Understanding Co-loading and Releasing of Doxorubicin and Paclitaxel using Chitosan Functionalized Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes by Molecular Dynamics Simulations

Konda Reddy Karnati, Yixuan Wang*

Department of Chemistry and Forensic Science, Albany State University, Albany, GA 31705, USA



Figure S1. Five DOX (left) and PTX (right) were binding with the sidewall of SWCNT with PM6-DH2.



Figure S2. Distance frequencies of DOX (top) and PTX (bottom) binding with different CNT systems.



Figure S3. Reduced density gradient isosurface (0.5 au) for pristine CNT and DOX/PTX. Green and yellow indicates vdW interaction and red strong nonbonded overlap.



Figure S4. Reduced density gradient isosurface (0.5 au) for functionalized CNT and DOX. Green and yellow indicates vdW interaction and red strong nonbonded overlap.

	ΔН	-ΤΔS	ΔG
DOX-1	-36.03	44.75	8.71
DOX-2	-36.59	42.48	5.94
DOX-3	-35.73	43.22	7.49
DOX-4	-36.80	46.59	9.79
PTX-1	-42.83	67.83	25.0
PTX-2	-35.58	64.43	28.84
PTX-3	-46.01	65.93	19.92
PTX-4	-38.87	63.10	24.22

Table S1. Δ H, -T Δ S, Δ G (Kcal/mol) for the binding of *f*-SWCNT with DOX and PTX molecules.

Table S2. Δ H, -T Δ S, Δ G (Kcal/mol) for the binding of P-*f*-SWCNT with DOX and PTX molecules.

	ΔН	-ΤΔS	ΔG
DOX-1	-37.53	45.14	1.52
DOX-2	-31.40	45.49	14.09
DOX-3	-30.35	44.82	14.47
DOX-4	-29.99	44.41	14.41
PTX-1	-35.67	66.31	30.64
PTX-2	-31.01	63.93	32.92
PTX-3	-38.72	65.18	26.46
PTX-4	-33.11	70.32	37.21

Don't i unu Don't Don't unu tour i thit morecules on surface of S () et ().					
	ΔH	-ΤΔS	ΔG		
DOX-1	-64.01	36.68	-27.34		
DOX-2	-54.38	35.27	-19.11		
DOX-3	-38.07	46.54	8.47		
DOX-4	-37.61	41.13	3.52		
PTX-1	-45.88	62.68	16.79		
PTX-2	-40.88	63.96	23.08		
PTX-3	-35.94	67.16	31.22		
PTX-4	-40.55	65.99	25.45		

Table S3. Δ H, -T Δ S, Δ G (Kcal/mol) for the binding *f*-SWCNT with two encapsulated DOX (DOX-1 and DOX-2), two DOX and four PTX molecules on surface of SWCNT.