

| Attrition at 2 year follow-up | | |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Stimulation | 0.02 (-0.03 to 0.07) | 0.01 (-0.04 to 0.06) |
| Supplementation | 0.04 (-0.02 to 0.09) | 0.03 (-0.02 to 0.09) |
| Stimulation and Supplementation | 0.05* (-0.01 to 0.11) | 0.06* (-0.00 to 0.11) |
| Baseline Age in Months | | 0.00 (-0.00 to 0.00) |
| Baseline Cognition (Bayley-III) | | 0.01 (-0.01 to 0.03) |
| Baseline Receptive Language (Bayley-III) | | -0.02* (-0.03 to 0.00) |
| Baseline Expressive Language (Bayley-III) | | -0.00 (-0.02 to 0.02) |
| Baseline Fine Motor (Bayley-III) | | 0.00 (-0.01 to 0.02) |
| Baseline Gross Motor (Bayley-III) | | -0.00 (-0.02 to 0.02) |
| Male | | -0.05*** (-0.08 to -0.01) |
| Constant | 0.09*** (0.05 to 0.13) | 0.11** (0.02 to 0.20) |
| Observations | 1,419 | 1,417 |
| R-squared | 0.004 | 0.011 |

S2 Table: Differential Attrition by Treatment Status and Baseline Characteristics. Table displays results from an OLS regression of a binary indicator =1 if the child attrited from the sample at this follow-up on treatment indicators, baseline characteristics and a constant term. *p<0.10, **p<0.05, ***p<0.01: 2-tailed p-values for difference of regression coefficient from zero. 95% CIs (in parentheses) and P-values adjusted for clustering at the town level. Baseline Bayley-III scores standardised non-parametrically for age and scaled to have zero mean and unit variance in the control group.