natureresearch

Corresponding author(s):	Christoph Ratzke,	Jeff Gore
Initial submission	Revised version	Final submission

Life Sciences Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form is intended for publication with all accepted life science papers and provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. Every life science submission will use this form; some list items might not apply to an individual manuscript, but all fields must be completed for clarity.

For further information on the points included in this form, see Reporting Life Sciences Research. For further information on Nature Research policies, including our data availability policy, see Authors & Referees and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

Experimental design

1. Sample size

Describe how sample size was determined.

The experiments turned out to be very reproducible, thus the error between different replicas and thus the error bars are very small. Moreover, are results and conclusions are not based statastic methods but are of rather qualitative nature. Because of these reasons we found at least 3 replicates for each quantitative measurement sufficient.

2. Data exclusions

Describe any data exclusions.

We excluded the data of one replica in Supplemental Fig. 3. This data curve showed a very strong optical density at time=0 and strong fluctuations of the signal over time, which we assigned to the presence of contaminations from the beginning of the measurement on (e.g aggregated nanobeads or similar) and removed that curve.

3. Replication

Describe whether the experimental findings were reliably reproduced.

4. Randomization

Describe how samples/organisms/participants were allocated into experimental groups.

5. Blinding

Describe whether the investigators were blinded to group allocation during data collection and/or analysis.

We reproduces every measurement at least 2 times, by two independent people ($\mbox{J.D}$ and $\mbox{C.R.})$ with the same results. Often measurements were reproduced also more often than 2 times.

There was no randomization.

We did not use blinding. The signals and the differences between the different measurements were very clear, which did not make blinding necessary.

 $Note: all \ studies \ involving \ animals \ and/or \ human \ research \ participants \ must \ disclose \ whether \ blinding \ and \ randomization \ were \ used.$

6.	Statistical parameters			
	For all figures and tables that use statistical methods, confirm that the following items are present in relevant figure legends (or in the Methods section if additional space is needed).			
n/a	Confirmed			
	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement (animals, litters, cultures, etc.)			
	A description of how samples were collected, noting whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly			
	A statement indicating how many times each experiment was replicated			
\times	The statistical test(s) used and whether they are one- or two-sided (note: only common tests should be described solely by name; more complex techniques should be described in the Methods section)			
\times	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as an adjustment for multiple comparisons			
\times	The test results (e.g. <i>P</i> values) given as exact values whenever possible and with confidence intervals noted			
\times	A clear description of statistics including <u>central tendency</u> (e.g. median, mean) and <u>variation</u> (e.g. standard deviation, interquartile range)			
	Clearly defined error bars			
See the web collection on statistics for biologists for further resources and guidance.				
•	Software			
	icy information about availability of computer code			
	Software			
	Describe the software used to analyze the data in this	to solve the differential equations we used NDSolve[] in Wolfram Mathematica 11		
	study.			
	For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the paper but not yet described in the published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers upon request. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). <i>Nature Methods</i> guidance for providing algorithms and software for publication provides further information on this topic.			
•	Materials and reagents			
Pol	icy information about availability of materials			
8.	Materials availability			
	Indicate whether there are restrictions on availability of unique materials or if these materials are only available for distribution by a for-profit company.	The soil strains we used are avaiable on request, other than that no unique material has been used.		
9.	Antibodies			
	Describe the antibodies used and how they were validated for use in the system under study (i.e. assay and species).	No antibodies were used.		
10. Eukaryotic cell lines				
	a. State the source of each eukaryotic cell line used.	No eukaryotic cell lines were used.		
	b. Describe the method of cell line authentication used.	No eukaryotic cell lines were used.		
	c. Report whether the cell lines were tested for mycoplasma contamination.	No eukaryotic cell lines were used.		
	d. If any of the cell lines used are listed in the database of commonly misidentified cell lines maintained by ICLAC, provide a scientific rationale for their use.	No eukaryotic cell lines were used.		

▶ Animals and human research participants

Policy information about studies involving animals; when reporting animal research, follow the ARRIVE guidelines

11. Description of research animals

Provide details on animals and/or animal-derived materials used in the study.

No animals were used.

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

12. Description of human research participants

Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants.

No humans were used.