

Zbtb7a is a transducer for the control of promoter accessibility by NF-kappa B and multiple other transcription factors

José Miguel Ramos Pittol, Agata Oruba, Gerhard Mittler, Simona Sacconi and Dominic van Essen

Statistical analysis & annotation of main & supporting figures

Sample sizes in all experiments were not selected to detect any pre-specified effect size(s). All samples were included in analyses unless otherwise specified.

Fig 1

1B - Experiments shown are representative of 3 experiments using independently grown cell cultures; error bars indicate s.e.m of replicate transfections within each experiment (sample size=3). Significances of reporter expression levels driven by p65 variants vs untransduced p65ko cells: NFkB motifs only +p65 TA1&2 $p=3.6 \times 10^{-6}$, +p65 TA3 $p=0.54$ (two-tailed Student's t-test without assumption of equal variance).

1C - The results shown are representative of more than 5 experiments using independently grown cell cultures, with similar results.

1D - Significances of induced DHS differences: TA3-responsive promoters vs control promoters +p65 $p=9.4 \times 10^{-24}$, +p65 TA3 3.8×10^{-24} (two-tailed Mann-Whitney u-test; no assumption of equal distribution or variance).

1E - Experiments shown are representative of 3 experiments using independently grown cell cultures; error bars indicate s.e.m of replicate transfections within each experiment (sample size=3). Significances of reporter expression levels driven by p65 variants vs untransduced p65ko cells: *Cxcl2* promoter +p65 TA1&2 $p=5.2 \times 10^{-13}$, +p65 TA3 $p=9.6 \times 10^{-8}$; *Saa3* promoter +p65 TA1&2 $p < 10^{-15}$, +p65 TA3 $p < 10^{-15}$ (two-tailed Student's t-test without assumption of equal variance).

1F - The results shown are representative of more than 5 experiments using independently grown cell cultures, with similar results; error bars indicate s.e.m of replicate quantitative PCRs within one experiment (sample size=3).

1H - The indicated 'combined SILAC ratio' is the mean SILAC ratio for each protein detected in two independent SILAC pull-down experiments, in which 'heavy' and 'light' labelled nuclear extracts were exchanged for each bait protein (considered as 'forward' and 'reverse' pull-downs). Ratios of proteins detected in only one pull-down direction were not considered (since these may be affected by differences in nuclear extract preparation). Mean unique peptides detected for each protein: 10.9 (sd 13.8) forward, 11.6 (sd 15.6) reverse. Significance of Zbtb7a SILAC ratio (after transformation of all less-extreme ratios to normal distribution) $p=1.7 \times 10^{-3}$.

1I - Pull-down blots were performed in 2 independent experiments, with similar results.

1J - The results shown are representative of 4 independent BiFC experiments, with similar results; error bars indicate s.e.m of replicate transfections within one experiment (sample size=3).

1K - The results shown are representative of more than 5 experiments using independently grown cell cultures, with similar results.

Fig 2

Zbtb7a ChIP-seq was performed on a total of 9 samples in 2 separate experiments using independently grown cell cultures, all with similar results: coverage across all

predicted Zbtb7a peaks was highly correlated between all datasets (mean Pearson's $r = 0.92$ for all pairwise comparisons [range 0.86-0.95]).

2C - Zbtb7a peaks analysed $n=12861$, promoters $n=23270$, enhancers (identified as H3K4me1 peaks in fibroblasts) $n=81778$. Enrichments of promoters and enhancers among Zbtb7a peaks are both significant with $p < 10^{-1000}$ (two-tailed binomial test).

2D - Group sizes for data analysis: all promoter regions $n=23270$, p65 target promoter regions $n=153$. Enrichments of Zbtb7a peaks associated with all promoter regions, and with p65 target promoter regions, are both significant with $p < 10^{-1000}$ (two-tailed binomial test).

2E - Group sizes for data analysis: all Zbtb7a peaks $n=10743$, Zbtb7a promoter peaks $n=5278$. P-values for motif enrichment were calculated using the Homer software package, based on an expected binomial distribution of motifs within background sequences matched for mono-, di- and tri-nucleotide content.

2F - Number of promoters with overlapping Zbtb7a peak: non-expressed genes (fpm=0) $n=253$ (out of 8393), very low expressed genes ($0 < \text{fpm} < 0.001$) $n=281$ (out of 1197), expressed genes ($0.001 \leq \text{fpm} < 1$) $n=776$ (out of 5062), expressed genes ($1 \leq \text{fpm} < 20$) $n=2902$ (out of 8245), highly expressed genes ($20 \leq \text{fpm}$) $n=1134$ (out of 2984). Number of Zbtb7a-negative promoters analysed $n=7984$.

2G - Group sizes for data analysis: GO-annotated promoters $n=24203$, Zbtb7a-peak annotated promoters $n=5770$, Zbtb7a-up annotated promoters $n=330$, Zbtb7a-down annotated promoters $n=299$, total GO annotation terms of all promoters $n=16613$. Significantly enriched GO terms are calculated using two-tailed binomial tests with Holm-Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. Corrected q-values: cell migration (GO:0016477) $q=8.4 \times 10^{-11}$; positive reg. of cell proliferation (GO:0008284) $q=9.8 \times 10^{-8}$; negative reg. of transcription (GO:0045892) $q < 10^{-12}$; protein phosphorylation (GO:0006468) $q < 10^{-12}$; signal transduction (GO:0035556) $q=3.8 \times 10^{-10}$; positive reg. of transcription (GO:0045893) $q < 10^{-12}$; negative reg. of cell proliferation (GO:0008285) $q < 10^{-12}$; chromatin modification (GO:0016568) $q=2.9 \times 10^{-8}$; inflammatory response (GO:0006954) $q=1.4 \times 10^{-4}$; apoptotic process (GO:0006915) $q=1.9 \times 10^{-11}$; multicellular organismal development (GO:0007275) $q=7.4 \times 10^{-7}$; response to DNA damage stimulus (GO:0006974) $q=5.3 \times 10^{-7}$.

Fig 3

DHS-seq was performed in 2 separate experiments using independently grown cell cultures, with similar results.

3E - Significance of enrichment for Zbtb7a-regulated accessibility among promoters associated with Zbtb7a peaks, compared to Zbtb7a-negative promoters: $p=1.2 \times 10^{-957}$ (two-tailed binomial test).

3F - Significance of enrichment for Zbtb7a-regulated accessibility among enhancers associated with Zbtb7a peaks, compared to Zbtb7a-negative enhancers: $p=4.8 \times 10^{-294}$ (two-tailed binomial test).

3G - Significance of enrichment for Zbtb7a-regulated accessibility among TA3-responsive p65 target promoters, compared to Zbtb7a-negative promoters: $p=2.1 \times 10^{-39}$ (two-tailed binomial test).

Fig 4

4A - *De novo* motif enrichment analysis surrounding Zbtb7a peaks was performed independently using either nucleotide-content-matched random background regions or CpG-island (CGI)-containing regions (to control for the enrichment of CGIs at Zbtb7a peaks); the motifs shown in Fig 4A (or closely-matching motifs) were identified in both analyses. P-values for motif enrichment were calculated using the

Homer software package, based on an expected binomial distribution of motifs within background sequences.

4C - Group sizes for data analysis: all promoters n=27946; Runx2-, cJun- Tead2- & Cepbd targets n=200 each; p65 direct targets n=153. Significances of enrichment for promoters with Zbtb7a-regulated accessibility are corrected for multiple testing of TF family members where applicable: Runx2 q=1.4x10⁻⁶, cJun p=1.4x10⁻⁶, Tead2 q=2.3x10⁻¹¹, Cepbd q=1.7x10⁻⁸, p65 p=1.9x10⁻²⁹ (two-tailed binomial test with Bonferroni correction).

4D - Microarray analysis of Zbtb7a knock-down cells was performed on 2 independent sets of shRNA-transduced cells. Group sizes for data analysis: control genes with Zbtb7a-regulated accessibility n=400; Runx2-, cJun- Tead2- & Cepbd targets n=200 each; p65 direct targets n=52. Significances of mRNA expression differences vs control genes: Runx2 targets p=8.9x10⁻³; cJun targets p=2.3x10⁻⁷; Tead2 targets p=9.7x10⁻¹¹; Cepbd targets p=4.9x10⁻⁶; p65 targets p=1.8x10⁻¹⁴ (two-tailed Mann-Whitney u-test; no assumption of equal distribution or variance).

Fig 5

5A - Microarray analysis was performed on 3 independent sets of transduced cells for each experimental condition. Gene group sizes for data analysis: p65 target promoters n=153; TA3-responsive genes n=73; non-TA3-responsive genes n=80; matched control genes n=7189.

5B - Significances of Zbtb7a-dependence of TA3-responsive genes vs control genes: p=3.0x10⁻¹⁴ (two-tailed Mann-Whitney u-test; no assumption of equal distribution or variance).

5C - The experiment shown is representative of 2 experiments using independently grown cell cultures; error bars indicate s.e.m of replicate transfections within each experiment (sample size=3). Significances of reporter expression levels driven by Gal4DBD-TA3 vs Gal4DBD: wt fibroblasts p=8.1x10⁻⁷, Zbtb7a-knockout fibroblasts not significant (p=0.23) (two-tailed Student's t-test without assumption of equal variance).

5E - Significances of DHS differences at non TA3-responsive promoters: +p65 TA3 vs Zbtb7a kd p=4.7x10⁻², vs control promoters p=1.5x10⁻² (two-tailed Mann-Whitney u-test; no assumption of equal distribution or variance).

5F - DHS-seq using the mutant form of TA3 was performed in 2 separate experiments using independently grown cell cultures, with similar results.

Fig 6

6A - Zbtb7a ChIP-seq was performed on a total of 9 samples in 2 separate experiments (including 3 samples in p65-knockout fibroblasts) using independently grown cell cultures, all with similar results. Zbtb7a ChIP coverage is less than 2-fold changed in p65-knockout cells at 147 of 153 NFkB target promoters in untreated cells (> 2-fold Zbtb7a loss at 4 promoters, gain at 2 promoters); and at 150 NFkB target promoters in TNF- α -treated cells (>2-fold loss at 1 promoter, gain at 2 promoters).

6B - p65 ChIP-seq was performed on a total of 9 samples in 3 separate experiments (including 2 samples in Zbtb7a-knockout fibroblasts) using independently grown cell cultures, with similar results. p65 ChIP coverage is less than 2-fold changed in Zbtb7a-knockout cells at 141 of 153 NFkB target promoters in untreated cells (> 2-fold p65 loss at 1 promoter, gain at 11 promoters); and at 135 NFkB target promoters in TNF- α -treated cells (>2-fold loss at 10 promoters, gain at 8 promoters).

6E - Significances of induced DHS differences at p65 target promoters +p65 vs Zbtb7a kd +p65: $p=2.4 \times 10^{-8}$; at p65 target promoters vs control promoters: $p=1.5 \times 10^{-38}$ (two-tailed Mann-Whitney u-test; no assumption of equal distribution or variance).

6F - Significances of induced DHS differences at p65-binding enhancers +p65 vs Zbtb7a kd +p65: $p=3.6 \times 10^{-36}$, at p65-binding enhancers vs control enhancers: $p=1.1 \times 10^{-134}$ (two-tailed Mann-Whitney u-test; no assumption of equal distribution or variance).

6G - Significances of differences vs control genes: TA3-responsive +p65 $p=1.6 \times 10^{-26}$; non-TA3-responsive +p65 $p=3.6 \times 10^{-38}$ (two-tailed Mann-Whitney u-test; no assumption of equal distribution or variance).

6H - Significances of TA3-dependence of gene expression levels for each group: TA3-responsive vs control genes $p=2.3 \times 10^{-12}$, non-TA3-responsive vs control genes $p=1.0 \times 10^{-4}$, TA3-responsive vs non-TA3-responsive genes $p=1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ (two-tailed Mann-Whitney u-test; no assumption of equal distribution or variance). TA3-dependent genes (defined as those with log2 Affymetrix expression scores p65 - p65 TA1&2 > 0.8) within each group: all p65 targets 50/153 (32%), TA3-responsive genes 36/73 (49%), non-TA3-responsive genes 14/80 (17%), control genes 67/7189 (0.9%); significance of TA3-responsive vs non-TA3-responsive $p=5.9 \times 10^{-5}$ (chi-squared test on 2x2 table; $\chi^2=16.1$, degrees of freedom=1).

Fig S1

S1D-F - The results shown are representative of 2 (d,e) or 3 (f) experiments using independently grown cell cultures; error bars indicate s.e.m of replicate transfections within each experiment (sample size=3).

S1J - The results shown are representative of 4 (mouse cells; including experiment in Fig 6C) or 2 (human cells) experiments using independently grown cell cultures; error bars indicate s.e.m of replicate transfections within each experiment (sample size=3). Significances of reporter expression levels driven by Gal4DBD-TA3 vs Gal4DBD: mouse fibroblasts $p=6.7 \times 10^{-54}$, human HEK-293 cells 2.8×10^{-261} (two-tailed Student's t-test without assumption of equal variance).

S1L - The results shown are representative of 2 independent immunoprecipitation experiments, with similar results.

S1M - The results shown are representative of 3 independent BiFC experiments, with similar results; error bars indicate s.e.m of replicate transfections (sample size=3).

S1N - The results shown are representative of 2 independent pull-down experiments, with similar results.

S1O - The results shown are representative of more than 5 experiments using independently grown cell cultures, with similar results.

Fig S2

S2G - Group sizes for data analysis: p65 promoter peaks $n=6055$, Zbtb7a promoter peaks $n=7363$, encode ChIP-seq promoter peaks $n=55-10141$ (mean 3168, sd 2823).

S2H - CGIs $n=16026$. Enrichment of Zbtb7a peaks associated with CGIs are significant with $p < 10^{-1000}$ (two-tailed binomial test).

S2I - Group sizes for data analysis: all promoters $n=28941$, Zb-positive promoters $n=4799$. Significances of elevated GC content among Zbtb7a-positive promoters $p \approx 10^{-1026}$, and of observed/expected CG ratios among Zbtb7a-positive promoters

$p \approx 10^{-818}$ (two-tailed Mann-Whitney u-test; no assumption of equal distribution or variance).

S2J - Group sizes for data analysis: Zbtb7a CGI promoter peaks $n=3575$, Zbtb7a non-CGI promoter peaks $n=1145$, Zbtb7a CGI enhancer peaks $n=224$, Zbtb7a non-CGI enhancer peaks $n=1811$. P-values for motif enrichment were calculated using the Homer software package, based on an expected binomial distribution of motifs within background sequences matched for mono-, di- and tri-nucleotide content either selected randomly (for non-CGI peaks) or within CGIs (for CGI peaks).

S2M - Significantly enriched GO terms are calculated using two-tailed binomial tests with Holm-Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. Corrected q-values: integrin signaling (GO:0007229) $q=3.1 \times 10^{-5}$; TM receptor tyrosine kinase activity (GO:0007169) $q=5.0 \times 10^{-4}$; TGF-beta signaling (GO:0007179) $q=1.3 \times 10^{-7}$; positive regulation of ERK1 & ERK2 cascade (GO:0070374) $q=2.0 \times 10^{-2}$; Wnt signaling (GO:0016055) $q=2.4 \times 10^{-9}$; insulin receptor signaling (GO:0008286) $q=1.2 \times 10^{-3}$; LPS response (GO:0071222) $q=3.2 \times 10^{-6}$; smoothed signaling (GO:0007224) $q=9.6 \times 10^{-3}$; inflammatory response (GO:0006954) $q=1.4 \times 10^{-4}$; small GTPase signal transduction (GO:0007264) $q=3.6 \times 10^{-3}$; response to DNA damage stimulus (GO:0006974) $q=5.3 \times 10^{-7}$; innate immune response (GO:0045087) $q=2.4 \times 10^{-2}$.

Fig S3

S3B,C - The results shown are representative of 3 knock-down experiments with both hairpins, each using independently grown cell cultures, with similar results. Error bars in panel b indicate s.e.m of replicate transductions within one experiment (sample size=3).

S3D - The results shown are representative of more than 5 knock-down experiments using independently grown cell cultures, with similar results. Error bar indicates s.e.m. of mRNA levels relative to those of control cells of replicate quantitative PCRs.

S3K - Number of promoters with overlapping Zbtb7a peak with accessibility *not* Zbtb7a-regulated $n=3591$, with Zbtb7a-driven increased accessibility $n=214$, with Zbtb7a-driven reduced accessibility $n=246$.

S3M - Number of analysed footprints within Zbtb7a-dependent sites and significances of differences in footprint magnitudes between control and Zbtb7a knockdown cells: Nf1 $n=395$, $p=4.0 \times 10^{-53}$; HoxC9 $n=66$, $p=1.5 \times 10^{-4}$; Klf4 $n=134$, $p=3.8 \times 10^{-3}$; CTCF $n=52$, $p=6.1 \times 10^{-4}$; AP1 $n=1008$, 6.0×10^{-24} ; AP2g $n=289$, $p=2.5 \times 10^{-7}$; Foxo1 $n=322$, $p=3.7 \times 10^{-11}$; Tead $n=175$, not significant ($p=0.06$); Cebpb $n=198$, $p=2.1 \times 10^{-3}$; Rbpj $n=320$, $p=0.02$; cJun-CRE $n=201$, not significant ($p=0.41$); Ets1 $n=226$, not significant ($p=0.06$); Stat4 $n=385$, not significant ($p=0.31$).

S3N,O - The results shown are representative of 2 independent ChIP experiments for each transcription factor, with similar results.

Fig S4

S4B,C - Number of analysed Zbtb7a-negative promoters $n=16789$, Zbtb7a-associated promoters with gene expression *not* Zbtb7a-regulated $n=639$, with Zbtb7a-driven increased expression $n=304$, with Zbtb7a-driven reduced expression $n=273$.

S4C - Significances of Zbtb7a-dependent DHS differences at Zbtb7a-negative promoters vs promoters with Zbtb7a-driven increased expression: $p=1.3 \times 10^{-56}$, Zbtb7a-driven reduced expression $p=7.3 \times 10^{-72}$ (two-tailed Mann-Whitney u-test; no assumption of equal distribution or variance).

S4F - Number of Zbtb7a-associated promoters with Zbtb7a-dependent gene expression $n=629$ (total) $n=158, 156, 157, 158$ (Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4). Significance of difference in Zbtb7a-dependence of DHS between least (Q1) and most (Q4) Zbtb7a-dependent promoters $p=2.3 \times 10^{-14}$; for Zbtb7a-independent promoters vs Q1 $p=4.9 \times 10^{-4}$; Q2 $p=4.1 \times 10^{-5}$; Q3 $p=1.4 \times 10^{-11}$; Q4 $p=2.5 \times 10^{-28}$ (two-tailed Mann-Whitney u-test; no assumption of equal distribution or variance).

Fig S5

S5A-G - The results shown are representative of 3 experiments using separate cell lines with independently-restored Zbtb7a expression, with similar results. Error bars indicate s.e.m. of replicate samples within one experiment ($n=3$). Significances of mRNA expression levels in Zbtb7a-knockout cells with vs without restoration of Zbtb7a: *Cd82* $p=9.0 \times 10^{-5}$; 2 *Ebf1* $p=1.8 \times 10^{-5}$; *Plau* $p=7.4 \times 10^{-5}$; *Col4a2* $p=4.6 \times 10^{-3}$; *Mustn1* $p=5.2 \times 10^{-4}$; *Postn* $p=1.6 \times 10^{-6}$; *Hp* $p=1.7 \times 10^{-7}$; *Wisp2* $p=2.0 \times 10^{-4}$; *Gsta4* $p=2.6 \times 10^{-4}$; *Glrx* $p=1.9 \times 10^{-4}$; *Xdh* $p=5.8 \times 10^{-5}$; *Ptx3* $p=9.0 \times 10^{-4}$; *Cxcl2* $p=2.1 \times 10^{-2}$; *C3* $p=8.5 \times 10^{-5}$; *Gem* $p=1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ (two-tailed Student's t-test without assumption of equal variance).

Fig S6

S6C,D - DHS-seq using the mutant form of TA3 was performed in 2 separate experiments using independently grown cell cultures, with similar results.

S6E - Significances of induced DHS differences at p65 target promoters +p65 TA3 vs +p65 TA3 mutant: $p=7.5 \times 10^{-3}$ (two-tailed Mann-Whitney u-test; no assumption of equal distribution or variance).

S6F - The results shown are representative of 3 independent experiments with similar results; error bars indicate s.e.m of replicate transfections. Significances of TA3-driven reporter expression levels from *Saa3* promoter without mutation vs with disrupted Zbtb7a motif: $p=2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ (two-tailed Student's t-test without assumption of equal variance).

S6G - The results shown are representative of 2 independent experiments with similar results; error bars indicate s.e.m of replicate transfections. Significances of *Gem* promoter reporter expression levels in cells co-transfected with Gal4DBD vs Gal4DBD-Zbtb7a: p65-knockout cells not significant ($p=0.16$), +p65 minimal TA3 $p=5.4 \times 10^{-3}$ (two-tailed Student's t-test without assumption of equal variance).