File S1

Turner et al: Supplementary Results

1	Par	ental lines 4
	1.1	Parental means 5
2	Mu	ltiple imputation 6
	2.1	Incidence of missing data
	2.2	Convergence of MCMC chains
	2.3	Observed and imputed values
	2.4	Observed and imputed values by year
	2.5	Pooled ANOVA results
3	Mic	lseason height (80 DAP) 13
	3.1	GGE biplot
	3.2	Observed and predicted values
	3.3	HPD intervals for inheritance classes
	3.4	HPD intervals for fixed and random effects
	3.5	Degree of dominance
	3.6	GxE
		3.6.1 GCA x environment interactions
		3.6.2 SCA x environment interactions
		3.6.3 Rankings by environment
4	Hei	ght (130 DAP) 20
	4.1	GGE biplot
	4.2	Observed and predicted values
	4.3	HPD intervals for inheritance classes
	4.4	HPD intervals for fixed and random effects
	4.5	Degree of dominance
	4.6	G x E
		4.6.1 GCA x environment interactions
		4.6.2 Reciprocal x environment interactions
		4.6.3 Rankings by environment

Supplementary results TURNER ET AL: SUPPLEMENTARY RESULTS

5	Mic	lseason width (80 DAP)	27
	5.1		27
	5.2	UDD is the last of the state of	28
	5.3	HPD intervals for inheritance classes	29
	5.4	HPD intervals for fixed and random effects	29
	5.5	Degree of dominance	30
	5.0	GXE	31
		5.6.1 Rankings by environment	31
6	Wie	111 (130 DAP)	32
	6.1	GGE biplot	32
	6.2	Observed and predicted values	33
	6.3	HPD intervals for inheritance classes	34
	6.4	HPD intervals for fixed and random effects	34
	6.5	Degree of dominance	35
	6.6	GxE	36
		6.6.1 Rankings by environment	36
7	Sho	ot biomass (fresh)	37
	7.1	GGE biplot	37
	7.2	Observed and predicted values	38
	7.3	HPD intervals for inheritance classes	39
	7.4	HPD intervals for fixed and random effects	39
	7.5	Degree of dominance	40
	7.6	GxE	41
		7.6.1 GCA x environment interactions	41
		7.6.2 SCA x environment interactions	42
		7.6.3 Reciprocal x environment interactions	43
		7.6.4 Rankings by environment	44
8	Sho	ot biomass (drv)	45
Ŭ	8.1	GGE biplot	45
	8.2	Observed and predicted values	46
	8.3	HPD intervals for inheritance classes	47
	8.4	HPD intervals for fixed and random effects	47
	8.5	Degree of dominance	$\frac{-}{48}$
	8.6	GxE	49
		8.6.1 GCA x environment interactions	49
		8.6.2 SCA x environment interactions	50
		8.6.3 Rankings by environment	51

Supplementary results TURNER ET AL: SUPPLEMENTARY RESULTS

9	Roo	t biomass (fresh)	52
	9.1	GGE biplot	52
	9.2	Observed and predicted values	53
	9.3	HPD intervals for inheritance classes	54
	9.4	HPD intervals for fixed and random effects	54
	9.5	Degree of dominance	55
	9.6	GxE	56
		9.6.1 Rankings by environment	56
10	Roo	t biomass (dry)	57
	10.1	GGE biplot	57
	10.2	Observed and predicted values	58
	10.3	HPD intervals for inheritance classes	59
	10.4	HPD intervals for fixed and random effects	59
	10.5	Degree of dominance	60
	10.6	GxE	61
		10.6.1 Rankings by environment	61
11	Sho	ot:root ratio	62
	11.1	GGE biplot	62
	11.2	Observed and predicted values	63
	11.3	HPD intervals for inheritance classes	64
	11.4	HPD intervals for fixed and random effects	64
	11.5	Degree of dominance	65
	11.6	GxE	66
		11.6.1 GCA x environment interactions	66
		11.6.2 SCA x environment interactions	67
		11.6.3 Rankings by environment	68

1. Parental lines



Figure S1: Carrot inbred lines used as parents in this study. (a) L6038, (b) L7550, (c) P0159, (d) Nbh2189, (e) P6139, (f) B7262.

1.1 Parental means



Figure S2: Variation among parental lines for selected phenotypes. Values are means \pm 95% confidence intervals.

2. Multiple imputation

2.1 Incidence of missing data



Figure S3: Incidence of missing data by replication and year for canopy height (130 DAP). Key: 1 = L6038, 2 = L7550, 3 = P0159, 4 = Nbh2189, 5 = P6139, 6 = B7262.

2.2 Convergence of MCMC chains



Figure S4: Convergence of imputed Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains for all phenotypes. Key: flw = fresh shoot biomass, dlw = dry shoot biomass, frw = fresh root biomass, drw = dry root biomass.

2.3 Observed and imputed values



Figure S5: Distributions of observed (blue) and imputed (pink) values for all phenotypes. Key: flw = fresh shoot biomass, dlw = dry shoot biomass, frw = fresh root biomass, drw = dry root biomass.

2.4 Observed and imputed values by year



Figure S6: Distributions of observed (blue) and imputed (pink) values for selected traits by year. Key: flw = fresh shoot biomass, dlw = dry shoot biomass, frw = fresh root biomass, drw = dry root biomass.

2.5 Pooled ANOVA results

Table S1: Pooled results of Griffing's ANOVA (Method I, Model I) for multiply imputed data of carrot growth traits. Values include mean squares, critical F-values, corrected numerator degrees of freedom (rN), corrected denominator degrees of freedom (rD), and corresponding p-values.

Phenotype	Source	MS	F-value	rN	rD	P-value
	genotype	331.34	21.56	33.78	94.12	2.44E-31
	gca	516.06	33.42	4.88	94.12	3.12E-19
	sca	393.69	25.48	14.09	94.12	4.90E-26
	recip	207.42	13.44	14.29	94.12	5.36E-17
	year	10794.60	702.62	1.99	94.12	2.95E-57
height (80 DAP)	gxe	25.91	1.68	62.74	94.12	1.09E-02
	gcaxe	39.72	2.53	8.77	94.12	1.29E-02
	scaxe	25.26	1.63	26.49	94.12	4.50E-02
	recipxe	21.96	1.42	26.32	94.12	1.13E-01
	rep:year	24.00	1.32	1.43	94.12	2.65 E-01
	residual	15.38	NA	NA	NA	NA
	genotype	489.30	15.29	34.20	81.71	2.00E-23
	gca	1488.95	46.49	4.97	81.71	1.96E-22
	sca	376.33	11.71	14.32	81.71	2.16E-14
	recip	269.07	8.34	13.83	81.71	1.01E-10
	year	268.70	7.96	1.78	81.71	1.10E-03
height (130 DAP)	gxe	68.48	2.14	62.81	81.71	6.62E-04
	gcaxe	134.49	4.12	8.89	81.71	2.23E-04
	scaxe	43.07	1.33	24.82	81.71	1.70E-01
	recipxe	71.88	2.23	26.85	81.71	3.02E-03
	rep:year	12.49	0.29	1.14	81.71	6.19E-01
	residual	32.14	NA	NA	NA	NA
	genotype	353.57	7.79	33.31	90.75	3.62E-15
	gca	363.35	7.92	4.74	90.75	4.76E-06
	sca	362.43	7.94	14.05	90.75	9.63E-11
	recip	341.46	7.49	14.15	90.75	3.28E-10
	year	11668.22	257.25	1.99	90.75	4.63E-38
width (80 DAP)	gxe	55.60	1.22	58.44	90.75	1.94E-01
	gcaxe	55.63	1.17	7.45	90.75	3.29E-01
	scaxe	36.82	0.79	20.52	90.75	7.24E-01
	recipxe	74.37	1.62	24.50	90.75	5.28E-02
	rep:year	56.44	0.99	1.21	90.75	3.39E-01
	residual	45.39	NA	NA	NA	NA

Continued on next page

Table S1 – continued from previous page									
Phenotype	Source	MS	F-value	rN	rD	P-value			
	genotype	433.77	8.16	32.89	90.48	1.13E-15			
	gca	1024.37	19.22	4.91	90.48	7.41E-13			
	sca	435.66	8.16	14.12	90.48	5.04E-11			
	recip	235.02	4.37	13.19	90.48	1.02E-05			
	year	944.08	17.26	1.88	90.48	7.78E-07			
width (130 DAP)	gxe	64.74	1.21	58.34	90.48	2.01E-01			
	gcaxe	110.75	2.03	8.44	90.48	4.85E-02			
	scaxe	50.95	0.95	25.05	90.48	5.41E-01			
	recipxe	63.20	1.18	25.31	90.48	2.80E-01			
	rep:year	106.03	1.98	1.96	90.48	1.45E-01			
	residual	53.17	NA	NA	NA	NA			
	genotype	1.80	15.67	33.26	83.96	4.99E-24			
	gca	7.27	63.32	4.96	83.96	5.99E-27			
	sca	0.95	8.18	13.81	83.96	1.22E-10			
	recip	0.83	7.13	13.11	83.96	3.61E-09			
	year	0.11	0.05	0.06	83.96	1.61E-01			
shoot biomass (fresh)	gxe	0.26	2.27	58.11	83.96	3.00E-04			
	gcaxe	0.45	3.88	9.05	83.96	3.69E-04			
	scaxe	0.26	2.29	28.00	83.96	1.94E-03			
	recipxe	0.19	1.67	23.85	83.96	4.50E-02			
	rep:year	0.10	0.77	1.77	83.96	4.51E-01			
	residual	0.12	NA	NA	NA	NA			
	genotype	2.13	15.35	33.41	93.50	1.93E-25			
	gca	8.55	61.63	4.96	93.50	5.33E-28			
	sca	1.21	8.70	13.87	93.50	1.07E-11			
	recip	0.91	6.45	13.33	93.50	1.11E-08			
	year	2.06	14.22	1.82	93.50	8.18E-06			
shoot biomass (dry)	gxe	0.28	1.98	58.09	93.50	1.61E-03			
	gcaxe	0.40	2.80	8.87	93.50	6.14E-03			
	scaxe	0.31	2.25	27.50	93.50	2.06E-03			
	recipxe	0.20	1.40	23.10	93.50	1.33E-01			
	rep:year	0.12	0.69	1.44	93.50	4.57E-01			
	residual	0.14	NA	NA	NA	NA			

Continued on next page

1a	ble SI $-$ cont	inued fro	m previous	s page		
Phenotype	Source	MS	F-value	rN	rD	P-value
	genotype	0.76	8.73	33.57	94.71	$4.15 \text{E}{-}17$
	gca	1.13	12.99	4.81	94.71	2.02 E- 09
	sca	1.11	12.76	14.51	94.71	1.74E-16
	recip	0.28	3.18	13.03	94.71	5.45E-04
	year	17.80	205.50	1.99	94.71	4.18E-35
root biomass (fresh)	gxe	0.12	1.44	62.13	94.71	5.37E-02
	gcaxe	0.21	2.40	9.18	94.71	1.64E-02
	scaxe	0.14	1.55	25.69	94.71	6.63E-02
	recipxe	0.09	0.98	24.86	94.71	4.95E-01
	rep:year	0.14	1.53	1.88	94.71	2.22E-01
	residual	0.09	NA	NA	NA	NA
	genotype	0.91	9.26	33.49	95.15	5.75E-18
	gca	1.74	17.55	4.88	95.15	3.99E-12
	sca	1.30	13.22	14.44	95.15	6.11E-17
	recip	0.24	2.43	12.18	95.15	8.24E-03
	year	19.71	200.19	1.99	95.15	9.40E-35
root biomass (dry)	gxe	0.12	1.26	59.40	95.15	1.54E-01
(dry)	gcaxe	0.20	1.97	9.01	95.15	5.11E-02
	scaxe	0.13	1.33	25.13	95.15	1.66E-01
	recipxe	0.09	0.94	24.92	95.15	5.53E-01
	rep:year	0.15	1.41	1.80	95.15	2.48E-01
	residual	0.10	NA	NA	NA	NA
	genotype	0.24	17.39	32.86	82.36	3.51E-25
	gca	1.27	93.46	4.95	82.36	2.47E-32
	sca	0.06	4.19	12.68	82.36	2.94E-05
	recip	0.07	5.09	12.96	82.36	1.61E-06
	year	0.27	18.69	1.79	82.36	5.84E-07
shoot:root ratio	gxe	0.04	2.69	60.59	82.36	1.64E-05
	gcaxe	0.08	6.15	8.99	82.36	1.42E-06
	scaxe	0.04	3.03	27.97	82.36	5.34E-05
	recipxe	0.02	1.15	21.92	82.36	3.13E-01
	rep:year	0.01	0.33	0.67	82.36	4.82E-01
	residual	0.01	NA	NA	NA	NA

3. Midseason height (80 DAP)

3.1 GGE biplot



Discrimitiveness vs. representativenss

Figure S7: Discriminativeness vs. representativeness view of a biplot for canopy height at 80 days after planting. Parents treated as testers are shown in blue and as entries in green. The blue line indicates the average tester coordinate (ATC) abscissa, with the blue circle indicating the average tester score. The arrow in the circle indicates the direction of increasing GCA for the entries. Parent B7262 was both the most discriminating (had the longest vector) and was representative of other parental lines (vector was close to the ATC abscissa).



3.2 Observed and predicted values

Figure S8: Observed (left) and predicted (right) means for height (80 DAP). Posterior predicted means on the right are the result of fitting the model in BayesDiallel to the data on the left.

3.3 HPD intervals for inheritance classes



Figure S9: HPD intervals for canopy height (80 DAP; cm). **3.4 HPD intervals for fixed and random effects**



Figure S10: HPD intervals of fixed and random effects for canopy height (80 DAP; cm). Planting density ranged from 0 (low) to 3 (high).

3.5 Degree of dominance



Figure S11: Posterior distributions of the degree of dominance for midseason height (80 DAP). Posterior means within the interval are indicated by red dotted lines and medians by blue solid lines, with 95% central quantiles shown in grey. A signal was considered strong if the mean, median, and the majority of the posterior distribution fell within the specified ranges: pseudo-underrecessive ($-\infty$,-1.5), recessive (-1.5,-0.5), additive (-0.5,0.5), dominant (0.5,1.5), pseudo-overdominant (1.5, ∞). Key for parental lines: 1=L6038, 2=L7550, 3=P0159, 4=Nbh2189, 5=P6139, 6=B7262.

3.6 GxE

3.6.1 GCA x environment interactions

Table S2: GCA	A for plant	height ((80 DAP; cm)) in each	growing	environment.
---------------	-------------	----------	--------------	-----------	---------	--------------

Parent	WI2015	CA2015	CA2016					
L6038	-3.45	-0.65	-0.06					
L7550	-2.78	-2.9	-2.81*					
P0159	1.16	2.17	-0.77					
Nbh2189	2.88	1.24	3.17^{*}					
P6139	4.19^{*}	3.52^{*}	2.84^{*}					
B7262	-1.99	-3.38*	-2.37					
***P<0.001, **P<0.01, *P<0.05								

Table S3: Nonparametric correlations (Spearman's rho) among environments forGCA of plant height (80 DAP).

Environment	Spearman's rho
WI2015-CA2015	0.71
WI2015-CA2016	0.60
CA2015-CA2016	0.66

***P≤0.001, **P≤0.01, *P≤0.05

3.6.2 SCA x environment interactions

F1 hybrids	WI2015	CA2015	CA2016
L6038 x L7550	2.16	-2.48	-1.26
$L6038 \ge P0159$	0.69	2.83	-0.37
L6038 x Nbh2189	-1.48	2.3	2.22
$L6038 \ge P6139$	-0.01	3.56	1.44
$L6038 \ge B7262$	1.21	0.91	4.02
$L7550 \ge P0159$	-3.06	2.04	-2.22
L7550 x Nbh2189	-1.27	1.89	0.83
$L7550 \ge P6139$	-1.84	3.4	-0.54
$L7550 \ge B7262$	-3.77	-6*	-0.54
$P0159 \ge Nbh2189$	1.95	2.03	0.38
$P0159 \ge P6139$	0.1	5.12	6.9^{*}
$P0159 \ge B7262$	2.39	6.89^{*}	3.9
Nbh2189 x P6139	7^*	4.22	5.48
Nbh2189 x B7262	-6.11	-5.97*	-4.28
$P6139 \ge B7262$	-0.95	5.66	2.24
Parental selfs			
L6038	-3.5	-7.11	-5.11
L7550	3.31	1.15	2.6
P0159	-13.34**	-18.9^{***}	-7.12
Nbh2189	-9.31*	-4.46	-5.45
P6139	-20.01***	-21.94***	-14.58***
B7262	-6.33	-1.49	-2.44

Table S4: SCA for plant height (80 DAP; cm) in each growing environment.

***P \leq 0.001, **P \leq 0.01, *P \leq 0.05

Table S5: Nonparametric correlations (Spearman's rho) among environments forSCA of plant height (80 DAP).

Environment	Spearman's rho
WI2015-CA2015	0.64**
WI2015-CA2016	0.82^{***}
CA2015-CA2016	0.79^{***}
***P≤0.001, **P≤0	.01, *P≤0.05



3.6.3 Rankings by environment

Figure S12: Mean values and 95% highest posterior density (HPD) intervals of hybrid rankings for canopy height (cm; 80 DAP). Hybrids with intervals in the bottom 15 are shown in blue and hybrids with intervals in the top 15 are shown in red.

4. Height (130 DAP)

4.1 GGE biplot



Discrimitiveness vs. representativenss

Figure S13: Discriminativeness vs. representativeness view of a biplot for canopy height at 130 days after planting. Parents treated as testers are shown in blue and as entries in green. The blue line indicates the average tester coordinate (ATC) abscissa, with the blue circle indicating the average tester score. The arrow in the circle indicates the direction of increasing GCA for the entries. Parent B7262 was both the most discriminating (had the longest vector) and was representative of other parental lines (vector was close to the ATC abscissa).



4.2 Observed and predicted values

Figure S14: Observed (left) and predicted (right) means for height (130 DAP). Posterior predicted means on the right are the result of fitting the model in BayesDiallel to the data on the left.

4.3 HPD intervals for inheritance classes



height

Figure S15: HPD intervals for canopy height (130 DAP; cm).
4.4 HPD intervals for fixed and random effects



Figure S16: HPD intervals of fixed and random effects for canopy height (130 DAP; cm). Planting density ranged from 0 (low) to 3 (high).

4.5 Degree of dominance



Figure S17: Posterior distributions of the degree of dominance for height (130 DAP). Posterior means within the interval are indicated by red dotted lines and medians by blue solid lines, with 95% central quantiles shown in grey. A signal was considered strong if the mean, median, and the majority of the posterior distribution fell within the specified ranges: pseudo-underrecessive ($-\infty$,-1.5), recessive (-1.5,-0.5), additive (-0.5,0.5), dominant (0.5,1.5), pseudo-overdominant (1.5, ∞). Key for parental lines: 1=L6038, 2=L7550, 3=P0159, 4=Nbh2189, 5=P6139, 6=B7262.

4.6 GxE

4.6.1 GCA x environment interactions

Table S	56:	GCA	for	plant	height	(130)	DAP;	cm)	in	each	growing	environme	ent.
---------	-----	-----	-----	-------	--------	-------	------	-----	----	------	---------	-----------	------

-4.14*	0 50***	
	-8.32	-6.83***
-3.78	-4.83**	-6.21**
1.21	4.44**	-1.37
2.31	4.35^{*}	4.2
4.65^{*}	1.51	5.44^{*}
-0.25	3.05	4.77^{*}
	-3.78 1.21 2.31 4.65* -0.25	$\begin{array}{cccc} -3.78 & -4.83^{++} \\ 1.21 & 4.44^{++} \\ 2.31 & 4.35^{+} \\ 4.65^{+} & 1.51 \\ -0.25 & 3.05 \end{array}$

Table S7: Nonparametric correlations (Spearman's rho) among environments forGCA of plant height (130 DAP).

Environment	Spearman's rho
WI2015-CA2015	0.60
WI2015-CA2016	0.83^{*}
CA2015-CA2016	0.43

***P≤0.001, **P≤0.01, *P≤0.05

4.6.2 Reciprocal x environment interactions

Table S8: Reciprocal effects for plant height (130 DAP; cm) in each location.

F1 hybrids	WI2015	CA2015	CA2016
L6038 x L7550	6.38**	2.25	4.42*
L6038 x P0159	-1.93	1.75	-6.46**
L6038 x Nbh2189	1.83	5.13^{*}	0.33
L6038 x P6139	4.83^{*}	0.92	2.54
$L6038 \ge B7262$	10.71^{***}	7.29***	11.79***
$L7550 \ge L6038$	-6.38**	-2.25	-4.42*
L7550 x P0159	1.45	-4.38*	-1.92
L7550 x Nbh2189	-4.75	-0.08	-8.06**
L7550 x P6139	-3.42	0.29	-1.38
$L7550 \ge B7262$	1.58	-0.67	6.46^{*}
P0159 x L6038	1.93	-1.75	6.46^{**}
$P0159 \ge L7550$	-1.45	4.38^{*}	1.92
$P0159 \ge Nbh2189$	-9.58*	-1.75	-8.56***
P0159 x P6139	-5.25**	-5.5**	1.88
$P0159 \ge B7262$	3.85	0.71	15.65^{***}
Nbh2189 x L6038	-1.83	-5.13*	-0.33
Nbh2189 x L7550	4.75	0.08	8.06**
Nbh2189 x P0159	9.58^{*}	1.75	8.56***
Nbh2189 x P6139	-1.33	-5.71**	1.29
Nbh2189 x B7262	7.46	-0.13	14.71***
P6139 x L6038	-4.83*	-0.92	-2.54
$P6139 \ge L7550$	3.42	-0.29	1.38
P6139 x P0159	5.25^{**}	5.5^{**}	-1.88
P6139 x Nbh2189	1.33	5.71**	-1.29
P6139 x B7262	2.65	0.54	4.54^{*}
$B7262 \ge L6038$	-10.71***	-7.29***	-11.79***
$B7262 \ge L7550$	-1.58	0.67	-6.46*
$B7262 \ge P0159$	-3.85	-0.71	-15.65***
B7262 x Nbh2189	-7.46	0.13	-14.71***
B7262 x P6139	-2.65	-0.54	-4.54*

***P \leq 0.001, **P \leq 0.01, *P \leq 0.05

Table S9: Nonparametric correlations (Spearman's rho) among environments for reciprocal effects of plant height (130 DAP).

Environment	Spearman's rho
WI2015-CA2015	0.53***
WI2015-CA2016	0.79^{***}
CA2015-CA2016	0.28
***P≤0.001, **P≤0	.01, *P≤0.05



4.6.3 Rankings by environment

Figure S18: Mean values and 95% highest posterior density (HPD) intervals of hybrid rankings for canopy height (cm; 130 DAP). Hybrids with intervals in the bottom 15 are shown in blue and hybrids with intervals in the top 15 are shown in red.

5. Midseason width (80 DAP)

5.1 GGE biplot



Discrimitiveness vs. representativenss

Figure S19: Discriminativeness vs. representativeness view of a biplot for canopy width at 80 days after planting. Parents treated as testers are shown in blue and as entries in green. The blue line indicates the average tester coordinate (ATC) abscissa, with the blue circle indicating the average tester score. The arrow in the circle indicates the direction of increasing GCA for the entries. Parent B7262 was both the most discriminating (had the longest vector) and was representative of other parental lines (vector was close to the ATC abscissa).



5.2 Observed and predicted values

Figure S20: Observed (left) and predicted (right) means for width (80 DAP). Posterior predicted means on the right are the result of fitting the model in BayesDiallel to the data on the left.

5.3 HPD intervals for inheritance classes



Figure S21: HPD intervals for canopy width (80 DAP; cm).
5.4 HPD intervals for fixed and random effects



Figure S22: HPD intervals of fixed and random effects for canopy width (80 DAP; cm). Planting density ranged from 0 (low) to 3 (high).

5.5 Degree of dominance



Figure S23: Posterior distributions of the degree of dominance for midseason width (80 DAP). Posterior means within the interval are indicated by red dotted lines and medians by blue solid lines, with 95% central quantiles shown in grey. A signal was considered strong if the mean, median, and the majority of the posterior distribution fell within the specified ranges: pseudo-underrecessive ($-\infty$,-1.5), recessive (-1.5,-0.5), additive (-0.5,0.5), dominant (0.5,1.5), pseudo-overdominant (1.5, ∞). Key for parental lines: 1=L6038, 2=L7550, 3=P0159, 4=Nbh2189, 5=P6139, 6=B7262.

5.6 GxE

5.6.1 Rankings by environment



Figure S24: Mean values and 95% highest posterior density (HPD) intervals of hybrid rankings for canopy width (cm; 80 DAP). Hybrids with intervals in the bottom 15 are shown in blue and hybrids with intervals in the top 15 are shown in red.

6. Width (130 DAP)

6.1 GGE biplot



Discrimitiveness vs. representativenss

Figure S25: Discriminativeness vs. representativeness view of a biplot for canopy width at 130 days after planting. Parents treated as testers are shown in blue and as entries in green. The blue line indicates the average tester coordinate (ATC) abscissa, with the blue circle indicating the average tester score. The arrow in the circle indicates the direction of increasing GCA for the entries. Parent B7262 was both the most discriminating (had the longest vector) and was representative of other parental lines (vector was close to the ATC abscissa).



6.2 Observed and predicted values

Figure S26: Observed (left) and predicted (right) means for width (130 DAP). Posterior predicted means on the right are the result of fitting the model in BayesDiallel to the data on the left.

6.3 HPD intervals for inheritance classes

-20 -10 0 10 20 -20 -10 0 10 20 -20 -10 0 10 20 -20 -10 0 10 2 inbreed.overall maternal:1 v:2;1 w:2;1 w:3;1	
inbreed.overall maternal:1 v:2;1 w:2;1 stand maternal:2 v:3;1 w:3;1	20
stand - maternal:2 + v:3;1 - w:3;1 -	
stand:stand:0 v:4;1 w:4;1	
stand:stand:1 v:5;1 v:5;1	
maternal:4 + v:6;1+ w:6;1+	
stand:stand:2 maternal:5 v:3;2 w:3;2	
stand:stand:2.5 maternal:6 v:4;2 w:4;2 w:4;2	
v:5;2 - w:5;2	
statio_st	
additive:1 inbreeding:2 v:4;3 w:4;3	
additive;2	
additive:3 v.6;3 v.6;3	
additive:4 v:5;4 v:5;4 v:5;4	
additive:5 inbreeding:5	
additive:6 - inbreeding:6 - v:6;5 - w:6;5 -	
	7
-20 -10 20 -20 -10 0 10 20 -20 -10 0 10 20 -20 -10 0 10 20 -20 -10 0 10 2 HPD interval HPD interval HPD interval HPD interval	20

Figure S27: HPD intervals for canopy width (130 DAP; cm).6.4 HPD intervals for fixed and random effects



Figure S28: HPD intervals of fixed and random effects for canopy width (130 DAP; cm). Planting density ranged from 0 (low) to 3 (high).

6.5 Degree of dominance



Figure S29: Posterior distributions of the degree of dominance for canopy width (130 DAP). Posterior means within the interval are indicated by red dotted lines and medians by blue solid lines, with 95% central quantiles shown in grey. A signal was considered strong if the mean, median, and the majority of the posterior distribution fell within the specified ranges: pseudo-underrecessive ($-\infty$,-1.5), recessive (-1.5,-0.5), additive (-0.5,0.5), dominant (0.5,1.5), pseudo-overdominant (1.5, ∞). Key for parental lines: 1=L6038, 2=L7550, 3=P0159, 4=Nbh2189, 5=P6139, 6=B7262.

6.6 GxE

6.6.1 Rankings by environment



Figure S30: Mean values and 95% highest posterior density (HPD) intervals of hybrid rankings for canopy width (cm; 130 DAP). Hybrids with intervals in the bottom 15 are shown in blue and hybrids with intervals in the top 15 are shown in red. 36

7. Shoot biomass (fresh)

7.1 GGE biplot



Discrimitiveness vs. representativenss

Figure S31: Discriminativeness vs. representativeness view of a biplot for fresh shoot biomass (measured 130 days after planting). Parents treated as testers are shown in blue and as entries in green. The blue line indicates the average tester coordinate (ATC) abscissa, with the blue circle indicating the average tester score. The arrow in the circle indicates the direction of increasing GCA for the entries. Parent P0159 was both the most discriminating (had the longest vector) and was representative of other parental lines (vector was close to the ATC abscissa).



7.2 Observed and predicted values

Figure S32: Observed (left) and predicted (right) means for fresh shoot biomass (g). Posterior predicted means on the right are the result of fitting the model in BayesDiallel to the data on the left.

7.3 HPD intervals for inheritance classes



Figure S33: HPD intervals for fresh shoot biomass (g).

7.4 HPD intervals for fixed and random effects



Figure S34: HPD intervals of fixed and random effects for fresh shoot biomass (g). Planting density ranged from 0 (low) to 3 (high).

7.5 Degree of dominance



Figure S35: Posterior distributions of the degree of dominance for fresh shoot biomass. Posterior means within the interval are indicated by red dotted lines and medians by blue solid lines, with 95% central quantiles shown in grey. A signal was considered strong if the mean, median, and the majority of the posterior distribution fell within the specified ranges: pseudo-underrecessive ($-\infty$,-1.5), recessive (-1.5,-0.5), additive (-0.5,0.5), dominant (0.5,1.5), pseudo-overdominant (1.5, ∞). Key for parental lines: 1=L6038, 2=L7550, 3=P0159, 4=Nbh2189, 5=P6139, 6=B7262.

7.6 GxE

7.6.1 GCA x environment interactions

Parent	WI2015	CA2015	CA2016		
L6038	-0.32*	-0.67***	-0.42***		
L7550	-0.15	-0.09	-0.28**		
P0159	0.36^{**}	0.38^{*}	0.38^{***}		
Nbh2189	0.03	0.28	0.12		
P6139	-0.11	-0.29*	-0.02		
B7262	0.19	0.4^{**}	0.22^{*}		
***P≤0.001, **P≤0.01, *P≤0.05					

Table S10: GCA for fresh shoot biomass (g) in each growing environment.

Table S11: Nonparametric correlations (Spearman's rho) among environments for GCA of fresh shoot biomass (g).

Environment	Spearman's rho
WI2015-CA2015	0.89*
WI2015-CA2016	1^{***}
CA2015-CA2016	0.89*

***P≤0.001, **P≤0.01, *P≤0.05

7.6.2 SCA x environment interactions

F1 hybrids	WI2015 CA2015		CA2016
L6038 x L7550	0.3	-0.15	0
$L6038 \ge P0159$	-0.05	0.19	0.17
L6038 x Nbh2189	0.36	-0.53*	-0.5*
$L6038 \ge P6139$	0.13	0.21	0.16
$L6038 \ge B7262$	-0.23	-0.03	0.02
$L7550 \ge P0159$	0.17	-0.13	0.16
L7550 x Nbh2189	0.4	0.29	0.1
$L7550 \ge P6139$	-0.3	0.18	0.1
$L7550 \ge B7262$	0.14	0.4	0.13
P0159 x Nbh2189	0	0.1	0.07
$P0159 \ge P6139$	-0.29	0.13	-0.12
$P0159 \ge B7262$	-0.17	0	-0.08
Nbh2189 x P6139	0.37	-0.18	0.17
Nbh2189 x B7262	0.4	1.01^{***}	0.29
$P6139 \ge B7262$	-0.19	-0.37	-0.03
Parental selfs			
L6038	-0.54	0.31	0.08
L7550	-0.22	-0.61	-0.24
P0159	-0.38	-0.29	-0.22
Nbh2189	-0.53	-0.68*	-0.06
P6139	-0.1	0.02	-0.35
B7262	-0.73	-1.01**	-0.41

Table S12: SCA for fresh shoot biomass (g) in each growing environment.

***P \leq 0.001, **P \leq 0.01, *P \leq 0.05

Table S13: Nonparametric correlations (Spearman's rho) among environments for SCA of fresh shoot biomass (g).

Environment	Spearman's rho
WI2015-CA2015	0.32
WI2015-CA2016	0.46^{*}
CA2015-CA2016	0.66**
**** 0 <0.001 *** 0 <0	01 *D <0 05

*** $P \le 0.001$, ** $P \le 0.01$, * $P \le 0.05$

7.6.3 Reciprocal x environment interactions

Table S14: Reciprocal effects for fresh shoot biomass (g) in each location.

F1 hybrids	WI2015	CA2015	CA2016
L6038 x L7550	-0.17	-0.05	-0.04
$L6038 \ge P0159$	0	0.23	0.31^{*}
L6038 x Nbh2189	0.25^{*}	0.24^{*}	-0.12
L6038 x P6139	0.26^{*}	-0.1	-0.16
$L6038 \ge B7262$	-0.02	-0.08	-0.17
$L7550 \ge L6038$	0.17	0.05	0.04
$L7550 \ge P0159$	0.83^{***}	0.5^{***}	0.2
L7550 x Nbh2189	0.54^{**}	1.09^{***}	0.53^{**}
$L7550 \ge P6139$	-0.13	0.19	0.19
$L7550 \ge B7262$	0.23	0.79^{***}	-0.12
$P0159 \ge L6038$	0	-0.23	-0.31*
$P0159 \ge L7550$	-0.83***	-0.5***	-0.2
P0159 x Nbh2189	0.06	-0.2	-0.01
$P0159 \ge P6139$	-0.09	0.01	0.15
$P0159 \ge B7262$	-0.1	-0.03	0.05
Nbh2189 x L6038	-0.25^{*}	-0.24*	0.12
Nbh2189 x L7550	-0.54**	-1.09***	-0.53**
Nbh2189 x P0159	-0.06	0.2	0.01
Nbh2189 x P6139	0.19	-0.08	-0.02
Nbh2189 x B7262	-0.29	-0.27*	-0.24^{*}
$P6139 \ge L6038$	-0.26*	0.1	0.16
$P6139 \ge L7550$	0.13	-0.19	-0.19
$P6139 \ge P0159$	0.09	-0.01	-0.15
$P6139 \ge Nbh2189$	-0.19	0.08	0.02
$P6139 \ge B7262$	0.16	-0.1	-0.16
$B7262 \ge L6038$	0.02	0.08	0.17
$B7262 \ge L7550$	-0.23	-0.79***	0.12
$B7262 \ge P0159$	0.1	0.03	-0.05
B7262 x Nbh2189	0.29	0.27^{*}	0.24^{*}
B7262 x P6139	-0.16	0.1	0.16

***P≤0.001, **P≤0.01, *P≤0.05

Table S15: Nonparametric correlations (Spearman's rho) among environments for reciprocal effects of fresh shoot biomass (g).

Environment	Spearman's rho
WI2015-CA2015	0.45**
WI2015-CA2016	0.09
CA2015-CA2016	0.65^{***}
***P≤0.001, **P≤0	.01, *P≤0.05



7.6.4 Rankings by environment

Figure S36: Mean values and 95% highest posterior density (HPD) intervals of hybrid rankings for fresh shoot biomass (g; 130 DAP). Hybrids with intervals in the bottom 15 are shown in blue and hybrids with intervals in the top 15 are shown in red.

8. Shoot biomass (dry)

8.1 GGE biplot



Discrimitiveness vs. representativenss

Figure S37: Discriminativeness vs. representativeness view of a biplot for dry shoot biomass (measured 130 days after planting). Parents treated as testers are shown in blue and as entries in green. The blue line indicates the average tester coordinate (ATC) abscissa, with the blue circle indicating the average tester score. The arrow in the circle indicates the direction of increasing GCA for the entries. Parent Nbh2189 was the most discriminating (had the longest vector) and parent P0159 was the most representative of other parental lines (vector was close to the ATC abscissa).



8.2 Observed and predicted values

Figure S38: Observed (left) and predicted (right) means for dry shoot biomass (g). Posterior predicted means on the right are the result of fitting the model in BayesDiallel to the data on the left.

8.3 HPD intervals for inheritance classes



Figure S39: HPD intervals for dry shoot biomass (g).

8.4 HPD intervals for fixed and random effects



Figure S40: HPD intervals of fixed and random effects for dry shoot biomass (g). Planting density ranged from 0 (low) to 3 (high).

8.5 Degree of dominance



Figure S41: Posterior distributions of the degree of dominance for dry shoot biomass. Posterior means within the interval are indicated by red dotted lines and medians by blue solid lines, with 95% central quantiles shown in grey. A signal was considered strong if the mean, median, and the majority of the posterior distribution fell within the specified ranges: pseudo-underrecessive $(-\infty,-1.5)$, recessive (-1.5,-0.5), additive (-0.5,0.5), dominant (0.5,1.5), pseudo-overdominant $(1.5,\infty)$. Key for parental lines: 1=L6038, 2=L7550, 3=P0159, 4=Nbh2189, 5=P6139, 6=B7262.

8.6 GxE

8.6.1 GCA x environment interactions

Table S16:	GCA f	or dry	shoot	biomass	(g) i	n each	growing	environment	•

Parent	WI2015	CA2015	CA2016
L6038	-0.41**	-0.61***	-0.56***
L7550	-0.14	-0.03	-0.28*
P0159	0.43^{**}	0.31^{*}	0.43***
Nbh2189	-0.04	0.24	0.13
P6139	-0.12	-0.3*	-0.01
B7262	0.29	0.4**	0.3*

***P \leq 0.001, **P \leq 0.01, *P \leq 0.05

Table S17: Nonparametric correlations (Spearman's rho) among environments for GCA of dry shoot biomass (g).

Environment	Spearman's rho
WI2015-CA2015	0.89*
WI2015-CA2016	1***
CA2015-CA2016	0.89^{*}

***P \le 0.001, **P \le 0.01, *P \le 0.05

8.6.2 SCA x environment interactions

F1 hybrids	WI2015	CA2015	CA2016
L6038 x L7550	0.49*	-0.14	-0.04
$L6038 \ge P0159$	-0.02	0.17	0.22
L6038 x Nbh2189	0.42	-0.52*	-0.61**
$L6038 \ge P6139$	0.17	0.25	0.23
$L6038 \ge B7262$	-0.23	-0.04	0.08
$L7550 \ge P0159$	0.15	-0.08	0.22
L7550 x Nbh2189	0.48	0.25	0.1
$L7550 \ge P6139$	-0.38	0.11	0.11
$L7550 \ge B7262$	0.2	0.53^{*}	0.24
$P0159 \ge Nbh2189$	0.04	0.12	0.12
P0159 x P6139	-0.38	0.13	-0.17
$P0159 \ge B7262$	-0.28	-0.01	-0.05
Nbh2189 x P6139	0.43	-0.14	0.21
Nbh2189 x B7262	0.36	0.93^{***}	0.32
P6139 x B7262	-0.24	-0.35	-0.08
Parental selfs			
L6038	-0.79*	0.28	0.04
L7550	-0.34	-0.67*	-0.31
P0159	-0.63	-0.32	-0.32
Nbh2189	-0.64	-0.64	-0.13
P6139	-0.08	0.01	-0.39
B7262	-0.86*	-1.06**	-0.5

Table S18: SCA for dry shoot biomass (g) in each growing environment.

***P \leq 0.001, **P \leq 0.01, *P \leq 0.05

Table S19: Nonparametric correlations (Spearman's rho) among environments for SCA of dry shoot biomass (g).

Environment	Spearman's rho
WI2015-CA2015	0.30
WI2015-CA2016	0.48^{*}
CA2015-CA2016	0.70**
***P≤0.001, **P≤0	.01, *P≤0.05



8.6.3 Rankings by environment

Figure S42: Mean values and 95% highest posterior density (HPD) intervals of hybrid rankings for dry shoot biomass (g; 130 DAP). Hybrids with intervals in the bottom 15 are shown in blue and hybrids with intervals in the top 15 are shown in red.

9. Root biomass (fresh)

9.1 GGE biplot



Discrimitiveness vs. representativenss

Figure S43: Discriminativeness vs. representativeness view of a biplot for fresh root biomass (measured 130 days after planting). Parents treated as testers are shown in blue and as entries in green. The blue line indicates the average tester coordinate (ATC) abscissa, with the blue circle indicating the average tester score. The arrow in the circle indicates the direction of increasing GCA for the entries. Parent Nbh2189 was both discriminating (had the longest vector) and was moderately representative of other parental lines (vector was close to the ATC abscissa), although parent P6139 was the most representative.



9.2 Observed and predicted values

Figure S44: Observed (left) and predicted (right) means for root biomass (fresh; g). Posterior predicted means on the right are the result of fitting the model in BayesDiallel to the data on the left.

9.3 HPD intervals for inheritance classes



Figure S45: HPD intervals for fresh root biomass (g).

9.4 HPD intervals for fixed and random effects



Figure S46: HPD intervals of fixed and random effects for fresh root biomass (g). Planting density ranged from 0 (low) to 3 (high).

9.5 Degree of dominance



Figure S47: Posterior distributions of the degree of dominance for fresh root biomass. Posterior means within the interval are indicated by red dotted lines and medians by blue solid lines, with 95% central quantiles shown in grey. A signal was considered strong if the mean, median, and the majority of the posterior distribution fell within the specified ranges: pseudo-underrecessive ($-\infty$,-1.5), recessive (-1.5,-0.5), additive (-0.5,0.5), dominant (0.5,1.5), pseudo-overdominant (1.5, ∞). Key for parental lines: 1=L6038, 2=L7550, 3=P0159, 4=Nbh2189, 5=P6139, 6=B7262.

9.6 GxE

9.6.1 Rankings by environment



Figure S48: Mean values and 95% highest posterior density (HPD) intervals of hybrid rankings for fresh root biomass (g; 130 DAP). Hybrids with intervals in the bottom 15 are shown in blue and hybrids with intervals in the top 15 are in red.

10. Root biomass (dry)

10.1 GGE biplot



Discrimitiveness vs. representativenss

Figure S49: Discriminativeness vs. representativeness view of a biplot for dry root biomass (measured 130 days after planting). Parents treated as testers are shown in blue and as entries in green. The blue line indicates the average tester coordinate (ATC) abscissa, with the blue circle indicating the average tester score. The arrow in the circle indicates the direction of increasing GCA for the entries. Parent Nbh2189 was both discriminating (had the longest vector) and was moderately representative of other parental lines (vector was close to the ATC abscissa), although parent P6139 was the most representative.



10.2 Observed and predicted values

Figure S50: Observed (left) and predicted (right) means for dry root biomass (g). Posterior predicted means on the right are the result of fitting the model in BayesDiallel to the data on the left.

10.3 HPD intervals for inheritance classes



Figure S51: HPD intervals for dry root biomass (g).

10.4 HPD intervals for fixed and random effects



Figure S52: HPD intervals of fixed and random effects for dry root biomass (g). Planting density ranged from 0 (low) to 3 (high).

10.5 Degree of dominance



Figure S53: Posterior distributions of the degree of dominance for dry root biomass. Posterior means within the interval are indicated by red dotted lines and medians by blue solid lines, with 95% central quantiles shown in grey. A signal was considered strong if the mean, median, and the majority of the posterior distribution fell within the specified ranges: pseudo-underrecessive $(-\infty, -1.5)$, recessive (-1.5, -0.5), additive (-0.5, 0.5), dominant (0.5, 1.5), pseudo-overdominant $(1.5, \infty)$. Key for parental lines: 1=L6038, 2=L7550, 3=P0159, 4=Nbh2189, 5=P6139, 6=B7262.

10.6 GxE

10.6.1 Rankings by environment



Figure S54: Mean values and 95% highest posterior density (HPD) intervals of hybrid rankings for dry root biomass (g; 130 DAP). Hybrids with intervals in the bottom 15 are shown in blue and hybrids with intervals in the top 15 are shown in red. 61

11. Shoot:root ratio

11.1 GGE biplot



Discrimitiveness vs. representativenss

Figure S55: Discriminativeness vs. representativeness view of a biplot for shoot:root ratio (measured 130 days after planting). Parents treated as testers are shown in blue and as entries in green. The blue line indicates the average tester coordinate (ATC) abscissa, with the blue circle indicating the average tester score. The arrow in the circle indicates the direction of increasing GCA for the entries. Parent B7262 was the most discriminating, but parent L6038 was similarly discriminating and also the most representative of other parental lines (vector was close to the ATC abscissa).



11.2 Observed and predicted values

Figure S56: Observed (left) and predicted (right) means for shoot:root ratio. Posterior predicted means on the right are the result of fitting the model in BayesDiallel to the data on the left.

11.3 HPD intervals for inheritance classes



Figure S57: HPD intervals for shoot:root ratio.

11.4 HPD intervals for fixed and random effects



Figure S58: HPD intervals of fixed and random effects for shoot:root ratio. Planting density ranged from 0 (low) to 3 (high).

11.5 Degree of dominance



Figure S59: Posterior distributions of the degree of dominance for shoot:root ratio. Posterior means within the interval are indicated by red dotted lines and medians by blue solid lines, with 95% central quantiles shown in grey. A signal was considered strong if the mean, median, and the majority of the posterior distribution fell within the specified ranges: pseudo-underrecessive $(-\infty, -1.5)$, recessive (-1.5, -0.5), additive (-0.5, 0.5), dominant (0.5, 1.5), pseudo-overdominant $(1.5, \infty)$. Key for parental lines: 1=L6038, 2=L7550, 3=P0159, 4=Nbh2189, 5=P6139, 6=B7262.

11.6 GxE

11.6.1 GCA x environment interactions

Parent	WI2015	CA2015	CA2016		
L6038	-0.12**	-0.19***	-0.26***		
L7550	-0.05	-0.03	-0.16**		
P0159	0.1^{*}	0.08^{*}	0.1^{*}		
Nbh2189	-0.02	0.04	0.06		
P6139	-0.04	-0.06	0.01		
B7262	0.14^{**}	0.16^{***}	0.25^{***}		
***P≤0.001, **P≤0.01, *P≤0.05					

 Table S20:
 GCA for shoot:root ratio in each growing environment.

Table S21: Nonparametric correlations (Spearman's rho) among environments forGCA of shoot:root ratio.

Environment	Spearman's rho
WI2015-CA2015	0.94**
WI2015-CA2016	1^{***}
CA2015-CA2016	0.94**

***P≤0.001, **P≤0.01, *P≤0.05

11.6.2 SCA x environment interactions

Table S22:	SCA	for	shoot:root	ratio	(g)	in	each	growing	environment	t.
------------	-----	-----	------------	-------	-----	----	------	---------	-------------	----

F1 hybrids	WI2015	CA2015	CA2016
L6038 x L7550	0.14*	0	0.03
$L6038 \ge P0159$	0	0.04	0.1
$L6038 \ge Nbh2189$	0.13	-0.15*	-0.28***
$L6038 \ge P6139$	0.07	0.04	0.07
$L6038 \ge B7262$	-0.05	-0.02	-0.05
$L7550 \ge P0159$	0.01	-0.06	0.01
$L7550 \ge Nbh2189$	0.14	0.1	0.03
$L7550 \ge P6139$	-0.1	0.04	0.09
$L7550 \ge B7262$	-0.02	0.03	0
P0159 x Nbh2189	-0.01	-0.04	-0.09
P0159 x P6139	-0.09	0.01	-0.08
$P0159 \ge B7262$	-0.06	-0.02	-0.15
Nbh2189 x P6139	0.11	-0.02	0.05
Nbh2189 x B7262	0.09	0.16^{*}	-0.02
P6139 x B7262	-0.07	-0.13	-0.08
P6139 x B7262	-0.19	-0.37	-0.03
Parental selfs			
L6038	-0.27**	0.09	0.08
L7550	-0.09	-0.11	-0.05
P0159	0.03	0.07	0.2^{*}
Nbh2189	-0.18	-0.04	0.27**
P6139	0.02	0.05	-0.09
B7262	0.07	-0.02	0.11

***P≤0.001, **P≤0.01, *P≤0.05

Table S23: Nonparametric correlations (Spearman's rho) among environments forSCA of shoot:root ratio.

Environment	Spearman's rho			
WI2015-CA2015	0.19			
WI2015-CA2016	-0.03			
CA2015-CA2016	0.37			
***P ≤ 0.001, **P ≤ 0.01, *P ≤ 0.05				



11.6.3 Rankings by environment

Figure S60: Mean values and 95% highest posterior density (HPD) intervals of hybrid rankings for shoot:root ratio (130 DAP). Hybrids with intervals in the bottom 15 are shown in blue and hybrids with intervals in the top 15 are shown in red.