## (a) Costa Rica

Month	Collected/sighted	Collected/sighted	Trapped	Trapped	Total	Total
(2015-2016)	A. iphiclus	A. serpa	A. iphiclus	A. serpa	A. iphiclus	A. serpa
March	0	5	0	0	0	5
April	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	2	1	4	0	6	1
June	12	2	2	0	14	2
July	3	1	4	0	7	1
August	0	1	0	0	0	1
September	0	0	2	0	2	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0
January	1	6	0	0	1	6
February	0	3	0	0	0	3
March	0	3	0	0	0	3
April	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	1	0	1	0
July	0	0	2	0	2	0
August	0	0	3	0	3	0
Total	18	22	18	0	36	22

(NS)

## (b) Ecuador

Month	Collected/sighted	Collected/sighted	Trapped	Trapped	Total	Total
(2015-2017)	A. iphiclus	A. serpa	A. iphiclus	A. serpa	A. iphiclus	A. serpa
July	1	0	1	0	2	0
August	7	0	0	0	7	0
September	1	0	2	0	3	0
October	6	0	1	0	7	0
November	-	-	0	0	0	0
December	-	-	2	0	2	0
January	-	-	3	0	3	0
February	-	-	0	0	0	0
March	-	-	0	0	0	0
April	-	-	0	0	0	0
May	-	-	1	0	1	0
June	-	-	3	0	3	0
July	-	-	7	0	7	0
August	-	-	4	0	4	0
September	-	-	6	1	6	1
October	-	-	9	0	9	0
November	-	-	19	0	19	0
December	-	-	5	0	5	0
January	-	-	0	0	0	0
February	-	-	5	0	5	0
March	-	-	1	0	1	0
April	-	-	0	0	0	0
Total	15	0	69	1	84	1

(p = 0.00047)

**Supporting Information Table 2:** Observed adult records of A. iphiclus (model) and A. serpa (mimic) at two field locations: (a) Costa Rica and (b) Ecuador. Shaded rows indicate months when adult records overlap with data collected during predation experiments. The total abundances were compared using a two-tailed Wilcoxon signed-rank test, and means were derived from monthly records. The abundance of A. iphiclus and A. serpa was not significantly different in Costa Rica (NS, brackets), but in Ecuador A. iphiclus (model) is significantly more abundant than A. serpa (mimic) (p = 0.00047, brackets).