

Editorial Note: This manuscript has been previously reviewed at another journal that is not operating a transparent peer review scheme. This document only contains reviewer comments and rebuttal letters for versions considered at *Nature Communications*.

REVIEWERS' COMMENTS:

Reviewer #1 (Remarks to the Author):

I have reread the manuscript several times, and have found it a useful piece of work and I recommend publication. The sheer scale of the data makes it a very useful piece of work. It also reads much more smoothly and I am satisfied that the authors have tried to achieve a more balanced argument. The figures are very helpful and clear and provide much of the detail.