Robson R, Lacey AS, Luzio SD, Van Woerden H, Heaven ML, Wani M, et al.
HbA1c measurement and relationship to incident stroke. Diab Med.
2016;33:459–62.
29. Bragg F, Li L, Bennett D, Guo Y, Lewington S, Bian Z, et al. Association of
Random Plasma Glucose Levels With the Risk for Cardiovascular Disease Among
Chinese Adults Without Known Diabetes. JAMA Cardiol. 2016;1(7):813-823.
30. Wu L, Wang A, Wang X, Zhao X, Wang C, Liu L, et al. Factors for short-term
outcomes in patients with a minor stroke: results from China National Stroke
Registry. BMC Neurol. 2015;15:253.
31. Wang W, Jiang B, Sun H, Ru X, Sun D, Wang L, et al. Prevalence, Incidence and
Mortality of Stroke in China: Results from a Nationwide Population-Based Survey
of 480,687 Adults. Circulation. 2017;135(8):759-771.
32 Wolf PA, D'Agostino RB, Kannel WB, Bonita R, Belanger AJ. Cigarette smoking
as risk factor for stroke the framingham study. JAMA. 1988;259(7):1025-9.
33. Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG, Whincup PH, Walker M. Smoking cessation and
the risk of stroke in middle-aged men. J AMA. 1995;274(2):155-60.

34. Qureshi AI, Suri MF, Kirmani JF, Divani AA. Cigarette smoking among spouses: another risk factor for stroke in women. Stroke, 2005;36(9):e74-6.

- 35.Peters SA, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Smoking as a Risk Factor for Stroke in Women Compared With Men A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of 81 Cohorts, Including 3 980 359 Individuals and 42 401 Strokes. Stroke. 2013;44(10):2821-8.
- Shah RS, Cole JW. Smoking and stroke: the more you smoke the more you stroke.
   Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther. 2010;8:917–932. doi: 10.1586/erc.10.56.
- 37. Chang S, Kim H, Kim V, Lee K, Jeong H, Lee JH, et al. Association Between Smoking and Physician-Diagnosed Stroke and Myocardial Infarction in Male Adults in Korea. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2016;13(2):158.
  - 38. Soulimane S, Simon D, Herman WH, Lange C, Lee CM, Colagiuri S, et al. HbA1c, fasting and 2 h plasma glucose in current, ex- and never-smokers: a meta-analysis. Diabetologia. 2014;57(1):30-9.
- 39.Papademetriou V, Narayan P, Rubins H, Collins D, Robins S.Influence of risk factors on peripheral and cerebrovascular disease in men with coronary artery disease, low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels, and desirable low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels. HIT Investigators. Department of Veterans Affairs HDL Intervention Trial.Am Heart J. 1998;136(4 Pt 1):734-40.
- 40. Aronson D, Rayfield EJ. How hyperglycemia promotes atherosclerosis: molecular mechanisms. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2002;1:1.
- Rehni AK, Nautiyal N, Perez-Pinzon MA, Dave KR. Hyperglycemia / hypoglycemia-induced mitochondrial dysfunction and cerebral ischemic damage in diabetics. Metab Brain Dis. 2015;30(2):437-47.
- 42. Shukla V, Shakya AK, Perez-Pinzon MA, Dave KR. Cerebral ischemic damage in diabetes: an inflammatory perspective. J Neuroinflammation. 2017;14(1):21.
- Zhang Z, Yan J, Shi H. Hyperglycemia as a Risk Factor of Ischemic Stroke. J Drug Metab Toxicol. 2013;4(4). pii: 153.
- 44. Kitada M, Zhang Z, Mima A, King GL. Molecular mechanisms of diabetic vascular complications. J Diabetes Investig. 2010;1(3):77-89.
- 45. Kemeny SF, Figueroa DS, Clyne AM. Hypo- and Hyperglycemia Impair Endothelial Cell Actin Alignment and Nitric Oxide Synthase Activation in Response to Shear Stress. PLoS ONE, 2013;8(6): e66176.
## **BMJ** Open

1	
2 3	46. Iida M, Iida H, Dohi S, Takenaka M, Fujiwara H. Mechanisms underlying
4 5	cerebrovascular effects of cigarette smoking in rats in vivo. Stroke,
5 6	1998;29(8):1656-65.
7	47 Barua RS, Ambrose IA, Srivastava S, DeVoe MC, Fales-Revnolds I.I. Reactive
8 9	The burder Roy, Filler Stevenson, Sinvasurva S, De Voe Mee, Edies Reynolds Es. Readerve
10	oxygen species are involved in smoking-induced dysfunction of nitric oxide
11 12	biosynthesis and upregulation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase: an in vitro
12	demonstration in human coronary artery endothelial cells. Circulation
14	2003;107(18):2342-2347.
15 16	48 Benowitz NL Cigarette smoking and cardiovascular disease: pathophysiology and
17	implications for treatment. Dress Condisuses Dis. 2002;46(1):01, 111
18	implications for treatment. Prog Cardiovasc Dis., 2003,46(1).91–111.
19 20	49. Chen S, Wu P, Zhou L, Shen Y, Li Y, Song H. Relationship between increase of
21	serum homocysteine caused by smoking and oxidative damage in elderly patients
22	with cardiovascular disease. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2015;8(3):4446-54.
23 24	50. Prasad K. Dhar I. Caspar-Bell G. Role of Advanced Glycation End Products and
25	Its Recentors in the Pathogenesis of Cigarette Smoke-Induced Cardiovascular
26 27	
28	Disease. Int J Angiol. 2015;24(2):75-80.
29	51. Ottum MS, Mistry AM. Advanced glycation end products: modifiable
30 31	environmental factors profoundly mediate insulin resistance. J Clin Biochem Nutr.
32	2015;57(1):1-12.
33	52 Biswas SK Mudi SR Mollah FH Bierhaus A Arslan MI Serum soluble receptor
34 35	for advanced advantion and products (aPACE) is independently associated with
36	for advanced grycation end products (sRAGE) is independently associated with
37	cigarette smoking in non-diabetic healthy subjects. Vasc Dis Res. 2013;
30 39	10(4):380-2.
40	53. Zhong C, Zhong X, Xu T, Peng H, Li H, Zhang M, et al. Combined effects of
41 42	hypertension and heart rate on the risk of stroke and coronary heart disease: a
43	nonulation based prospective cohort study among Inner Mongolians in China
44	population-based prospective conort study among miler wongonans in china.
45 46	Hypertens Res. 2015;38(12):883-8.
47	54. Du H, Li L, Bennett D, Guo Y, Key TJ, Bian Z, et al. Fresh Fruit Consumption
48	and Major Cardiovascular Disease in China. N Engl J Med. 2016; 374(14):
49 50	1332–1343.
51	55 Ding L Xu Y Wang LM Jiang Y Zhang M Li YC et al. Smoking and Its
52 53	Delation to Matchelia Status among Chinage Adulta: Anglusia of a Nationwide
54	Relation to Metabolic Status among Chinese Adults: Analysis of a Nationwide
55	Survey. Biomed Environ Sci. 2016;29(9):619-627.
56 57	56 Xu Y, Wang L, He J, Bi Y, Li M, Wang T, et mal. Prevalence and Control of
58	21
59 60	For peer review only - http://bmiopen.hmi.com/site/about/quidelines.yhtml
00	. e. peer retrett ettig inteps, strijopernornjieoni, site, aboar, galaentes, and

**BMJ** Open

Diabetes in Chinese Adults. JAMA. 2013;310(9):948-59.

to beet terien only

Page 23 of 27

Reported variable	Non-smoking /non-DM2	Smoking /non-DM2	Non-smoking /DM2	Smoking /DM2	Р
Total	29568	7536	2237	546	
Gender( man)	11087(37.50%)	6890(91.43%)	726(32.45%)	475(87.00%)	<0.0
Age(years)	46.93±17.13	49.64±15.97	61.52±12.71	59.03±11.95	<0.0
Marred (living with partners)	24290(82.15%)	6622(87.87%)	1844(82.43%)	506(92.67%)	<0.(
Below high school	21906(74.09%)	5757(76.39%)	1832(81.90%)	409(74.91%)	
high school	4684(15.84%)	1235(16.39%)	263(11.76%)	94(17.22%)	<0.0
Above high school	2978(10.07%)	544(7.22%)	142(6.34%)	43(7.87%)	
Manual	20702(70.01%)	5538(73.49%)	1382(61.78%)	326(59.71%)	
Non-manual	3664(12.39%)	909(12.06%)	145(6.48%)	59(10.80%)	
Retired	2277(7.70%)	589(7.82%)	563(25.17%)	124(22,71%)	<0.0
Unemployed	2925(9.89%)	500(6.63%)	147(6 57%)	37(678%)	
alcoholuse	1832(6,20%)	4061(53,89%)	156(697%)	284(52.01%)	<00
Regular evercise	5943(20,10%)	1519(20.16%)	580(25.93%)	172(3150%)	×0.0
Regular exercise	3943(20.10%)	1319(20.10%)	560(25.95%)	172(31.30%)	
Family history of Hypertension	2007(6.79%)	740(9.82%)	218(9.75%)	56(10.26%)	<0.0
Family history of DM2	350(1.18%)	128(1 70%)	120(5 36%)	26(4 76%)	<01
Family history of stroke	570(1.1070)	200(2.77%)	32(1.43%)	13(2,38%)	×0.
Humortonsion	574(1.9470)	209(2.7790)	52(1.4370)	13(2.30%)	
$DMI(x 24 lrg/m^2)$	12522(42200/)	2004(20.39%)	1202((1700))	235(40.70%)	-0
$BMI(\geq 24 \text{kg/m}^2)$	12532(42.38%)	3536(46.92%)	1382(61.78%)	335(65.02%)	<0.
		22			

Variables		Stroko	Non stroko	Unadjusted	Adjusted OR	р
		Stroke Non-Stroke		OR(95%CI)	(95%CI)	P
Smoking	No	622(67.76%)	31183(80.02%)	1.91	1.83	
	Yes	296(32.24%)	7786(19.98%)	(1.63-2.31)	(1.59-2.14)	<0.01
DM2	No	765(83.33%)	36339(93.25%)	2.76	2.65	
	Yes	153(16.67%)	2630(6.75%)	(1.77-4.68)	(1.70-4.41)	<0.01

Table 2 Associations between smoking, diabetes, and stroke

Adjusted for age, sex, education, marriage status, employment, family history of diabetes, family history of hypertension, family history of stroke, alcohol consumption, physical activity, hypertension, diabetes or smoking, body mass index, and lipids.

**Table 3** Odds ratios (ORs) for the association between smoking and stroke by diabetes among participants

	01					
Smoking	Diabetes	No	No stroke Stroke	Unadjusted	Adjusted OR	D
		stroke		OR(95%CI)	(95%CI)	F
No	No	29056	512	1	1	
	Yes	2127	110	2.93(1.73-3.15)	2.00 (1.56-2.56)	<0.01
Yes	No	7283	253	1.97(1.54-2.37)	1.65 (1.36-2.00)	<0.01
	Yes	503	43	4.85(2.65-6.21)	3.45 (2.30-5.16)	<0.01

Adjusted for age, sex, education, marriage status, employment, family history of diabetes, family history of hypertension, family history of stroke, alcohol consumption, physical activity, hypertension, body mass index, and lipids.

1	
2	
3 4	
5	
6	
7	
o 9	
10	
11	
12	
14	
15	
16	
18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	
30	
31	
32 33	
34	
35	
36 37	
38	
39	
40 41	
42	
43	
44 45	
46	
47 40	
48 49	
50	
51 52	
52 53	
54	
55	
56	

57

58 59

60

Table 4 Measures for estimating biological interaction between smoking and
diabetes for prevalence of stroke in participants

Measures of biological interaction	Estimate (95% CI)
RERI	1.80(1.24-3.84)
AP	0.52(0.37-0.73)
S	1.50(1.18-1.84)

Reference group is no smoking with non-diabetes.

 

 Juing wi

 Joing wi

 Adjusted for age, sex, education, marriage status, employment, family history of diabetes, family history of hypertension, family history of stroke, alcohol consumption, physical activity, hypertension, body mass index, and lipids.

**STROBE Statement** Checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

1 2

Section/Topic	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
	1	(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5
		<ul> <li>(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up</li> <li>Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the</li> </ul>	
Participants	6	rationale for the choice of cases and controls         Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants       5	
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	6-7
Data sources/measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	6-7
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6-7
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
		(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	7-8
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
Statistical methods	12	(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	
		Case-control study-If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy 7-8	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
· · ·		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	1

1

47

BMJ Open

2 3 4	Section/Topic	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page No
5	Results			
6 7 8	-		(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	8
9 10	Participants	13*	<ul><li>(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage</li><li>(c) Consider use of a flow diagram</li></ul>	
11 12 13	Descriptive data	1.4*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	8
14	Descriptive data	14	(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	
16			(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	
17			Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	
18	Outcome data	15*	Case-control study-Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	
19			Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	8
21 22			( <i>a</i> ) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	9-10
23	Main results	16	(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
24			(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
25 26	Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	11
27	Discussion			
28 20	Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	11
30 31	Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	16
32 33 34	Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	15-16
35	Generalisability	21	Discuss the general is ability (external validity) of the study results	16
36	Other Information			
38 39	Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	17
40	*Give information separately	, for cases	and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.	
41 42 43	<b>Note:</b> An Explanation and El best used in conjunction with Enidemiology at http://www.	aboration this article	article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE cl le (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org	necklist is g/, and
44 45 46	Lpaciniology at http://www.	epidem.et	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	2